

**Notice of Special Called Meeting**  
**The Board of Trustees**  
**Fort Bend Independent School District**

Monday, June 5, 2023

---

This is Notice that the Fort Bend Independent School District Board of Trustees will hold a Special Called Meeting on Monday, June 5, 2023, beginning at 6:00 PM in the Board Room of the Fort Bend ISD Administration Building, 16431 Lexington Blvd., Sugar Land, TX 77479.  
The agenda packet for the meeting is available at <https://meetings.boardbook.org/Public/Organization/649>.

Members of the public may view the live stream of the meeting at the following address:  
<https://www.fortbendisd.com/Page/124962>

1. Call to order
2. Superintendent Update
3. Public Comment
4. Information
  - A. 3rd Quarter Financial Report for 2022-23, Budget and Legislative Update 5
5. Review
  - A. Review the minutes of Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees meetings and/or public hearings on the following dates: 17
    1. February 16, 2023 Special Called Meeting (pulled for correction on 4/17/23) 18
    2. February 24, 2023 Special Called Meeting Almeta Crawford HS Attendance Community Workshop 25
    3. February 27, 2023 Special Called Meeting 36
    4. March 6, 2023 Special Called Meeting and Agenda Review 42
  - B. Review for the adoption and revision of Policies FNG (Local), and FO (Local). 54
  - C. Consideration and approval of international travel during the summer of 2024 for Fort Bend ISD Honor Orchestra, comprised of students from all FBISD high schools. Students will participate in the American Celebration of Music in Great Britain and attend workshops at the Royal Academy of Music. 66
  - D. Review for approval of a Low Attendance Waiver and granting the Superintendent authority to approve and submit all necessary waiver requests for the 2022-23 school year. 68
  - E. Review and consider approval to authorize the Superintendent, or her designee, to execute agreements with the authorized residential and day treatment service providers listed as needed for the 2023-24 school year. 71

F. Review an Interlocal Agreement with Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA), and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement.	73
G. Review for the purchase of Benefits Management Consulting Services and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through December 2028.	77
H. Review the recommendation for District Legal Services providers, including Board Counsel.	83
I. Review a Committed Fund Balance Resolution.	89
J. Review to consider endorsement of a candidate to represent Region IV, Position G as a Director on the Texas Association of School Boards (TASB) Board.	92
K. Review the use of 2018 Bond Program Contingency Program as proposed.	112
6. Review the proposed expenses that exceed \$50,000: Specifically for:	
A. Consideration and approval for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the Event License Agreement, and other related agreements between Fort Bend ISD and the Fort Bend Epicenter for the 2023-24 Commencement exercises.	114
B. Review the purchase of print shop equipment, maintenance and supplies from multiple cooperative contracts in amount not-to-exceed \$964,877 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through May 2027.	116
C. Review a construction services agreement with E Contractors LLC to perform a parking lot replacement at Progressive High School (PKG053), a contract amount not-to-exceed \$765,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreement.	119
D. Review for approval for purchase of a data analytics platform to track assessments and support student achievement from Eduphoria in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,717,765 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute agreements through July 2028.	124
E. Review for the purchase of Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental) and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through November 2027.	128
F. Review for the purchase of trucking and trailer rental services from various vendors, under cooperative contracts with Central Texas Purchasing Alliance (CTPA) and Choice Partners Purchasing cooperatives in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,200,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through July 2026.	133
G. Review for approval of a General Fund and Debt Service Fund budget amendment for 2022-23.	135

H. Review for approval of the 2023-24 General Fund (199), Debt Service Fund (599), and Child Nutrition Fund (240) budgets as presented for the Fiscal Year 2023-24 budget document.	136
I. Review for the purchase of small kitchen equipment from various vendors, under cooperative contracts with BuyBoard in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through November 2025.	138
J. Review for approval of the purchase of an Albert Sensor through the Central Texas Purchasing Alliance contact from Center for Internet Security, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed \$307,881 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through June 2028.	141
K. Review the Professional Services Firms: Architectural Design Teams (A/E) and pool selection for the 2023 Bond Program, assignment of bid packages to design firms, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreements with the identified vendors.	144
L. Review 2023 Bond Program Master Project Packages, to serve as the Board-approved project budgets as defined by Board Policy CV (Local).	149
M. Review for the purchase of online eProcurement Catalog from Amazon Business Services, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed \$15,000,000 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through August 2028.	216
N. Review for delegation of authority to execute a contract for Natural Gas on behalf of Fort Bend Independent School District.	219
O. Review for the purchase of remediation and restoration services from various cooperative contracts in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,369,295 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through July 2026.	222
7. Convene in closed session under Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 under the following sections: 551.071 - For the purpose of a private consultation with the Board's attorney on any or all subjects or matters authorized by law; Section 551.072 - Consider purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property, Section 551.074 - Personnel matters, Section 551.076 - Security matters, Section 551.082 - Student discipline matter or complaint, or Section 551.0821 - Personally identifiable information about public school student	
A. Deliberate Chief Communications Officer recommendation.	
B. Deliberate Chief Operations Officer recommendation.	
8. Reconvene in Open Session	
9. Consider Action on Closed Session Items	
10. Action	

A. Consideration and approval of a Retention Supplement Resolution.	225
B. Consideration and approval of proposed revision of policy DNA (Local).	229
C. Consideration and approval of a Child Nutrition Department Budget Amendment for 2022-23.	231
D. Consideration of Professional Services Agreement with Jacobs Project Management Company for Project Management Services to help with the execution of Bond 2023 work in an amount not to exceed \$27,389,156, approval of the budget, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement.	233

## 11. Adjournment

---

*If, during the meeting, discussion of any item on the agenda should be held in a closed meeting, the Board will conduct a closed meeting in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551, Subchapters D and E or Texas Government Code section 418.183(f). Before any closed meeting is convened, the presiding officer will publicly identify the section or sections of the Act authorizing the closed meeting. All final votes, actions, or decisions will be taken in an open meeting. [See BEC (LEGAL)]*

The following Fort Bend ISD Goals may be referenced in agenda items included in this document:

- Goal 1: Fort Bend ISD will provide rigorous and relevant curriculum and deliver instruction that is responsive to the needs of all students.
- Goal 2: Fort Bend ISD will provide a positive culture and climate that provides a safe and supportive environment for learning and working.
- Goal 3: Fort Bend ISD will recruit, develop, and retain high quality teachers and staff.
- Goal 4: Fort Bend ISD will engage students, parents, staff, and the community through ongoing communication, opportunities for collaboration and innovation, and partnerships that support the learning community.
- Goal 5: Fort Bend ISD will utilize financial, material, and human capital resources to maximize district outcomes and student achievement.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Information: 3rd Quarter Financial  
Report for 2022-23, Budget and  
Legislative Update**  
**References: Board Policy CH (Legal)  
Board Policy CFA**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

### **Summary**

Staff will provide an update on the General, Debt Service, and Child Nutrition Funds. These discussions are ongoing as staff works to allocate resources to best support the goals and priorities of the District. Staff will also provide an update on school finance topics currently under consideration as part of the State of Texas 88<sup>th</sup> legislature.

Lastly, the Administration will provide information on the District's Financial Report for the quarter ending March 31, 2023. Providing the Board with a quarterly Financial Report is part of a continuous financial reporting process that reports the District's financial status. This information enables the Board to review the financial position of the District in order to make future financial decisions.

Information presented includes a financial statement for the results of operations for the General Fund, Child Nutrition Fund, Debt Service Fund, Capital Project Funds, Internal Service Funds and Extended Learning, and the Facility Program as of March 31, 2023. Also included are the following: an updated investment report, summary of grant expenditures, tax collection report, budget amendment report, and a report on General Fund and Child Nutrition cash flow.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer



# Quarterly Financials & 2023-24 Budget & Legislative Update

MONDAY, June 5, 2023

# Third Quarter Financial Report

- General Fund Revenues
  - » Enrollment 1,623 higher than budgeted as of 3/31
  - » Attendance at 94% vs. 96% (offsets enrollment gains)
  - » Interest income higher than budget due to Fed rate increases
  - » Year-end amendment will reconcile differences
- General Fund Expenditures
  - » Budget amendment approved to reclassify \$27M in General Fund payroll costs to ESSER III

# Third Quarter Financial Report

- Debt Service Fund

- » Debt transaction scheduled in May; refunds \$67.5M of commercial paper and issues \$32.5M in new money for 2014 and 2018 Bonds

- Internal Service Funds

- » Health fund continues to exceed projected expenditures; will use General Fund and ESSER III to offset anticipated loss of \$4.3M

- » Workers' Compensation Fund anticipated to end year with \$0.4M deficit; will use balance in Unemployment Fund to offset

# 88<sup>th</sup> Legislature Outcomes



## What we got: \$18.1M

Tier 2 golden penny yield increase: \$16.7M

Safety & security allotment increase: \$1.4M



## What we didn't get

Increase in basic allotment

Funding for teacher raises

Changes in Special Education funding

# Special Sessions

- Gov. Abbott calling multiple special sessions to “ensure that each priority receives the time and attention it deserves to pass into law...”
- **Session 1:** Property taxes and border security
- Convened May 30



# Immediate Impact on General Fund Budget

- 2023-24 Budget will be balanced without use of ESSER
- Teacher step is funded
- General compensation increase is not funded
- Budget may need to be amended later if legislature approves new K-12 funding in special session
- **ESSER funds can be used for retention and recruitment supplement**

# Retention Supplement Plan



**\$1,500 retention**  
supplement for staff  
on teacher pay  
scale



**\$1,000 retention**  
supplement for  
fulltime non-  
teaching staff



**\$500 retention**  
supplement for part-  
time non-teaching  
staff



Estimated  
cost: \$15.4M  
from ESSER

# Revised General Fund with New Revenues

	2022-23 Amended Budget	2022-23 Year-End Estimate	2023-24 Proposed Budget
(\$ millions)			
Total Revenue	\$ 732.2	\$ 734.0	\$ 767.6
Total Expenditures	780.6	777.6	790.1
Strategic Budget Reductions	-	-	(23.5)
Use of ESSER	(27.0)	(27.0)	-
Net Expenditures	753.6	750.6	766.6
Other Sources/(Uses)	(4.0)	(4.0)	0.5
Change in Fund Balance	(25.4)	(20.6)	1.4
Fund Balance - Beginning	206.8	206.8	186.2
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 181.4	\$ 186.2	\$ 187.6
Fund Balance Reserve - %	25%	26%	25%
Fund Balance Reserve - Days	91 Days	94 Days	92 Days

# General Fund Three-Year Outlook Assumptions



## Revenues

No changes to basic allotment or other state funding (SPED, CTE, GT, Fine Arts, CompEd)

PASA moderate enrollment growth

SPED enrollment growth of 0.5% of student population



## Expenditures

New teaching and campus support staff

2% general pay increase and teacher step each year (minimum)

2% inflation adjustment for O&M expenses

## General Fund Three-Year Outlook with New Revenues

	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	Proposed	Forecasted	Forecasted
(\$ millions)	Budget	Budget	Budget
Total Revenue	\$ 767.6	\$ 767.5	\$ 772.2
Total Expenditures	790.1	798.0	811.8
Strategic Budget Reductions	(23.5)	-	-
Use of ESSER	-	-	-
Net Expenditures	<u>766.6</u>	<u>798.0</u>	<u>811.8</u>
Other Sources/(Uses)	<u>0.5</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.5</u>
Change in Fund Balance	1.4	(27.9)	(37.1)
Fund Balance - Beginning	<u>186.2</u>	<u>187.6</u>	<u>159.7</u>
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$ 187.6</u>	<u>\$ 159.7</u>	<u>\$ 122.6</u>
Fund Balance Reserve - %	25%	20%	15%
Fund Balance Reserve - Days	92 Days	75 Days	56 Days

---

+

•

○

# Questions?

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes**  
**Reference: Board Policy BE (Local)**  
**Department: Legal Services**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of the minutes of Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees meetings and/or public hearings on the following dates:

- February 16, 2023 Special Called Meeting (pulled for correction on 4/17/23)
- February 24, 2023 Almeta Crawford HS Attendance Community Workshop
- February 27, 2023 Special Called Meeting
- March 6, 2023 Special Called Meeting and Agenda Review

**Summary**

Board Policy BE (Local) states, “Board action shall be carefully recorded by the Secretary or clerk; when approved, these minutes shall serve as the legal record of official Board actions. The written minutes of all meetings shall be approved by vote of the Board and signed by the President and the Secretary of the Board.” Following this policy, the Administration submits the meeting minutes for the Board’s approval.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Robert Scamardo  
General Counsel

Minutes  
Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees  
Special Called Meeting  
February 16, 2023

The Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees held a Special Called Meeting on February 16, 2023 beginning at 12:03 p.m. in the Board Room of the Administration Building located at 16431 Lexington Blvd., Sugar Land, Texas. The Live Stream of the meeting was available for public viewing at the following web address: [www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream](http://www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream). The meeting was recorded as required by law, and the recording is available to the public at: <https://fortbendisd.new.swagit.com/videos/208483>

The agenda packet for the meeting can be found here:  
<https://meetings.boardbook.org/Public/Agenda/649?meeting=569893>

Presiding Officer.....Ms. Kristen Davison Malone, President

Board Members Present

Mrs. Judy Dae, Vice President  
Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam, Secretary  
Mr. Rick Garcia  
Mr. David Hamilton  
Mrs. Angie Hanan  
Ms. Denetta Williams (virtual)

School Officials Present

Dr. Christie Whitbeck, Superintendent of Schools  
Steve Bassett, Deputy Superintendent  
Beth Martinez, Deputy Superintendent  
Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer  
Dr. Kimberly Lawson, Chief Academic Officer  
Dr. Kwabena Mensah, Chief of Schools  
Long Pham, Chief Information Officer  
David Rider, Chief of Police  
Rob Scamardo, General Counsel  
Carolina Fuzetti, Executive Director of Design and Construction  
Amanda Bubela, recording secretary (virtual)

Others Present

Rick Morris, Board Counsel  
FBISD Staff

1.Meeting Called to Order

President Malone called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m. announcing the presence of a quorum, that the meeting had been duly called and the notice posted for the time and matter required by law.

## 2. Public Comment

- There were no speakers present to address the Board

## 3. Information

### A. Proposed 2023 Bond Overview

Mr. Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer, provided an overview of the proposed 2023 Bond and the development process:

- The development began with the Bond Oversight Committee, which is made up of stakeholders across the community including business and community leaders, who provided feedback as the package was developed. The proposal was also shared with the Fort Bend Economic Development Council, which voted in support of the bond package proposal.
- As part of the planning efforts, the district also surveyed 350 voters, and 90 percent of those surveyed voted in the last election. Of those surveyed, 52 percent indicated support of the \$1.2 billion bond with no initial information; when informed, the level of support rose to 68 percent.

The 2023 Proposed Bond totals \$1.21 Billion and consists of two propositions. This may require an additional 1 cent increase on the Interest and Sinking (I&S) tax rate, however, the existing tax rate of \$1.1346 would not increase.

Guinn provided an overview of four options for Board consideration, including the proposed ballot language that would accompany the option.

### **Option 1 – \$1,214,060,000**

Proposition A - \$1.16 Billion

- New schools, renovations, and rebuilds
- Lifecycle deficiencies (\$616 million)
- Safety and security
- Transportation
- Technology infrastructure

Proposition B - \$52.5 Million

- Technology Devices for students and staff

### **Option 2 – \$1,236,960,000**

Proposition A (as presented)

Proposition B (as presented)

Adds additional \$22.9 million for the natatorium, which would require an additional proposition, Proposition C

### **Option 3 – \$1,233,300,000**

Proposition A as presented, with addition of a bus facility at cost of \$19.2 million  
Proposition B as presented

**Option 4 - \$1,256,200,000**

Proposition A as presented, with bus facility added at cost of \$19.2 million  
Proposition B as presented,  
Proposition C with the natatorium added at a cost of \$22.9 million

Guinn shared that an analysis using the one penny tax rate increase on the I&S side and the district's belief that FBISD would stay at or below the current tax rate of \$1.1346 over the life of the bond.

During the Board discussion that followed, Board members discussed the options and shared comments.

Dae

- Stated that this has been a long journey with a learning curve, and there have been many months spent asking questions.
- Expressed appreciation to the team for patience and the information provided, and stated her belief that the board is open to different options, which is what led to the many discussions.
- Expressed support of the recommendation presented by the administration, as the package makes the most financial sense and allocates funding to spend on priority 1 deficiencies, new facilities and rebuilds of two elementary schools and Clements High School.
- Expressed appreciation of the timelines provided by the district, with the elementary schools expected to open in fall of 2025, and Clements construction to start in spring in 2024 and moving in students in 2027.
- Indicated readiness to cast her vote.

Hanan

- Expressed appreciation that Ms. Dae announced her preparedness to the vote, noting that the public is waiting.
- Expressed appreciation to staff for providing the board with options and stated since Monday, she has been considering the estimates provided by the district regarding the costs associated with operating the natatorium.
- Expressed support of both the transportation facility and natatorium projects because they give the public a chance to have a say in what our district looks like, and whether they support the additional transportation resources and the natatorium.
- Reminded the Board and community that the District spans 179 square miles, and this is a long distance for our students and our parents.
- Expressed hope that the board would consider the additional items of the transportation facility and natatorium to bring equity to the district, and indicated her readiness to vote.
- Expressed appreciation to the staff that helped the Board fulfil its obligation that is included in the Board of Ethics and expressed appreciation to those who attended every

meeting and reviewed the information, also noting that her name is Hanan and it rhymes with “Shannon.”

#### Hamilton

- Expressed support of the bond proposal, and his belief that it is best for kids and for the district in a financial standpoint.
- Noted that the bond will save the district money in transportation costs, and the rebuilds will save maintenance costs in the future, while also providing the benefit of having new schools for our community.
- Indicated his preference to include the transportation facility and the natatorium and let the voters decide, and expressed hope that the district is able to build trust before the election.

#### Garcia

- Indicated that he is ready to vote, and stated it is unfortunate that not all trustees are present because the community deserves to know where we all stand, and the public needs to know that there is a lot of work that has occurred.
- Expressed disappointment about comments in the community about the closure of Briargate Elementary, as this is not true, and noted that he has not been able to identify an instance in which the district has said it was going to build something and then did not.
- Indicated he is on the fence regarding Options 3 and 4, as in practice you don't want to spend money on something that you don't have the money to pay for and the district is already in a deficit. On the other hand, he noted the district is not where it needs to be in terms of what it offers as compared to other districts.
- Indicated support of the transportation facility, as there could be annual savings achieved that could help offset operational costs of the natatorium.
- Expressed appreciation for all of the work that has been done.

President Malone called for a five minute recess at approximately 12:25 p.m., indicating that Mrs. Williams was trying to join the meeting virtually and having difficulties.

The board reconvened in open session at 12:32 p.m., and Chief Information Officer Long Pham shared that due security protocols, the district does not allow unidentified individuals into the Zoom meeting.

Discussion among Board members continued.

#### Gilliam

- Expressed appreciation to staff for the many hours put into the project and the diligence to put the package together and to answer the board's questions
- Indicated that the presentation last week provided a clearer picture and opened many doors, allowing her to see where we were, where we are, and how we got here. This was helpful for her as the district can now work on making it better and planning for the future so that it can do what is best for the taxpayers, students, educators and all who are a part of the system.
- Indicated she plans to vote yes.

## Malone

- Introduced Mr. Raul Villasenor, the District's financial advisor, to provide information and clarification about the need to change the District's strategic finance and budget policy.
- Expressed her understanding that there are no financial consequences for FBISD to adjust the financial strategy below the 20 percent.
- Asked staff to confirm the net gain of savings from having an additional transportation facility and the costs associated with operating the natatorium.
- Asked staff for the District's recommendation to the Board of Trustees.

## Williams

- Indicated that she understands why she wasn't allowed in the meeting, but wanted to let the Board and public know she was waiting since 11:52 p.m.
- Asked the administration to confirm when the District met to discuss what was included in the bond package, and when the Board met to discuss the deficiency items to be included.
- Asked how the District decided to rebuild schools and what schools to rebuild.
- Noted that this was supposed to be the Board's bond and the board should have had the opportunity to weigh in, and wants the public to know that if the Board members were opposed to the plans, they would have articulated it to the administration.
- Questioned whether the board has the prerogative to change projects funded by the bond, should the board decide not to move forward with a project, and referenced Middle School 16.

In response to the Board questions and discussion, the administration shared additional information and clarifications.

- Mr. Raul Villasenor, the District's financial advisor, provided background information regarding the 1/12 language that is in current policy, sharing this language is a throwback from the Tax Reform Act of 1986, when for the first time governments and municipalities were restricted from taking earnings from investments and then reinvesting them into whatever projects they desired. The investment of earnings is now limited to 1/8 of 1 percent above the borrowing rate, and whenever the earnings exceed that there is mechanism to rebate back to the IRS. This is considered okay, because it demonstrates that the district is maximizing its investments, and it does not have a negative impact on the district's bond ratings.
- Villasenor indicated interest in getting with staff and the district's tax attorney to broaden the policy's language and align it to the 21<sup>st</sup> century.
- Guinn confirmed the anticipated cost savings associated with the addition of a new transportation facility are estimated to be at \$500,000 annually, although it would take a few years to reach full operating efficiency. Staff estimates annual operating costs associated with the natatorium at \$250,000 annually.
- Staff believes the new transportation facility could be online in about three years, and the natatorium could be up and running by the end of 2025. However, Guinn indicated that the district may want to wait and see what results from the current legislative session, noting the current financial constraints. Alternatively the Board could consider conducting a VATRE so that when the natatorium opens funds are in place. Guinn also

noted that with costs estimated at under a million dollars, finding capacity within the current budget is realistic.

- Bassett told the board if the board desires to add a natatorium as a separate item, the district's recommendation would be to include the transportation facility.
- Dr. Whitbeck reminded Board members that discussions about a bond have been ongoing for many months, even prior to the adoption of the budget in June, and these conversations continued over the summer.
- Dr. Whitbeck shared that the Facilities Condition Index (FCI) to determine the condition of buildings and facilities has been a huge driver throughout the bond planning, and this information has been shared with the community multiple times. Dr. Whitbeck shared that with the bond proposal, the district is taking care of the three highest condition schools, addressing them in a strategic way.
- Dr. Whitbeck shared FBISD has a strong bond oversight committee in place, which provides community members to provide input and oversight.
- Dr. Whitbeck and Rob Scamardo clarified that the 2018 bond included funding to purchase land and design Middle School 16, but there was no funding or commitment to build at that time. The district is now including the construction in the 2023 proposal so that the school can be built.
- Jonathan Frels, Bond Counsel, explained that when the Board adopts the election order, it is presenting to the public a package of projects that it intends to undertake in connection with the bond election. Under Texas law, there's a general provision that talks about contracts with the voters. It generally holds you to what you are telling the voters you are going to do. Although there may be a remote circumstance where the facts that are underlying what you are doing today don't come to fruition, and the Board may be able to make a change but generally the district is constrained by what you tell the public you are going to do.

#### 4. Action

- A. Consideration of recommendations for propositions to be included in the proposed Order for Bond Election.
- B. Consideration and approval of the proposed Order for Bond Election on Saturday, May 6, 2023, and authorization for the Board President to sign the Election Order.

MOTION made by Dae and SECONDED by Hanan that the Board of Trustees *order an election for the issuance of bonds to be held within the District on Saturday, May 6, 2023, containing 3 propositions which total one billion, two hundred fifty-six million, two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,256,200,000) presented as Option 4:*

- *A general Proposition A in the amount of one billion, one hundred eighty million, eight hundred thirty thousand dollars (\$1,180,830,000) for construction, acquisition, capital renovation, and equipment of school buildings in the District, for the purchase of the necessary sites for school buildings, for the purchase of new school buses, for the retrofitting of school buses with emergency, safety, or security equipment, and for the purchase or retrofitting of vehicles to be used for emergency, safety, or security purposes;*

- *Proposition B in the amount of fifty-two million, four hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$52,470,000) for the acquisition or update of District technology equipment, including student, teacher, and staff devices;*
- *Proposition C in the amount of twenty-two million, nine hundred thousand dollars (\$22,900,000) for the construction, acquisition and equipment of a district natatorium;*

*And authorize the board president to execute the order calling the bond election.*

Following brief discussion, MOTION CARRIES, Ayes 7, Nays 0.

#### 5. Adjournment

Having no further business before the Board, MOTION was made by Dr. Gilliam to adjourn the meeting at 1:20 p.m.

---

Kristen Davison Malone, President

Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam, Secretary

Minutes  
Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees  
Special Meeting: Almeta Crawford HS Attendance Community Workshop  
February 24, 2023

The Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees held a Special Called Meeting on February 24, 2023, beginning at 11:01 a.m. in the Board Room of the Administration Building located at 16431 Lexington Blvd., Sugar Land, Texas. The Live Stream of the meeting was available for public viewing at the following web address: [www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream](http://www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream). The meeting was recorded as required by law, and the recording is available to the public at: <https://fortbendisd.new.swagit.com/videos/208994>.

The agenda packet for the meeting can be found here:  
<https://meetings.boardbook.org/Public/Agenda/649?meeting=570745>.

Presiding Officer.....Ms. Judy Dae, Vice-President

Board Members Present

Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam, Secretary  
Mr. Rick Garcia  
Mr. David Hamilton  
Mrs. Angie Hanan  
Ms. Denetta Williams (participating virtually)  
Ms. Kristen Davison Malone (joined meeting in progress)

School Officials Present

Dr. Christie Whitbeck, Superintendent of Schools  
Steve Bassett, Deputy Superintendent  
Beth Martinez, Deputy Superintendent  
Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer  
Christopher Juntti, Interim Chief Operations Officer  
Dr. Kimberly Lawson, Chief Academic Officer  
Dr. Kwabena Mensah, Chief of Schools  
David Rider, Chief of Police  
Amanda Bubela, recording secretary (virtual)

Others Present

Rick Morris, Board Counsel  
FBISD Staff

1.Meeting Called to Order

Vice President Judy Dae called the meeting to order at 11:01 a.m. announcing the presence of a quorum, that the meeting had been duly called and the notice posted for the time and matter required by law.

## 2. Public Comment

- William Walton addressed the Board and expressed hope that alternative boundary options would be considered as a result of the workshop. Walton urged the Board not to vote for the original boundary recommendation and said it was hard to hear that voices were not heard in this process when a bond would be considered in the near future.
- Bill Strutton, an 18-year resident of Sienna, addressed the Board and asked members to vote no and reject the current boundary proposal. Mr. Strutton asked Board members to include a subsection of 258E in the Ridge Point High School Boundary, to include Logan Pass, Fox Bend, Vines and The Plaza, which fall within two miles of the school.
- Allison Brown, a resident of the 258E planning unit, addressed the board and expressed agreement with others who have commented about the importance of the proximity to the school, as her family purchased a home within walking distance of all three schools so that her students could participate in extracurricular activities.
- Christine Saunders, a resident of Sienna, addressed the board and asked the Board to keep Logan Pass, Fox Bend, The Vines and The Plaza zoned to Ridge Point High School. Ms. Saunders indicated that she has three students and lives in the same area as others who addressed the Board.

## 3. Information

### A. Almeta Crawford High School Attendance Community Overview

Dr. Whitbeck told Board members that the administration worked hard to prepare new information and provide additional details regarding the Board's questions and suggestions, and reiterated the purpose of the attendance community planning is to relieve overcrowding at Ridge Point High School and populate Crawford High School with enough students so that the school can be successful.

The principals of three high schools, Len Brogan (Ridge Point High School), Keith Fickel, (Almeta Crawford High School), and Andre Roberson (Hightower High School) addressed the Board members to share details about their ongoing efforts to support students:

- Len Brogan shared some information about the challenges associated with enrollment increases, including congested hallways and lunch areas. Mr. Brogan said RPHS has lost some students due to its size, as some families have indicated they chose to enroll their students in a school with smaller enrollment. Mr. Brogan said RPHS is grateful for the relief that Crawford will provide and stated the campus administration is ready to support students who will be transitioning to the new school.
- Keith Fickel shared that Crawford wants to provide an amazing educational experience for all students, but the ability to provide equitable and comprehensive opportunities is tied to the number of students the school receives. Mr. Fickel asked that the Board consider the impact of any scenario that would lessen the number of students attending Crawford, as this would have a direct impact on the opportunities to provide programs and result in staff members wearing multiple hats.
- Andre Roberson shared that Hightower High School's enrollment has grown to 2,600 students, and while their struggles are not to the extent of RPHS, the increasing

enrollments have caused challenges. Mr. Roberson told Board members that HHS will be ready to support students and families with any decision made.

Following the principal remarks, Payal Pandit Talati, Executive Director of Collaborative Communities, provided an overview of the work and the logic the administration used through the process, using FC Local as guiding principles.

Karen Daniel-Hamberg, a consultant with Cooperative Strategies, provided an overview of the administration's original recommendation and five alternative scenarios, including details about feeder pattern implications and metrics associated with each scenario. This data included the number of new students in the upcoming school year and utilization over a ten-year period.

Alternative Scenarios:

- Scenario A – Keep 258E at Ridge Point High School (instead of Crawford High School) and move 245A (from RPHS) to ACHS.
- Scenario B – Split Planning Unit 258E (front portion attends RPHS, back portion attends ACHS).
- Scenario C – Split 258E (front portion attends RPHS, back portion attends ACHS) and move 243A & 245A to ACHS (from RPHS).
- Scenario D – Split 258E (front portion attends RPHS; back portion attends ACHS), and moves 243A & 245A to HHS (from RPHS)
- Scenario E – Split 258E (front portion attends RPHS; back portion attends ACHS) and move 245A to ACHS (from RPHS).

#### 4. Review and discuss attendance community for Almeta Crawford High School

Prior to opening the meeting to Board comments and questions, Board President Malone expressed appreciation to the principals for their leadership and to her fellow Board members, recognizing that the process has not been easy, and expressed appreciation to the administration for providing additional scenarios that are reflective of Board and community feedback.

During the discussion that followed, Board members provided comments and asked clarifying questions.

Dae

- Indicated that she would have to leave due to another commitment and asked whether the SBOC would be able to engage and participate, as this was her understanding. Dae yielded her time to members of the SBOC who were present to address the Board.
  - Kathi Hopkins expressed disappointment with the process and that the SBOC has not had any input into how the recommendations have been developed, rather than taking their feedback into consideration. Ms. Hopkins stated her belief that the administration was emphasizing negatives and that there were still solutions that could work better in the long term and reduce feeder splits.
  - Willie Rainwater expressed concerns about the SBOC's participation in the process and about the future of east side schools, as they remain underutilized. Rainwater encouraged district to consider the district as a whole rather than in pieces.

- David Sincere expressed concerns about the SBOC and stated his belief that many committee members have lost confidence in the process. Mr. Sincere recommended that the district be intentional in its efforts to foster trust.

#### Malone

- Asked Mrs. Martinez to explain the differences between the previous roles of the SBOC and how process differs today as a result of Policy FC Local.
- Asked staff to elaborate on circumstances that have led to need to adopt attendance boundaries for Crawford as soon as possible, and stated that she would personally like more time.
- Asked the board and administration to consider opening Crawford for transfers for freshmen and sophomores in the RPHS feeder pattern, as she had this opportunity when enrolled in an FBISD middle school and it provided flexibility and opportunity.
- Advised Board members of recent conversation with the city manager of Arcola and a transportation official who acknowledged the traffic congestion issues on 521 and who are working on a solution.

#### Hanan

- Asked the administration whether the projections presented in the presentation take academy enrollment into account.
- Expressed concerns about the continued underutilization of Hightower High School.
- Asked for clarification about other Sienna neighborhoods that would not be attending Ridge Point High School and that are within two miles of the school.
- Asked for additional information about the number of students in planning unit 258B.
- Reminded Board members that Policy FC Local states that the SBOC may accept, modify or reject the administration's original recommendation based on guidelines and the current board policy, and stated concern that while the Board currently has the opportunity to modify recommendation, that process wasn't given to our SBOC.
- Provided information to Board members regarding FC Local language that "staff shall present the preliminary report to the board for review and input. Following Board input, staff shall conduct one to three public hearings or more as appropriate to the number of schools and students involved, and that public hearings shall be conducted at sites convenient to community members affected by proposed changes," and her belief that the district may have benefited from public hearings held in-person rather than using technology.
- Implored fellow board members to know and understand the design principles, including the principle that speaks to the utilization of safe and reasonable walking distances to encourage healthier students and minimize the use of student resources.
- Asked Board members to consider reviewing Policy FC Local, as well as consider whether to approve operating procedures related to the policy, and utilize the voices of the board and members of the SBOC.
- Acknowledged the opportunities that could be provided to students as a result of a smaller student body, rather than a larger campus.
- Asked the Board to consider not just transportation costs, but also the time that children are spending on buses and how that could impact their ability to participate in tutorials and activities.

- Asked the administration to consider when the alternative scenarios and proposals were presented to the SBOC, at the end of the process, rather than at the beginning.
- Asked staff to clarify whether the goal is to have the Board prepared to vote on Monday.
- Asked how the data presented took students who currently have siblings attending RPHS into account, and whether the administration is recommending that the sibling request be acknowledged.
- Stated the importance of knowing whether FBISD is allowing students with siblings to stay at RPHS, and how many students impacted by cap and overflow will be staying at Hightower High School, as she makes decisions.
- Asked for additional information about numbers related to rising 10<sup>th</sup> graders and their siblings.
- Asked for clarification and for the Board to consider the future implications associated with the opening of a new middle school, and whether this will require the shifting of elementary students.
- Expressed support of the idea of opening Crawford High School to transfers.

#### Gilliam

- Asked the high school principals in attendance whether they had participated in meetings to provide information, and whether the administration has tapped into them to get their opinions.
- Expressed interest in hearing the principal's recommendations and asked that their feedback be considered in the future.
- Expressed her belief that the district could have reached out a little further and considered the larger picture as it developed its recommendations.

#### Williams

- Asked the administration how many students who are assigned to the Willowridge High School feeder pattern will be attending Crawford as a result of the PEG designation, and for the administration to confirm whether McAuliffe Middle School parents have received letters advising of their option to have their students attend Crawford.
- Requested a copy of the letter sent to parents advising of their right to transfer, and expressed concerns about a deadline associated with this option, noting the parent's right to transfer their student during the school year.
- Expressed enthusiasm to see Crawford open, her commitment to ensure its success, and her belief that Hightower needs additional support, including enrollment relief.

#### Hamilton

- Asked the administration to confirm whether every Willowridge High School parent received a letter advising of their option to attend Crawford, Kempner or Marshall High School.
- Stated his commitment to put all three schools in the best possible position to succeed.
- Defended Trustee Dae's understanding that the SBOC would be able to participate in the meeting, and stated it is the district's responsibility to help members of the SBOC understand their role.
- Stated the "effective reality" is that the Hightower High School boundary zone is all of all of the Hightower and Ridge Point High School zone, with exception of the two mile zone

due to cap and overflow, and that this should have been the starting point so that there was less frustration.

- Expressed his desire to identify the best solution that acknowledges this effective reality and the two-mile zone within the current Ridge Point High School boundary zone so that the district is not pulling the rug out from families who purchased homes in this area to attend Ridge Point High School.
- Asked the administration to confirm whether the district's projections take new development into account, including the recent announcement of 186 new homes within 243A planning unit.
- Stated his preference of scenarios C and D, as C has the highest percentage of year one utilization at Crawford and D has the highest amount of utilization of Hightower, and questioned whether it would be possible to combine some of their benefits.

Garcia

- Expressed appreciation of the efforts and acknowledged it has been a lot of work.
- Stated the importance of community engagement, and his belief that engagement should be a combination of in-person and virtual opportunities.
- Expressed concerns about opening a high school designed for 3,000 students with under 500 students, especially related to the effects on teachers who will be wearing multiple hats.
- Expressed support of idea to opening the school to transfers if a scenario is chosen that doesn't reach the 500 student threshold.

In response to questions and comments from the Board:

- Martinez clarified that the SBOC initiated with changes to policy FC local in the 2018-19 school year, and its inaugural process involved the development of the Sonal Bhuchar attendance boundaries. Additionally, Martinez shared that this is the second full round of boundary review and boundary work guided by the policy, with the alignment to the decision principals being the focus of the work.
- Martinez acknowledged the need to revisit the policy, but stated staff did follow the process. Further, Martinez reminded Board members that the process initiates in the spring with the annual enrollment review, as this outlines the scope of work, and asked the board to consider whether the annual enrollment review is a Board action item, rather than a presentation.
- Karen Daniel-Hamberg confirmed that that data presented to Board members does not take academy participation into account. When academies are taken into account, there is an additional five percent increase in enrollment.
- Daniel-Hamberg stated there are two campuses, Leonetti and Thornton, that have a portion of students residing within a two-mile area and that do not attend Ridge Point.
- Daniel-Hamberg confirmed that a total of seven current high school students reside in planning unit 258B.
- Daniel-Hamberg clarified that the administration did present alternative scenarios A and B to the SBOC, and were prepared to pivot should the SBOC prefer one of them; however only one person favored the alternative over the original recommendation in each scenario.

- Dr. Mensah confirmed that decisions associated with the establishment of the Crawford attendance boundary impact other schools beyond the high school, as until the boundaries are finalized, the district is not able to provide staffing allocations for other campuses that may be impacted by the boundaries, such as Ridge Point High School, Hightower High School, and some of the other campuses in the feeder pattern. Mensah added the district is currently at the time when campuses should get their staffing, so the district knows which teachers could be displaced and decisions can be made on master scheduling.
- Martinez confirmed that principals have been included in several meetings associated with the opening of Crawford High School, and meetings were also held with all principals who could have been impacted by the original recommendations.
- Crawford Principal Keith Fickel stated that he is not necessarily in favor or against any scenario, but he would like to see Crawford successful and that hinges on the number of students who will attend.
- Dr. Whitbeck stated that the deadline for Willowridge High School parents to transfer their students to another campus is today, and at last check, nine had opted to attend a different campus.
- Dr. Mensah confirmed that all families of students zoned to attend Willowridge High School in the upcoming school year, including current 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> graders, received a letter advising them of their ability to transfer to another school.
- Dr. Whitbeck stated that there are currently 263 students who live within the Ridge Point High School attendance boundaries who would be zoned to attend Crawford High School. If FBISD were to allow all rising 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade students with siblings to at Ridge Point to stay, there would be 179 students affected, which could be problematic.
- Martinez stated the district's recommendation on whether to allow incoming 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> graders to stay at RPHS with her siblings would depend on the established zone and the number of students within this area. Likewise, the recommendation on whether to allow students affected by cap and overflow to return to RPHS is also dependent upon the established boundary and the ability to accommodate their return
- Dr. Whitbeck stated that due to the scenarios all having Crawford High School open with a low number of students, her recommendation would be to allow, at most, only rising 10<sup>th</sup> graders to stay at Ridge Point High School with an older sibling.
- Dr. Whitbeck acknowledged the confusion associated with the implementation of cap and overflow in 2020, and that it could have been more clearly explained on the district's website. With this in mind, Whitbeck indicated that if the Board desires to keep a piece of 258E zoned to attend RPHS, the administration can make that happen, as it has been listening and this is what led to the alternative scenarios.
- Dr. Whitbeck and Steve Bassett shared additional details about the location of Middle School 16, near the intersection of 521 and Highway 6, noting this is important because some additional boundary adjustments will need to be made in the future.

During discussion, Board members narrowed possible scenarios for further consideration to B, C, D and E, eliminating alternative A and the administration's original recommendation, and continued further discussion of the remaining scenarios.

Garcia

- Expressed concern about scenario C due to the feeder split that has only five percent of Baines going to Crawford High School, and concern that the 10-year projections put Crawford into a similar situation that Ridge Point High School is in today.
- Expressed concern about scenario D due to the low number of students who would attend Crawford upon opening, making the idea of opening the school up to transfers intriguing.
- Indicated that his original preference was D, but because Dr. Whitbeck stated that it is not a viable option, he is back to either E or the alternative scenario discussed during the workshop.

Hanan:

- Asked clarifying questions about scenario C and the number of homes that are included in the small section of the divided 258E planning unit.
- Asked colleagues to consider long-term planning, noting in the ten-year projections associated with scenario D, Hightower High School's utilization would be at 92% (97% with the academy).
- Asked the administration to confirm whether there would be any future policy actions for the Board to consider, should the Board decide to open Crawford High School to transfers, noting that she had previously recommended this option and it was an important consideration for her as she voted.
- Stated that out of C and D, her preference would be D.
- Asked the district to take action to support students who would attend Crawford and would be taking advanced level coursework (beyond their grade level).
- Expressed interest in further consideration of D, noting the importance of considering the impacts to Hightower High School in the long-term versus the shorter term, as well as the impacts to students who would be sitting on a bus for long periods of time.
- Asked whether it would be possible to get a visual of 243A and 245A going to Hightower, and 226 going to Crawford.
- Expressed interest in the future consideration of B, noting that the SBOC did not have chance to vet all scenarios, as this is the path of least resistance and the SBOC could do further work next year.

Hamilton

- Clarified that it is not that he is not concerned about the five percent feeder pattern split associated with scenario C, but that this option maximizes enrollment at Crawford and relieves Ridge Point High School.
- Requested an alternative scenario suggested by Mrs. Dae that would have 243A and 245A go to Hightower High School and 225 and 226 (which are being rezoned from RPHS to Hightower High School in proposal) go to Crawford instead.
- Asked the administration whether the utilization of 117% at Ridge Point High School would require the use of cap and overflow.
- Expressed that scenario E is probably his second favorite, as the district should balance what is best for all three high schools and what is best for the individual schools.

Malone

- Asked the administration to confirm whether planning units 243A and 245A would likely be zoned to Middle School 16, and whether MS 16 would likely feed into Crawford.
- Stated her preference that the district provide students affected by cap and overflow the option to return to Ridge Point School, and asked the board to consider whether the district would provide transportation to students who opted to stay, should this be an option.
- Asked for the potential impact of moving planning unit 226 to Crawford High School, rather than planning unit 225.

#### Gilliam

- Asked the board to consider the impacts to Hightower High School as they consider how to increase the number of students at Crawford.
- Asked the Board to continue the conversation with the understanding that they are asking questions, but they will have to eventually trust that the administration will make the decisions of the Board work.
- Stated her preference of scenario D as compared to C, as she would prefer that students not have to deal with traffic, and asked for Dr. Whitbeck's recommendation.

#### Williams

- Stated her preference of D, as compared to C, but indicated she would like to give C additional consideration over the weekend.
- Shared information from the TEA website that specifies that parents can request a PEG transfer at any time during the 2023-24 school year and requested that the district send a new letter that removes the deadline.

In response to the discussion, the administration provided the following information and clarification.

- Dr. Whitbeck reminded Board members that Middle School 16 would impact the future of the boundaries.
- Karen Daniel-Hamberg advised that in scenario C, when planning unit 258E is divided, there 76 students in the smaller section of 258E.
- Rob Scamardo clarified to Board members that their discussion during the meeting would help the administration refine its recommendation so there is clarity to the community and the board about what they will be asked to vote on.
- Daniel-Hamberg stated that there is a possibility that the planning units of 243A and 245A would be zoned to Middle School 16, provided that the growth near Heritage Rose Elementary and Crawford does not explode beyond projections.
- Traci Love, representing the FBISD Transportation Department, advised of the transportation challenges associated with transporting students on 521 due to two railroad crossings and construction.
- Martinez, Pandit Talati and Daniel-Hamberg provided additional information about the alternative scenario in which 243A and 245A move to Hightower High School, the front part of 258E move to RPHS, and 225 and 226 go to Crawford, noting while Crawford would open up with more students, Hightower High School would be much more underutilized and Crawford High School would be much more overutilized in the 10-year projections:

- HHS – 78%
  - RPHS – 100%
  - Crawford 121%
- Rob Scamardo informed Board members that there was not necessarily a policy action required should the Board decide to open Crawford to transfers, but rather procedural decisions to be made by the administration in consultation with the Department of Student Affairs.
  - Martinez stated that it was not yet possible to know whether cap and overflow would be required at 117% utilization of Ridge Point High School, as there are several factors to consider and these decisions are always made in consultation with the principals.
  - Dr. Whitbeck reiterated that future decisions on whether students zoned to attend Crawford High School would be allowed to attend RPHS with older siblings, and whether cap and overflow students would be able to come back, would be dependent on the adoption of the Crawford boundary.
  - Dr. Whitbeck confirmed the administration's hope that FBISD would be to be able to give students affected by cap and overflow the option to return to Ridge Point High School, and to provide transportation to these students.
  - Dr. Lawson indicated that the District will do its best to accommodate and support all students who are zoned to attend Crawford, once the district establishes boundaries and is able to identify the students and their needs.
  - Dr. Whitbeck stated that Scenario D does not put enough students into Crawford, even if the District were to consider opening the campus up for transfers, and there would not be enough relief of Ridge Point High School to allow for students zoned to Crawford to remain at the RPHS with their siblings. Dr. Whitbeck indicated that C or E were viable options that provide relief to RPHS and provide for additional flexibility in the decisions related to cap and overflow and the ability to accommodate sibling transfers.
  - Traci Love stated that transportation challenges should not be the sole factor that prevent Crawford High School from opening with the number of students needed to be successful, as transportation will do what it takes to transport students.
  - Daniel-Hamberg provided data associated with moving planning unit 226 to Crawford High School, rather than 225. In this scenario, Crawford would be utilized at 114% percent ten years out, which is the same as the original recommendation. Hightower High School would be utilized at 85% ten years out (90% with academies) and Ridge Point High School would be under 100% throughout the ten-year projection.
  - Daniel-Hamberg provided data associated with a scenario that would have 243A and 245A going to Hightower and 226 going to Crawford. In this scenario, Crawford would open with almost 600 students, Hightower High School would have 88% (live in) utilization in the first year, and RPHS would stay under 100 percent once out of the phasing process. Crawford High School would be at 114% utilization 10 years out, which is the same as the original recommendation.

Following discussion among board members the board removed scenario B from further consideration, leaving C, E, and the new alternative (which would split 258E, with the front portion going to RPHS, back portion attending Crawford, 243A and 245A going to HHS, and planning unit 226 attending Crawford) open for further discussion.

At the suggestion of Daniel-Hamberg, the new alternative was renamed “Modified D” for the purposes of further discussion.

Malone

- Stated hesitations related to the decision, referencing the many emails received from the planning unit 258A and how some have referred to 245A not being vocal, but her belief that they were not vocal because they were not targeted for movement.
- Acknowledged that some families moved to homes to create insurance for where their families would attend school, as well as the tight timeline for decision making that is required in order to prepare for the opening of Crawford, and struggle between weighing the two.
- Expressed her desire to hear the community’s voice in the process.

Hanan

- Referred to policy, which states that district will provide the community the opportunity have feedback at a location convenient to them, and posed the question of whether the only viable option is to go back to the original recommendation because it was the only thing that people had the opportunity to voice their opinion on, or consider B, because it was also part of the Thought Exchange.

In response, the administration offered the following:

- While not disagreeing with Mrs. Hanan’s comments, Rob Scamardo reminded the Board that it is their policy and they are therefore able to waive or suspend.
- Dr. Whitbeck provided feedback to the Board, and considerations associated with the various scenarios discussed during the workshop that lead her to support E, as this appeases those who feel like they were misled by information on the website and pulls out an almost equal group that has already been vetted. Dr. Whitbeck also acknowledged that there is much more work to do with future boundary work.

Following discussion, the Board removed scenario C from further consideration, with only scenarios E and Modified D remaining.

## 5. Adjournment

Having no further business before the Board, MOTION was made by Mr. Hamilton to adjourn the meeting at 2:35 p.m.

---

Kristen Davison Malone, President

Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam, Secretary

Minutes  
Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees  
Special Called Meeting  
February 27, 2023

The Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees held a Special Called Meeting on February 27, 2023, beginning at 12:02 p.m. in the Board Room of the Administration Building located at 16431 Lexington Blvd., Sugar Land, Texas. The Live Stream of the meeting was available for public viewing at the following web address: [www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream](http://www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream). The meeting was recorded as required by law, and the recording is available to the public at: <https://fortbendisd.new.swagit.com/videos/209043>.

The agenda packet for the meeting can be found here:  
<https://meetings.boardbook.org/Public/Agenda/649?meeting=570321>.

Presiding Officer.....Ms. Kristen Davison-Malone, President

Board Members Present

Mrs. Judy Dae, Vice President  
Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam, Secretary  
Mr. Rick Garcia  
Mr. David Hamilton  
Mrs. Angie Hanan  
Ms. Denetta Williams

School Officials Present

Dr. Christie Whitbeck, Superintendent of Schools  
Steve Bassett, Deputy Superintendent  
Beth Martinez, Deputy Superintendent  
Christopher Juntti, Interim Chief Operations Officer  
Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer  
Dr. Kimberly Lawson, Chief Academic Officer  
Dr. Kwabena Mensah, Chief of Schools  
Rob Scamardo, General Counsel  
Amanda Bubela, recording secretary (virtual)

Others Present

Rick Morris, Board Counsel  
FBISD Staff

1.Meeting Called to Order

President Malone called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m. announcing the presence of a quorum, that the meeting had been duly called and the notice posted for the time and matter required by law.

2. Public Comment

- Willie Rainwater addressed the Board and expressed concerns about the attendance boundary planning, questioning how fairness can be obtained and how the district could be good stewards of taxpayer money if it does not take all schools into account. Mr. Rainwater asked Board members to deal with the district as a whole rather than piecemealing.
- Stephanie Brown addressed Board members and expressed concerns about the boundary planning and the impact on the district, noting how the district previously announced it would build a new high school due to community pushback against rezoning. Ms. Brown asked the Board to reconsider the current boundary proposals so that there was not a rushed decision.

### 3. Information

#### A. Almeta Crawford High School Attendance Community Overview

Deputy Superintendent Beth Martinez shared an overview of the administration's recommendation and an additional scenario that was also discussed during the February 24 workshop.

#### Recommendation: Scenario E

Staff's recommendation is Scenario E, which would split planning unit 258E (with the front portion attending RPHS, back attending Crawford) and move 245A to Crawford High School from Ridge Point High School. Martinez told Board members this scenario addresses concerns from the community who expressed a lack of clarity in previous communications regarding the two-mile distance during the implementation of cap and overflow and assured Board members that in the future, the district would be more clear.

Additional information about Scenario E:

- Opens Crawford with 542 students and provides relief to Ridge Point High School that is similar to utilization in the original recommendation.
- Gives the administration the opportunity to likely be able to move back in our cap and overflow students and perhaps give choice to rising 10<sup>th</sup> graders who have siblings at Ridge Point High School to remain at RPHS, though Martinez noted additional work would be needed to confirm the number of students who desire to stay with siblings.
- Allows Hightower High School to remain at ideal utilization, as when academies are considered, HHS utilization would be between 80-90 percent.

#### Alternative Scenario D:

Martinez also provide an overview of an alternative scenario that was discussed during the February 24 workshop. This scenario also splits 258E, but differs from the recommendation in that planning area 226A, which was recently moved out of Heritage Rose Elementary, would attend Crawford High School.

This scenario increases the opening student enrollment at Crawford to 597 at Crawford and lowers utilization at Ridge Point; however, Martinez noted that the administration is recommending Scenario E because it results in a lesser amount of disruption to the community, especially those who not aware of this potential move, creates less movement within the

boundaries and allows for better feeder pattern alignment and keeps student cohorts together in the Burton/Goodman area.

During the Board discussion that followed, Board members discussed the scenarios, asked clarifying questions and shared comments.

Dae

- Asked clarifying questions regarding the transportation costs, and the cost differences between the two scenarios.
- Expressed appreciation to her fellow Board members for the work done on Friday and provided clarification about her understanding of the meeting. Ms. Dae indicated that she was told the administration was to present different scenarios based on the Board and community input, that the SBOC would be participating in the meeting, and that the meeting was scheduled from 11 a.m. – 1 p.m., and that she was surprised by the amount of work and the in-depth discussion.
- Clarified her understanding of the policy and the flexibility afforded to the Board.
- Asked the administration to confirm distance from 225 to Crawford, versus 225 to Hightower.
- Asked the administration for clarification regarding how factor cap and overflow considerations would impact decision-making between the D and E scenarios.

Garcia

- Asked the administration about the different options presented to the SBOC, and if they saw Scenario E, at all in or in some portions, during the SBOC meetings.

Hanan

- Asked the administration about distances between 245A to Hightower, 243A to Hightower, versus how far to Crawford, and what that equates to in bus stop pickup time.
- Asked for distances between 226 to Crawford and 226 to Hightower, and what that equates to in bus pickup time.
- Asked the administration for additional information about transportation for students who attend courses at the Reese Center.
- Asked the administration to confirm whether Ridge Point High School has any large special programs, whether they would stay there, and what, if any, programs would be offered at Crawford High School.
- Noted the importance of long-term planning and the ten-year implications associated with boundary planning, as well as considerations of how long students spend on the bus on a daily basis.

Hamilton

- Noted observations regarding transportation costs between the two scenarios.
- Stated appreciation to Trustee Hanan for pointing out the desire to comply with policy and the SBOC's consultation on the scenarios, noting that the SBOC effectively reviewed E, with some slight variation, while they did not necessarily review the other scenario.

- Expressed his appreciation that the district is keeping a portion of 258E zoned to Ridge Point High School, and indicated that based on the pros and cons of the scenarios, scenario E would be his preference.

#### Williams

- Asked for clarification regarding the two scenarios, and which would allow students in 245A and 243A to be enrolled at Crawford.
- Noted her preference of scenario E so as not to move Sienna residents to Hightower, especially as they have not been spoken to about this change.
- Asked the administration whether the district is preparing to open Crawford with more students, if it is opened up for transfers.
- Asked legal counsel to review the Texas Education Code and requirements associated with transportation for students on PEG transfers, and who currently attend McAuliffe Middle School or Willowridge High School and receive special education services, noting her interpretation that transportation must also be provided if they choose to attend Crawford High School.
- Asked the administration what the District is doing to make sure that parents are aware of their option to transfer their Willowridge High School student to an alternative campus, especially considering some are low socio-economic and may not have access to the internet.
- Acknowledged the community's participation in the process and encouraged community members to engage with Board members and make their voices heard.

#### Gilliam

- Asked the administration to confirm her understanding that Ridge Point High School does not have many special programs, and whether those programs would remain at the campus.
- Asked the administration to confirm whether parents within planning unit 245A, which is currently zoned to attend RPHS, was part of attendance boundary planning discussions.
- Asked for confirmation of whether parents from 225 and 226 have been part of the boundary planning discussions.

#### Malone

- Asked whether the two scenarios would result in any differences in programming.
- Expressed appreciation to the administration, board and public, acknowledging that zoning is not easy and the honest feedback is appreciated, and apologized to Mr. Fickel for the hardship this has created.
- Pointed out that while some have expressed concerns about the SBOC, others have reached out to Board members with a counter response.
- Noted that adjustments were made toward the end of the process and how the recent workshop helped the Board find a consensus prior to a vote.
- Stated her belief that scenario E heeds the administration's most current recommendation and most of the integrity of its original recommendation, while also considering the feedback from the SBOC and Thought Exchange regarding the planning area of 258E.

- Stated that residents of planning unit 245A have not had the opportunity to really engage in the process for the alternative option going into Hightower High School.
- Stated her support of the possibility of having cap and overflow students return to Ridge Point High School, and the possibility of opening Crawford High School to transfers.

In response to the Board questions and discussion, the administration shared additional information and clarifying details:

- Dr. Whitbeck clarified that decision-making on whether students impacted by cap and overflow will have the option to return to Ridge Point High School is not dependent upon one scenario over the other, but rather what the students and their families want and how the district can try to accommodate that amount of students.
- Mrs. Martinez confirmed that the SBOC saw previously named Scenarios A and B, and Board members also saw these scenarios at the February 13 Board members.
- Pandit Talati clarified that while the SBOC saw A and B, what Board members are currently seeing is a combination of the two scenarios.
- FBISD Transportation's Traci Love told Board members that from planning unit 226, it is 5.4 miles to Crawford High School and 5.5 miles to Hightower High School, and depending on traffic on 521, students could be picked up at 6:30 a.m. or earlier.
- Love also stated that from 245A to Hightower High School and 243A to Hightower High School, the distance is about four miles. To Ridge Point, the distance is about seven miles, and to Crawford, it is eight.
- Assistance Superintendent Brett Lemley confirmed that most students who attend the Reese Center are juniors and seniors, but there are some sophomores. He stated that Dr. Erdie has been working on adjusting the schedule so that students would be able to return back to their home campus before the afternoon bus departs their home campus, and confirmed that parents are currently responsible for getting their students home from the Reese Center.
- Board Counsel Rick Morris confirmed that the Board policy belongs to the Board, and if there are circumstances in which the Board feels the need to deviate from the board policy, they are free to interpret and suspend for any purpose the Board deems appropriate.
- Dr. Whitbeck reiterated that when considering whether to allow cap and overflow students the option to return to Ridge Point High School, the next step in the process is to get a solid answer from the students regarding whether they wish to remain at Hightower or return to RPHS. These numbers will help be a determining factor of whether the ninth graders fit at RPHS, or whether they would need to have an option of staying at HHS or going to Crawford.
- Dr. Mensah and Rob Scamardo said that the district would look at policy and statute to confirm all students who require transportation will get transportation.
- Dr. Mensah indicated that the administration has worked with principals to make sure that information about PEG transfers was shared with families via Blackboard. He will also follow up with campuses to see if hard copies have been available, as this has been the case in the past.
- Mr. Fickel told Board members while planning is still underway, there are plans for self-contained programs at Crawford High School and he has been working with Dr. Deena Hill to determine this programming.

- Mrs. Martinez confirmed Ridge Point High School currently has 30 SAILS students and 8-10 students in adult transition, and the intent would be to keep these programs at the campus.
- Martinez said that programming decisions would not be determined based on boundaries, as there is typically a review of the number of students, where the students are zoned, and where the programs are. In some instances, programs are moved because of over or underutilization, but in this instance, it would be programmatic and in isolation of these decisions.
- Dr. Whitbeck provided clarification of the additional reasons the administration is recommending E over to D.
  - Planning units 225 and 226 are newly rezoned this year from Heritage Rose Elementary to Burton and Goodman, and in Scenario D, a portion of those students would face another feeder split.
  - Transportation between the two scenarios doesn't amount a huge difference.
  - The SBOC never contemplated doing anything with planning units 225 and 226 these families have not had an opportunity to provide input.

Following the discussion among Board members, Trustee Hanan called for the question.

#### 4. Action

A. Consider and approve the attendance boundary for Almeta Crawford High School

MOTION made by Dr. Gilliam and SECONDED by Hamilton to accept and adopt the superintendent's recommendation presented as Scenario E and establish the attendance boundary for Almeta Crawford High School, which will open in August 2023, which includes splitting students residing in map section 258E between Ridge Point High School and Almeta Crawford High School, and includes moving students residing in map section 245A to Almeta Crawford High School.

During discussion, Board members expressed appreciation to the principal and the administration, as well as members of the SBOC, acknowledging the work that occurred. Dr. Whitbeck provided additional information about the many considerations of the administration and discussions that have taken place, adding context to a text message that circulated on social media and prompting further discussion among board members.

Following discussion, MOTION CARRIES, 6-1.

Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Garcia, Hamilton, Williams

Nay: Hanan

#### 5. Adjournment

Having no further business before the Board, MOTION was made by Garcia to adjourn the meeting at 2:11 p.m.

Minutes  
**Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
Called Meeting and Agenda Review  
March 6, 2023

A Board of Trustees Regular Business Meeting was held on Monday, March 6, beginning at 6:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the Administration Building located at 16431 Lexington Blvd., Sugar Land, Texas. The Live Stream of the meeting was available at <https://www.fortbendisd.com/boardmeetinglivestream>.

The meeting was recorded as required by law, and the recording is available to the public at: <https://fortbendisd.new.swagit.com/videos/210014>.

The agenda packet for the meeting can be found here: <https://meetings.boardbook.org/Public/Agenda/649?meeting=563970>.

Presiding Officer.....Mrs. Judy Dae, Vice President

Board Members Present

- Mr. Rick Garcia
- Mr. David Hamilton
- Mrs. Angie Hanan
- Ms. Denetta Williams (virtual)
- Note: Board President Kristen Davison Malone and Board Secretary Dr. Shirley Rose-Gilliam joined the meeting in progress*

School Officials Present

- Dr. Christie Whitbeck, Superintendent of Schools
- Steve Bassett, Deputy Superintendent
- Beth Martinez, Deputy Superintendent
- Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer
- Glenda Johnson, Chief Human Resources Officer
- Dr. Kimberly Lawson, Chief Academic Officer
- Dr. Kwabena Mensah, Chief of Schools
- Long Pham, Chief Information Officer
- Christopher Juntti, Interim Chief Operations Officer
- David Rider, Chief of Police
- Robert Scamardo, General Counsel
- Amanda Bubela, Recording Secretary (virtual)

Others Present

- Jonathan Brush, Board Counsel
- FBISD Staff and Employees

1. Meeting Called to Order

Vice President Dae called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. announcing the presence of a quorum and that the meeting had been duly called and the notice posted for the time and matter required by law.

## 2. Public Comment

There were no public comments.

## 3. Superintendent's Update

In her report, Dr. Whitbeck:

- Recognized the Fort Bend Education Foundation, which held its annual gala Saturday evening in Sugar Land. The foundation raises money to support FBISD schools, educators, staff and students, and the gala is the FBEF's largest fundraiser of the year. This year's gala raised a half million dollars.
- Recognized the Dulles High School Academic Decathlon Team, which won a seventh state championship last week. The team will advance to the United States Academic Decathlon Nationals and represent Texas at the end of April.
- Recognized the Ridge Point High School Academic Decathlon Team, which earned six medals at the state competition in Frisco, Texas February 24-26.
- Recognized Clements High School Senior Nicole Wu, who was named the 2023 Distinguished Young Woman of Texas. Wu won a \$2,500 scholarship at the statewide event and will compete for the opportunity to become the 2023 Distinguished Young Woman of America in Alabama in June.
- Recognized the George Bush High School Illusions Dance Team, which was named the Overall Grand Champion at the Ascension Northwest Dance Challenge. The team is the first team from Bush High School to compete in the contest and win the grand prize.
- Recognized Clements High School's Jack Zhang, Ridge Point High School's Peyton Farmer, and Travis High School's Dru Sissom, who were recently named finalists for the 2023 Touchdown Club of Houston Football Scholar Athlete Award.
- Recognized four FBISD teachers who were named Teachers of the Year by the Suburban Houston Association for Bilingual Education (SHABE). They will go on to compete for the organization's city-wide honors.
  - Bilingual Teacher of the Year – Dilma Calles, First Grade Bilingual Program Teacher at Mission West Elementary
  - Elementary ESL (English as a Second Language) Teacher of the Year – Lisa Simpson, Fourth Grade Teacher at Mission West Elementary
  - Secondary ESL Teacher of the Year – Dr. Rachel Butiko, ESL Teacher at Hodges Bend Middle School
  - World Language Teacher of the Year – Dr. Brittany Lindsey, Spanish I Teacher at First Colony Middle School
- Recognized the most recent Impact Award recipient, Officer Elisama "EJ" Gonsalves. Officer Gonsalves is the school resource officer for the Reese CTE Center and also serves as "Frankie the Dog" when she visits campuses and engages with elementary students, continuing the legacy of Officer Richard Frankie who passed away shortly after creating the program.
- Recognized Deidra Lyons-Lewis, the Executive Director of Secondary Schools, who was named the Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) Volunteer of the Year by Child Advocates of Fort Bend. Lyons-Lewis advocates for children involved in the child welfare system.
- Shared a SHAC fact that all carbohydrates are not bad, and the best source of healthy carbs is found in unprocessed whole grains and fruits and vegetables.

- Congratulated the Marshall High School Boys' Basketball Team, which made it all the way to the regional championship game before bowing out to Killeen Ellison Saturday.

#### 4. Information

##### A. Almeta Crawford High School Update

Dr. Kwabena Mensah introduced Mr. Keith Fickel, who provided an update on progress toward opening the school.

In the update, Mr. Fickel:

- Shared photos and details of the school's progress, noting that the building is still in progress and there is currently no furniture.
- Shared that the building is nearing completion, and is a so-called canyon concept, which consists of two structures with a central gated courtyard that facilitates student movement.
- Shared features of the building and the collaborative learning spaces.
- Updated Board members on the hiring process underway, including the positions that have been hired thus far and those that are in progress.
- Shared updates regarding the collaboration underway to engage with the community and consider what it means to be a Crawford Charger.

Following Mr. Fickel's update, Board members expressed appreciation to Mr. Fickel and excitement about the opening of Crawford High School.

##### B. School Health Advisory Council (SHAC) Annual Update

Dr. Kimberly Lawson introduced Ms. Allison Thummel, Chair of FBISD's School Health Advisory Council (SHAC), to present the SHAC Annual Report to the Board of Trustees.

Thummel addressed Board members and shared information about SHAC, which is a parent and community advisory group mandated by local and legal polices (EHAA and EHAC). Thummel explained that SHAC is a resource for the district, and has worked to support campuses, encourage collaboration, build capacity and provide health, wellness and safety resources.

In the 2022-23 school year, FBISD's SHAC established six goals that focused on:

- Supporting the expansion of Action-Based Learning Labs,
- Creating incentives for Campus Wellness Champions,
- Increasing opportunities for high school students to engage in physical activity,
- Streamlining communication channels/process to promote all Whole Child Health programs and resources in the district
- Continuing to provide mental health supports for students and staff, and
- Developing a process for providing SHAC input on Whole School, Whole Child, Whole Community (WSCC) policy development.

Ms. Thummel provided an overview of the seven SHAC Subcommittees, SHAC's accomplishments over the last year and the SHAC legislative priorities that they are asking the Board and community to embrace.

### **SHAC Legislative Priorities**

The FBISD SHAC supports:

- The Texas' Whole School, Whole Community, Whole Child (WSCC) Model for coordinated school health and supports measures that strengthen Local Education Agencies (LEA) implementation of policies and practices consistent with this approach.
- Measures that enhance local communities' ability to inform local decision-making of LEAs through the formation or support of formal advisory groups such as SHACs
- Measures encouraging physical fitness and Action Based Learning, including effective use of fitness assessments
- Funding for a multi-tiered system of mental and behavioral health supports that foster safe and healthy school environments for students, educators and staff
- Measures to ensure that all children receive access to healthy school meals, including breakfast and lunch to reduce food insecurity and hunger
- Improved, continual, reliable funding for access to evidence-based mental health services.

Ms. Thummel asked that the Board of Trustees create a process that includes the SHAC and includes the Board either adopting or recognizing SHAC's legislative priorities, and also provided an overview of the SHAC's other recommendations for the 2023-24 school year.

These recommendations include:

- Develop a process for SHAC to provide input on Board-adopted legislative priorities,
- Increase support and awareness of student wellness committees and recognition of their sponsors,
- Ensure campus improvement plans include wellness strategies created by their campus wellness committee,
- Develop process for the district to provide families with gun safety and storage information,
- Recommend expansion of action based based learning labs, and
- Expand district level mental health supports available to all students

During the discussion that followed, Board members expressed appreciation to Ms. Thummel and asked the administration clarifying questions. Lori Sartain, Assistant Director of Health and Wellness, provided additional details of the district's efforts to ensure that FBISD is carrying out its wellness policy and the implementation of campus wellness assessments so that campuses can evaluate and improve their wellness efforts.

Trustee Hanan asked that the minutes capture SHAC's recommendations and legislative priorities, noting that she and Mr. Hamilton are the SHAC Board liaisons and it is important that FBISD have a united force in advocacy work in advance of the 89<sup>th</sup> legislative session.

### C. Elementary Rebuild Planning Overview

Carolina Fuzetti, Executive Director of Design and Construction, provided overview of the rebuilding process for Briargate Elementary and Mission Bend Elementary.

Fuzetti explained that the process begins with pre-design, in which the district would verify the program, assess the site, and perform a concept design, rebuilding the current prototypes.

- For the Briargate rebuild, the district is planning to use Lakeview Elementary as a prototype.
- For the Mission Bend rebuild, the district is planning to use the Neill/Ferguson Elementary prototype.

Fuzetti told Board members that community engagement is the most important piece of the process, and this will run parallel from the pre-design process. The district anticipates four community meetings that will include principals and community members, such as parents, neighbors and alumni.

Fuzetti also provided Board members with an overview of the next steps, as the administration is planning to ask the Board of Trustees to approve a reimbursement resolution in March of 2023 so that the pre-design work can begin, including the engagement of an architect and community engagement. Fuzetti noted that action is requested now so that the schools can open in the fall of 2025.

Following the update from Fuzetti, Board members asked the administration clarifying questions and provided feedback.

In response, the administration provided the following:

- For the Briargate Elementary rebuild, the Lakeview Elementary prototype would be tweaked so that the school could have a capacity for 1,000 students.
- The schools would be designed in accordance with new safety requirements from the Texas Education Agency, so that in the event of a lockdown an entire pod could be locked.
- Fuzetti provided insight into factors that could be considered, such as alternative materials, to keep the project within budget.
- Fuzetti provided background information regarding the selection of the prototypes and architects.
- Bryan Guinn provided additional details regarding the reimbursement resolution, noting that if the bond is not successful, the district would have 18 months from the date of the resolution to seek voter approval of the expenditure of bond funds.
- Fuzetti indicated that the district would like to begin community meetings in April, and would engage the architect immediately upon approval of the resolution in March as the architect is needed for these meetings.

### D. Update on Criminal Investigation

General Counsel Robert Scamardo informed Board members that the Fort Bend County District Attorney has concluded a criminal inquiry regarding actions of the District. During the update,

Scamardo indicated that in the summer of 2022, his office was contacted by the Fort Bend County District Attorney's office about allegations brought to their attention concerning the falsification of governmental records and a misapplication of government funds and allegations brought forth by Ms. Denetta Williams.

Scamardo provided information about the inquiry and its relation to a previous investigation of a harassment and discrimination brought by a former employee. Scamardo indicated that FBISD provided documentation to the DA's office to satisfy them that the district had complied with the law and policy, and made staff available to them to answer questions. Scamardo informed board members two assistant district attorneys reviewed the matter and reached the conclusion there was no sufficient evidence to warrant criminal charges against the district. Scamardo observed that from time to time there are comments made that the administration doesn't know the law or is willfully violating the law, and requested that if this occurs in the future, that the district is given the opportunity to speak to the matter.

Following Scamardo's update, Ms. Williams provided response and stated disappointment that she was not informed this matter would be discussed during the Board meeting, and her disappointment the county with the handling of the matter.

Following discussion, MOTION made by Hanan and SECONDED by Garcia to end discussion of the item.

MOTION CARRIES, 4-0.

Ayes: Dae, Garcia, Hamilton, Hanan

Ms. Williams was not present for the vote, as Information Technology staff confirmed she left the Zoom call prior to the vote.

## 5. Review

- A. Review the minutes of the Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees meeting and on the following date:
  - 1. February 6, 2023 Called Meeting and Agenda Review

No discussion.

- B. Review revisions to the following policies:
  - 1. CFD (Local)
  - 2. EF (Local)
  - 3. EFA (Local)
  - 4. EFAA (Local)

Coby Wilbanks, Executive Director of Legal Services, provided an overview of policy revisions, indicating that there are four policies that cover two topics. CFD (Local) pertains to activity funds management and includes minor compliance-type revisions as a result of updates to the TEA's accounting regulations. The other three policies [EF (Local), EFA (Local), and EFAA (Local)] are recommended for consolidation into one policy. Wilbanks told Board members the proposed changes to EF (Local) is the culmination of a year's worth of work from the Board policy committee, and Dr. Lawson's and Melissa Hubbard's team. EF has two main pieces, the first

section dealing with the selection of instructional materials and the second section deals with questions/challenges/concerns brought forward regarding instructional materials.

During discussion, members of the policy committee provided additional background regarding the factors considered as they worked on the revised policy and Mr. Wilbanks provided clarification regarding the reconsideration process. Mrs. Hanan requested that board members consider requiring at least two instructional staff members on the campus and district reconsideration committees. Melissa Hubbard also shared details regarding how parents can see the materials their children are checking out from the library.

Mrs. Malone and Dr. Gilliam joined the Board meeting in progress during discussion of 5-B.

- C. Review of new campus positions for the 2023-24 school year.

No discussion.

- D. Review the purchase of Bank Depository Services from Prosperity Bank in an amount not to exceed \$50,000 for each two-year contract period and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through June 2025.

No discussion.

- E. Review a General Fund budget amendment for 2022-23.

In response to questions from the Board, Bryan Guinn clarified that the district previously budgeted \$27 million in the general fund to ensure that the district maintained its 90-day fund balance operating reserve, and that utilized ESSER III funds. To comply with state and federal requirements, the district is moving the expenditures from the general fund to ESSER III to the general fund to record those. Guinn also clarified the budgetary changes made to account for additional costs associated with facilities maintenance and student transportation, including the cost of fuel and the utilization of a contractor, Gold Star, to ensure students have transportation to and from school.

- F. Review a Board resolution to submit the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) grant application for year five of the K-12 Campus Victim Assistance Program to the Office of the Governor.

No discussion.

- G. Review an amendment to the cellular tower lease agreement with Eco-Site II, LLC (AT&T representative), for a cell tower at Kempner High School, which extends the Options period through August 2023, and authorization for the Superintendent to execute the agreement.

In response to questions from the Board, Bryan Guinn provided background on the site, as the District was initially approached by T-Mobile and AT&T stepped in when T-Mobile determined they did not want to use the site for a tower. Guinn also provided details about the previous sale of cell-tower leases in 2021, which generated \$2.4 million for seven existing sites.

- H. Review a blanket (short form) easement with CenterPoint Energy for electrical services to Clements High School's (PKG035.2) new field house, and authorization for the FBISD Board President to negotiate and execute the easement, as well as the CenterPoint Energy long form easement to be provided near the conclusion of the project.

No discussion.

- I. Review for the use of 2018 Bond Program Contingency as proposed.

In response to questions from the Board, Interim Chief Operations Officer Christopher Juntti clarified why the district is seeking the approval of contingency funds prior to the May 2023 bond. For example, the MEP upgrades at Austin High School, was previously identified as a Priority I item that was not fully funded, and is now considered a critical need that needs to be addressed. Juntti also explained that the movement of portable buildings requires lead time due to permitting and vendor availability, and Long Pham explained time is also needed to set up technology.

- J. Review for proposed expenses that exceed \$50,000: Specifically for:
  - 1. Review for additional funding to perform the necessary repairs needed at Austin High School (AHS) Central Plant (PKG046) for a total amount of \$850,000 to fund the replacement and installation of the cooling tower, approval of a Job Order Contract (JOC) with The Thomas Group for a not to exceed amount of \$850,000; approval of a revised project budget for PKG046 utilization of Bond 2018 Contingency funds; and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreements.

No additional discussion.

- 2. Review a project budget to perform the necessary HVAC upgrades needed at Triplex Building 1 - Police Department (PKG061) for a total amount of \$300,000; utilization of Bond 2018 Contingency funds; and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreements.

In response to a concern brought forth by Board, staff indicated they would follow up on HVAC concerns at Hodges Bend Middle School.

- 3. Review the purchase of high school graduation diplomas and related items from Herff Jones, LLC and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the contracts through May 2027.

In response to question from the Board, Bryan Guinn clarified the action item is intended to add additional vendors, and Herff Jones was the only vendor that went through the purchasing process. Guinn added that principals have provided feedback and indicated that additional vendors would be appreciated and the district has sought those out through cooperative agreements.

- 4. Review the purchase of Fine Arts consultant and clinician services and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through January 2028.

In response to questions from the Board, Director of Fine Arts Jim Drew confirmed that the selected consultants work on-campus and with students, and must have background checks and liability insurance. Drew also clarified that prior to using the RFP, the Fine Arts Department used Master Service Agreements with vendors, and processed approximately 1,000 contracts a year. This has been a recurring board agenda item as more vendors respond to the new process.

5. Review the purchase of travel agency and charter bus services for the District from multiple vendors in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through March 2028.

No discussion.

6. Review the selection of legal counsel to advise the district on all matters related to the issuance of bonds in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through March 2028.

No discussion.

7. Review the purchase of E-Rate Consulting Services from Infinity Communications & Consulting, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$250,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through March 2028.

No discussion.

8. Review the purchase of global positioning system (GPS) systems for the District fleet from the BuyBoard purchasing cooperative contract in an amount not to exceed \$736,715, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through April 2026.

No discussion.

9. Review the purchase of District special program services, materials and related items from multiple vendors in an amount not to exceed \$6,800,000 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through March 2028.

In response to questions from the Board, Executive Director of Student Support Services Dr. Deena Hill provided additional details about the services and training included in the RFP, how the district monitors the utilization of the services and outcomes. Dr. Whitbeck also informed Board members that additional information would be forthcoming regarding program evaluations, as board members expressed a desire to understand what is working and what may not be working.

Following discussion, President Malone called for a short recess at 9:00 p.m., and the Board reconvened at 9:06 p.m.

10. Review Job Order Contract (JOC) with J.R. Thomas Group, Inc. to perform the necessary relocation, set up and repairs for the portable classroom buildings needed to support the 2023-24 school year; approval of a Design Services Agreement with Molina Walker Almaguer Architects (MWAA); approval of the project budget \$3,519,422; utilization of Bond 2018 Contingency funds; and authorization of the Superintendent to negotiate, execute or terminate the respective contracts.

In response to questions from the Board, Carolina Fuzetti provided additional information about the requested action and how the district uses demographic projections to identify campuses that may be receiving or releasing temporary buildings, and explained that further adjustments may be made, should there be changes in projections or programs. Fuzetti also provided information about the rationale that led to the recommendation to keep four temporary buildings at Commonwealth Elementary, including plans to accommodate some staff members who are being moved from MR Wood.

11. Review a construction services agreement with Job Order Contractor (JOC) JR Thomas Group to perform necessary repairs and controls upgrades at Lake Olympia Middle School (LOMS) (PKG060), for a not-to-exceed construction cost of \$514,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreement.

No discussion.

In order to release staff and without objection from Board members, President Malone adjusted the agenda to consider Action items next, prior to closed session.

#### 9. Action

- A. Consideration and approval of the Annual Financial Audit Engagement letter with Whitley Penn LLP for fiscal year 2022-23.

MOTION made by Dae and SECONDED by Hamilton to approve Item 9-A as presented.

MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.

Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan

- B. Consideration and approval for the purchase of enterprise internet & associated services at the Primary Data Center from Crown Castle in an amount not to exceed \$303,534 through June 2028 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement.

MOTION made by Dae and SECONDED by Hanan to approve Item 9-B as presented.

MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.

Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan

## 6. Closed Session

The Board convened in closed session at 9:30 p.m. under Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 under the following sections: 551.071 - For the purpose of a private consultation with the Board's attorney on any or all subjects or matters authorized by law; Section 551.072 - Consider purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property, Section 551.074 - Personnel matters, Section 551.076 - Security matters, Section 551.082 - Student discipline matter or complaint, or Section 551.0821 - Personally identifiable information about public school student

- A. Deliberate Director of Special Revenues Recommendation.
- B. Deliberate scheduling a hearing on the Level Three Employee grievance of Norma Garcia at a future Board meeting or assigning to the Board-designated Hearing Officer, Myra Schexnayder.
- C. Deliberate scheduling a hearing on the Level Three Employee grievance of Mackenzie Hoffman at a future Board meeting or assigning to the Board-designated Hearing Officer, Myra Schexnayder.
- D. Deliberate scheduling a hearing on the Level Three Employee grievance of Lisa Long at a future Board meeting or assigning to the Board-designated Hearing Officer, Myra Schexnayder.
- E. Deliberate scheduling a hearing on the Level Three Employee grievance of Dierdra Massey at a future Board meeting or assigning to the Board-designated Hearing Officer, Myra Schexnayder.

## 7. Reconvene in Open Session

The Trustees reconvened in open session at 11:23 p.m.

## 8. Consider Action on Closed Session Items

- MOTION by Dae and SECONDED by Hanan that the Board of Trustees accept the superintendent's recommendation and appoint Leah Arnold as Director of Special Revenues in Fort Bend ISD.  
MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.  
Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan
- MOTION BY Hanan and SECONDED by Garcia that the Board of Trustees assign the Level Three Employee Grievance appeal of Norma Garcia to Myra Schexnayder who will serve as the Board's designated Hearing Officer under Board Policy DGBA Local.  
MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.  
Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan
- MOTION made by Gilliam and SECONDED by Garcia that the Board of Trustees assign the Level Three Employee Grievance Appeal of Dierdra Massey to Myra Schexnayder who will serve as the Board's designated Hearing Officer under Board Policy DGBA Local.  
MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.  
Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan
- MOTION made by Hanan and SECONDED by Garcia that the Board of Trustees assign the Level Three Employee Grievance Appeal of Lisa Long to Myra Schexnayder who will

serve as the Board's designated Hearing Officer under Board Policy DGBA Local.  
MOTION CARRIES, 6-0.  
Ayes: Malone, Dae, Gilliam, Hamilton, Garcia, Hanan

#### 10. Adjournment

Having no further business before the Board, MOTION was made by Gilliam to adjourn the meeting at 11:25 p.m.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Adoption and Revision of**  
**Policies FNG(Local) and FO(Local).**  
**References: Board Policy BF (Local)**  
**Department: Legal Services**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of proposed revision of local policies FNG (Local) and FO (Local).

### **Summary**

The Board Policy Committee and Administration recommend the following adoption and revision of local policy:

- FNG(Local) Student Rights and Responsibilities: Student and Parent Complaints/Grievances
  - Revisions are recommended to clarify how special education complaints are addressed, encompass all instructional resources policies, and reference the required hearing procedure for eligibility disputes under school nutrition programs.
- FO(Local) Student Discipline
  - Revisions are recommended to clarify circumstances when restraint may be used generally and to more prominently address restraint of a student who receives special education services.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Coby Wilbanks  
Interim General Counsel

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

**Philosophy**

The Board believes student success is best achieved in a well-functioning, positive, nurturing, and collaborative community. Therefore, the District shall provide an equitable process for addressing student and parent complaints that values students and parents, fosters positive resolution, and preserves relationships.

**Guiding Principles**

The Board encourages students and parents to discuss their concerns with the appropriate teacher, principal, or other campus administrator who has the authority to address the concerns. Concerns should be expressed as soon as possible to allow early resolution at the lowest possible administrative level.

Informal resolution shall be encouraged but shall not extend any deadlines in this policy, except by mutual written consent.

Direct  
Communication with  
Board Members

Students and parents may communicate with a member of the Board regarding District operations except when the communication would be inappropriate because of a pending grievance related to the student or parent. If a student or parent communicates with a Board member regarding an issue that is the subject of a pending grievance the Board member may be precluded from participating in any decision if the matter is presented to the Board.

**Complaints**

In this policy, the terms “complaint” and “grievance” shall have the same meaning.

Other Complaint  
Processes

Student or parent complaints shall be filed in accordance with this policy, except as required by the policies listed below. Some of these policies require appeals to be submitted in accordance with FNG after the relevant complaint process:

1. Complaints alleging discrimination, including violations of Title IX (gender), Title VII (sex, race, color, religion, national origin), or Section 504 (disability), shall be submitted in accordance with FFH.
2. Complaints concerning harassment or dating violence shall be submitted in accordance with FFH.
3. Complaints concerning retaliation related to discrimination and harassment shall be submitted in accordance with FFH.
4. Complaints concerning bullying or retaliation related to bullying shall be submitted in accordance with FFI.
5. Complaints concerning failure to award credit or a final grade on the basis of attendance shall be submitted in accordance with FEC.
6. Complaints concerning expulsion shall be submitted in accordance with FOD and the Student Code of Conduct.

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

7. Complaints concerning restrictions during placements and expulsions shall be submitted in accordance with FOD and the Student Code of Conduct.
8. Complaints concerning selection for or exit from the gifted and talented program shall be submitted in accordance with EHBB.
9. Complaints within the scope of Section 504, including complaints concerning identification, evaluation, or educational placement of a student with a disability ~~within the scope of Section 504~~ shall be submitted in accordance with FB and the procedural safeguards handbook.
10. Complaints within the scope of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, including complaints ~~Complaints~~ concerning identification, evaluation, educational placement, or discipline of a student with a disability ~~within the scope of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act~~ shall be submitted in accordance with EHBAE, FOF, and the procedural safeguards handbook provided to parents of all students referred to special education.
11. Complaints concerning instructional materials shall be submitted in accordance with the EF series.
12. Complaints concerning a commissioned peace officer who is an employee of the District shall be submitted in accordance with CKE.
13. Complaints concerning intradistrict transfers or campus assignment shall be submitted in accordance with FDB.
14. Complaints concerning admission, placement, or services provided for a homeless student shall be submitted in accordance with FDC.
15. Complaints concerning disputes regarding a student's eligibility for free or reduced-priced meal programs shall be submitted in accordance with COB.

Complaints regarding refusal of entry to or ejection from District property based on Education Code 37.105 shall be filed in accordance with this policy. However, the timelines shall be adjusted as necessary to permit the complainant to address the Board in person within 90 calendar days of filing the initial complaint, unless the complaint is resolved before the Board considers it. [See GKA(LEGAL)]

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

**Notice to Students  
and Parents**

The District shall inform students and parents of this policy through the parent-student handbook, which is located on the District's website.

Formal Process

A student or parent may initiate the formal process described below by timely filing a written complaint form. [See FNG (Exhibit)]

Even after initiating the formal complaint process, students and parents are encouraged to seek informal resolution of their concerns. A student or parent whose concerns are resolved may withdraw a formal complaint at any time.

The process described in this policy shall not be construed to create new or additional rights beyond those granted by law or Board policy, nor to require a full evidentiary hearing or "mini-trial" at any level.

**Freedom from  
Retaliation**

The District prohibits unlawful retaliation against any student or parent for asserting a complaint under this policy.

**General Provisions**

Filing

Complaint forms and appeal notices may be filed by hand-delivery, by electronic communication, including email and fax, or by U.S. Mail. Hand-delivered filings shall be timely filed if received by the Department of Student Affairs (DSA) by the close of business on the deadline. Filings submitted by electronic communication shall be timely filed if they are received by the close of business on the deadline, as indicated by the date/time shown on the electronic communication. Mail filings shall be timely filed if they are post-marked by U.S. Mail on or before the deadline and received by the DSA no more than three days after the deadline.

Scheduling  
Conferences

The District shall make reasonable attempts to schedule conferences at a mutually agreeable time. If a student or parent fails to appear at a scheduled conference, the District may hold the conference and issue a decision in the student's or parent's absence.

Response

At Levels One and Two, "response" shall mean a written communication to the student or parent from the appropriate administrator. Responses may be hand-delivered, sent by electronic communication to the student's or parent's email address of record, or sent by U.S. Mail to the student's or parent's mailing address of record. Mailed responses shall be timely if they are postmarked by U.S. Mail on or before the deadline.

Days

"Days" shall mean District business days, unless otherwise noted. In calculating timelines under this policy, the day a document is filed is "day zero." The following business day is "day one."

Representative

"Representative" shall mean any person who or organization that is designated by the student or parent to represent the student or

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

parent in the complaint process. A student may be represented by an adult at any level of the complaint.

The student or parent may designate a representative through written notice to the District at any level of this process. If the student or parent designates a representative with fewer than three days' notice to the District before a scheduled conference or hearing, the District may reschedule the conference or hearing to a later date, if desired, in order to include the District's counsel. The District may be represented by counsel at any level of the process.

Consolidating  
Complaints

Complaints arising out of an event or a series of related events shall be addressed in one complaint. A student or parent shall not file separate or serial complaints arising from any event or series of events that have been or could have been addressed in a previous complaint.

When two or more complaints are sufficiently similar to permit their resolution through one proceeding, the District, at its discretion, may consolidate the complaints.

Untimely Filings

All time limits shall be strictly followed unless modified by mutual written consent.

If a complaint form or appeal notice is not timely filed, the complaint may be dismissed, on written notice to the student or parent, at any point during the complaint process. The student or parent may appeal the dismissal by seeking review in writing within ten days from the date of the written dismissal notice, starting at the level at which the complaint was dismissed. Such appeal shall be limited to the issue of timeliness.

Costs Incurred

Each party shall pay its own costs incurred in the course of the complaint.

Complaint and  
Appeal Forms

Complaints and appeals under this policy shall be submitted in writing on a form provided by the District. The complaint form must be completed in its entirety to be considered. Incomplete forms shall be returned to the complainant.

Copies of any documents that support the complaint should be attached to the complaint form. If the student or parent does not have copies of these documents at the time the complaint is filed, copies may be presented at the Level One conference. After the Level One conference, no new documents or other evidence may be submitted by the student or parent unless the student or parent did not know the documents or other evidence existed before the Level One conference.

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

A complaint or appeal form that is incomplete in any material aspect may be dismissed but may be refiled with all the required information if the refile is within the designated time for filing.

**Level One**

Complaint forms must be filed with the DSA within ten days of the date the student or parent first knew, or with reasonable diligence should have known, of the decision or action giving rise to the complaint or grievance.

A DSA employee shall note the date and time the complaint form was received and immediately forward the complaint form to the administrator who will hear the complaint at Level One. If the only administrator who has authority to remedy the alleged problem is the Superintendent or designee, the complaint may begin at Level Two following the procedure, including deadlines, for filing the complaint form at Level One.

The Level One administrator shall investigate as necessary and schedule, at a mutually agreeable date, a conference with the student or parent within ten days after receipt of the written complaint. The administrator may set reasonable time limits for the conference. For complaints alleging prohibited conduct, as defined in policy FFH(LOCAL), the Level One administrator, may, at his or her discretion, allow the parent or student to present a reasonable number of witnesses in support of the complaint.

Absent extenuating circumstances, the Level One administrator shall provide the student or parent a written response within ten days following the conference. The written response shall set forth the basis of the decision. In reaching a decision, the administrator may consider information provided at the Level One conference and any other relevant documents or information the Level One administrator believes will help resolve the complaint.

**Level Two**

If the student or parent did not receive the relief requested at Level One or if the time for a response has expired, the student or parent may file an appeal of the Level One decision.

The appeal notice must be filed in writing to the DSA, on a form provided by the District, within ten days of the date of the written Level One response or, if no response was received, within ten days of the Level One response deadline.

After receiving notice of the appeal, a DSA employee shall prepare and forward a record of the Level One complaint to the Level Two administrator. The student or parent may request a copy of the Level One record.

The Level One record shall include:

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

1. The original complaint form and any attachments.
2. All other documents submitted by the student or parent with the original complaint form or during the Level One conference.
3. The written response issued at Level One and any attachments.
4. All other documents referenced in the written response when reaching the Level One decision.
5. The transcript of the Level One conference, if any.

The Level Two administrator shall schedule, at a mutually agreeable date, a conference within ten days after the appeal notice is filed. The conference shall be limited to the issues and documents considered at Level One. At the conference, the student or parent may provide information concerning any documents or information relied upon by the administration for the Level One decision. The Level Two administrator may set reasonable time limits for the conference and shall make an audio recording of the conference.

The Level Two administrator shall provide the student or parent a written response within ten days following the conference. The written response shall set forth the basis of the decision. In reaching a decision, the Level Two administrator may consider the Level One record, information provided at the Level Two conference, and any other relevant documents or information the Level Two administrator believes will help resolve the complaint.

The Level Two conference shall be recorded. Recordings shall be maintained with the Level One and Level Two records.

**Level Three**

If the student or parent did not receive the relief requested at Level Two or if the time for a response has expired, the student or parent may appeal the decision to the Board, or at the Board's discretion, to a designated hearing officer who shall, in turn, make a written recommendation to the Board. [See If Hearing Officer Hears the Appeal, below]

The appeal notice must be filed in writing to the DSA, on a form provided by the District, within ten days of the date of the written Level Two response or, if no response was received, within ten days of the Level Two response deadline.

If Board Hears the  
Appeal

The Superintendent or designee shall inform the student or parent of the date, time, and place of the Board meeting at which the complaint will be on the agenda for presentation to the Board.

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

The Superintendent or designee shall provide the Board the record of the Level Two appeal at least five days prior to the Level Three hearing. The student or parent may request a copy of the Level Two record.

The Level Two record shall include:

1. The Level One record.
2. The notice of appeal from Level One to Level Two.
3. Transcript of the recording from the Level Two conference.
4. The written response issued at Level Two and any attachments.
5. All other documents relied upon by the administration in reaching the Level Two decision.

The appeal shall be limited to the issues and documents considered at Level Two, except that if at the Level Three hearing the administration, parent, or student intends to rely on evidence not included in the Level Two record, the administration or grievant shall provide the administration, parent, or student the evidence at least three days before the hearing.

The District shall determine whether the complaint will be presented in open or closed meeting in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act and other applicable law. [See BE]

The presiding officer may set reasonable time limits and guidelines for the presentation, including an opportunity for the student or parent and administration to each make a presentation and provide rebuttal and an opportunity for questioning by the Board. The Board shall hear the complaint and may request that the administration provide an explanation for the decisions at the preceding levels.

In addition to any other record of the Board meeting required by law, the Board shall prepare a separate record of the Level Three presentation. The Level Three presentation, including the presentation by the student or parent or the student's representative, any presentation from the administration, and questions from the Board with responses, shall be recorded by audio recording, video/audio recording, or court reporter.

The Board shall then consider the complaint. It may give notice of its decision orally or in writing at any time up to and including the next regularly scheduled Board meeting. If the Board does not make a decision regarding the complaint by the end of the next regularly scheduled meeting, the lack of a response by the Board upholds the administrative decision at Level Two.

STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES  
STUDENT AND PARENT COMPLAINTS/GRIEVANCES

FNG  
(LOCAL)

If Hearing Officer  
Hears the Appeal

If the Board designates a hearing officer to hear the Level Three appeal, he or she shall follow the Level Three procedures and timelines described above and at the end of the process shall prepare a written recommendation for the Board's consideration. A copy of the hearing officer's recommendation shall be provided to the student or parent and to the administration.

Hearing officers may be District employees who were not involved in the subject matter of the grievance or the grievance process. Hearing officers may also be impartial persons retained by the Board and not employed by the District.

*Board's Review*

The Board shall review the hearing officer's written recommendation at its first regular meeting following receipt of same. The student or parent and the administration shall be given an opportunity at the meeting to respond to the hearing officer's recommendation either orally or in writing, at the Board's election.

*Board's Decision*

The Board shall then make and communicate its decision at any time up to and including the next regularly scheduled Board meeting. The Board may decide to accept, reject, or modify the recommendation of the hearing officer.

**Student Code of Conduct**

The District's rules of discipline are maintained in the Board-adopted Student Code of Conduct and are established to support an environment conducive to teaching and learning.

Rules of conduct and discipline shall not have the effect of discriminating on the basis of gender, race, color, disability, religion, ethnicity, or national origin.

At the beginning of the school year and throughout the school year as necessary, the Student Code of Conduct shall be:

1. Posted and prominently displayed at each campus or made available for review in the principal's office, as required by law; and
2. Made available on the District's website and/or as a hard copy to students, parents, teachers, administrators, and others on request.

Revisions

Revisions to the Student Code of Conduct approved by the Board during the year shall be made available promptly to students and parents, teachers, administrators, and others.

**Extracurricular Standards of Behavior**

With the approval of the principal and Superintendent, sponsors and coaches of extracurricular activities may develop and enforce standards of behavior that are higher than the District-developed Student Code of Conduct and may condition membership or participation in the activity on adherence to those standards. Extracurricular standards of behavior may take into consideration conduct that occurs at any time, on or off school property.

A student shall be informed of any extracurricular behavior standards at the beginning of each school year or when the student first begins participation in the activity. A student and his or her parent shall sign and return to the sponsor or coach a statement that they have read the extracurricular behavior standards and consent to them as a condition of participation in the activity.

Standards of behavior for an extracurricular activity are independent of the Student Code of Conduct. Violations of these standards of behavior that are also violations of the Student Code of Conduct may result in independent disciplinary actions.

A student may be removed from participation in extracurricular activities or may be excluded from school honors for violation of extracurricular standards of behavior for an activity or for violation of the Student Code of Conduct.

**“Parent” Defined**

Throughout the Student Code of Conduct and discipline policies, the term “parent” includes a parent, legal guardian, or other person having lawful control of the child.

**General Discipline Guidelines**

A District employee shall adhere to the following general guidelines when imposing discipline:

1. A student shall be disciplined when necessary to improve the student’s behavior, to maintain order, or to protect other students, school employees, or property.
2. A student shall be treated fairly and equitably. Discipline shall be based on an assessment of the circumstances of each case. Factors to consider shall include:
  - a. The seriousness of the offense;
  - b. The student’s age;
  - c. The frequency of misconduct;
  - d. The student’s attitude;
  - e. The potential effect of the misconduct on the school environment;
  - f. Requirements of Chapter 37 of the Education Code; and
  - g. The Student Code of Conduct adopted by the Board.
3. Before a student is assigned to detention outside regular school hours, notice shall be given to the student’s parent to inform him or her of the reason for the detention and permit arrangements for necessary transportation.

**Corporal Punishment**

The Board prohibits the use of corporal punishment in the District. Students shall not be spanked, paddled, or subjected to other physical force as a means of discipline for violations of the Student Code of Conduct.

**Physical Restraint**

---

**Note:** A District employee may restrain a student with a disability who receives special education services only in accordance with law. [See FOF(LEGAL)]

---

Within the scope of an employee’s duties, a District employee may physically restrain a student if the employee reasonably believes restraint is necessary in order to:

1. Protect a person, including the person using physical restraint, from physical injury.

2. Obtain possession of a weapon or other dangerous object.

3. Protect property from serious damage.

~~3.~~ Remove a student refusing a lawful command of a school employee from a specific location, including a classroom or other school property, in order to restore order or to impose disciplinary measures.

~~4. Control an irrational student.~~

~~5.1. Protect property from serious damage.~~

~~A District employee may restrain a student with a disability who receives special education services only in accordance with law. [See FOF(LEGAL)]~~

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Consideration and Approval:**  
**International Student Travel**  
**References: Board Policies FMG and FD**  
**(Legal) and (Local)**  
**District Goal 1 & 4**  
**Department: Department of School Leadership**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of international travel during the summer of 2024 by the Fort Bend ISD Honor Orchestra, comprised of students from all FBISD high schools. Students will participate in the American Celebration of Music in Great Britain and attend workshops at the Royal Academy of Music.

### **Summary**

This trip will provide a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity for FBISD orchestra students to visit the United Kingdom's culturally rich cities. Students will perform three concerts at historical music venues. Students also will engage in a workshop with faculty at the Royal Academy of Music in London. An orchestra comprised of FBISD high school students performed concerts across central Europe in 2018.

If approved, approximately 50 students, their orchestra directors, parent chaperones, and District administrators will travel to the United Kingdom on June 2-11, 2024. Approximate cost of the trip is \$4,500 per person paid by the students and parents. The cost covers airfare, housing, ground transportation, two meals per day, excursions, gratuities, instrument rental costs, and performance venue fees. While in the United Kingdom, students will stay in four-star hotel accommodations. All travel arrangements will be made by Music Celebrations International, L.L.C., in Tempe, AZ.

Students will depart on Sunday, June 2, 2024, and fly to Edinburgh, Scotland. The orchestra will spend three days in Edinburgh, touring historical sites and performing a concert at the American Celebration of Music in Great Britain. The students will spend a day in York and Leeds, performing another concert. The orchestra will stop in Stratford and tour Shakespeare's birthplace before continuing to London, where they will tour the sights of the city, including Windsor Castle, and perform another concert. The final day includes workshops at the Royal Academy of Music and a visit to historic Stonehenge before flying back to Houston on June 11, 2024.

### **Recommended by:**

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

**Submitted by:**

Kwabena Mensah  
Chief of Schools

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Low Attendance Waiver**  
**References: Board Goal 2**  
**Department: Information Technology**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a low attendance waiver and granting the Superintendent authority to approve and submit all necessary waiver requests for the 2022-23 school year.

### **Summary**

Under the current state school finance system, general fund revenue (both state aid and local levy) has a direct relationship to student average daily attendance (ADA). “Refined” ADA is a calculation of the number of days attended by all students in a six-week period divided by the number of days taught. The results for all six-week periods in a school year are then summed and divided by six. The refined ADA is further weighted for special services/programs and the resulting Weighted Average Daily Attendance (WADA) determines how much total revenue districts earn for operations.

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) has a process which allows a district to apply for waivers to have instructional days with attendance at least ten (10) percentage points below the last school year’s average attendance [due to weather, health, safety issues, or other] removed from Average Daily Attendance (ADA) calculations for that school year. Waivers are also available for missed instructional days due to a campus being forced to close for the same reasons. These waivers assist districts by lessening the impact of low attendance rates on the funding earned.

A district can request a waiver for low attendance or missed instructional days for the entire district or a specific campus. If the low attendance waiver is for the entire district, then the district must use the previous year’s ADA as the benchmark. If it is for an individual campus, the previous year’s campus ADA is used. TEA requires approval by the Board of Trustees prior to submission of waiver days. After the Board has acted, an application for the waiver days will be submitted using TEA’s automated waiver application system.

The summer Public Information Management System (PEIMS) data files are used to determine final, accumulated school year funding from TEA. If the waiver is approved, this data file should not include low attendance days. Although TEA will accept these Board-approved waivers on or before July 31, 2023, our District student PEIMS data file should be completed on or before the June PEIMS summer submission to the State.

FBISD is seeking approval of a low attendance “safety” waiver for Kempner High School. On May 1, 2023, a threat was found written in the boy’s bathroom. The threat indicated that a school shooting would occur on May 5, 2023. Having the threat circulated on social media and by words-of-mouth, this threat resulted in very low attendance at Kempner High School on May 5, 2023.

<b>Entity</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>Attendance on Friday, May 10, 2023</b>	<b>2021-2022 Overall Attendance %</b>	<b>Difference</b>
<b>005</b>	Kempner High School	62.37%	92.74%	30.37

FBISD is seeking approval of a low attendance “weather” waiver for the following 11 schools. On May 9, 2023, a severe storm affected the greater Houston, Texas area. The storm continued to affect the areas through Wednesday, May 10, 2023, thus, resulting in low attendance on May 10, 2023, for the following schools:

<b>Entity</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>Attendance on Wednesday, May 10, 2023</b>	<b>2021-2022 Overall Attendance %</b>	<b>Difference</b>
<b>002</b>	Willowridge High	79.05%	89.15%	10.10
<b>042</b>	Missouri City Middle	66.18%	93.11%	26.93
<b>046</b>	Christa McAuliffe Middle	81.23%	91.59%	10.36
<b>053</b>	David Crockett Middle	80.18%	94.36%	14.18
<b>101</b>	E. A. Jones Elementary	68.21%	92.77%	24.56
<b>108</b>	Blue Ridge Elementary	76.36%	92.76%	16.40
<b>109</b>	Ridgemont Elementary	76.81%	92.86%	16.05
<b>158</b>	Ridgemont ELC	77.21%	90.07%	12.86
<b>115</b>	Lantern Lane Elementary	79.06%	92.56%	13.50
<b>116</b>	Ridgegate Elementary	81.00%	93.00%	12.00

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
 Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Long Pham  
Chief Information Officer

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Residential and Nonpublic Day Schools**  
**References: Board Policy CH (Legal)**  
**District Strategic Plan Goal 1**  
**Department: Special Education**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval to authorize the Superintendent, or her designee, to execute agreements with the authorized residential and day treatment service providers listed in the table below as needed for the 2023-24 school year:

<b>Residential Treatment Service Providers</b>	<b>Day Treatment Service Providers</b>
Autism Treatment Center -Dallas and San Antonio locations	Harris County Department of Education
Bayes Achievement Center	Providence Treatment Center
Behavior Network	River Oaks Academy
Shiloh Treatment Center, Inc.	Shiloh Treatment Center, Inc.
Texas Hill Country School	Monarch School and Institute

The approval of this recommendation will allow the district to respond to the immediate needs of students who require such services in FY24.

**Summary**

Each year, districts are required under federal guidelines to set aside 25 percent of IDEA funds for residential and non-public day school services. Students’ placement in a residential or day treatment setting occurs at the recommendation of the Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) committee, after all other resources for special education services within the district have been exhausted. The TEA reviews each ARD document for students who are recommended for residential or day treatment to ensure that students placed are provided with special education services that meet state and federal guidelines. The TEA also monitors Special Education residential and nonpublic day programs throughout the state and oversees the approval of any new program.

The set aside amount for the 2023-24 school year at the required percentage for the District is \$3,409,478. In 2022-23, the district contracted for services for students in such facilities for approximately \$2,339,848. Based upon history, the district does not anticipate expending the total amount, but is required to set the money aside for student situations not anticipated.

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Kimberly Lawson  
Chief Academic Officer

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Purchasing Solutions Alliance  
(PSA) Interlocal Purchasing Cooperative**  
**References: Board Policy CH (Legal)  
District Goal 5**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of an Interlocal Agreement with Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA), and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement.

**Summary**

Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA), like other cooperative purchasing groups, solicits, negotiates, and executes contracts that its member institutions will find useful. The procurements are conducted in accordance with State of Texas laws and are in accordance with District procurement policy and contracting statutes as well as the applicable Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) by Reference.

Many of the solicited and contracted vendors under the program may prove to be useful for Fort Bend ISD. Specifically, this cooperative has a contract that Fort Bend ISD can leverage in an effective manner for print shop equipment, maintenance, and supplies.

This agreement would begin upon signature and would automatically renew unless terminated by either party. Termination may be with or without cause upon thirty (30) days prior written notice.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

# Purchasing Solutions Alliance

*a purchasing cooperative for public agencies*



## INTERLOCAL PURCHASING AGREEMENT

**THIS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT** (“ILA”), made and entered into pursuant to the Texas Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791, Texas Government Code and Chapter 271, Subchapter F of the Texas Local Government Code (together, the “Acts”), and other similar, applicable laws of other states, by and between the Purchasing Solutions Alliance, hereinafter referred to as “PSA,” having its principal place of business in Bryan, Texas, and \_\_\_\_\_, a local government, a state agency, or a non-profit corporation created and operated to provide one or more governmental functions and services, hereinafter referred to as “Cooperative Member,” having its principal place of business in \_\_\_\_\_(city), \_\_\_\_\_(state).

**WHEREAS**, PSA is a cooperative purchasing program of the Brazos Valley Council of Governments, a regional planning commission and political subdivision of the State of Texas operating under Chapter 391, Texas Local Government Code and as such is authorized to contract with eligible entities to perform governmental functions and services, including the purchase of goods and services; and

**WHEREAS**, PSA is duly authorized to contract with eligible entities under the Acts; and

**WHEREAS**, Cooperative Member has represented that it is an eligible entity under the applicable laws of its state, that its governing body has authorized this Agreement and that it desires to contract with PSA on the terms set forth below;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, PSA and the Cooperative Member do hereby agree as follows:

### **ARTICLE 1: LEGAL AUTHORITY**

The Cooperative Member represents and warrants to PSA that it is eligible to contract with PSA under the Acts for the purposes recited herein because it is one of the following: a local government, as defined in the Acts (a county, a municipality, a special district, or other political subdivision of its state, or a combination of two or more of those entities, a state agency, or a non-profit corporation created and operated to provide one or more governmental functions and services, and it possesses adequate legal authority to enter into this Agreement.

### **ARTICLE 2: APPLICABLE LAWS**

PSA and the Cooperative Member agree to conduct all activities under this Agreement in accordance with all applicable rules, regulations, and ordinances and laws in effect or promulgated during the term of this Agreement.

### **ARTICLE 3: WHOLE AGREEMENT**

This Agreement and any attachments, as provided herein, constitute the complete agreement between the parties hereto, and supersede any and all oral and written agreements between the parties relating to matters herein.

### **ARTICLE 4: PERFORMANCE PERIOD**

This Agreement shall be effective when signed by the last party whose signing makes the Agreement fully executed and will remain in full force and effect for one (1) year. This Agreement shall automatically renew for successive one-year terms unless sooner terminated in accordance with the

provisions of this Agreement. The conditions set forth below shall apply to the initial term and all renewals. Notwithstanding this provision, any party may modify or terminate this Agreement as provided in Article(s) 7 or 8.

#### **ARTICLE 5: SCOPE OF SERVICES**

The Cooperative Member appoints PSA its true and lawful purchasing agent for the purchase of certain products and services. All purchases hereunder shall be in accordance with federal statutes and procedures governing competitive bids and competitive proposals as denoted in 2 CFR Part 200 and in accordance with specifications and contract terms established by PSA, and at the prices available and published by PSA. Ownership (title) to products purchased through contracts awarded pursuant to the PSA program shall transfer directly from the contractor to the Cooperative Member. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the Cooperative Member from purchasing and/or accepting and awarding bids, proposals and contracts subject to this Agreement on its own behalf.

#### **ARTICLE 6: PAYMENTS**

Upon delivery of goods or services purchased and presentation of a properly documented invoice, the Cooperative Member shall promptly, and in any case within thirty (30) days, pay the vendor and/or contractor the full amount of the invoice. All payments for goods or services will be made from current revenues available to the paying party. In no event shall PSA have any financial liability to the Cooperative Member for any goods or services Cooperative Member procures through the PSA program.

#### **ARTICLE 7: CHANGES AND AMENDMENTS**

This Agreement may be amended only by a written amendment executed by both parties, except that any alternations, additions, or deletions to the terms of this Agreement which are required by changes in Federal and State law or regulations are automatically incorporated into this Agreement without written amendment hereto and shall become effective on the date designated by such law or regulation. PSA reserves the right to make changes in the scope of products and services to be performed hereunder.

#### **ARTICLE 8: TERMINATION PROCEDURES**

PSA or the Cooperative Member may cancel this Agreement at any time upon thirty (30) days written notice by certified mail to the other party to this Agreement. The obligations of the Cooperative Member, including obligations to pay any vendor or contractor for all goods and/or services purchased under this Agreement, shall survive such cancellation, as well as any other obligation incurred under this Agreement, until performed or discharged by the Cooperative Member.

#### **ARTICLE 9: SEVERABILITY**

All parties agree that should any provision of this Agreement be determined to be invalid or unenforceable, such determination shall not affect any other term of this Agreement, which shall continue in full force and effect.

#### **ARTICLE 10: FORCE MAJEURE**

To the extent that either party to this Agreement shall be wholly or partially prevented from the performance within the term specified of any obligation or duty placed on such party by reason of or through strikes, stoppage of labor, riot, fire, flood, acts of war, insurrection, accident, order of any court, act of God, or specific cause reasonably beyond the party's control and not attributable to its neglect or nonfeasance, in such event, the time for the performance of such obligation or duty shall be

suspended until such disability to perform is removed; provided, however, force majeure shall not excuse an obligation solely to pay funds.

**ARTICLE 11: CONSENT TO SUIT**

Nothing in this Agreement will be construed as a waiver or relinquishment by either party of its right to claim such exemptions, privileges and immunities as may be provided by law.

**ARTICLE 12: MISCELLANEOUS**

a. This Agreement has been made under and shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas. Venue and jurisdiction of any suit or cause of action arising under, or in connection with, this Agreement shall lie exclusively in Brazos County, Texas.

b. The persons executing this Agreement hereby represent that they have authorization to sign on behalf of their respective entities.

c. This Agreement and the rights and obligations contained herein may not be assigned by either party without the prior written approval of the other party to this Agreement.

**THIS INSTRUMENT HAS BEEN EXECUTED BY THE PARTIES HERETO AS FOLLOWS:**

**Purchasing Solutions Alliance  
Brazos Valley Council of Governments  
3991 East 29<sup>th</sup> St.  
Bryan, Texas 77802**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brazos Valley Council of Governments,  
Executive Director or Designee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Executive Director or Designee

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Susan Lightfoot

Attest: PSA Program Manager

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of PSA Program Manager

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Cooperative Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mailing Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City, State, ZIP Code

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name & Title of Primary Contact Person

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone

\_\_\_\_\_  
E-mail Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized by (Printed Name and Title)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized by (Signature)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>RFP 23-031KB</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Benefits Management Consulting Services</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of Benefits Management Consulting services and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through December 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>A Benefits Management Consultant provides strategic services that assist in designing, implementing, and managing a cost-effective benefits program. The vendor will accomplish this by providing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Customized strategies to assist in controlling claims cost</li> <li>• Expertise in data analysis and forecasting</li> <li>• Assistance with employee education, especially around open enrollment season</li> <li>• Compliance</li> </ul> <p>On February 2, 2023, Fort Bend ISD issued RFP 23-031KB Benefits Management Consulting Services. We received responses from Alliant Insurance Services, Aon, Financial Benefit Services, Inc., HUB International, Insgroup, Integrity First Business Solutions, Lockton Dunning Benefits, MarshMcLennan Agency LLC, Maverick Benefit Advisors, McGriff, Seibels &amp; Williams, Gallagher Benefit Services, and USI.</p> <p>The evaluation committee was comprised of Fort Bend ISD staff members from Business &amp; Finance, Payroll, and the Benefits and Wellness Department.</p> <p>Vendors were evaluated based upon purchase price, reputation of the vendor and of the vendor's goods or services, quality of vendor's goods or services, extent to which the goods or services met the District's needs, vendor's past relationship with the District, long-term cost to the District to acquire the Vendor's goods or services, insurance requirements, and service agreement.</p> <p>After a comprehensive evaluation of the proposals, the evaluation team recommends Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Gallagher will perform all the services quoted below at no direct cost to Fort Bend ISD. In exchange for these services, Gallagher will be named</p>

Agent of Record on all products and collect standard commissions on the NON-FBISD paid (voluntary) products and any applicable services.

Services	Cost	Tasks That May Be Associated with Services
Management of Plan Provider Relationships	Included	Gallagher will act as a liaison between the client and the current retirement plan Service Provider on day-to-day issues and bring new ideas and capabilities to the client for consideration.
Assist on Plan Design Strategies	Included	Gallagher will assist with the plan design and industry benchmarking, as needed, to determine if there are opportunities and efficiencies that can be gained by plan design changes.
Employee Education & Communication Services	Included	Gallagher will assist with developing, managing, and deploying an employee education strategy.
Provide Fiduciary Consulting & Oversight	Included	Gallagher will work with the plan committee to help meet the plan's fiduciary obligations, including help with the agendas, minutes, coordinate functions and activities, as well as revise, create and establish an Investment Policy Statement (IPS), as needed. Gallagher will make available fiduciary education and legislative updates.
Non-Discretionary 3(21) Investment Advice	Included	Gallagher will recommend, for selection by the plan committee, specific investments to be held by the Plan offered as investment options under the Plan consistent with the Plan's IPS or other relevant guidelines, as applicable. Gallagher will recommend, for selection by the committee, investment replacements if an existing investment is no longer suitable, and will assist in the transition to the

			replacement investment if requested by the committee.
	Fee Benchmarking & Request-for- Proposal (RFP)	Included	In the event the client chooses to select or benchmark a recordkeeper or other administrative Service Provider to the Plan, Gallagher will assist in the preparation, distribution, and evaluation of the RFPs, coordinate the finalist interviews, negotiate proposals, and facilitate the change of Service Provider(s) (if changing).
	Fee Analysis	Included	Monitor and advise the Plan regarding the reasonableness of fee arrangements between and among the Plan, covered Service Providers and Investment Managers, provided that such advice shall not involve rendering legal advice. Gallagher shall not be responsible for drafting any such agreements.
	Audit Assistance	Included	Provide assistance reasonably required by the Plan to respond to audits or examinations, as reasonably requested relating to the investment of the Assets.
	Value Added Service	Included	Dependent Verification Audit (DVA) would begin during Open Enrollment and continue for all future enrollees through the enrollment team.
	Value Added Service	Included	On-going Service and New Hire Enrollment Support - Concierge Benefit Advocate Call Center (BAC), Website, and Video
	Value Added Service	Included	On-site Enrollers for open enrollment support.
	Value Added Service	Included	All lines of commission are guaranteed through the current terms of the contract.
<b>Requested By:</b>	Glenda Johnson, Chief Human Resources Officer Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer		
<b>Vendors:</b>	Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc.		

<b>Budget Sources:</b>	Health Fund
<b>Amount:</b>	No direct cost to Fort Bend ISD
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
<b>Sole Source:</b>	No
<b>Number of vendors contacted by FBISD:</b>	213
<b>Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:</b>	68
<b>Number of responses received:</b>	12
<b>Number of “no bid” responses received:</b>	0
<b>Length of commitment:</b>	Through December 2028
<b>Last solicitation date:</b>	N/A
<b>Supporting documents:</b>	Evaluation Summary and Criteria
<b>Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):</b>	None

\*\*\*Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.

**RFP 23-031KB Benefits Management Consulting Services**

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Point System</b>
1	<b>Purchase Price</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost of services</li> </ul>	10 points
2	<b>Reputation of the Vendor and of the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vendor should have a solid reputation with other ISD's, Government, or Collegiate entities that show a high level of customer service, and a high level of quality of goods or services.</li> <li>• Experience: Use and success of the product(s) and/or services in school districts or similar entities. (References will be contacted via e-mail with a deadline. If no response is received by the deadline, there will be points deducted in this section.)</li> </ul>	20 points
3	<b>Quality of the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall efficacy of the vendor and their ability to provide services</li> <li>• Demonstrates competence: experience, etc.</li> <li>• Quality of the services promised</li> <li>• Responsiveness to the District's needs</li> </ul>	25 points
4	<b>Extent to Which the Goods or Services Meet the District's Needs</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide extensive consultative services (stop loss coverage, group health plan services, retirement services, voluntary products, etc.)</li> <li>• Experience with public sector entities</li> <li>• Ability to negotiate and review/analyze data</li> </ul>	25 points
5	<b>Vendor's Past Relationship with the District</b> For reference, the vendor shall list the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Past projects or contracts similar service vendor has had with the district.</li> <li>• Past projects or contracts similar service vendor has had with any K-12 Districts similar size or larger</li> <li>• Past projects or contracts similar service vendor has had with any business or universities the size of our district.</li> </ul>	5 points
6	<b>Long-Term Cost to the District to Acquire the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other fees, and other added cost</li> </ul>	5 points
7	<b>Vendor's Principal place of business is in the state of Texas or employs 500 people in this state.</b>	0 points
8	<b>Insurance Requirements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certificate of Insurance as requested in the solicitation</li> <li>• Certificate of Insurance with the limits outlined, without FBISD listed as the certificate holder</li> <li>• Letter from the vendor's insurance carrier on the insurance carrier's letterhead to Fort Bend ISD</li> </ul>	Pass/Fail
9	<b>Service Agreement</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The extent to which the vendor agrees to our Standard Form of Agreement. By <b>Signing the Agreement</b>, you assent to the Terms and Conditions of Fort Bend ISD.</li> </ul>	10 points
10	<b>The impact on the ability of the District to comply with laws and rules relating to Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB).</b>	N/A
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 points</b>

**Tabulation Summary**  
**RFP 23-031KB Benefits Management Consulting Services**

<b>Vendor</b>	<b>Purchase Price (10 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Reputation of Vendor and Vendor's Goods and Services (20 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Quality of Vendor's Goods and Services (25 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Extent to Which the Goods and Services Meet the Needs of the District (25 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Vendor's Past Relationship with the District (5 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Long Term Cost to the District (5 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Agreement to Fort Bend ISD Terms and Conditions (10 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Proposer's Total Score (100 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Proposer's Ranking</b>
Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc.	10.00	8.00	23.75	24.38	4.75	5.00	5.00	80.88	1
McGriff, Seibels & Williams	10.00	12.00	20.38	19.88	3.50	5.00	10.00	80.76	2
Insgroup	10.00	12.00	16.50	16.50	3.50	5.00	10.00	73.50	3
Aon	10.00	16.00	17.13	17.13	3.25	5.00	5.00	73.51	4
Financial Benefit Services	10.00	8.00	17.63	17.88	4.00	5.00	10.00	72.51	5
HUB International	10.00	12.00	15.63	15.63	3.00	5.00	10.00	71.26	6
Alliant Insurance Services	10.00	4.00	19.75	20.00	2.50	5.00	10.00	71.25	7
Maverick Benefit Advisors	10.00	12.00	15.00	15.00	2.75	5.00	10.00	69.75	8
USI	10.00	4.00	18.63	18.25	2.75	5.00	10.00	68.63	9
Lockton Dunning Benefits	10.00	8.00	18.00	17.75	1.50	5.00	5.00	65.25	10
MarshMcLennan Agency LLC	1.00	16.00	16.38	16.25	4.50	1.00	5.00	60.13	11
Integrity First Business Solutions	10.00	12.00	8.50	8.50	1.50	5.00	10.00	55.50	12

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>RFQ 23-047ED</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Legal Services for Fort Bend ISD</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval of the selection of certain law firms who have demonstrated competence and expertise in public education law to provide outside legal services to the Fort Bend ISD through July 2028. The Board officers recommend Rogers, Morris &amp; Grover, LLP to provide legal counsel to the Board of Trustees through July 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>Board Policy CH (Local) requires the District to issue a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for legal services no less than every five (5) years. In accordance with policy, the District issued RFQ 23-047ED soliciting qualifications for legal services on April 6, 2023. The term for legal services will be for five (5) years executed through annual engagement letters.</p> <p>An evaluation team comprised of Fort Bend ISD staff members from Legal Services, Human Resources, Special Education, and the Business and Finance department reviewed and evaluated eleven (11) submissions. The submissions were evaluated on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Firm’s approach and demonstrated competence.</li> <li>• Methodology as a legal services provider.</li> <li>• Qualifications of key personnel.</li> <li>• Past experience with K-12 and Fort Bend ISD.</li> <li>• References from former clients.</li> </ul> <p>Of the eleven (11) submissions, the Administration recommends use of six (6) firms for legal services including special education and legal services related to human resources and related items. The six (6) firms recommended include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thompson &amp; Horton LLP***</li> <li>• Rogers, Morris &amp; Grover, L.L.P***</li> <li>• Walsh Gallegos Trevino Kyle &amp; Robinson P.C***</li> <li>• O’Hanlon, Demerath &amp; Castillo</li> <li>• Spalding Nichols Lamp Langlois LLP</li> <li>• Jackson Lewis P.C.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures related to Legal Services for FY 2021-22 were \$735,444.</p>
<b>Requested By:</b>	<b>Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer</b>

Vendors:	Thompson & Horton LLP*** Rogers, Morris & Grover, L.L.P*** Walsh Gallegos Trevino Kyle & Robinson P.C*** O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo Spalding Nichols Lamp Langlois LLP Jackson Lewis P.C.
Budget Sources:	General Fund
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by FBISD:	15
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	32
Number of responses received:	11
Number of "no bid" responses received:	1
Length of commitment:	Through July 2028
Last solicitation date:	March 2018
Supporting documents:	Evaluation Summary and Criteria
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.

**RFQ 23-047ED-Legal Services for Fort Bend ISD**

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Point System</b>
1	<p><b>Firm's Approach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Firm's approach, supporting documentation, evidence of competence to undertake such effort.</li> </ul>	20 points
2	<p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Firm's experience as a provider of legal services including explanation of project methodology, unique challenges any other relevant information.</li> </ul>	30 points
3	<p><b>Qualification</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Qualifications, certifications, the experience of personnel/team proposed for this task.</li> </ul>	25 points
4	<p><b>Past Experience</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Firm's Past experience with FBISD and/or other Districts.</li> <li>K-12 Experience, Higher Education or Governmental Experience</li> </ul>	15 points
5	<p><b>References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Five (5) References from prior clients for which your firm has provided comparable services. Identify the name and contact information of the client individual responsible for overseeing the performance of the firm.</li> </ul> <p>List of References should come from past project examples listed within this RFQ. References will be contacted via e-mail with a deadline. If no response is received by the deadline, there will be points deducted in this section.</p>	10 points
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 points</b>

**Tabulation Summary**  
**RFQ 23-047ED Legal Services for Fort Bend ISD**

Vendor	Firm's approach, supporting documentation, evidence of competence to undertake such effort. (20 pts. Max)
Thompson & Horton LLP	18.50
Rogers, Morris & Grover, L.L.P.	18.75
Walsh Gallegos Trevino Kyle & Robinson P.C.	17.75
O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo	15.50
Spalding Nichols Lamp Langlois LLP	16.25
Jackson Lewis P.C	15.50
Leon   Alcala, PLLC	11.75
Schulman, Lopez, Hoffer & Adelstein, LLP	11.25
Monty & Ramirez LLP	11.50
Leasor Crass, PC	12.75
J. Cruz & Associates, LLC	13.00

Firm's experience as a provider of legal services including explanation of project methodology, unique challenges any other relevant information. (30 pts. Max)	Qualifications, certifications, the experience of of key personnel. (25 pts. Max)	Past experience with FBISD and/or other Districts. • K-12 Experience, Higher Education or Governmental Experience. (15 pts. Max)	Five (5) References from prior clients for which your firm has provided comparable services. (10 pts. Max)
28.50	23.00	14.75	8.00
27.00	23.75	14.50	6.00
27.00	21.00	14.75	6.00
24.75	23.00	11.25	6.00
27.00	20.00	13.25	4.00
22.25	18.00	8.50	7.60
20.25	17.00	11.50	8.00
19.75	18.50	8.00	10.00
17.00	15.50	10.25	8.00
22.00	15.25	4.50	6.00
18.75	15.25	7.75	1.00

<b>Proposer's Total Score (100 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Proposer's Ranking</b>
92.75	1
90.00	2
86.50	3
80.50	4
80.50	5
71.85	6
68.50	7
67.50	8
62.25	9
60.50	10
55.75	11

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Fund Balance Resolution**  
**References: Board Policy CH (Legal)**  
**Board Policy CFA**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of the Committed Fund Balance Resolution.

**Summary**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has issued Statement No. 54 (GASB 54) that requires that any commitment and assignment of fund balance must be done pursuant to Board action, or by the Board designating the authority for the commitment and assignment of fund balance to the Superintendent.

The Board approved the Fort Bend ISD Fiscal and Budgetary Strategy that further details the District’s resolve to comply with GASB 54. The Board of Trustees has retained the authority to commit fund balance and has authorized the Superintendent to assign fund balance.

Below is a recap of committed fund balances (all are General Fund unless denoted in the table below) as of April 30, 2023 and recommended committed fund balance amounts as of June 30, 2023:

<b>Fund</b>	<b>Committed 04/30/23</b>	<b>Committed 6/30/23</b>
Campus Activity Funds	7,182,228	TBD
Loss of State Revenue	61,600,000	58,800,000

- **Campus Activity Funds:** Although campus activity funds are for the benefit of the student body of each campus, there are no legal restrictions on the funds nor are they restricted by any external party. Thus, Administration is recommending the Board maintain the committed balance, which is included in the Special Revenue Funds. The committed balance will not be known until the books are finalized for June 30, 2023. The Board will know the committed balance when the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report is presented to the board no later than November 2023.
- **Loss of State Revenue:** As prescribed by fiscal policy, Administration is proposing to maintain a committed fund balance equal to approximately 30 days or 8.33% of the adjusted budgeted General Fund 2022-23 expenditures excluding TRS on behalf, in the event that the state budget reduces funding to public education. Staff

- Loss of State Revenue (continued):

recommends the \$58.8 million committed balance based on adjusted budget General Fund expenditures, as presented in a separate budget amendment agenda item presented to the Board in June 2023.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

**Fort Bend Independent School District  
Resolution of the Fort Bend Independent School District Board of Trustees  
Amending Fund Balance Policies as Required by GASB 54**

**WHEREAS**, The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (“GASB”) has adopted Statement 54 (“GASB 54”), a standard for governmental fund balance reporting and governmental fund type definitions that became effective in governmental fiscal years starting after June 15, 2010; and

**WHEREAS**, Fort Bend Independent School District (“FBISD”) has implemented GASB Statement 54 requirements; and

**WHEREAS**, FBISD must report governmental fund balances per GASB 54 definitions in the balance sheet as follows: Non-spendable, Restricted, Committed, Assigned, and Unassigned; and

**WHEREAS**, FBISD desires to amend the Committed Fund Balances; and

**WHEREAS**, All commitments must be approved by formal action of the Board of Trustees (the “Board”); and

**WHEREAS**, once made, a commitment can only be modified or removed by the same manner of formal Board action; and

**WHEREAS**, the action to commit funds must occur prior to fiscal year-end in order for such commitment to be reported in the balance sheet of the respective period, even though the amount might be determined subsequent to fiscal year-end;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the findings, determinations, and declarations contained in the recitals to this Resolution are hereby incorporated into the operative provisions of this Resolution;

**BE IT ALSO RESOLVED** that FBISD Board of Trustees hereby commits the following portions of its June 30, 2023, General Fund balance, as follows:

\$58,800,000 for potential loss of state revenue;

**BE IT ALSO RESOLVED** that FBISD Board of Trustees commits the total fund balance of Campus Activity Funds as of June 30, 2023 reported in the Special Revenue Fund.

The above Resolution is adopted this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2023.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Board President

\_\_\_\_\_  
Board Secretary

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Region IV, Position G TASB**  
**Director Endorsement**  
**Department: Board of Trustees**

**Recommendation**

**Consideration and endorsement of a candidate to represent Region IV, Position G as a Director on the Texas Association of School Boards (TASB) Board of Directors.**



# TASB NOMINATION FORM

This is to serve as the nomination of a member of our local board to fill a position on the TASB Board of Directors.

### CANDIDATE INFORMATION

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

SCHOOL DISTRICT: \_\_\_\_\_

Our school district's board of trustees understands:

1. *Expenses incurred for the candidate to attend the Nominations Committee interview will be the responsibility of the candidate's local school district.*
2. *The local board's nomination of one of its trustees shall be considered the district's endorsement for that Director position.*
3. *A TASB Director's attendance at regular TASB Board meetings is important.*
4. *Lodging and transportation expenses incurred by TASB Directors attending regular spring, summer and December Board meetings are reimbursed by the Association and transportation expenses and three nights' lodging incurred attending the Convention Board meeting are reimbursed by the Association.*

This nomination was approved by our board of trustees at a duly called meeting on \_\_\_\_\_ (Date).

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of board president or officer *(If candidate is the board president or officer, must be signed by another officer)*

PRINTED NAME (of officer): \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE (of officer): \_\_\_\_\_

### WILLINGNESS TO SERVE (to be completed by the candidate)

I, \_\_\_\_\_, confirm my willingness to serve, if elected, as a member of the TASB Board of Directors for Region \_\_\_\_\_, Position \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of candidate

This form is to be used to nominate a member of your Local Board as a candidate to fill a position on the TASB Board of Directors.

**Form A, B, & C, must be received by TASB on or before June 30, 2023.**

**RETURN TO: E-mail: [boardcommunications@tasb.org](mailto:boardcommunications@tasb.org)  
FAX: 512.467.3554**

**Interviews will be held at TASB Headquarters in Austin on September 8-9, 2023.**



# TASB BOARD CANDIDATE BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CITY: \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_

BUSINESS PHONE: \_\_\_\_\_ RESIDENCE PHONE: \_\_\_\_\_

CELL PHONE: \_\_\_\_\_ FAX NUMBER (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

We communicate with our Board members primarily via e-mail. Please list your preferred email address.

E-MAIL: \_\_\_\_\_

SCHOOL DISTRICT: \_\_\_\_\_

LOCAL TERM EXPIRES: \_\_\_\_\_ YEARS ON BOARD: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Month/year)

Upon expiration of current term on your local board, will you seek reelection?

YES \_\_\_ NO \_\_\_

BOARD POSITIONS HELD (including dates): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OCCUPATION: \_\_\_\_\_

CURRENT EMPLOYER: \_\_\_\_\_ DATES: \_\_\_\_\_

EDUCATION-HIGH SCHOOL: \_\_\_\_\_ COLLEGE: \_\_\_\_\_

OTHER EDUCATION: \_\_\_\_\_ DEGREES: \_\_\_\_\_

HOBBIES/SPECIAL INTERESTS: \_\_\_\_\_

BUSINESS/PROFESSIONAL/CIVIC GROUP MEMBERS (offices held including dates): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Please attach a short bio and include a current picture in jpeg format.**



4. Please provide examples of your leadership abilities.

5. If selected, what are some unique characteristics or perspectives you bring to the Board?

6. Describe at least three or four characteristics of a good board member.



10. Describe your involvement at TASB grassroots meetings and/or regional school board association meetings.

11. Additional information: What else would you like for the Committee to know about you?

---

(Signature of candidate)

---

(Date)

This form is to be used by a candidate interested in filling a position on the TASB Board of Directors.

**Form A, B, & C must be received by TASB on or before June 30, 2023.**

**RETURN TO: E-mail: [boardcommunications@tasb.org](mailto:boardcommunications@tasb.org)  
FAX: 512.467.3554**

**Interviews will be held at TASB Headquarters in Austin on September 8-9, 2023.**

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR TASB BOARD NOMINATIONS

### About the TASB Board of Directors

The TASB Board of Directors is charged with carrying out directives established by the Delegates at the Delegate Assembly. The individual Director is expected to attend four Board meetings a year, with one of the meetings being at the same time as the annual TASA/TASB Convention. In addition, the Director will be asked to serve on a standing committee that will meet, as needed, in conjunction with Board meetings. The Director should be a capable, experienced school board member who can assist in providing the Association with outstanding leadership.

### How to Nominate a Candidate to the TASB Board

To nominate an individual from your local school board, please complete the following attached forms:

- A. Active member's nomination and candidate's willingness to serve
- B. Candidate biographical sketch
- C. Candidate questionnaire

**The completed materials must be received by TASB no later than Friday, June 30, 2023.** Nominations that do not meet the deadline cannot be accepted.

TASB will e-mail the nominated individual and the superintendent a confirmation that the forms have been received. If an acknowledgment is not received, contact Lysa Hoelscher at **800.580.8272, extension 2976, or [lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org](mailto:lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org)**.

If you have questions or need further information, please contact Lysa Hoelscher at **800.580.8272, extension 2976, or [lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org](mailto:lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org)**.

We appreciate your participation in this nomination process.

**Texas Association of School Boards  
Board of Directors Nominations—Frequently Asked Questions**

**1. *Who elects the TASB Board of Directors?***

The general governing body of TASB is the Delegate Assembly, which meets each fall on the Saturday of the TASA/TASB Convention. One of the responsibilities of the Assembly is to elect the TASB Board of Directors (TASB Board).

**2. *Who makes up the Delegate Assembly?***

Each Active Member is eligible to designate a Delegate and Alternate from the local board to represent the board's interests at the Delegate Assembly. Either the Delegate or the Alternate, whichever is present on the floor, is the Active Member's voting representative. Members of the TASB Board and the four Legislative Advisory Council members on the TASB Legislative Committee also are voting representatives on the Assembly floor by virtue of their positions.

**3. *What is an Active Member of TASB?***

Active Members are local public school boards and education service center boards that have paid current annual dues.

**4. *What is the composition of the TASB Board?***

The 45-member TASB Board is composed of the President, the President-Elect, the Immediate Past President, and members from the 20 TASB Regions, which follow the boundaries of the education service centers. These Directors are elected to staggered three-year terms, with the exception of the President-Elect, President, and Immediate Past President who serve one-year terms in each position. An education service center representative also is a member of the TASB Board, serving as a voting *ex officio* member.

**5. *What are the responsibilities of the TASB Board?***

The TASB Board actively promotes the purposes of the Association, oversees its fiscal affairs, and establishes Board policies.

**6. *How are TASB Board positions determined, and why do some TASB Regions have more than one representative?***

Representation on the TASB Board is determined by state average daily attendance (ADA). Calculations to determine Board positions are completed each April with ADA data furnished by the Texas Education Agency. The TASB Board has two types of positions: large district and regional.

- a. **Large District Members**—An Active Member with at least 1.25 percent of the total state ADA is entitled to a large district position on the TASB Board. Currently, 12 districts qualify as large district members: Aldine ISD, Austin ISD, Conroe ISD, Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, Dallas ISD, Fort Bend ISD, Fort Worth ISD, Frisco ISD, Houston ISD, Katy ISD, North East ISD, and Northside ISD-Bexar County.
- b. **Regional Members**—Each of the 20 TASB Regions have a regional member on the TASB Board. However, a TASB Region will gain another position for each 4.25 percent, or fraction thereof, of the total state ADA after subtracting the ADA of each large district member.

**7. *Does a TASB Director have to be a member of a local school board?***

Yes, Directors of the TASB Board must be a member of a local school board that is an Active Member of TASB. Except in the case of the President and the Immediate Past President, a Director of the TASB Board who ceases to be a local school board member automatically vacates his or her position on the TASB Board. The TASB President must be a member of a local board at the time of succession to the office.

**8. *How do districts know when to nominate an individual for a position on the TASB Board?***

On or before April 30, the board president, superintendent and superintendent secretary of each Active Member will be notified that a vacancy or expiring term exists for a position in the Active Member's TASB Region. This information also will be posted on the TASB website.

**9. *How does an Active Member nominate an individual?***

Active Members have until June 30 to place the name of a local board member in nomination for a position. A nomination is accepted when the following completed nominations forms, provided by the Association, are received by TASB:

- (1) Form A, Active Member's Nomination and Willingness to Serve form
- (2) Form B, Candidate's Biographical Sketch
- (3) Form C, Candidate's Questionnaire

The nomination requires local board action, and Form A must be signed by the board president or other board officer and include the date of board action and the candidate.

Once these materials are received, the board president, candidate, and superintendent will receive an e-mail acknowledging the candidacy, along with information regarding the interview with the TASB Nominations Committee.

**10. Can an Active Member nominate more than one individual for a position?**

No.

**11. Can an individual be a candidate for more than one position?**

No.

**12. What is the endorsement period, and how does an Active Member endorse a nominated individual?**

The endorsement period is open July 3–August 31 and is an opportunity for regions to support, or even elect, a candidate nominated to the TASB Board.

During the endorsement period, an Active Member may endorse the candidacy of a nominated individual from another board within their TASB Region. Active Members must use Form D, Endorsement Form, provided by the Association.

It is important to note that TASB Bylaws require local board action for endorsements. Also, the Nominations Committee cannot accept endorsements acted on before July 3 or those not on the form provided by the Association (Form D, Endorsement Form). Completed endorsement forms must be received in TASB Headquarters on or before August 29.

If a majority of Active Members in an Association Region endorse the same candidate, that candidate is elected to the TASB Board and will take office at the completion of the final official session of the TASA/TASB Convention. If more than 25 percent of Active Members in a Region endorses the same candidate, that candidate will be placed on the slate of nominees presented to the Delegate Assembly.

**13. How can candidates contact Active Members in their TASB Regions for endorsements?**

Upon request, TASB will provide a mailing list to candidates, at no charge.

**14. How are vacancies on the TASB Board filled throughout the year?**

The TASB Board can fill vacancies that occur during the year. The board president and superintendent of each Active Member within the affected Region will be notified about the vacancy and the process for nominations. The Nominations Committee will interview all candidates and make a recommendation to the TASB Board. The TASB Board will elect an individual to fill the vacancy until the next Delegate Assembly.

**15. What is the TASB Nominations Committee, and what is the committee's role in the Delegate Assembly election process?**

The TASB Nominations Committee is composed of Directors on the TASB Board. Eleven

committee members and nine alternates are elected by the Board annually. In the election of the committee, the Board considers school district size, geographic location, wealth per student, and other factors, such as gender and ethnicity.

The Nominations Committee meets prior to the Delegate Assembly to interview nominated individuals in Director races that have not been elected by endorsement by the Regions. The Committee prepares a slate of Director nominees by selecting one or more candidates for each open position.

If a Director candidate has received endorsements from a majority of the Active Members in the TASB Region, that individual is automatically elected to the position and will take office after the final Convention session in the year elected.

If no Director candidate has received a majority of the endorsements, the slate of nominees will include the committee's nominees and also will list any nominated individuals who have received endorsements from at least 25 percent, but less than a majority, of the Active Members within their TASB Region.

**16. *Who pays the nominated individual's expenses incurred in attending the interview with the Nominations Committee?***

The candidate's local board typically pays. This is not a TASB expense.

**17. *Can someone still run for TASB Director if he or she is not chosen by the Nominations Committee and has not received endorsements from at least 25 percent of the Active Members?***

Yes. Even if a candidate was not selected as a nominee by the Nominations Committee or did not receive at least 25 percent of the endorsements from his or her region, he or she may still run for a Director position on the TASB Board through the delegate nomination process.

A delegate nomination may be made by the candidate's Delegate, provided the following conditions are met: (a) the candidate's completed nomination materials had been submitted to TASB Headquarters by June 30, (b) the candidate interviewed with the Nominations Committee, and (c) the candidate's intent and consent to run for the position by this alternate means is received in TASB Headquarters at least five days before the annual Delegate Assembly.

**18. *When are Active Members notified of the official slate of Director and Officer nominees?***

The nominations slate of nominees is sent to all Active Members as soon as feasible after the August 29 deadline for Director candidate endorsements and prior to Delegate Assembly.

Officer nominees are selected by the TASB Board at the Summer Board Meeting.

**19. *What happens if a nominee is unable to serve?***

The Nominations Committee, at the call of its chair, will select an alternate Director nominee; and the TASB Board, at the call of its President, will select an alternate Officer nominee. Active Members and their Delegates will be notified of the amended report of the Nominations Committee as soon as feasible, but no later than the opening of the Delegate Assembly.

**20. *Can candidates in contested races campaign for Delegate votes?***

Yes, within certain limit, candidates in contested races can campaign for Delegate votes.

- a. Distribution of Materials**—A candidate can distribute a biographical document on a single sheet of paper no larger than 8-1/2 inches by 14 inches by placing the document on the tables in the caucus meeting rooms organized by the Board and/or the tables in the Delegate Assembly Hall before the start of the Assembly. No buttons or other forms of campaign paraphernalia will be distributed or worn by individuals in these caucus meetings or in the Assembly Hall.
- b. Solicitation of Votes**—Candidates are prohibited from soliciting votes in the vicinity of the Delegate Assembly Hall and at the TASB Board meeting. Other than that, candidates are not prohibited from campaigning elsewhere.
- c. Campaigning at the Delegate Assembly itself**—Aside from distributing a one-page biographical flier, candidates are prohibited from soliciting votes from Delegates outside of the Assembly Hall, at the entrance to the hall, or on the floor of the Assembly.

**21. *Will nominees be allowed to speak at the Delegate Assembly?***

Yes, speeches are allowed in contested races and each nominee is given three minutes, in accordance with the standing rules adopted by the Assembly. Contested nominees speak in alphabetical order for each position; however, the recommended nominee speaks last.

**22. *At the Delegate Assembly, do Delegates cast their vote for all positions or just those within their TASB Region?***

All Delegates of the Assembly may cast a vote in each contested position.

**23. *How are votes cast, tallied, and reported?***

Voting is done by electronic keypads. The Teller Committee supervises the voting and certifies the accuracy of the counts. The Teller Committee Chair reports the results to the Assembly.

**24. How is the Teller Committee selected?**

The TASB President appoints Delegates to serve on the Delegate Assembly Teller Committee. A Delegate from an Active Member that has a nominee on the slate is ineligible to serve on the Teller Committee.

**25. How are the winners determined?**

The nominee receiving the majority of the votes of the Delegates present and voting shall be elected. If no nominee receives a majority, a run-off election shall be conducted between the two nominees receiving the greater number of votes, and the election shall be repeated for that position as many times as necessary to obtain a majority.

**26. When do the terms of newly elected Directors and Officers begin?**

The newly elected Directors and Officers (including those Directors elected by endorsement within their regions) begin serving their terms at the end of the final official session of the Convention.

**27. When does the TASB Board meet?**

The TASB Board meets four times a year (December, spring, summer, and Convention).

**28. Who pays the Director's expenses to attend meetings?**

In accordance with TASB Board Policy, TASB will reimburse Directors for the following expenses:

1. Expenses incurred while attending the regular December, Spring, and Summer TASB Board Meetings.
2. Transportation expenses and three nights' lodging expenses incurred by Directors attending the regular Convention Board Meeting held in conjunction with the TASA/TASB Convention.
3. Expenses incurred while attending any other meetings of the TASB Board or standing committees.

**29. Whom do I contact for more information?**

Contact Lysa Hoelscher at 800.580.8272, extension 2976 or [lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org](mailto:lysa.hoelscher@tasb.org).

## ARTICLE VI. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### SECTION 1. ASSOCIATION REGIONS.

The Association Regions shall correspond to the ESC region boundaries.

### SECTION 2. QUALIFICATIONS, NOMINATION, ENDORSEMENT, AND ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.

A. Each voting Director shall be a trustee of the governing board of a school district that is an Active Member, except as provided below:

- (1) The President and the Immediate Past President.
- (2) The ESC *ex officio* Director, in accordance with Article VI, Section 4F.

B. No Active Member shall have more than one candidate running for a Director position before the Delegate Assembly, even if more than one Director position is subject to an expiring term or vacancy within the Active Member's Region. No person shall be a candidate for more than one Director position in an election before the Delegate Assembly.

C. For purposes of this section, an Active Member school district with an average daily attendance (ADA) meeting the requirements of Article VI, Section 4C(1), shall be treated as an Association Region and referred to in these Bylaws as a Large District.

D. Nominations and endorsements shall be accepted in accordance with the following requirements, in chronological order within the time frames and deadlines set out in Board policy:

(1) Active Members in any Association Region in which there are expiring terms or vacancies in Director positions shall be notified by the date established through Board policy that the Active Member may nominate one of its trustees as a candidate for a Director position in which the term is expiring or a vacancy exists within the Active Member's Region.

(2) The Nominations Committee's chair, or designee, shall have received the following in writing in the Austin office of the Association by the deadline established through Board policy:

(a) The Active Member's nomination, in such form as required by the Association, which shall include a verification by the Active Member's board president or other board officer as to the date of board action.

(b) Candidate information required by the Association, which shall include (i) the candidate's written confirmation of his or her intent to be nominated as a candidate and willingness to serve if elected, (ii) biographical information, and (iii) responses to the questionnaire(s) developed by the Association.

(3) A listing of all candidates running for Director positions shall be sent to the superintendent and board president of each Active Member in each Association Region in which there are any expiring terms or vacancies in Director positions by the date established through Board policy. The candidate listing also shall be posted on the Association's website. Candidates, Directors, Delegates, and Active Members shall be subject to any campaign protocols or regulations established through Board policy.

(4) Active Member endorsements of candidates shall be received in the Austin office of the Association by the deadline established through Board policy in order for such endorsements to be considered. An Active Member may endorse only one candidate for each open Director position within its Association Region. Only candidates who have complied with the requirements of Article VI, Section 2D(2), may be endorsed. Endorsements adopted by an Active Member before the Association sends the list of candidates pursuant to Article VI, Section 2D(3), or endorsements that are not on the endorsement form provided by the Association in a given year shall not be accepted. An Active Member's nomination of one of its trustees [Article VI, Section 2D(2)] shall be considered the Active Member's endorsement for that Director position.

(5) If a majority of the Active Members in an Association Region endorses the same candidate, that candidate shall be elected to the Director position and shall take office at the completion of the final official session of the annual convention during the year in which the Director was elected.

(6) If no candidate receives endorsements from a majority of the Active Members in the candidate's Association Region [Article VI, Section 2D(4)], the official annual Delegate Assembly list of nominees shall include the following:

(a) Candidates nominated by the Nominations Committee [Article VIII, Section 2H].

(b) Candidates receiving endorsements from at least 25 percent but less than a majority of the Active Members in an Association Region.

(7) Thereafter nominations may be made by the candidate's Delegate, provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The candidate's nomination was submitted in compliance with Article VI, Section 2D(2).

(b) The candidate interviewed with the Nominations Committee, unless the Committee waived the need for an interview based on criteria set out in Board policy.

(c) The candidate's intent and consent to run for the position by this alternate means is received in the Austin office of the Association five days prior to the annual Delegate Assembly.

E. Except for a Director position filled in accordance with Article VI, Section 2D(5), the official annual Delegate Assembly list of nominees shall be prepared by the Nominations Committee as provided in these Bylaws [Article VIII, Section 2I]. The election shall comply with these Bylaws

and any rules and procedures adopted for the Delegate Assembly at the start of the meeting. Such rules and procedures may allow uncontested nominees to be deemed elected without a vote.

F. If there is more than one nominee for a Director position, the nominee receiving the majority of the votes of the Delegates shall be elected. If no nominee receives a majority vote of the Delegates, a runoff election shall be conducted between the two nominees receiving the greater numbers of votes, and the election shall be repeated for that position as many times as necessary to obtain a majority.

SECTION 3. DUTIES. The Board shall supervise, control, and direct affairs of the Association in accordance with the Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, beliefs, and Advocacy Agenda approved by the Delegate Assembly. The Board shall:

A. Actively promote the mission, beliefs, and purposes of the Association.

B. Adopt the Association's budget and have discretion in the disbursement of the Association's funds.

C. Receive any devise, bequest, donation, or gift — either for real or personal property — and hold the same in absolute title or in trust; and invest, reinvest, and manage such property consistently with the mission and purposes of the Association.

D. Establish such Board policies as it deems appropriate in fulfilling its responsibilities under these Bylaws.

E. Appoint such agents as it may consider necessary.

SECTION 4. COMPOSITION.

A. The Association's governing body shall be composed of Directors from Large Districts or Association Regions. No Active Member shall have more than one individual serving on the Board.

B. All Directors shall represent their respective Association Regions, except the President, President-Elect, and Immediate Past President.

C. By virtue of student enrollment, individual Active Members or Association Regions may be eligible for a Director position as follows:

(1) (a) Not more than 14 Active Members shall be entitled to Large District Director positions. To qualify for a Large District Director position, the Active Member shall have had at least 1.25 percent of the total state ADA for two consecutive school years. If more than 14 Active Members qualify for Large District Director positions under this provision, the 14 Active Members with the largest ADA shall qualify.

(b) If the Active Member's ADA falls below 1.25 percent of the total state ADA for two consecutive school years or if an Active Member has qualified for a Large District Director position and that Active Member is no longer one of the 14 school districts with the ADA required under Article VI, Section 4C(1)(a), the Active Member's entitlement to a Large District Director position shall end with the expiration of the current representative's term.

(2) (a) An Association Region shall be entitled to Regional Director positions for each 4.25 percent or fraction thereof of the total state ADA contained within the Association Region for two consecutive school years and after the ADA of each Active Member qualifying for a position by virtue of Article VI, Section 4 C(1), has been subtracted. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Association Region shall be entitled to no more than three Regional Director positions.

(b) If an Association Region becomes entitled to multiple positions by this provision and then fails to sustain sufficient ADA for the entitlement over two consecutive school years, a Regional Director position shall be eliminated as follows:

- i. If a vacancy exists in the Regional Director position, that position shall be eliminated.
- ii. If there is more than one vacancy in the Regional Director positions, the vacant position with the first expiring term shall be eliminated.
- iii. If there is no vacancy in the Regional Director positions, the existing position with the first expiring term within the Region shall be eliminated at the end of that term.
- iv. If there is no vacancy and more than one Regional Director position having the first expiring term in the same year, the position being held by the individual with the least tenure as a Regional Director shall be eliminated at the end of that term.
- v. If there is no vacancy and more than one Regional Director position having the first expiring term in the same year and being held by individuals with the same tenure, there shall be a drawing of lots to determine which Regional Director position shall be eliminated at the end of the term.

D. All calculations under this section shall be based on ADA data furnished by the Texas Education Agency available as of April 1 preceding the annual Delegate Assembly.

E. The Executive Director shall be a nonvoting *ex officio* Director and shall not be counted in the quorum of the Board.

F. The ESC boards shall be represented by one voting *ex officio* Director selected by a process and for a term prescribed by guidelines established by the ESC boards, but shall not be counted in the quorum of the Board.

## SECTION 5. DURATION OF OFFICE.

A. Unless stated in these Bylaws otherwise, a Board year or annual period relating to a Director or the business of the Board commences at the official close of the annual convention and ends after the same event in the next year.

B. The term of office of each Director shall be three years and shall begin at the completion of the final official session of the annual convention during which the Director was elected by the annual Delegate Assembly.

C. Terms of Directors shall be staggered to allow, to the extent possible, for the election of one-third of the Directors each year. New Director positions shall be assigned to terms to retain this balance; however, if this is not possible, the assignment of terms shall be decided by drawing of lots.

D. Upon election to a three-year term, a Director may be reelected to no more than three additional terms. For purposes of determining a Director term limit, service time attaches to the individual and not the Association Region with which the Director is associated.

E. Upon election or succession to the office of President-Elect, the Director position previously held shall be declared vacant and a successor elected, except as provided in Article VI, Section 4A. Once elected President-Elect, the term limit that applies to a Director position shall no longer apply and shall not prevent the individual from completing the term of one year as President-Elect, one year as President, and one year as Immediate Past President.

#### SECTION 6. RESIGNATION AND REMOVAL.

A. A Director may resign by submitting a letter of resignation to the President. The resignation shall become effective upon receipt by the President.

B. A Director who is absent from three consecutive regularly scheduled Board meetings or from three consecutive regularly scheduled Standing Committee meetings may be removed from the Director position by a majority vote of all Directors. A Large District Director removed pursuant to this section shall be ineligible to serve for the remainder of the term to which the Director was elected.

C. Any Director may be removed by a two-thirds vote of the Board when, in the Board's judgment, the best interests of the Association would be served by removal.

#### SECTION 7. MEETINGS.

A. The Board shall hold at least four regular meetings that shall be spread throughout the year, with one taking place during the summer and the last meeting taking place during the week of the annual Delegate Assembly. The Executive Committee of the Board shall determine the dates and locations of the meetings for the upcoming Board year and report the meeting schedule to the Board before the Board year commences. The Board also shall be given 30 days' notice before each regular meeting by electronic means or by any other means accessible to the Directors.

B. Additional meetings of the Board may be called by the President or by the written request of a majority of the Board, provided that a written notice is sent to each Director at least 10 days before the meeting.

C. A meeting of the Board or a committee may be conducted in person or by alternate means, such as teleconference, videoconference, virtual, or any other means by which each participant can communicate with all other participants.

#### SECTION 8. QUORUM AND VOTING.

A. A quorum shall consist of a majority of the Board.

B. Unless required otherwise by law, the Articles of Incorporation, or these Bylaws, a vote required or permitted to be taken shall be based on the “present and voting” parliamentary standard. No proxy voting shall be permitted.

C. Any action required to be taken at a meeting of Directors, or any action which may be taken at a meeting of the Directors or any committee, may be taken without a meeting if a consent in writing, setting forth the action to be taken, shall have been signed or executed by the number of Directors or committee members as would be necessary to take that action at a meeting at which Directors or members of the committee were present and voted. The Board, by policy or resolution, may increase the number of votes required for an action taken by written consent. A written consent shall be signed or executed and dated by each Director or committee member, and consent may be provided in multiple counterparts. Directors or committee members may provide written consent by facsimile, email (from the email address of record), or any other form of written action from the Director or committee member.

SECTION 9. VACANCIES. The Board may fill vacancies that occur in Director positions by electing an individual, by majority vote, to fill the vacancy until the next annual Delegate Assembly, in accordance with Board policy. At that time, a candidate shall be elected by the annual Delegate Assembly to fill the unexpired term in accordance with Article VI, Section 2D.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Budget Amendment Regarding Use of 2018 Bond Program Contingency Funds**  
**References: Board Policy CV (Local) District Goal 5**  
**Department: Operations**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval for the use of 2018 Bond Program Contingency as proposed.

**Summary**

PKG050 Crawford High School (HS12) and several district wide projects were identified as projects requiring funds. The 2018 Bond Program was established with an initial program contingency of \$5,019,364 intended to address unforeseen critical issues. The cumulative program contingency as of April 30, 2023 is \$2,970,220.59.

It is important to note that the Program Contingency discussed above is a “working” program contingency account that fluctuates on a day-to-day basis as surplus budgeted funds are contributed to the account, or as the Board approves the use of available funds.

**Background**

The Program Contingency is comprised of the initial approved contingency budget and any surplus budget from completed projects or amounts unspent due to a change of scope. The table below includes projects that will be recommended for approval at the June 26 Board meeting and reflects the projected Program Contingency balance as of June 2023.

Description	Budget (Shortage)/Surplus
Cumulative Program Contingency as of April 30, 2023	\$2,970,220.59
<i>Contributions</i>	
Contribution Sub-Total	\$0.00
<i>Uses</i>	
PKG099 Facilities Department Truck Replacement	(\$33,094.00)
PKG099 Miscellaneous Projects	(\$90,026.00)
PKG099 Willowridge HS Freezer Concrete Work	(\$8,790.00)
PKG099 Missouri City MS Gym Flooring Replacement	(\$115,000.00)
PKG099 Lake Olympia Transportation Ice Machine	(\$11,557.11)
PKG099 Admin Building Flood Insurance Deductible	(\$550,000.00)
PKG050 Crawford High School (HS12) (04/17/2023 contribution reversed)	(\$1,000,000.00)
Use Sub-Total	(\$1,808,467.11)

Net Proposed Activity June 2023	(\$1,808,467.11)
Cumulative Bond Program Contingency as of June 19, 2023	\$1,161,753.48

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Christopher Juntti  
Chief Operations Officer (Interim)

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Fort Bend Epicenter as venue  
for 2023-24 Commencement  
Ceremonies**  
**References: Board Policy FMH (LOCAL)  
District Goal 2**  
**Department: Deputy Superintendent**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the Event License Agreement, and other related agreements between Fort Bend ISD and the Fort Bend Epicenter for the 2023-24 Commencement exercises.

### **Summary**

The Administration is recommending that the FBISD Class of 2024 graduation ceremonies be held at the Fort Bend Epicenter in May 2024. The administration requests authorization to complete negotiations and execute the Agreement with Epicenter. The rental agreement for use of the Fort Bend Epicenter includes equipment and services for the event. This agreement will be effective upon the date of execution and use of the Fort Bend Epicenter will begin on the first graduation date in May 2024 and end on the last graduation date in May 2024.

The rental rate will be \$253,000 (based on two or three days). The 2022-23 quote from the Toyota Center was \$397,406 (for three days). Neither quote includes costs for EMS, Fire Marshal, Security & Police, catering, AV support, etc. Besides facility rent, these costs are required for each venue. The Epicenter estimate for these services for 2023-24 is \$103,000. The Toyota Center quote for the same services was \$217,000. These costs are variable and will not be certain until we receive the final invoice.

The rental contract will be for 5 years with a not to exceed amount of \$1,431,485 for facility rent only. This is based on a 5% increase in rent each year and an additional \$10,627 for ACHS graduations starting in 2026. The contract spells out the need for EMS, Fire Marshal, Security and Police, catering, AV support, etc. Those costs are estimated to be \$103,000 for 2023-24. The authorization for payment to the Fort Bend Epicenter will be an amount not to exceed \$356,000 (\$253,000 for rent and \$103,000 for other services provided by the Epicenter.)

While the rental contract is for five years, we will continue to bring this item to the Board for consideration each year to request spending authorization for the other services required for graduation such as EMS, Fire Marshal, Security and Police, catering, AV support, etc.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Steven Bassett  
Deputy Superintendent

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-059TA Texas Department of Information Resources (DIR) and Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA)</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Print Shop Equipment, Maintenance and Supplies</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of print shop equipment, maintenance and supplies from multiple cooperative contracts in an amount not-to-exceed \$964,877 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through June 2027.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>On March 23, 2020, the Board approved RFP 20-063JB Copier Lease, Maintenance, and Related Items, which expires in March 2023.</p> <p>The recommended vendor will install, maintain, repair and provide training for new production equipment that aligns with the Print Shop’s current volume and projected future growth. Print Shop equipment refresh typically occurs every three (3) years to avoid equipment failure and maintenance downtime. This refresh will allow the Print Shop to maintain its delivery of quality print production services to the District. The significance of this particular refresh is that it will bring cost savings to the District and allow the Print Shop to deliver quality wide-format print projects like outdoor banners, yard signs, etc., at competitive rates. This is not something that the Print Shop has been able to provide to the District competitively and qualitatively due to the lack of a wide-format printer.</p> <p>Campuses and departments will benefit from the wide format printer capabilities in several ways. With the closing of the Teacher Center and the campus’ inability to purchase poster makers with District funds, the Print Shop can centralize these activities. In addition, this purchase will reduce the time teachers spend away from teaching activities. In the past 5 years, the District has averaged about \$140k per year on outsourced signage. These intangible tweaks can add up over time and impact time and money spent in a positive way.</p> <p>Inflation has impacted every facet of Fort Bend ISD’s business, and by reconfiguring the Print Shop’s equipment lineup, the District can minimize the increase in costs for new equipment. Equipping the Print Shop with wide-format printing capability will ultimately translate into savings and an increase in business, will foster growth, and provide an increase in revenue.</p> <p>Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 et seq as amended allows Fort Bend ISD) to enter into Inter-local agreements with any other Government Entity as defined in the Texas</p>

	<p>Government Code §791.001-791.029. Subparagraph (3) further stipulates that "Governmental functions and services" means all or part of a function or service in any of the following areas: (N) other governmental functions in which the contracting parties are mutually interested. Subparagraph (4) defines "Local government" to mean a: (A) county, municipality, special district, junior college district, or other political subdivision of this state or another state; and subparagraph (5) "Political subdivision" includes any corporate and political entity organized under state law.</p> <p>The Texas Department of Information Resources (DIR) and Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA) cooperatives offer quality, legal procurement, and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. Contracts may only be used by governmental entities, such as school districts, charter schools, colleges and universities, municipalities, counties, other government agencies, and nonprofits. Staff at Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA) complete the legal, competitively bid government procurement process.</p> <p>Texas Department of Information Resources (DIR) and Purchasing Solutions Alliance (PSA) utilizes standard templates, which are reviewed and updated by PSA legal counsel to comply with procurement law requirements, as appropriate, specified in Texas Educ. Code § 44, Texas Local Gov't. Codes § 262 and 271, and in the case of job order contracts, Texas Government Code § 2269, and Federal Regulations Code 24 CFR 85.36. We also meet all cooperative requirements of the EDGAR/Uniform Guidance/2 CFR 200.</p> <p>The cooperative contracts will save the District money by reducing outsourcing while increasing revenue through implementing the wide-format program. Additional purchases may be made through other vendors utilizing Federal, State, and Regional Cooperative Contracts previously approved by the Board of Trustees. Renewal options are available through June 2027. Should either contract not renew for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract or an alternate procurement method.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures for 2021-22 were \$178,256. Expenditures will not exceed \$964,877 through June 2027. Funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer Richard Gay, Executive Director Business Services
Vendor:	UBEO Business Services
Budget Sources:	Enterprise Funds
Amount:	Not to Exceed \$964,877 through June 2027
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A

Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through June 2027
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* *Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.*

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Construction Services**  
**Agreement for Progressive High School**  
**Parking Lot Replacement (PKG053)**  
**References: Board Policy CVF (Legal)**  
**District Goal 5**  
**Department: Operations**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a construction services agreement with E Contractors LLC to perform a parking lot replacement at Progressive High School (PKG053), for a contract amount not-to-exceed \$765,000.00, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreement.

**Summary**

On April 2022, the FBISD Board of Trustees approved the project budget for PKG053 Progressive HS Parking Lot Replacement, and design efforts began immediately after board approval.

The Competitive Sealed Proposal (CSP) solicitation, CSP 23-017KB, requested bids for the parking lot replacement, and eight firms responded to the CSP.

Design & Construction evaluated the proposals using an evaluation team composed of FBISD staff members from the Design and Construction, Procurement, and Finance Departments. The Director of Purchasing and Materials Management served in an oversight capacity for this process.

The final ranking of the contractors are as follows:

Firm Name	CSP Score	Base Bid Amount
E Contractors LLC	84.40	\$715,000
Bass Construction Company, Inc.	78.83	\$739,000
Prime Contractors, Inc.	73.31	\$1,000,000
Nash Industries, Inc.	72.21	\$824,829
FMG Construction Group LLC	69.32	\$731,877
ERC Environmental & Construction Services, Inc.	62.23	\$817,654
Corestone Construction Services	62.07	\$769,064

ASD Consultants, Inc.	60.69	\$827,582
-----------------------	-------	-----------

Negotiated contract amounts will be funded within Progressive High School Parking Lot Replacement Project Budget.

Upon Board approval, staff will negotiate the contract for Progressive High School Parking Lot Replacement with E Contractors LLC.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Christopher Juntti  
Chief Operations Officer (Interim)

**CSP 23-017KB PKG053 Progressive High School Parking Lot Replacment**

	<b>Evaluation Criteria (Government Code 2269)</b>	<b>Point System</b>
1	<b>The price; Section 2269.055.a (1)</b> Total Proposed Pricing - Provides thoroughly developed, competitive pricing using the tables in Pricing Delivery information section of the CSP	40 points
2	<b>Offeror's experience and reputation; Section 2269.055.a (2)</b> Provides a summary of nature of work, on time delivery and quality of recent work contracted with FBISD and/or other school districts of similar scope and scale. (14 pts)	19 points
	<b>Past experience with FBISD and other school districts</b> Provides a summary of nature of work, on time delivery and quality of work contracted with FBISD and/or other school districts and FBISD's assessment of the presented summary. (5 pts)	
3	<b>Quality of the offeror's goods or services; Section 2269.055.a (3)</b> Contractor's products should be new and be of the highest quality with an option to substitute for a company branded item of equivalent quality. (14pts)	21 points
	<b>Quality of contractor's response in the proposal</b> Effectively responds and processes all request for information and documentation included in this CSP (2pts)	
	<b>Project Plan and schedule (5pts)</b>	
4	<b>Utilization of historically underutilized businesses; Section 2269.055.a (4)</b>	N/A
5	<b>Offeror's safety record; Section 2269.055.a (5)</b> Provides a summary of Experience Modification Rate (EMR) for the last three years, as well as a summary of your company's safety policies and procedures	5 points
6	<b>Offeror's proposed personnel; Section 2269.055.a (6)</b> Proposed Personnel, personnel directly assigned to work on this project	5 points
7	<b>Offeror's financial capability appropriate to the size and scope of the project; Section 2269.055.a (7)</b> Provide proof of Insurance, financial stability and Letter of Surety from Bonding Company	5 points
8	<b>SBE Commitment; CV (Local) 2017.04</b>	5 points
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 points</b>

**Tabulation Summary**  
**CSP 23-017KB PKG053 Progressive High School Parking Lot Replacement**

Vendor	Purchase Price (40 pts. max)	Offeror's Experience and Reputation, Past Experience with FBISD and other school districts (19 pts. max)	Quality of the offeror's goods and services, Quality of contractor's response in the proposal, Project Plan and Schedule (21 pts. max)	Offeror's safety record (5 pts max)	Offeror's proposed personnel (5 pts max)	Offeror's financial capability ( 5 pts max)	SBEP commitment (5pts max)	Proposer's Total Score (100 pts. max)
E Contractors LLC	40.00	13.36	15.85	3.18	3.05	3.97	5.00	84.40
Bass Construction Company, Inc.	38.70	12.29	13.15	3.28	2.55	3.87	5.00	78.83
Prime Contractors, Inc.	28.60	13.19	14.50	4.43	3.10	4.50	5.00	73.31
Nash Industries, Inc.	34.67	10.34	12.20	4.45	2.20	3.35	5.00	72.21
FMG Construction Group LLC	39.08	6.47	14.55	3.48	1.95	1.30	2.50	69.32
ERC Environmental & Construction Services, Inc.	34.98	9.50	8.10	3.23	2.75	3.68	0.00	62.23
Corestone Construction Services	37.19	8.54	4.20	3.45	1.60	2.09	5.00	62.07
ASD Consultants, Inc.	34.56	3.68	10.25	3.63	2.20	3.87	2.50	60.69

**Package 53 - Progressive HS Parking Lot Replacement**

**EXHIBIT - 1**

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	
PROGRESSIVE HIGH SCHOOL	\$124,102		\$54,550	\$776,750	\$116,513			\$0		\$1,071,915
<b>TOTAL:</b>	\$124,102		\$54,550	\$776,750	\$116,513			\$0		<b>\$1,071,915</b>

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-085ED The Interlocal Purchasing System (TIPS) Purchasing Cooperative</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Data Analytics and Assessment Platform</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of a data analytics platform to track assessments, maintain student data, and support student achievement from Eduphoria under a cooperative contract through The Interlocal Purchasing System, (TIPS) in an amount not to exceed \$1,717,765 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through July 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under §44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, and Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000 or greater.</p> <p>Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 The Interlocal Cooperation Act et seq as amended, allows local governments like FBISD the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest possible extent, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions. Government Entity is defined in the Texas Government Code §791.001-791.029 (3) Governmental functions and services means all or part of a function or service in any of the following areas: (N) other governmental functions in which the contracting parties are mutually interested, (4) defines "Local government" to mean a: (A) county, municipality, special district, junior college district, or other political subdivision of this state or another state; and subparagraph (5) "Political subdivision" includes any corporate and political entity organized under state law.</p> <p>Local Government Code § 271.102, Cooperative Purchasing Program Participation (a) A local government may participate in a cooperative purchasing program with another local government of this state or another state or with a local cooperative organization of this state or another state. Government Code § 791.025 (c) A local government that purchases goods and services under this section satisfies the requirement of the local government to seek competitive bids for the purchase of the goods and services. Once a school district has determined that a local cooperative purchasing program will afford the district the best value, it need not follow any specific competitive procurement process.</p>

This platform is a comprehensive, web-based data analytics and assessment management system that offers a wide range of features and benefits, including:

- The ability to aggregate data from multiple sources, such as student assessments, attendance records, and demographic information.
- A user-friendly interface that allows educators to access and analyze data quickly and easily.
- Advanced analytics tools that provide detailed insights into student performance, including trend analysis and predictive modeling.
- Customizable dashboards that enable educators to track progress toward specific goals.
- Automated reporting capabilities that help educators communicate student progress to parents, administrators, and other stakeholders.

The platform also provides the tools needed to make data-driven decisions that will benefit students. The platform will enable us to identify areas where students are struggling and provide targeted interventions to help them succeed. It will also help us track progress over time and adjust our instructional approach as needed.

In addition to supporting student achievement, Eduphoria will also streamline our data management processes, saving time and reducing administrative burdens for our educators. By centralizing all FBISD data in one platform, we can eliminate redundancies and ensure everyone can access the information they need to make informed decisions. Before selecting Eduphoria, we sought feedback from multiple stakeholders, including district departments, teachers, interventionists, campus assessment coordinators, and principals. We asked them to provide feedback on what type of platform would best meet their needs. Their input was critical in helping us make an informed decision, and we are confident that Eduphoria is the right choice for our district. The contract period for this cooperative contract shall be a 5-year initial contract, pending the Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees approval and availability of funds.

Renewal options are available through June 2028. Should either contract not renew for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract, or an alternate procurement method.

### **Background**

This is a cooperative contract through The Interlocal Purchasing System, (TIPS) a national purchasing cooperative that offers legal procurement,

	<p>and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. TIPS pools the purchasing power of public agencies, achieves bulk volume discounts on behalf of these public agencies. Contracts may only be used by governmental entities, such as school districts, charter schools, colleges and universities, municipalities, counties, other government agencies, and nonprofits. TIPS is under the auspicious of the Region 8 Educational Support Center (ESC) serving in the role as the Lead Agency. Region 8 ESC located in Pittsburgh, Texas and is one of 20 Regional Education Service Centers within Texas that assists school districts in improving student performance and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of school operations, as commissioned by the Texas State Legislature, and was formed under Section 791.</p> <p>TIPS procures and awards all vendor contracts in compliance with the procurement law requirements, as appropriate, specified in Texas Educ. Code § 44, Texas Local Gov't. Codes § 262 and 271, and Federal Regulations Code 24 CFR 85.36 and other applicable federal "EDGAR" regulations, 2 CFR Part 200, as interpreted by the US Department of Education. Vendor agreement/contract awards are made when scoring is completed pursuant to a delegation of authority by the Region 8 Board of Directors and reported to the Board at regular scheduled monthly meetings held in compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act. The laws of many states throughout the nation permit interlocal cooperation and interlocal agreements with other public entities in the United States. Each solicitation contains language, which advises all vendors that the subsequent contract may be used by other government agencies throughout the United States. This language is based on the lead jurisdiction "Joint Powers Authority" which awards the contract based on a competitive solicitation and thorough evaluation process.</p> <p>Expenditures for FY 2021-22 were \$328,275 and 2022-23 were \$99,749 for a total of \$428,024, which were previously included in the authorization for Multiple Integrated Systems. Expenditures are not expected to exceed \$1,717,765 through July 2028. Funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Kim Lawson, Chief Academic Officer Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer
Vendors:	Eduphoria
Budget Sources:	General Fund Federal Funds Instructional Materials Allotment Campus Activity Funds
Amount:	Not to exceed \$1,717,765 through July 2028
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by FBISD:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A

Length of commitment:	Through July 2028
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>RFP 23-003KB-01</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental)</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental) and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through November 2027.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>On November 14, 2022, the Board approved RFP 23-003KB Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services. This RFP provided the opportunity to review and select vendors that are eligible to provide instructional software for District and/or campus use in alignment with instructional needs to supplement adopted resources. Thirteen (13) vendors were awarded on the RFP approved in November 2022.</p> <p>On February 23, 2023, Fort Bend ISD issued a supplemental RFP 23-003KB-01 Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental). The purpose of this proposed action is to add additional vendors to the list of previously approved vendors. The originally approved length of commitment and authorized expenditure amount does not change. The Business and Finance Department, in conjunction with the Teaching and Learning Department, conducted a supplemental Request for Proposal (RFP) to add vendors to the authorized list to ensure the District has a comprehensive set of resources that aligned with the district's instructional programs.</p> <p>As with the previous instructional software RFP, Fort Bend ISD staff members from various departments and campuses evaluated the proposals in accordance with instructional expectations and alignment to District goals. The vendors were selected by the evaluation team because they represent the best overall value for the District. They applied the "Best Value" process in selecting the vendors to be awarded in accordance with Chapter 44, §44.031 (b) of the Texas Education Code &amp; CH (Local) CH (Legal) purchasing and acquisition district policies.</p> <p>The recommended vendor proposals provided comprehensive information about virtual learning, meet a variety of diverse needs for various departments, and their products align with instructional priorities that support both virtual and printed materials. The District will generate a list of approved vendors for instructional software that may be used to support the curriculum, technology integration, and provide options for campuses to purchase supplemental resources for classroom use.</p>

	<p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures for FY 2021-22 were \$4,469,671, which were previously included in the Instructional Resources authorization. Expenditures are not expected to exceed \$15,000,000 through November 2027. Funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Kim Lawson, Chief Academic Officer Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer
Vendors:	<p>ALL in Learning***  Carolina Biological Supply Company***  Committee for Children***  Coughlan Companies LLC dba Capstone***  CS Educational Services LLC/Think Law  Edmentum, Inc.  eReflect, Inc.  FEV Tutor, Inc.  Imagine Learning***  Jupiter Education Services, Inc. dba Red Comet  Lead4ward LLC***  Magazine Subscription Service Agency***  Magma Math  NS4ed LLC  San Jae Educational Resources, Inc.  TestOut Corporation  Thinking Nation</p>
Budget Sources:	<p>General Fund  Federal Funds  Instructional Materials Allotment  Campus Activity Funds</p>
Amount:	Not to exceed \$15,000,000 through November 2027
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by FBISD:	6434
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	171
Number of responses received:	36
Number of "no bid" responses received:	7
Length of commitment:	Through November 2027
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	Evaluation Summary and Criteria
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\*Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District

**RFP 23-003KB-01 Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental)**

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Point System</b>
1	<b>Purchase Price</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Price quoted should be based on information within the proposal</li> <li>Offer a fair reasonable price for items to be procured by Fort Bend ISD</li> </ul>	25 points
2	<b>Reputation of the Vendor and of the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vendor should have a solid reputation with other ISD's, Government or Collegiate entities that show a high level of customer service, a high level of quality of goods or services</li> <li>Experience: Use and success of the product(s) and/or services in school districts or similar entities. (References will be contacted via e-mail with a deadline. If no response is received by the deadline, there will be points deducted in this section.)</li> </ul>	5 points
3	<b>Quality of the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Service capabilities</li> <li>Demonstrates competence: experience, etc.</li> <li>Configuration and installation, integration, implementation of digital resources</li> <li>Relevant experience with school curriculum/content knowledge as it pertains to proposal specifications</li> <li>Experience and competence in dealing with large school districts</li> <li>Customer service indicative of sound delivery of services</li> </ul>	25 points
4	<b>Extent to Which the Goods or Services Meet the District's Needs</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vendor's goods align to support the District instructional priority in the identified content area</li> <li>Alignment to the Standards/TEKS</li> <li>Balance of digital and print materials</li> <li>Ability to integrate with existing programs/ materials/databases</li> </ul>	20 points
5	<b>Vendor's Past Relationship with the District</b> For reference, the vendor shall list the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Past projects or contracts for similar service vendor has had with the district.</li> <li>Past projects or contracts for similar service vendor has had with any K-12 districts of similar size or larger</li> <li>Past projects or contracts of similar service vendor has had with any business or universities the size of our District</li> </ul>	5 points
6	<b>Long-Term Cost to the District to Acquire the Vendor's Goods or Services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Setup fee; or other fees and other added costs</li> </ul>	10 points
7	<b>Vendor's Principal Place of Business is in the State of Texas or Employs 500 People in this State.</b>	0 points
8	<b>Insurance Requirements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Certificate of Insurance as requested in the solicitation</li> <li>Certificate of Insurance with the limits outlined, without Fort Bend ISD listed as the certificate holder</li> <li>Letter from the vendor's insurance carrier on the insurance carrier's letterhead to Fort Bend ISD</li> </ul>	Pass/Fail
9	<b>Service Agreement</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extent to which the vendor agrees to our Standard Form of Agreement. <b>By signing the Agreement</b>, you assent to the Terms and Conditions of Fort Bend ISD.</li> </ul>	10 points
10	<b>The impact on the ability of the district to comply with laws and rules relating to Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB)</b>	N/A
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 points</b>

**Tabulation Summary**  
**RFP 23-003KB-01 Instructional Software, Subscriptions, Related Products and Services (Supplemental)**

<b>Vendor</b>	<b>Purchase Price (25 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Reputation of Vendor and Vendor's Goods and Services (5 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Quality of Vendors Goods and Services (25 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Extent to which the Goods and Services Meet the Needs of the District (20 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Vendors Past Relationship with the District (5 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Long Term Cost to the District (10 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Agreement to Fort Bend ISD Terms and Conditions (10 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Proposer's Total Score (100 pts. Max)</b>	<b>Proposer's Ranking</b>
San Jae Educational Resources, Inc.	25.00	1.00	20.33	17.33	2.50	10.00	10.00	86.16	1
Jupiter Education Services, Inc. dba Red Comet	20.00	4.00	17.40	13.60	3.20	10.00	10.00	78.20	2
Thinking Nation	15.00	2.00	21.80	18.60	3.80	6.00	10.00	77.20	3
ALL in Learning	15.00	2.00	21.00	17.60	5.00	6.00	10.00	76.60	4
Lead4ward LLC	15.00	3.00	24.17	20.00	4.67	4.00	5.00	75.84	5
FEV Tutor, Inc.	15.00	2.00	20.60	18.20	3.80	6.00	10.00	75.60	6
Edmentum, Inc.	15.00	3.00	23.60	18.00	4.60	6.00	5.00	75.20	7
NS4ed LLC	15.00	4.00	20.50	16.25	3.00	6.00	10.00	74.75	8
Committee for Children	15.00	2.00	23.40	19.40	3.80	6.00	5.00	74.60	9
Imagine Learning	15.00	3.00	23.67	17.00	4.83	6.00	5.00	74.50	10
Coughlan Companies LLC dba Capstone	15.00	2.00	22.80	18.60	4.80	4.00	5.00	72.20	11
Magma Math	15.00	2.00	20.17	15.67	3.17	6.00	10.00	72.01	12
eReflect, Inc.	15.00	1.00	19.67	16.00	4.17	6.00	10.00	71.84	13
Carolina Biological Supply Company	15.00	2.00	21.00	15.00	4.20	4.00	10.00	71.20	14
TestOut Corporation	15.00	0.50	20.00	15.75	3.75	6.00	10.00	71.00	15
Magazine Subscription Service Agency	20.00	1.00	14.50	11.50	3.25	10.00	10.00	70.25	16
CS Educational Services LLC/Think Law	15.00	2.00	17.75	15.75	3.50	6.00	10.00	70.00	17
SchoolsPLP	15.00	1.00	18.60	14.80	3.00	6.00	10.00	68.40	18
Life is Learning, Inc.	15.00	1.00	15.75	13.75	2.75	10.00	10.00	68.25	19

Vendor	Purchase Price (25 pts. Max)	Reputation of Vendor and Vendor's Goods and Services (5 pts. Max)	Quality of Vendors Goods and Services (25 pts. Max)	Extent to which the Goods and Services Meet the Needs of the District (20 pts. Max)	Vendors Past Relationship with the District (5 pts. Max)	Long Term Cost to the District (10 pts. Max)	Agreement to Fort Bend ISD Terms and Conditions (10 pts. Max)	Proposer's Total Score (100 pts. Max)	Proposer's Ranking
Age of Learning, Inc.	15.00	3.00	19.17	15.33	4.33	6.00	5.00	67.83	20
Peoples Education, Inc. dba Mastery Education	15.00	1.00	17.60	14.20	4.00	6.00	10.00	67.80	21
Mackin Educational Resources	15.00	2.00	15.83	14.00	3.50	6.00	10.00	66.33	22
Quizizz	15.00	0.50	17.40	13.60	3.00	6.00	10.00	65.50	23
Knowsys Educational Services LLC	15.00	3.00	16.40	13.80	2.60	4.00	10.00	64.80	24
United Thoughts	20.00	0.50	11.00	8.80	3.60	10.00	10.00	63.90	25
Novel Effect, Inc.	15.00	2.00	16.00	11.60	3.00	6.00	10.00	63.60	26
Remind101, Inc.	15.00	3.00	16.40	14.20	3.20	6.00	5.00	62.80	27
The Continental Press, Inc.	15.00	1.00	16.00	13.33	3.33	4.00	10.00	62.66	28
EliteGamingLive	15.00	0.50	15.50	12.00	3.25	6.00	10.00	62.25	29
Edpuzzle, Inc.	15.00	2.00	15.80	12.20	3.00	6.00	5.00	59.00	30
Mastering Wellness Together	15.00	0.50	16.00	13.00	2.20	6.00	5.00	57.70	31
Sunrise VR	15.00	0.50	12.50	10.75	2.50	6.00	10.00	57.25	32
Learning Farm LLC	15.00	1.00	11.00	10.00	2.60	6.00	10.00	55.60	33
Read Naturally	15.00	1.00	11.00	11.00	3.33	4.00	10.00	55.33	34
Gynzy	15.00	2.00	10.60	9.20	2.40	6.00	10.00	55.20	35
Seesaw Learning, Inc.	15.00	2.00	14.00	10.60	3.20	4.00	5.00	53.80	36

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-051AB Central Texas Purchasing Alliance and Choice Partners Cooperative</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Trucking and Trailer Rental Services</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of trucking and trailer rental services from various vendors, under cooperative contracts with Central Texas Purchasing Alliance (CTPA) and Choice Partners Purchasing cooperatives in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,200,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreements through July 2026.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>On April 11, 2018, Fort Bend ISD released RFP 18-058MH requesting pricing for Trucking Service and Trailer Rentals utilized by the District's Fine Arts Department. Box trucks and semi-tractors are used to transport instruments and equipment for band and orchestra students performing or competing in football games, marching band contests, concerts, and music festivals. The box trucks are also used to transport theater props and equipment for high school and middle school One-Act Play contests throughout the year. The vendors awarded contracts under this solicitation will expire on July 22, 2023.</p> <p>Fort Bend Independent School District is a member in good standing of the Central Texas Purchasing Alliance (CTPA), an alliance of over 210 school districts in Texas representing over a million students, sharing information, services, and contractual opportunities. CTPA is an alliance created in accordance with Section 791.001 of the Texas Government Code through interlocal agreements.</p> <p>District contracts may be adopted by other active CTPA member districts. By adopting a contract from another CTPA member district, the adopting district has met the competitive bidding requirements established by the Texas Education Code, Section 44.0331(a)(4) and as required by the adopting district's policies. There is no obligation on either party to participate unless both parties agree. The goods and services provided under the contract will be at the same or better pricing and purchasing terms established by the originating district.</p> <p>The various cooperative purchasing contracts will allow the District to meet the trucking and trailer rental needs efficiently and effectively and complies with school district bidding requirements. Renewal options are available through July 2026. Should either contract not be renewed for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract, or an alternate procurement method.</p>

	<p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures in 2021-22 were \$382,392 for these services and year-to-date expenditures are \$343,256. Expenditures will not exceed \$1,200,000 through July 2026 and funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Kim Lawson, Chief Academic Officer Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer Kwabena Mensah, Chief of Schools
Vendor:	Clark Freight Lines, Inc.*** EAN Holdings dba Enterprise PV Rentals*** Penske RoadRunner Moving & Storage*** Ryder Truck Rental dba Ryder Transportation Services, Inc. Swift International Service Group, LLC. ***
Budget Sources:	General Fund
Amount:	Not to Exceed - \$1,200,000 through July 2026
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through July 2026
Last solicitation date:	April 11, 2018
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Budget Amendment**  
**References: Board Policy CE (Legal)**  
**District Goal 5**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a General Fund and Debt Service Fund budget amendment for 2022-23.

*Note: Recommendation and Summary subject to change pending updated projection of 2022-23 year-end financial results.*

**Summary**

Fund 199 (General Fund)

Pending final projection of 2022-23 year-end financial results

Fund 240 (Child Nutrition Fund)

Pending final projection of 2022-23 year-end financial results

Fund 599 (Debt Service Fund)

Pending final projection of 2022-23 year-end financial results

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: 2023-24 Budget**  
**References: Board Policy CE (Legal)**  
**Board Governance**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of the 2023-24 General Fund (199), Debt Service Fund (599), and Child Nutrition Fund (240) budgets as presented in the Fiscal Year 2023-24 budget document.

### **Summary**

Administration has presented and discussed the proposed 2022-23 budget during a series of Board workshops that began in January. The District published the proposed budget on Wednesday, May 24, 2023 or Thursday May 25, 2023 in the Fort Bend Star, the Fort Bend Independent and the Fort Bend Herald, and a public hearing is being conducted on June 5, 2023 as required by law. At the public hearing any taxpayer in the District may be present and participate through citizens' comments after the presentation.

### **Background**

The Texas Education Code (TEC) and the Texas Education Agency (TEA) require that the Board of Trustees prepare a budget by June 19th for districts with a July 1 fiscal year. The official District budget must be adopted at the fund and function level and must include the General Fund (Fund 199), Debt Service Fund (Fund 599), and Child Nutrition Fund (Fund 240). The budget meets the requirements of the Texas Education Code.

The proposed general operating budget for 2023-24 of \$766.6M represents the efforts of the District leadership to present a plan that provides the resources necessary to support the District mission, Board goals, and strategic priorities, while maintaining accountability to its stakeholders. The budget includes staffing necessary for anticipated student and program growth.

It is possible to amend the proposed budget during the 2023-24 school year to address any additional strategic objectives identified by the Board and Superintendent. Additional amendments could be needed to the 2023-24 budget if the state legislature increases school funding during special sessions that may be called by the governor.

The proposed budget of \$124.7M for the Debt Service Fund reflects the debt schedule and estimates for the Commercial Paper Program. It is anticipated that additional amendments will be needed in 2023-24 for debt transactions that will occur

The 2022-23 Child Nutrition Fund budget of \$49.5 million reflects expenditures that will be made to replace aging kitchen equipment that is part of an approved plan by the Texas Department of Agriculture to spend down the fund balance to the equivalent of 90-days of operating expenditures.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-072AB BuyBoard Cooperative</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Small Kitchen Equipment</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of small kitchen equipment from various vendors, under cooperative contracts with BuyBoard in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through November 2025.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under Chapter 44, §44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, or Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000 or greater.</p> <p>On March 20, 2019, Fort Bend ISD issued RFP 19-072AB soliciting proposals for small kitchen equipment and related items. This bid requested pricing on an extensive list of small kitchen equipment, used primarily in campus cafeterias, such as stock pots, mixing bowls, utensils, etc. A related items discount (catalog) was also requested. These items are also used by our Career and Technical Education culinary program. The vendors awarded contracts under this solicitation will expire on July 20, 2023.</p> <p>This is a cooperative contract through BuyBoard, a national purchasing cooperative, that offers legal procurement and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. Contracts may only be used by governmental entities, such as school districts, charter schools, colleges and universities, municipalities, counties, other government agencies, and nonprofits. BuyBoard pools the purchasing power of public agencies and achieves bulk volume discounts on behalf of these public agencies. BuyBoard is under the auspicious of the Texas Association of School Boards (TASB) serving in the role as the Lead Agency. TASB is located in Austin, Texas and assists school districts in improving student performance and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of school operations, and was formed under Section 791, The Interlocal Cooperation Act, which allows local governments the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest possible extent, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions.</p>

	<p>The BuyBoard cooperative purchasing contracts will allow the District to meet the small kitchen equipment needs efficiently and effectively and complies with school district bidding requirements.</p> <p>The BuyBoard procures and awards all vendor contracts in compliance with procurement law requirements, as appropriate, and specified in Texas Educ. Code § 44, Texas Local Gov't. Codes § 262 and 271, and in the case of job order contracts, Texas Government Code § 2269, and Federal Regulations Code 24 CFR 85.36 and other applicable federal "EDGAR" regulations, 2 CFR Part 200, as interpreted by the US Department of Education. Most solicitations provide for multiple awards for the vendor price agreements. Vendor agreement/contract awards are made when scoring is completed pursuant to a delegation of authority by the TASB Board of Directors and reported to the Board at regular scheduled monthly meetings held in compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act.</p> <p>Renewal options are available through November 2025. Should either contract not be renewed for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract or an alternate procurement method.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures in 2021-22 were \$156,384 for these items and year-to-date expenditures are \$131,787. Expenditures will not exceed \$500,000 through November 2025 and funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer Matthew Antignolo, Executive Director, Child Nutrition
Vendor:	Ace Mart Restaurant Supply Cooks Direct Culinary Depot Grainger Jean's Restaurant Supply Mission Restaurant Supply Oklahoma Restaurant Supply Pasco Pollock Paper Distribution Tai Hing Corp dba Budget Restaurant Supply*** Terry Woodard Enterprises dba Kommercial Kitchens*** Waco Hotel and Restaurant Supply Wallace Packaging
Budget Sources:	General Fund Federal Funds
Amount:	Not to Exceed \$500,000 through November 2025
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A

Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through November 2025
Last solicitation date:	April 11, 2019
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* *Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.*

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-058AC Central Texas Purchasing Alliance</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Albert Sensor Implementation</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of an Albert Sensor through the Central Texas Purchasing Alliance contract from Center for Internet Security, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed \$307,881 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through June 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under Chapter 44, § 44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, or Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000 or greater.</p> <p>The Information Technology Department would like to purchase the Albert Sensor networking monitor. This product provides continuous monitoring for cyber threats and intrusions electronically. The tool is recommended for government and K-12 entities and is specifically used to detect State, Local, Tribal &amp; Territorial (SLTT) targeted threats. This new purchase will enhance the District's current filtering/threat analysis firewall (Palo Alto). The current firewall requires someone in the Information Technology Department to monitor the system at times.</p> <p>The Albert Sensor utilizes a unique threat signature set specifically developed for SLTTs to ensure timely recognition and alert on potentially malicious traffic occurring. These signatures are based upon a combination of commercial signatures (optimized for detecting standard malware/crimeware including ransomware), State-sponsored threat indicators (Russia, North Korea, etc.), open-source reporting sources (voluntary incident reports) and from attack patterns identified by the Center of Internet Security (CIS), Security Operations Center (SOC), and Cyber Incident Response Team (CIRT) in response to actual attacks targeting other SLTTs.</p> <p>The Albert Sensor will save the District money by providing free incident response support through MS-ISAC's Cyber Incident Response Team (CIRT). The Center of Internet Security (CIS) Security Operations Center (SOC) handles monitoring and management of the Albert Sensor</p>

covering times when District staff is away for evenings, weekends, and holidays.

In addition to the included monitoring and management, comprehensive monthly activity reports summarizing malicious activity observed and containing details for all actionable alerts with statistics, such as total vs. actionable alerts, as well as a review of the total volume of monitored traffic will be provided at no additional cost to the District.

This is a cooperative contract with Goose Creek Consolidated ISD, a member of the Central Texas Purchasing Alliance (CTPA). The CTPA is a statewide purchasing cooperative that offers legal procurement and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. They are a nonprofit established by local governments to assist public agencies in reducing the cost of purchased goods. There are no costs or fees to any local agency to participate in this consortium. Once a school district has determined that a local cooperative purchasing program will afford the district the best value, it need not follow any specific competitive procurement process.

Fort Bend ISD is a member in good standing of the Central Texas Purchasing Alliance (CTPA), an alliance of over 210 school districts in Texas representing over a million students, sharing information, services, and contractual opportunities. CTPA is an alliance created in accordance with Section 791.001 of the Texas Government Code through interlocal agreements. District contracts may be adopted by other active CTPA member districts. By adopting a contract from another CTPA member district, the adopting district has met the competitive bidding requirements established by the Texas Education Code, Section 44.0331(a)(4) and as required by the adopting district's policies. There is no obligation on either party to participate unless both parties agree. The goods and services provided under the contract will be at the same or better pricing and purchasing terms established by the originating district.

Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 The Interlocal Cooperation Act et seq as amended, allows local governments like Fort Bend ISD the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest possible extent, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions.

The CTPA pools the purchasing power of their members to achieve bulk volume discounts. These competitively bid contracts are obtained through a lead public agency in accordance with their public purchasing rules and regulations. CTPA members who are acting as the Lead Agency are public governmental entities. The CTPA contract will allow

	<p>the District to enhance its security posture and provide 24x7x365 monitoring and complies with school district bidding requirements.</p> <p>Renewal options are available through June 2028. Should either contract not renew for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract, or an alternate procurement method.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The contract term will begin on July 1, 2023, and will run through June 30, 2028. Expenditures will not exceed \$307,881, through June 2028. Funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Long Pham, Chief Information Officer Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer
Vendor:	Center for Internet Security, Inc.
Budget Sources:	Bond Funds
Amount:	Not to Exceed \$307,881 through June 2028
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through June 2028
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: Professional Service Firms:**  
**Architectural (A/E) Design Firms for**  
**2023 Bond Program**  
**References: Board Policy CV (Local)**  
**District Goal Scalable Systems**  
**Department: Operations**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of Professional Services Firms: Architectural Design Teams (A/E) and pool selection for the 2023 Bond Program, assignment of bid packages to design firms, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute or terminate the agreements with the identified vendors.

### **Summary**

On May 6, 2023, FBISD successfully passed the 2023 Bond Program. The Design & Construction staff has been gearing up for a quick start-up and implementation of the 2023 Bond Program.

In March 2023, the District issued RFQ 23-042KB for Architectural Design Professional Services, and 31 firms responded to the Request for Qualifications.

The Architectural Design Professional Services solicitation, RFQ 23-042KB, defined two categories (pools) for demonstrated competence for the Bond 2023 professional service firms:

- Pool 1 – Total Project Budget \$25M and below
- Pool 2 – Total Project Budget \$25M and above

The Architectural Design Professional Services RFQ identified the qualifications for the professional service providers as follows:

1. Programming Services
2. Building Information Modeling (BIM) Services
3. Civil, Landscape, Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing, Telecommunications/Data Design, and Acoustical services
4. Estimating Services
5. Project Management Information Software (Prolog/Kahua) Utilization
6. CSP and JOC Project Delivery Systems
7. Effective Communication with Stakeholders

Thirty-one firms responded to the RFQ. Design & Construction staff, in collaboration with the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management acting in an oversight capacity, evaluated the proposals using an evaluation team composed of FBISD staff members from the Design & Construction, Procurement, and Finance Departments.

After obtaining the initial RFQ scores, firms who received an initial score of 75 and above on Demonstrated Competence and Qualifications listed in the RFQ were selected for interviews. Fourteen out of 31 architectural design professional service firms were interviewed by a panel composed of FBISD staff members from the following departments: Design & Construction, Business & Finance, Collaborative Communities, Information Technology, and Procurement.

#### Analysis of the Architectural Design Professional Service Firms Recommendation:

Based on the combined evaluation of the proposals and the interviews, the top 10 firms were entered into the pool and selected as qualified firms to provide design services for FBISD on the Bond 2023 Program, as well as any other additional design services that may arise throughout the District. The RFQ rankings and scores are shown on attached Exhibit A.

The 10 selected firms are listed below in alphabetical order. They are included in the pools of architectural design professional service providers for the 2023 Bond Program and for any additional bond or non-bond services that may arise for the District.

1. Corgan
2. Cre8 Architects
3. DLR Group
4. Huckabee
5. Kirksey Architecture
6. MWA Architects, Inc.
7. PBK
8. Pfluger Architects, Inc.
9. RDLR Architects
10. Stantec Architecture, Inc.

Design & Construction staff generated two different pools for the identified architectural and engineering service providers listed above based on experience and qualifications. The identified pools and bid package assignment for Bond 2023 are shown on the attached Exhibit B.

The RFQ and bid package selection process was carefully assembled to ensure that the Small Business Enterprise (SBE) requirements defined in CV (Local) were being followed. The bid package assignment for Bond 2023 includes a total of 10 architectural firms, out of which three firms are identified as SBE, and will be managed through the SBE program established by the District. Sustained efforts will be maintained throughout the bond program to ensure that additional opportunities are made available to small businesses by providing sub-consultant work through the non-SBE design firms.

Upon Board approval, staff will begin negotiations with the identified architectural design firms, and will award the identified bid packages for all architectural firms to provide Bond 2023 Professional Design Services. If negotiations with a particular architect or package fail, the Superintendent will assign the package to the next best-qualified firm.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Chris Juntti  
Chief Operations Officer (Interim)

Carolina Fuzetti  
Executive Director, Design & Construction

**EXHIBIT A - OVERALL RFQ RANKING - BOND 2023**

Overall RFQ Ranking		Firm	Score	
<b>31 FIRMS RESPONDED TO RFQ</b>	<b>14 FIRMS SELECTED FOR INTERVIEWS</b>	<b>10 FIRMS AWARDED BID PACKAGES</b>		
		1	PBK	94.19
		2	DLR Group	87.09
		3	RDLR Architects	86.64
		4	Kirksey Architecture	86.48
		5	Corgan	84.36
		6	MWA Architects, Inc	83.77
		7	cre8 Architects	81.53
		8	Pfluger Architects, Inc.	81.5
		9	Stantec Architecture, Inc.	79.66
	10	Huckabee	79.45	
	11	Brown Reynolds Watford Architects	78.68	
	12	VLK Architects	78.59	
	13	HarrisonKornberg Architects	73.87	
	14	GPD Group	73.35	
	15	English + Associates	72.28	
	16	Coleman Partners Architects	66.42	
	17	O'Connell Robertson	66.39	
	18	Huitt-Zollars, Inc.	65.46	
	19	Joiner Architects	64.62	
	20	STOA International Architects, Inc.	61.15	
	21	AutoArch Architects LLC	60.02	
	22	IDG Architects	59.36	
	23	Alta Architects	59.33	
	24	Brave Architecture	58.92	
	25	Moody Nolan, Inc.	58.80	
	26	Ziegler Cooper Architects	58.79	
	27	Smith & Company Architects	54.53	
	28	VCS Architects LLC	53.15	
	29	Robert Adams, Inc.	49.34	
	30	Collaborate Architects LLC	45.67	
31	Millenium Engineers Group	N/A		

**EXHIBIT B - ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES - POOL SELECTION AND PACKAGE ASSIGNMENT**

<b>POOL 1 - \$25M and Below</b>					
<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>RFQ Ranking</b>	<b>SBE Firm</b>	<b>Bid Package</b>	<b>Bid Package Description</b>	<b>Estimated Construction Amount</b>
PBK	1	No	BP004	Ferndell Henry Renovations	\$14,761,485
			BP008	Transportation Facility	\$16,596,800
			BP024	Façade Upgrades & Renovations	\$19,653,434
			BP025	Transportation Renovations	\$9,032,589
			BP028	Dulles HS/MS Renovations	\$15,240,499
DLR Group	2	No	BP043	HVAC - MEP East Central 2	\$7,950,414
RDLR Architects	3	Yes	BP009	Barrington Place Renovations	\$8,364,336
			BP019	Playgrounds & Outdoors	\$6,350,861
			BP034	Roofing Package	\$20,756,755
			BP046	HVAC - MEP West 1	\$2,914,024
			BP038	Sitework Package 3	\$4,751,510
Kirksey Architecture	4	No	BP007	Natorium	\$19,598,000
			BP026	Miscellaneous Renovations	\$5,593,371
			BP030	Willowridge HS Renovations	\$14,293,746
			BP039	HVAC - MEP East Zone 1	\$7,546,193
Corgan	5	No	BP027	Restroom Renovations	\$13,315,988
			BP040	HVAC - MEP East Zone 2	\$6,968,721
			BP054	Exterior Lighting	\$2,976,738
MWA Architects, Inc	6	Yes	BP013	Kitchen Renovations ES	\$17,188,960
			BP015	Exterior Signage Package	\$382,802
			BP018	Flooring Package	\$6,423,395
			BP036	Sitework Package 1	\$8,309,600
			BP049	HVAC - MEP Support Facilities	\$4,766,036
cre8 Architects	7	Yes	BP014	Kitchen Renovations MS/HS	\$16,101,651
			BP017	Triplex Renovation	\$7,307,735
			BP037	Sitework Package 2	\$5,826,561
			BP041	HVAC - MEP East Zone 3	\$6,252,731
			BP048	HVAC - MEP West 3	\$5,436,194
Pfluger Architects, Inc.	8	No	BP033	Fine Arts Renovations	\$16,768,000
			BP042	HVAC - MEP East Central 1	\$7,432,573
Stantec Architecture, Inc.	9	No	BP011	Athletics Renovations	\$8,026,750
			BP022	Gym Renovations & Additions	\$12,135,712
			BP031	Bush HS Renovations	\$14,634,811
			BP044	HVAC - MEP West Central 1	\$5,581,742
			BP045	HVAC - MEP West Central 2	\$11,144,161
Huckabee	10	No	BP020	Interior Renovations	\$14,709,870
			BP021	Muli-Campus Renovations	\$8,615,243
			BP023	Madden Foundation Repairs & Upgrades	\$7,135,297
			BP032	Hightower HS Renovations	\$11,297,825
			BP047	HVAC - MEP West 2	\$6,255,827

<b>POOL 2 - \$25+M and Above</b>					
<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>RFQ Ranking</b>	<b>SBE Firm</b>	<b>Bid Package</b>	<b>Bid Package Description</b>	<b>Estimated Construction Amount</b>
PBK	1	No	BP002*	Mission Bend Re-Build *	\$38,100,000
DLR Group	2	No	BP003	Clements HS Re-Build	\$179,054,980
Kirksey Architecture	4	No	BP001*	Briargate Re-Build *	\$38,100,000
			BP006	Elementary School 55	\$37,581,672
Corgan	5	No	BP005	New Middle School 16	\$69,236,259
Pfluger Architects, Inc.	8	No	BP010	Turf & Athletics	\$20,802,146
			BP012	Field House/Athletics Renovations	\$20,760,161
			BP029	Marshall HS Renovations	\$21,328,302

\* Bid Packages and Architect Selection Previously approved by BOT in March 2023.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Review: 2023 Bond Program Master  
Project Budgets**  
**References: Board Policy CV (Local)  
District Goal 5**  
**Department: Operations**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of the 2023 Bond Program Master Project Budgets sorted by bid packages, to serve as the Board-approved project budgets as defined by Board Policy CV (Local).

**Summary**

The Design & Construction staff is preparing for a quick start-up and implementation of the 2023 Bond Program. As architects, consultants and vendors are engaged early in the execution of the program, approval of budgets is critical for a quick start-up.

2023 Bond Program Project Budgets have been established in accordance with the current Policy CV (Local). The scope of work presented and voted on by the community per school will be executed within the limits of the Board-approved project budgets. Any remaining funds available after execution of the work will be returned to Program Contingency at the time of project closeout. Approval of new project budgets will be presented to the Board only when additional funds are required.

The 2023 Bond Program was set up to be delivered based on scope and product line to best capture economies of scale and scheduling efficiency. With the exception of site-targeted renovations, this requires packaging, procuring, contracting and executing the work by Bid Packages based on trade scope rather than location. Consequently, a given Bid Package will affect multiple district locations, and many locations will have multiple Bid Packages being performed concurrently and/or sequentially.

The attached exhibit contains the budget amounts for each Bid Package showing the locations involved and also includes, among other budgetary categories, the amounts designated for design contingency and construction contingency as set forth in Policy CV (Local).

Upon Board approval, the budgets in the attached exhibit, will be established as the Board-approved project budgets, as required to begin the execution of all projects within the 2023 Bond Program.

**Recommended by:**

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

**Submitted by:**

Chris Juntti  
Chief Operations Officer (Interim)

Carolina Fuzetti  
Executive Director, Design & Construction



**EXHIBIT A  
BOND 2023 - CAPITAL PLAN (FINAL)**

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION	2023 Capital Plan	Final 2023 Capital Plan Budgets	Variance
		ASSESSMENT BUDGET	BASELINE BUDGET	
<b>DESIGN &amp; CONSTRUCTION</b>		<b>\$1,121,452,000</b>	<b>\$1,121,452,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>
Major Projects	Briargate Re-Build	\$47,263,993	\$48,263,993	
	Mission Bend Re-Build	\$47,263,994	\$48,263,994	
	Clements HS Re-Build	\$222,854,405	\$237,025,533	
	Ferndell Henry Renovations & Additions - ES 56 (South East)	\$18,000,000	\$20,355,024	
	New Middle School 16	\$82,000,000	\$87,293,936	
	Elementary School 55 (North West)	\$46,084,317	\$50,810,920	
	Natatorium	\$22,900,000	\$22,900,000	
	Transportation Facility	\$19,240,000	\$23,014,687	
<b>Sub-Total Major Projects</b>		<b>\$505,606,709</b>	<b>\$537,928,087</b>	<b>\$32,321,378</b>
Deficiencies	Facility Deficiencies & Life Cycle Needs, Educational Adequacy Deficiencies	\$615,845,291	\$583,523,913	(\$32,321,378)
<b>SAFETY &amp; SECURITY</b>		<b>\$5,645,000</b>	<b>\$5,645,000</b>	
	Security Cameras	\$3,050,000	\$3,050,000	
	Police Vehicles	\$1,020,000	\$1,020,000	
	Floor Mounted Door Locks	\$200,000	\$200,000	
	Standardized Weapons and Response Kits	\$200,000	\$200,000	
	Emergency Notification System	\$175,000	\$175,000	
	Safety and Security Contingency	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
<b>TRANSPORTATION</b>		<b>\$9,725,000</b>	<b>\$9,725,000</b>	
	Buses & Other Vehicles	\$4,670,000	\$4,670,000	
	District Wide White Fleet	\$4,055,000	\$4,055,000	
	Transportation Smart Tag	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
<b>TECHNOLOGY</b>		<b>\$119,378,000</b>	<b>\$119,378,000</b>	
	Infrastructure	\$1,512,000	\$1,512,000	
	Network	\$62,625,000	\$62,625,000	
	Systems	\$2,771,000	\$2,771,000	
	Classroom Toolset	\$48,113,000	\$48,113,000	
	Staff Computer Refresh	\$4,357,000	\$4,357,000	
<b>ESTIMATED TOTAL</b>		<b>\$1,256,200,000</b>	<b>\$1,256,200,000</b>	
Proposition A		<b>\$1,180,830,000</b>	<b>\$1,180,830,000</b>	
Proposition B		<b>\$52,470,000</b>	<b>\$52,470,000</b>	
Proposition C		<b>\$22,900,000</b>	<b>\$22,900,000</b>	



## EXHIBIT A

### MASTER BUDGET CLARIFICATIONS

#### 1. Bond Support:

Assessment Budgets included Bond Support across all Deficiencies, in order to account for the funding required to support the 2023 Bond efforts.

Based on FBISD's experience with the execution of the 2014 and 2018 Bond Programs as it relates to Bond Support expenditures, and to be compliant with the Federal Internal Revenue Service regulations, FBISD Bond Support project costs need to be allocated to individual projects that are capitalized for accounting purposes. The Bond Support costs include Project Management/Owner's Representative Services, salaries for FBISD personnel who will work on the 2023 Bond projects and other Bond support related costs.

In summary, the Bond Support budget originally carried throughout all Deficiencies, has been allocated and applied only to those projects that will be capitalized. Bond Support re-allocation is shown under the variance column on Exhibit A. Major Projects budgets increased \$32,321,378. Deficiencies projects budgets decreased \$32,321,378.

Below are the bid packages that will carry the Bond support assignment:

- BP001-Briargate Re-Build (Entire project)
- BP002-Mission Bend Re-Build (Entire project)
- BP003-Clements HS Re-Build (Entire project)
- BP004-Ferdell Henry Renovations & Additions (Entire project)
- BP005-New Middle School 16 (Entire project)
- BP006-Elementary School 55 (Entire project)
- BP008-Transportation Facility (Entire project)
- BP010-Turf & Athletics (Applicable scope)
- BP011-Athletics Renovations (Additions)
- BP012-Field House/Athletics Renovations (Additions and applicable scope)
- BP013-Kitchen Renovations ES (Entire project)
- BP014-Kitchen Renovations MS/HS (Entire project)
- BP017-Triplex Renovation (Entire project)
- BP019-Playgrounds & Outdoors (Applicable scope)
- BP021-Multi-Campus Renovations (Additions)
- BP022-Gym Renovations & Additions (Additions)
- BP025-Transportation Renovations (Additions)
- BP030-Willowridge HS Renovations (Entire project)
- BP031-Bush HS Renovations (Entire project)
- BP033-Fine Arts Renovations (Additions)
- BP034-Roofing Package (Entire project)



# PROGRAM SUMMARY REPORT MASTER PROJECT BUDGETS

## Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BP001 - Briargate Re-Build:</b>	\$2,000,250.00	\$160,932.00	\$1,869,120.00	\$38,100,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$4,158,000.00	\$435,600.00	\$1,000.00	\$39,091.00	\$48,263,993.00
<b>BP002 - Mission Bend Re-Build:</b>	\$2,000,250.00	\$168,432.00	\$1,869,120.00	\$38,100,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$4,158,000.00	\$435,600.00	\$1,000.00	\$31,592.00	\$48,263,994.00
<b>BP003 - Clements HS Re-Build:</b>	\$8,460,348.00	\$803,775.00	\$18,247,641.00	\$179,054,980.00	\$8,802,749.00	\$16,725,062.00	\$500,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$4,429,978.00	\$237,025,533.00
<b>BP004 - Ferndell Henry Renovations &amp; Additi</b>	\$1,216,715.00	\$133,711.00	\$1,710,231.00	\$14,761,485.00	\$1,033,304.00	\$1,342,557.00		\$1,000.00	\$156,021.00	\$20,355,024.00
<b>BP005 - New Middle School 16:</b>	\$1,260,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$5,622,130.00	\$69,236,259.00	\$3,461,813.00	\$6,712,734.00	\$800,000.00	\$1,000.00		\$87,293,936.00
<b>BP006 - New Elementary School 55:</b>	\$2,170,342.00	\$188,979.00	\$4,095,481.00	\$37,581,672.00	\$1,879,084.00	\$4,143,379.00	\$434,068.00	\$1,000.00	\$316,915.00	\$51,509,920.00
<b>BP007 - Natatorium:</b>	\$1,234,674.00	\$103,448.00	\$446,541.00	\$19,598,000.00	\$979,900.00	\$310,080.00	\$226,357.00	\$1,000.00		\$22,900,000.00
<b>BP008 - Transportation Facility:</b>	\$1,045,598.00	\$87,606.00	\$3,152,846.00	\$16,596,800.00	\$829,840.00	\$740,632.00	\$191,693.00	\$1,000.00	\$368,672.00	\$23,014,687.00
<b>BP009 - Barrington Place Renovations:</b>	\$689,430.00	\$62,788.00	\$168,256.00	\$8,364,336.00	\$585,504.00	\$894,984.00			\$143,096.00	\$10,908,394.00
<b>BP010 - Turf &amp; Athletics:</b>	\$1,714,616.00	\$243,784.00	\$1,512,378.00	\$20,802,146.00	\$2,704,281.00				\$552,017.00	\$27,529,222.00
<b>BP011 - Athletics Renovations:</b>	\$691,103.00	\$106,546.00	\$227,406.00	\$8,026,750.00	\$1,043,477.00				\$213,019.00	\$10,308,301.00
<b>BP012 - Field House &amp; Athletics Renovations</b>	\$1,711,157.00	\$280,123.00	\$1,480,532.00	\$20,760,161.00	\$2,698,820.00	\$703,770.00			\$124,562.00	\$27,759,125.00
<b>BP013 - Kitchen Renovations ES:</b>	\$1,416,797.00	\$200,278.00	\$1,615,582.00	\$17,188,960.00	\$2,234,562.00				\$468,912.00	\$23,125,091.00
<b>BP014-Kitchen Renovations MS-HS:</b>	\$1,327,177.00	\$187,613.00	\$1,513,401.00	\$16,101,651.00	\$2,093,215.00				\$439,235.00	\$21,662,292.00
<b>BP015 - Exterior Signage Package:</b>	\$21,630.00			\$382,802.00	\$49,770.00				\$12,628.00	\$466,830.00
<b>BP016 - FF&amp;E Package:</b>						\$20,250,105.00				\$20,250,105.00
<b>BP017 - Triplex Renovation:</b>	\$629,196.00	\$82,763.00	\$908,166.00	\$7,307,735.00	\$511,541.00	\$625,542.00		\$1,000.00	\$177,704.00	\$10,243,647.00
<b>BP018 - Flooring Package:</b>	\$553,056.00	\$73,556.00	\$50,805.00	\$6,423,395.00	\$835,039.00				\$195,030.00	\$8,130,881.00
<b>BP019 - Playgrounds &amp; Outdoors:</b>	\$546,333.00	\$26,016.00	\$468,985.00	\$6,350,861.00	\$317,598.00				\$230,299.00	\$7,940,092.00
<b>BP020 - Interior Renovations:</b>	\$1,212,462.00	\$161,034.00	\$131,047.00	\$14,709,870.00	\$1,912,282.00	\$285,502.00			\$204,505.00	\$18,616,702.00



# PROGRAM SUMMARY REPORT MASTER PROJECT BUDGETS

## Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BP021 - Multi-Campus Renovations:	\$741,773.00	\$102,982.00	\$195,958.00	\$8,615,243.00	\$1,087,805.00	\$90,095.00			\$129,633.00	\$10,963,489.00
BP022 - Gym Renovations & Additions:	\$1,000,286.00	\$164,985.00	\$1,144,651.00	\$12,135,712.00	\$1,577,642.00				\$71,634.00	\$16,094,910.00
BP023 - Madden Foundation Repairs & Upgrades:	\$614,349.00	\$97,832.00	\$217,698.00	\$7,135,297.00	\$927,589.00			\$1,000.00	\$38,257.00	\$9,032,022.00
BP024 - Façade Upgrades & Renovations:	\$1,619,934.00	\$260,526.00	\$599,626.00	\$19,653,434.00	\$2,554,946.00				\$189,297.00	\$24,877,763.00
BP025 - Transportation Renovations:	\$777,705.00	\$116,587.00	\$314,031.00	\$9,032,589.00	\$1,174,238.00				\$128,740.00	\$11,543,890.00
BP026 - Miscellaneous Renovations:	\$502,145.00	\$74,053.00	\$121,236.00	\$5,593,371.00	\$727,138.00				\$107,772.00	\$7,734,715.00
BP027 - Restroom Renovations:	\$1,097,572.00	\$52,269.00		\$13,315,988.00	\$665,805.00				\$86,638.00	\$15,218,272.00
BP028 - Dulles HS-MS Renovations:	\$1,256,198.00	\$237,332.00	\$818,033.00	\$15,240,499.00	\$1,981,265.00			\$2,000.00	\$257,529.00	\$19,792,856.00
BP029 - Marshall HS Renovations:	\$1,757,985.00	\$332,135.00	\$1,144,796.00	\$21,328,302.00	\$2,772,679.00	\$250,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$112,197.00	\$27,699,094.00
BP030 - Willowridge HS Renovations:	\$1,178,162.00	\$210,690.00	\$1,268,678.00	\$14,293,746.00	\$1,715,249.00	\$350,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$54,032.00	\$19,071,557.00
BP031 - Bush HS Renovations:	\$1,206,274.00	\$215,717.00	\$1,526,029.00	\$14,634,811.00	\$1,756,177.00	\$350,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$63,697.00	\$19,753,705.00
BP032 - Hightower HS Renovations:	\$972,743.00	\$171,275.00	\$601,045.00	\$11,297,825.00	\$1,355,739.00	\$250,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$22,873.00	\$14,672,500.00
BP033 - Fine Arts Renovations:	\$1,382,103.00	\$162,272.00	\$1,566,631.00	\$16,768,000.00	\$1,793,260.00	\$400,000.00			\$249,565.00	\$22,321,831.00
BP034 - Roofing Package:	\$1,710,874.00	\$88,352.00	\$2,055,389.00	\$20,756,755.00	\$1,037,839.00				\$457,419.00	\$26,106,628.00
BP035 - Intercom System Package:			\$446,170.00	\$7,725,883.00	\$386,293.00				\$25,967.00	\$8,584,313.00
BP036 - Sitework Package 1:	\$715,455.00	\$84,782.00	\$378,018.00	\$8,309,600.00	\$581,670.00				\$182,428.00	\$10,251,953.00
BP037 - Sitework Package 2:	\$523,078.00	\$62,316.00	\$278,499.00	\$5,826,561.00	\$407,860.00				\$91,445.00	\$7,189,759.00
BP038 - Sitework Package 3:	\$426,567.00	\$51,357.00	\$229,199.00	\$4,751,510.00	\$332,605.00				\$74,823.00	\$5,866,061.00
BP039 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 1:	\$649,727.00	\$103,295.00	\$228,529.00	\$7,546,193.00	\$981,005.00				\$104,236.00	\$9,612,985.00
BP040 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 2:	\$600,006.00	\$95,393.00	\$211,221.00	\$6,968,721.00	\$905,746.00				\$96,264.00	\$8,877,351.00



# PROGRAM SUMMARY REPORT MASTER PROJECT BUDGETS

## Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BP041 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 3:	\$538,361.00	\$85,590.00	\$189,360.00	\$6,252,731.00	\$812,856.00				\$86,366.00	\$7,965,264.00
BP042 - HVAC - MEP East Central 1:	\$639,944.00	\$101,740.00	\$225,086.00	\$7,432,573.00	\$966,235.00				\$102,669.00	\$9,468,247.00
BP043 - HVAC - MEP East Central 2:	\$684,531.00	\$108,831.00	\$240,952.00	\$7,950,414.00	\$1,033,365.00				\$109,823.00	\$10,127,916.00
BP044 - HVAC - MEP West Central 1:	\$501,101.00	\$78,946.00	\$169,038.00	\$5,581,742.00	\$725,626.00				\$54,046.00	\$7,110,499.00
BP045 - HVAC - MEP West Central 2:	\$959,513.00	\$152,546.00	\$337,491.00	\$11,144,161.00	\$1,448,741.00				\$153,932.00	\$14,196,384.00
BP046 - HVAC - MEP West 1:	\$272,316.00	\$42,540.00	\$88,248.00	\$2,914,024.00	\$378,823.00				\$16,180.00	<del>\$1,559,131.00</del>
BP047 - HVAC - MEP West 2:	\$538,628.00	\$85,639.00	\$189,815.00	\$6,255,827.00	\$812,880.00				\$86,417.00	\$7,969,206.00
BP048 - HVAC - MEP West 3:	\$488,034.00	\$76,909.00	\$164,860.00	\$5,436,194.00	\$706,704.00				\$52,386.00	\$6,925,087.00
BP049 - HVAC - MEP Support Facilities:	\$427,871.00	\$67,409.00	\$144,334.00	\$4,766,036.00	\$619,585.00				\$46,149.00	\$6,071,384.00
BP050 - Drinking Fountains:				\$3,068,500.00	\$153,408.00				\$8,092.00	\$3,230,000.00
BP051 - Cameras & Monitors:			\$28,174.00	\$492,160.00	\$20,065.00				\$6,450.00	\$546,849.00
BP052 - Life Safety Systems:			\$343,572.00	\$5,949,304.00	\$297,464.00				\$19,997.00	\$6,610,337.00
BP053 - Stage Curtains:				\$404,631.00	\$20,237.00				\$10,218.00	\$435,086.00
BP054 - Exterior Lighting:			\$171,908.00	\$2,976,738.00	\$148,838.00				\$10,003.00	\$3,307,487.00
BP055 - Sound System Package:			\$103,988.00	\$1,800,633.00	\$90,033.00				\$6,046.00	\$2,000,700.00
BP098 - District Wide Deficiencies:										\$12,250,000.00
BP099 - District Wide:										\$134,748,000.00
<b>GRAND TOTALS:</b>	<b>\$53,684,369.00</b>	<b>\$6,755,684.00</b>	<b>\$60,831,931.00</b>	<b>\$842,803,571.00</b>	<b>\$67,930,189.00</b>	<b>\$62,740,442.00</b>	<b>\$3,023,318.00</b>	<b>\$16,000.00</b>	<b>\$11,416,496.00</b>	<b>\$1,256,200,000.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP001 - Briargate Re-Build**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BRIARGATE ELEMENTARY</b>	\$2,000,250.00	\$160,932.00	\$1,869,120.00	\$38,100,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$4,158,000.00	\$435,600.00	\$1,000.00	\$39,091.00	\$48,263,993.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$2,000,250.00</b>	<b>\$160,932.00</b>	<b>\$1,869,120.00</b>	<b>\$38,100,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,500,000.00</b>	<b>\$4,158,000.00</b>	<b>\$435,600.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$39,091.00</b>	<b>\$48,263,993.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP002 - Mission Bend Re-Build**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>MISSION BEND ELEMENTARY</b>	\$2,000,250.00	\$168,432.00	\$1,869,120.00	\$38,100,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$4,158,000.00	\$435,600.00	\$1,000.00	\$31,592.00	\$48,263,994.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$2,000,250.00</b>	<b>\$168,432.00</b>	<b>\$1,869,120.00</b>	<b>\$38,100,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,500,000.00</b>	<b>\$4,158,000.00</b>	<b>\$435,600.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$31,592.00</b>	<b>\$48,263,994.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP003 - Clements HS Re-Build**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>CLEMENTS HS RE-BUILD</b>	\$8,460,348.00	\$803,775.00	\$18,247,641.00	\$179,054,980.00	\$8,802,749.00	\$16,725,062.00	\$500,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$4,429,978.00	\$237,025,533.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$8,460,348.00</b>	<b>\$803,775.00</b>	<b>\$18,247,641.00</b>	<b>\$179,054,980.00</b>	<b>\$8,802,749.00</b>	<b>\$16,725,062.00</b>	<b>\$500,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$4,429,978.00</b>	<b>\$237,025,533.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP004 - Ferndell Henry Renovations & Additions**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	
<b>FERNDELL HENRY ELEMENTARY</b>	\$1,216,715.00	\$133,711.00	\$1,710,231.00	\$14,761,485.00	\$1,033,304.00	\$1,342,557.00		\$1,000.00	\$156,021.00	\$20,355,024.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,216,715.00</b>	<b>\$133,711.00</b>	<b>\$1,710,231.00</b>	<b>\$14,761,485.00</b>	<b>\$1,033,304.00</b>	<b>\$1,342,557.00</b>		<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$156,021.00</b>	<b>\$20,355,024.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP005 - New Middle School 16**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>MS16</b>	\$1,260,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$5,622,130.00	\$69,236,259.00	\$3,461,813.00	\$6,712,734.00	\$800,000.00	\$1,000.00		\$87,293,936.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,260,000.00</b>	<b>\$200,000.00</b>	<b>\$5,622,130.00</b>	<b>\$69,236,259.00</b>	<b>\$3,461,813.00</b>	<b>\$6,712,734.00</b>	<b>\$800,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>		<b>\$87,293,936.00</b>



**Project Summary**  
**BP006 - New Elementary School 55**  
**Exhibit - 1**

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>ES55</b>	\$2,170,342.00	\$188,979.00	\$4,095,481.00	\$37,581,672.00	\$1,879,084.00	\$4,143,379.00	\$434,068.00	\$1,000.00	\$316,915.00	\$50,810,920.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$2,170,342.00</b>	<b>\$188,979.00</b>	<b>\$4,095,481.00</b>	<b>\$37,581,672.00</b>	<b>\$1,879,084.00</b>	<b>\$4,143,379.00</b>	<b>\$434,068.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$316,915.00</b>	<b>\$50,810,920.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP007 - Natatorium**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
NATORIUM	\$1,234,674.00	\$103,448.00	\$446,541.00	\$19,598,000.00	\$979,900.00	\$310,080.00	\$226,357.00	\$1,000.00		\$22,900,000.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,234,674.00</b>	<b>\$103,448.00</b>	<b>\$446,541.00</b>	<b>\$19,598,000.00</b>	<b>\$979,900.00</b>	<b>\$310,080.00</b>	<b>\$226,357.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>		<b>\$22,900,000.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP008 - Transportation Facility**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>TRANSPORTATION FACILITY</b>	\$1,045,598.00	\$87,606.00	\$3,152,846.00	\$16,596,800.00	\$829,840.00	\$740,632.00	\$191,693.00	\$1,000.00	\$368,672.00	\$23,014,687.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,045,598.00</b>	<b>\$87,606.00</b>	<b>\$3,152,846.00</b>	<b>\$16,596,800.00</b>	<b>\$829,840.00</b>	<b>\$740,632.00</b>	<b>\$191,693.00</b>	<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$368,672.00</b>	<b>\$23,014,687.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP009 - Barrington Place Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BARRINGTON PLACE RENOVATIONS</b>	\$689,430.00	\$62,788.00	\$168,256.00	\$8,364,336.00	\$585,504.00	\$894,984.00			\$143,096.00	\$10,908,394.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$689,430.00</b>	<b>\$62,788.00</b>	<b>\$168,256.00</b>	<b>\$8,364,336.00</b>	<b>\$585,504.00</b>	<b>\$894,984.00</b>			<b>\$143,096.00</b>	<b>\$10,908,394.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP010 - Turf & Athletics**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BUSH HIGH	\$151,399.00	\$21,526.00	\$153,837.00	\$1,836,815.00	\$238,786.00				\$48,743.00	\$2,451,106.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$243,818.00	\$34,666.00	\$211,902.00	\$2,958,059.00	\$384,548.00				\$78,497.00	\$3,911,490.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$302,553.00	\$43,017.00	\$252,306.00	\$3,670,650.00	\$477,185.00				\$97,407.00	\$4,843,118.00
MARSHALL HIGH	\$488,489.00	\$69,453.00	\$359,558.00	\$5,926,461.00	\$770,440.00				\$157,268.00	\$7,771,669.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH	\$168,179.00	\$23,912.00	\$158,569.00	\$2,040,389.00	\$265,251.00				\$54,144.00	\$2,710,444.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$144,629.00	\$20,563.00	\$161,441.00	\$1,754,674.00	\$228,108.00				\$46,563.00	\$2,355,978.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH	\$215,549.00	\$30,647.00	\$214,765.00	\$2,615,098.00	\$339,963.00				\$69,395.00	\$3,485,417.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,714,616.00</b>	<b>\$243,784.00</b>	<b>\$1,512,378.00</b>	<b>\$20,802,146.00</b>	<b>\$2,704,281.00</b>				<b>\$552,017.00</b>	<b>\$27,529,222.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP011 - Athletics Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BAINES MIDDLE	\$9,405.00	\$1,450.00	\$11,649.00	\$109,238.00	\$14,201.00				\$2,904.00	\$148,847.00
CROCKETT MIDDLE	\$4,837.00	\$755.00	\$1,562.00	\$56,180.00	\$7,303.00				\$1,388.00	\$72,025.00
DULLES MIDDLE	\$18,811.00	\$2,899.00	\$14,501.00	\$218,476.00	\$28,402.00				\$5,807.00	\$288,896.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE	\$84,098.00	\$12,962.00	\$25,496.00	\$976,746.00	\$126,977.00				\$25,960.00	\$1,252,239.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE	\$4,837.00	\$755.00	\$1,562.00	\$56,180.00	\$7,303.00				\$1,388.00	\$72,025.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$74,975.00	\$11,556.00	\$22,730.00	\$870,785.00	\$113,202.00				\$23,143.00	\$1,116,391.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE	\$111,208.00	\$17,140.00	\$33,715.00	\$1,291,611.00	\$167,909.00				\$34,329.00	\$1,655,912.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$79,767.00	\$12,294.00	\$24,183.00	\$926,443.00	\$120,438.00				\$24,623.00	\$1,157,748.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$56,880.00	\$8,767.00	\$17,245.00	\$660,631.00	\$85,882.00				\$17,558.00	\$846,963.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE	\$129,779.00	\$20,002.00	\$39,345.00	\$1,507,305.00	\$195,950.00				\$40,061.00	\$1,932,442.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$34,520.00	\$5,320.00	\$10,467.00	\$400,930.00	\$52,121.00				\$10,655.00	\$514,013.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE	\$4,837.00	\$755.00	\$1,562.00	\$56,180.00	\$7,303.00				\$1,388.00	\$72,025.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$77,149.00	\$11,891.00	\$23,389.00	\$896,045.00	\$116,486.00				\$23,815.00	\$1,148,775.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$691,103.00</b>	<b>\$106,546.00</b>	<b>\$227,406.00</b>	<b>\$8,026,750.00</b>	<b>\$1,043,477.00</b>				<b>\$213,019.00</b>	<b>\$10,308,301.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP012 - Field House & Athletics Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$527,090.00	\$86,287.00	\$348,249.00	\$6,394,780.00	\$831,321.00	\$216,783.00			\$38,370.00	\$8,442,880.00
DULLES HIGH	\$561,834.00	\$91,974.00	\$629,979.00	\$6,816,301.00	\$886,119.00	\$231,073.00			\$40,898.00	\$9,258,178.00
HIGHTOWER HIGH	\$622,233.00	\$101,862.00	\$502,304.00	\$7,549,080.00	\$981,380.00	\$255,914.00			\$45,294.00	\$10,058,067.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,711,157.00</b>	<b>\$280,123.00</b>	<b>\$1,480,532.00</b>	<b>\$20,760,161.00</b>	<b>\$2,698,820.00</b>	<b>\$703,770.00</b>			<b>\$124,562.00</b>	<b>\$27,759,125.00</b>



**Project Summary**  
**BP013 - Kitchen Renovations ES**  
**Exhibit - 1**

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY	\$115,993.00	\$16,396.00	\$132,264.00	\$1,407,255.00	\$182,943.00				\$38,394.00	\$1,893,245.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY	\$98,768.00	\$13,962.00	\$112,621.00	\$1,198,275.00	\$155,776.00				\$32,692.00	\$1,612,094.00
CORNERSTONE ELEMENTARY	\$91,388.00	\$12,918.00	\$104,207.00	\$1,108,742.00	\$144,136.00				\$30,250.00	\$1,491,641.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY	\$3,040.00	\$436.00	\$3,530.00	\$36,880.00	\$4,794.00				\$936.00	\$49,616.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$124,111.00	\$17,544.00	\$141,520.00	\$1,505,742.00	\$195,746.00				\$41,080.00	\$2,025,743.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$132,255.00	\$18,695.00	\$150,805.00	\$1,604,552.00	\$208,592.00				\$43,777.00	\$2,158,676.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$4,076.00	\$576.00	\$4,648.00	\$49,455.00	\$6,429.00				\$1,350.00	\$66,534.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
PALMER ELEMENTARY	\$124,830.00	\$17,646.00	\$142,339.00	\$1,514,465.00	\$196,880.00				\$41,318.00	\$2,037,478.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$124,498.00	\$17,599.00	\$141,962.00	\$1,510,440.00	\$196,357.00				\$41,208.00	\$2,032,064.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY	\$125,506.00	\$17,741.00	\$143,111.00	\$1,522,673.00	\$197,947.00				\$41,543.00	\$2,048,521.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$98,397.00	\$13,909.00	\$112,200.00	\$1,193,776.00	\$155,191.00				\$32,569.00	\$1,606,042.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$135,815.00	\$19,198.00	\$154,866.00	\$1,647,736.00	\$214,206.00				\$44,954.00	\$2,216,775.00
SULLIVAN ELEMENTARY	\$117,770.00	\$16,648.00	\$134,289.00	\$1,428,809.00	\$185,745.00				\$38,981.00	\$1,922,242.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY	\$12,035.00	\$1,701.00	\$13,722.00	\$146,016.00	\$18,982.00				\$3,986.00	\$196,442.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,416,797.00</b>	<b>\$200,278.00</b>	<b>\$1,615,582.00</b>	<b>\$17,188,960.00</b>	<b>\$2,234,562.00</b>				<b>\$468,912.00</b>	<b>\$23,125,091.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP014-Kitchen Renovations MS-HS**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$2,487.00	\$357.00	\$2,885.00	\$30,168.00	\$3,922.00				\$767.00	\$40,586.00
BOWIE MIDDLE	\$247,526.00	\$34,990.00	\$282,248.00	\$3,003,051.00	\$390,397.00				\$81,930.00	\$4,040,142.00
DULLES MIDDLE	\$170,613.00	\$24,118.00	\$194,547.00	\$2,069,924.00	\$269,090.00				\$56,472.00	\$2,784,764.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$18,188.00	\$2,571.00	\$20,740.00	\$220,664.00	\$28,686.00				\$6,021.00	\$296,870.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE	\$170,818.00	\$24,146.00	\$194,779.00	\$2,072,402.00	\$269,412.00				\$56,541.00	\$2,788,098.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE	\$172,319.00	\$24,359.00	\$196,491.00	\$2,090,612.00	\$271,780.00				\$57,035.00	\$2,812,596.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$17,828.00	\$2,520.00	\$20,330.00	\$216,297.00	\$28,119.00				\$5,901.00	\$290,995.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$172,429.00	\$24,374.00	\$196,618.00	\$2,091,955.00	\$271,954.00				\$57,073.00	\$2,814,403.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$172,030.00	\$24,318.00	\$196,162.00	\$2,087,109.00	\$271,324.00				\$56,941.00	\$2,807,884.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$170,663.00	\$24,125.00	\$194,603.00	\$2,070,529.00	\$269,169.00				\$56,489.00	\$2,785,578.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH	\$12,276.00	\$1,735.00	\$13,998.00	\$148,940.00	\$19,362.00				\$4,065.00	\$200,376.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,327,177.00</b>	<b>\$187,613.00</b>	<b>\$1,513,401.00</b>	<b>\$16,101,651.00</b>	<b>\$2,093,215.00</b>				<b>\$439,235.00</b>	<b>\$21,662,292.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP015 - Exterior Signage Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
BUSH HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
DULLES HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
FERNELLE HENRY CENTER FOR LEARNING	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
HIGHTOWER HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
MARSHALL HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
PARKS ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
PROGRESSIVE HIGH	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00

170



Project Summary  
**BP015 - Exterior Signage Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$618.00			\$10,937.00	\$1,422.00				\$361.00	\$13,338.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY	\$309.00			\$5,469.00	\$711.00				\$180.00	\$6,669.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH	\$1,236.00			\$21,874.00	\$2,844.00				\$722.00	\$26,676.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$21,630.00</b>			<b>\$382,802.00</b>	<b>\$49,770.00</b>				<b>\$12,628.00</b>	<b>\$466,830.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP016 - FF&E Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY						\$950,000.00				\$950,000.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY						\$950,000.00				\$950,000.00
DULLES HIGH						\$3,325,000.00				\$3,325,000.00
DULLES MIDDLE						\$1,425,000.00				\$1,425,000.00
ELKINS HIGH						\$3,325,000.00				\$3,325,000.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY						\$950,000.00				\$950,000.00
KEMPNER HIGH						\$3,325,000.00				\$3,325,000.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE						\$1,425,000.00				\$1,425,000.00
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY						\$100,035.00				\$100,035.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY						\$950,000.00				\$950,000.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY						\$1,050,035.00				\$1,050,035.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE						\$1,425,000.00				\$1,425,000.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY						\$950,000.00				\$950,000.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY						\$100,035.00				\$100,035.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>						<b>\$20,250,105.00</b>				<b>\$20,250,105.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP017 - Triplex Renovation**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>TRIPLEX</b>	\$629,196.00	\$82,763.00	\$908,166.00	\$7,307,735.00	\$511,541.00	\$625,542.00		\$1,000.00	\$177,704.00	\$10,243,647.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$629,196.00</b>	<b>\$82,763.00</b>	<b>\$908,166.00</b>	<b>\$7,307,735.00</b>	<b>\$511,541.00</b>	<b>\$625,542.00</b>		<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$177,704.00</b>	<b>\$10,243,647.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP018 - Flooring Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY	\$43,661.00	\$5,807.00	\$4,011.00	\$507,094.00	\$65,922.00				\$15,396.00	\$641,891.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$39,692.00	\$5,279.00	\$3,646.00	\$460,994.00	\$59,929.00				\$13,997.00	\$583,537.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$43,661.00	\$5,807.00	\$4,011.00	\$507,094.00	\$65,922.00				\$15,396.00	\$641,891.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$82,479.00	\$10,969.00	\$7,577.00	\$957,947.00	\$124,533.00				\$29,086.00	\$1,212,591.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$51,599.00	\$6,863.00	\$4,740.00	\$599,293.00	\$77,908.00				\$18,196.00	\$758,599.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$39,692.00	\$5,279.00	\$3,646.00	\$460,994.00	\$59,929.00				\$13,997.00	\$583,537.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$59,459.00	\$7,908.00	\$5,462.00	\$690,579.00	\$89,775.00				\$20,968.00	\$874,151.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY	\$61,830.00	\$8,223.00	\$5,680.00	\$718,119.00	\$93,355.00				\$21,804.00	\$909,011.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$51,599.00	\$6,863.00	\$4,740.00	\$599,293.00	\$77,908.00				\$18,196.00	\$758,599.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$39,692.00	\$5,279.00	\$3,646.00	\$460,994.00	\$59,929.00				\$13,997.00	\$583,537.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY	\$39,692.00	\$5,279.00	\$3,646.00	\$460,994.00	\$59,929.00				\$13,997.00	\$583,537.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$553,056.00</b>	<b>\$73,556.00</b>	<b>\$50,805.00</b>	<b>\$6,423,395.00</b>	<b>\$835,039.00</b>				<b>\$195,030.00</b>	<b>\$8,130,881.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP019 - Playgrounds & Outdoors**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY	\$59,919.00	\$2,853.00	\$51,436.00	\$695,921.00	\$34,796.00				\$25,242.00	\$870,167.00
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY	\$44,658.00	\$2,127.00	\$38,336.00	\$518,681.00	\$25,934.00				\$18,813.00	\$648,549.00
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY	\$56,248.00	\$2,678.00	\$48,284.00	\$653,284.00	\$32,664.00				\$23,695.00	\$816,853.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$38,070.00	\$1,813.00	\$32,680.00	\$442,155.00	\$22,108.00				\$16,036.00	\$552,862.00
COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY	\$55,884.00	\$2,661.00	\$47,972.00	\$649,060.00	\$32,453.00				\$23,542.00	\$811,572.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY	\$40,267.00	\$1,917.00	\$34,566.00	\$467,679.00	\$23,384.00				\$16,963.00	\$584,776.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$44,658.00	\$2,127.00	\$38,336.00	\$518,681.00	\$25,934.00				\$18,813.00	\$648,549.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$11,226.00	\$535.00	\$9,636.00	\$130,379.00	\$6,519.00				\$4,728.00	\$163,023.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$9,761.00	\$465.00	\$8,379.00	\$113,373.00	\$5,669.00				\$4,112.00	\$141,759.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$52,516.00	\$2,501.00	\$45,081.00	\$609,946.00	\$30,497.00				\$22,124.00	\$762,665.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$42,721.00	\$2,034.00	\$36,673.00	\$496,177.00	\$24,809.00				\$17,997.00	\$620,411.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY	\$55,884.00	\$2,661.00	\$47,972.00	\$649,060.00	\$32,453.00				\$23,542.00	\$811,572.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$34,521.00	\$1,644.00	\$29,634.00	\$400,943.00	\$20,047.00				\$14,543.00	\$501,332.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY				\$5,522.00	\$331.00				\$149.00	\$6,002.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$546,333.00</b>	<b>\$26,016.00</b>	<b>\$468,985.00</b>	<b>\$6,350,861.00</b>	<b>\$317,598.00</b>				<b>\$230,299.00</b>	<b>\$7,940,092.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP020 - Interior Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY	\$41,987.00	\$5,659.00	\$4,606.00	\$509,397.00	\$66,222.00	\$8,634.00			\$8,301.00	\$644,806.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY	\$52,636.00	\$7,094.00	\$5,774.00	\$638,596.00	\$83,017.00	\$10,824.00			\$10,408.00	\$808,349.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$107,936.00	\$14,547.00	\$11,838.00	\$1,309,506.00	\$170,236.00	\$22,196.00			\$21,343.00	\$1,657,602.00
COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY	\$58,712.00	\$7,913.00	\$6,439.00	\$712,303.00	\$92,599.00	\$12,074.00			\$11,609.00	\$901,649.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY	\$89,739.00	\$12,095.00	\$9,842.00	\$1,088,737.00	\$141,536.00	\$18,454.00			\$17,745.00	\$1,378,148.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$17,634.00			\$213,941.00	\$27,812.00	\$3,626.00			\$4,413.00	\$267,426.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$429,104.00	\$57,833.00	\$47,063.00	\$5,205,990.00	\$676,779.00	\$88,242.00			\$84,850.00	\$6,589,861.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY	\$68,613.00	\$9,247.00	\$7,526.00	\$832,425.00	\$108,215.00	\$14,110.00			\$13,566.00	\$1,059,702.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$263,831.00	\$35,558.00	\$28,935.00	\$3,200,856.00	\$416,111.00	\$90,424.00			\$16,002.00	\$4,051,717.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY	\$82,270.00	\$11,088.00	\$9,024.00	\$998,119.00	\$129,755.00	\$16,918.00			\$16,268.00	\$1,263,442.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,212,462.00</b>	<b>\$161,034.00</b>	<b>\$131,047.00</b>	<b>\$14,709,870.00</b>	<b>\$1,912,282.00</b>	<b>\$285,502.00</b>			<b>\$204,505.00</b>	<b>\$18,616,702.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP021 - Multi-Campus Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$11,794.00	\$1,709.00	\$2,492.00	\$136,981.00	\$17,808.00				\$2,610.00	\$173,394.00
CROCKETT MIDDLE	\$9,365.00	\$1,357.00	\$1,979.00	\$108,769.00	\$14,140.00				\$2,072.00	\$137,682.00
DULLES MIDDLE	\$32,046.00	\$4,645.00	\$6,772.00	\$372,194.00	\$48,385.00				\$7,090.00	\$471,132.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE	\$26,951.00	\$3,906.00	\$20,616.00	\$313,015.00	\$40,692.00				\$5,963.00	\$411,143.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE	\$9,365.00	\$1,357.00	\$1,979.00	\$108,769.00	\$14,140.00				\$2,072.00	\$137,682.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$38,215.00	\$5,539.00	\$8,074.00	\$443,846.00	\$57,700.00				\$8,456.00	\$561,830.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$277,042.00	\$35,626.00	\$55,982.00	\$3,217,679.00	\$386,122.00	\$90,095.00			\$26,806.00	\$4,089,352.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$154,764.00	\$22,431.00	\$47,623.00	\$1,797,493.00	\$233,674.00				\$34,243.00	\$2,290,228.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE	\$12,165.00	\$1,763.00	\$2,571.00	\$141,294.00	\$18,368.00				\$2,692.00	\$178,853.00
QUAIL VALLEY ELEMENTARY	\$4,990.00	\$723.00	\$1,054.00	\$57,954.00	\$7,534.00				\$1,104.00	\$73,359.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$32,172.00	\$4,663.00	\$18,734.00	\$373,653.00	\$48,575.00				\$7,118.00	\$484,915.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$25,520.00	\$3,699.00	\$5,392.00	\$296,394.00	\$38,531.00				\$5,646.00	\$375,182.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$107,384.00	\$15,564.00	\$22,690.00	\$1,247,202.00	\$162,136.00				\$23,761.00	\$1,578,737.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$741,773.00</b>	<b>\$102,982.00</b>	<b>\$195,958.00</b>	<b>\$8,615,243.00</b>	<b>\$1,087,805.00</b>	<b>\$90,095.00</b>			<b>\$129,633.00</b>	<b>\$10,963,489.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP022 - Gym Renovations & Additions**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$247,452.00	\$40,814.00	\$313,224.00	\$3,002,147.00	\$390,279.00				\$17,721.00	\$4,011,637.00
PALMER ELEMENTARY	\$364,574.00	\$60,132.00	\$418,512.00	\$4,423,098.00	\$575,003.00				\$26,109.00	\$5,867,428.00
PARKS ELEMENTARY	\$57,279.00	\$9,448.00	\$65,337.00	\$694,926.00	\$90,340.00				\$4,101.00	\$921,431.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$330,981.00	\$54,591.00	\$347,578.00	\$4,015,541.00	\$522,020.00				\$23,703.00	\$5,294,414.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,000,286.00</b>	<b>\$164,985.00</b>	<b>\$1,144,651.00</b>	<b>\$12,135,712.00</b>	<b>\$1,577,642.00</b>				<b>\$71,634.00</b>	<b>\$16,094,910.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP023 - Madden Foundation Repairs & Upgrades**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>MADDEN ELEMENTARY</b>	\$614,349.00	\$97,832.00	\$217,698.00	\$7,135,297.00	\$927,589.00			\$1,000.00	\$38,257.00	\$9,032,022.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$614,349.00</b>	<b>\$97,832.00</b>	<b>\$217,698.00</b>	<b>\$7,135,297.00</b>	<b>\$927,589.00</b>			<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$38,257.00</b>	<b>\$9,032,022.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP024 - Façade Upgrades & Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>HODGES BEND MIDDLE</b>	\$293,926.00	\$47,271.00	\$108,798.00	\$3,565,983.00	\$463,578.00				\$34,346.00	\$4,513,902.00
<b>RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY</b>	\$408,843.00	\$65,752.00	\$151,336.00	\$4,960,186.00	\$644,824.00				\$47,775.00	\$6,278,716.00
<b>SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY</b>	\$112,323.00	\$18,064.00	\$41,576.00	\$1,362,725.00	\$177,154.00				\$13,126.00	\$1,724,968.00
<b>TOWNEWEST ELEMENTARY</b>	\$203,088.00	\$32,662.00	\$75,174.00	\$2,463,910.00	\$320,308.00				\$23,731.00	\$3,118,873.00
<b>WILLOWRIDGE HIGH</b>	\$601,754.00	\$96,777.00	\$222,742.00	\$7,300,630.00	\$949,082.00				\$70,319.00	\$9,241,304.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,619,934.00</b>	<b>\$260,526.00</b>	<b>\$599,626.00</b>	<b>\$19,653,434.00</b>	<b>\$2,554,946.00</b>				<b>\$189,297.00</b>	<b>\$24,877,763.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP025 - Transportation Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$10,998.00	\$1,650.00	\$2,887.00	\$127,735.00	\$16,606.00				\$1,814.00	\$161,690.00
HODGES BEND TRANSPORTATION CENTER	\$412,700.00	\$61,929.00	\$108,328.00	\$4,793,268.00	\$623,125.00				\$68,078.00	\$6,067,428.00
HOLLEY ELEMENTARY	\$4,318.00	\$535.00		\$50,151.00	\$6,520.00				\$1,165.00	\$62,689.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$10,998.00	\$1,650.00	\$2,887.00	\$127,735.00	\$16,606.00				\$1,814.00	\$161,690.00
LAKE OLYMPIA TRANSPORTATION CENTER	\$313,334.00	\$47,018.00	\$193,273.00	\$3,639,190.00	\$473,095.00				\$51,686.00	\$4,717,596.00
SUGAR LAND WAREHOUSE	\$25,357.00	\$3,805.00	\$6,656.00	\$294,510.00	\$38,286.00				\$4,183.00	\$372,797.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$777,705.00</b>	<b>\$116,587.00</b>	<b>\$314,031.00</b>	<b>\$9,032,589.00</b>	<b>\$1,174,238.00</b>				<b>\$128,740.00</b>	<b>\$11,543,890.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP026 - Miscellaneous Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AQUATIC PRACTICE	\$63,306.00	\$10,332.00	\$24,942.00	\$705,166.00	\$91,672.00				\$2,883.00	\$898,301.00
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY	\$13,243.00	\$1,806.00	\$1,667.00	\$147,518.00	\$19,177.00				\$3,321.00	\$186,732.00
CENTRAL WAREHOUSE CENTER	\$105,714.00	\$17,386.00	\$42,979.00	\$1,177,546.00	\$153,081.00				\$3,352.00	\$1,500,058.00
DON COOK NATATORIUM	\$38,790.00	\$6,331.00	\$15,281.00	\$432,076.00	\$56,170.00				\$1,767.00	\$550,415.00
DRABEK ELEMENTARY	\$43,027.00	\$5,917.00	\$5,903.00	\$479,276.00	\$62,306.00				\$10,250.00	\$606,679.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$76,390.00	\$11,006.00	\$15,480.00	\$850,901.00	\$110,617.00				\$26,505.00	\$1,090,899.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$13,774.00	\$1,705.00		\$153,428.00	\$19,946.00				\$5,360.00	\$194,213.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY	\$16,554.00	\$2,050.00		\$184,398.00	\$23,972.00				\$6,441.00	\$236,415.00
GOODMAN ELEMENTARY	\$9,460.00	\$1,171.00		\$105,370.00	\$13,698.00				\$3,681.00	\$133,380.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY	\$958.00			\$10,670.00	\$1,387.00				\$323.00	\$13,338.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$977.00			\$10,884.00	\$1,415.00				\$329.00	\$13,605.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH	\$30,451.00	\$3,770.00		\$339,193.00	\$44,095.00				\$11,849.00	\$429,358.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE	\$6,101.00	\$755.00		\$67,957.00	\$8,834.00				\$2,375.00	\$86,022.00
SCHIFF ELEMENTARY	\$9,460.00	\$1,171.00		\$105,370.00	\$13,698.00				\$3,681.00	\$133,380.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$73,940.00	\$10,653.00	\$14,984.00	\$823,618.00	\$107,070.00				\$25,655.00	\$1,055,920.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$502,145.00</b>	<b>\$74,053.00</b>	<b>\$121,236.00</b>	<b>\$5,593,371.00</b>	<b>\$727,138.00</b>				<b>\$107,772.00</b>	<b>\$7,125,715.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP027 - Restroom Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	\$22,943.00	\$1,093.00		\$278,347.00	\$13,917.00				\$1,811.00	\$318,111.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
DULLES HIGH	\$123,328.00	\$5,873.00		\$1,496,250.00	\$74,813.00				\$9,736.00	\$1,710,000.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$82,219.00	\$3,915.00		\$997,500.00	\$49,875.00				\$6,491.00	\$1,140,000.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
HODGES BEND TRANSPORTATION CENTER	\$25,973.00	\$1,237.00		\$315,110.00	\$15,756.00				\$2,050.00	\$360,126.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
KEMPNER AG	\$7,215.00	\$344.00		\$87,531.00	\$4,377.00				\$568.00	\$90,035.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$109,625.00	\$5,220.00		\$1,330,000.00	\$66,500.00				\$8,655.00	\$1,520,000.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
TOWNEWEST ELEMENTARY	\$68,516.00	\$3,263.00		\$831,250.00	\$41,563.00				\$5,408.00	\$950,000.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH	\$109,625.00	\$5,220.00		\$1,330,000.00	\$66,500.00				\$8,655.00	\$1,520,000.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,097,572.00</b>	<b>\$52,269.00</b>		<b>\$13,315,988.00</b>	<b>\$665,805.00</b>				<b>\$86,638.00</b>	<b>\$15,218,272.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP028 - Dulles HS-MS Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>DULLES HIGH</b>	\$990,610.00	\$187,155.00	\$645,082.00	\$12,018,318.00	\$1,562,381.00			\$1,000.00	\$203,659.00	\$15,608,205.00
<b>DULLES MIDDLE</b>	\$265,588.00	\$50,177.00	\$172,951.00	\$3,222,181.00	\$418,884.00			\$1,000.00	\$53,870.00	\$4,184,651.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,256,198.00</b>	<b>\$237,332.00</b>	<b>\$818,033.00</b>	<b>\$15,240,499.00</b>	<b>\$1,981,265.00</b>			<b>\$2,000.00</b>	<b>\$257,529.00</b>	<b>\$19,792,856.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP029 - Marshall HS Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>MARSHALL HIGH</b>	\$1,757,985.00	\$332,135.00	\$1,144,796.00	\$21,328,302.00	\$2,772,679.00	\$250,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$112,197.00	\$27,699,094.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,757,985.00</b>	<b>\$332,135.00</b>	<b>\$1,144,796.00</b>	<b>\$21,328,302.00</b>	<b>\$2,772,679.00</b>	<b>\$250,000.00</b>		<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$112,197.00</b>	<b>\$27,699,094.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP030 - Willowridge HS Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>WILLOWRIDGE HIGH</b>	\$1,178,162.00	\$210,690.00	\$1,268,678.00	\$14,293,746.00	\$1,715,249.00	\$350,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$54,032.00	\$19,071,557.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,178,162.00</b>	<b>\$210,690.00</b>	<b>\$1,268,678.00</b>	<b>\$14,293,746.00</b>	<b>\$1,715,249.00</b>	<b>\$350,000.00</b>		<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$54,032.00</b>	<b>\$19,071,557.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP031 - Bush HS Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BUSH HIGH</b>	\$1,206,274.00	\$215,717.00	\$1,526,029.00	\$14,634,811.00	\$1,756,177.00	\$350,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$63,697.00	\$19,753,705.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	\$1,206,274.00	\$215,717.00	\$1,526,029.00	\$14,634,811.00	\$1,756,177.00	\$350,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$63,697.00	\$19,753,705.00



Project Summary  
**BP032 - Hightower HS Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>HIGHTOWER HIGH</b>	\$972,743.00	\$171,275.00	\$601,045.00	\$11,297,825.00	\$1,355,739.00	\$250,000.00		\$1,000.00	\$22,873.00	\$14,672,500.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$972,743.00</b>	<b>\$171,275.00</b>	<b>\$601,045.00</b>	<b>\$11,297,825.00</b>	<b>\$1,355,739.00</b>	<b>\$250,000.00</b>		<b>\$1,000.00</b>	<b>\$22,873.00</b>	<b>\$14,672,500.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP033 - Fine Arts Renovations**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$13,193.00			\$160,056.00	\$20,807.00				\$6,014.00	\$200,070.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$4,385.00			\$53,200.00	\$6,916.00				\$1,999.00	\$66,500.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$4,057.00			\$49,225.00	\$6,399.00				\$1,850.00	\$61,531.00
DULLES HIGH	\$13,193.00			\$160,056.00	\$20,807.00				\$6,014.00	\$200,070.00
DULLES MIDDLE	\$338,720.00	\$47,548.00	\$415,166.00	\$4,109,438.00	\$493,133.00	\$100,000.00			\$24,611.00	\$5,528,616.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$13,193.00			\$160,056.00	\$20,807.00				\$6,014.00	\$200,070.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY	\$2,199.00			\$26,676.00	\$3,468.00				\$1,002.00	\$33,345.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$284,433.00	\$34,377.00	\$343,870.00	\$3,450,807.00	\$345,081.00	\$100,000.00			\$25,930.00	\$4,554,498.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE	\$8,795.00			\$106,704.00	\$13,872.00				\$4,009.00	\$133,380.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$13,193.00			\$160,056.00	\$20,807.00				\$6,014.00	\$200,070.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$321,784.00	\$38,891.00	\$392,908.00	\$3,903,966.00	\$390,397.00	\$100,000.00			\$104,239.00	\$5,252,185.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$4,385.00			\$53,200.00	\$6,916.00				\$1,999.00	\$66,500.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$343,008.00	\$41,456.00	\$414,687.00	\$4,161,456.00	\$416,146.00	\$100,000.00			\$51,863.00	\$5,528,616.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$4,385.00			\$53,200.00	\$6,916.00				\$1,999.00	\$66,500.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$8,795.00			\$106,704.00	\$13,872.00				\$4,009.00	\$133,380.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY	\$4,385.00			\$53,200.00	\$6,916.00				\$1,999.00	\$66,500.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,382,103.00</b>	<b>\$162,272.00</b>	<b>\$1,566,631.00</b>	<b>\$16,768,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,793,260.00</b>	<b>\$400,000.00</b>			<b>\$249,565.00</b>	<b>\$22,321,831.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP034 - Roofing Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	\$19,110.00	\$910.00	\$16,937.00	\$231,852.00	\$11,593.00				\$6,130.00	\$286,532.00
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY	\$3,367.00		\$2,984.00	\$40,850.00	\$2,043.00				\$1,240.00	\$50,484.00
AUSTIN HIGH	\$481,954.00	\$25,406.00	\$506,274.00	\$5,847,179.00	\$292,359.00				\$127,589.00	\$7,280,761.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY	\$42,357.00	\$2,233.00	\$39,697.00	\$513,886.00	\$25,694.00				\$11,214.00	\$635,081.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$4,396.00		\$3,896.00	\$53,338.00	\$2,667.00				\$1,620.00	\$65,917.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE	\$228,331.00	\$12,036.00	\$313,997.00	\$2,770,169.00	\$138,508.00				\$60,448.00	\$3,523,489.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY	\$7,091.00		\$6,285.00	\$86,030.00	\$4,302.00				\$2,612.00	\$106,320.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$6,297.00		\$5,581.00	\$76,397.00	\$3,820.00				\$2,320.00	\$94,415.00
JONES ELEMENTARY	\$1,891.00		\$1,676.00	\$22,941.00	\$1,147.00				\$697.00	\$28,352.00
JORDAN ELEMENTARY	\$9,927.00		\$8,798.00	\$120,442.00	\$6,022.00				\$3,658.00	\$148,847.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$15,364.00	\$810.00	\$14,399.00	\$186,398.00	\$9,320.00				\$4,067.00	\$230,358.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$146,926.00	\$7,745.00	\$137,702.00	\$1,782,544.00	\$89,127.00				\$38,897.00	\$2,202,941.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$272,012.00	\$14,339.00	\$354,935.00	\$3,300,115.00	\$165,006.00				\$72,011.00	\$4,178,418.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$471,851.00	\$24,873.00	\$642,228.00	\$5,724,614.00	\$286,231.00				\$124,916.00	\$7,274,713.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$1,710,874.00</b>	<b>\$88,352.00</b>	<b>\$2,055,389.00</b>	<b>\$20,756,755.00</b>	<b>\$1,037,839.00</b>				<b>\$457,419.00</b>	<b>\$26,106,628.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP035 - Intercom System Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY			\$13,345.00	\$231,080.00	\$11,554.00				\$777.00	\$256,756.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY			\$16,031.00	\$277,597.00	\$13,880.00				\$933.00	\$308,441.00
DRABEK ELEMENTARY			\$13,518.00	\$234,082.00	\$11,704.00				\$787.00	\$260,091.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY			\$20,711.00	\$358,626.00	\$17,931.00				\$1,205.00	\$398,473.00
DULLES MIDDLE			\$40,728.00	\$705,246.00	\$35,262.00				\$2,371.00	\$783,607.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE			\$49,632.00	\$859,423.00	\$42,971.00				\$2,888.00	\$954,914.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY			\$16,205.00	\$280,598.00	\$14,030.00				\$943.00	\$311,776.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE			\$45,146.00	\$781,750.00	\$39,087.00				\$2,628.00	\$868,611.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY			\$15,124.00	\$261,893.00	\$13,095.00				\$880.00	\$290,992.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE			\$43,756.00	\$757,678.00	\$37,884.00				\$2,546.00	\$841,864.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE			\$47,140.00	\$816,286.00	\$40,814.00				\$2,744.00	\$906,984.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE			\$33,969.00	\$588,206.00	\$29,410.00				\$1,977.00	\$653,562.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE			\$43,154.00	\$747,261.00	\$37,363.00				\$2,512.00	\$830,290.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY			\$18,874.00	\$326,818.00	\$16,341.00				\$1,098.00	\$363,131.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY			\$13,499.00	\$233,746.00	\$11,687.00				\$786.00	\$259,718.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY			\$15,338.00	\$265,593.00	\$13,280.00				\$892.00	\$295,103.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>\$446,170.00</b>	<b>\$7,725,883.00</b>	<b>\$386,293.00</b>				<b>\$25,967.00</b>	<b>\$8,584,313.00</b>



**Project Summary**  
**BP036 - Sitework Package 1**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY	\$2,194.00			\$25,485.00	\$1,784.00				\$519.00	\$29,982.00
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY	\$27,894.00	\$3,208.00	\$13,485.00	\$323,977.00	\$22,678.00				\$8,730.00	\$399,972.00
BAINES MIDDLE	\$1,547.00			\$17,964.00	\$1,257.00				\$366.00	\$21,134.00
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY	\$17,902.00	\$2,059.00	\$8,654.00	\$207,919.00	\$14,554.00				\$5,602.00	\$256,690.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY	\$4,976.00	\$594.00	\$2,622.00	\$57,789.00	\$4,045.00				\$1,318.00	\$71,344.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY	\$1,183.00			\$13,744.00	\$962.00				\$280.00	\$16,169.00
ELKINS HIGH	\$84,744.00	\$9,746.00	\$40,967.00	\$984,249.00	\$68,897.00				\$26,519.00	\$1,215,122.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE	\$12,544.00	\$1,443.00	\$6,064.00	\$145,696.00	\$10,199.00				\$3,926.00	\$176,872.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY	\$85,251.00	\$9,805.00	\$41,212.00	\$990,139.00	\$69,310.00				\$26,677.00	\$1,222,394.00
GOODMAN ELEMENTARY	\$22,402.00	\$2,746.00	\$12,528.00	\$260,187.00	\$18,213.00				\$5,142.00	\$321,218.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$16,871.00	\$2,068.00	\$9,435.00	\$195,951.00	\$13,717.00				\$3,873.00	\$241,915.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$9,133.00	\$1,120.00	\$5,107.00	\$106,074.00	\$7,425.00				\$2,096.00	\$130,955.00
JONES ELEMENTARY	\$4,905.00	\$623.00	\$2,957.00	\$56,974.00	\$3,988.00				\$891.00	\$70,338.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE	\$61,859.00	\$7,583.00	\$34,592.00	\$718,451.00	\$50,292.00				\$14,200.00	\$886,977.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$84,117.00	\$10,312.00	\$47,041.00	\$976,974.00	\$68,388.00				\$19,309.00	\$1,206,141.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$5,941.00	\$754.00	\$3,580.00	\$69,000.00	\$4,830.00				\$1,080.00	\$85,185.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE	\$2,755.00	\$350.00	\$1,661.00	\$32,003.00	\$2,240.00				\$501.00	\$39,510.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE	\$12,916.00	\$1,583.00	\$7,223.00	\$150,007.00	\$10,500.00				\$2,965.00	\$185,194.00
QUAIL VALLEY ELEMENTARY	\$1,464.00		\$111.00	\$17,006.00	\$1,190.00				\$236.00	\$20,007.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$13,389.00	\$1,641.00	\$7,488.00	\$155,503.00	\$10,885.00				\$3,073.00	\$191,979.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH	\$9,389.00	\$1,151.00	\$5,250.00	\$109,046.00	\$7,633.00				\$2,156.00	\$134,625.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$111,346.00	\$13,650.00	\$62,268.00	\$1,293,213.00	\$90,525.00				\$25,557.00	\$1,596,559.00
SCHIFF ELEMENTARY	\$976.00		\$121.00	\$11,337.00	\$794.00				\$110.00	\$13,338.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY	\$117,027.00	\$14,346.00	\$65,445.00	\$1,359,204.00	\$95,144.00				\$26,864.00	\$1,678,030.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$2,730.00		\$207.00	\$31,708.00	\$2,220.00				\$438.00	\$37,303.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$715,455.00</b>	<b>\$84,782.00</b>	<b>\$378,018.00</b>	<b>\$8,309,600.00</b>	<b>\$581,670.00</b>				<b>\$182,428.00</b>	<b>\$10,251,953.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP037 - Sitework Package 2**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY	\$9,354.00	\$1,125.00	\$5,017.00	\$104,198.00	\$7,294.00				\$1,651.00	\$128,639.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY	\$66,734.00	\$8,028.00	\$35,792.00	\$743,351.00	\$52,035.00				\$11,777.00	\$917,717.00
COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY	\$49,860.00	\$5,998.00	\$26,742.00	\$555,393.00	\$38,877.00				\$8,800.00	\$685,670.00
DRABEK ELEMENTARY	\$24,248.00	\$2,917.00	\$13,005.00	\$270,095.00	\$18,907.00				\$4,278.00	\$333,450.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY	\$59,805.00	\$7,195.00	\$32,076.00	\$666,164.00	\$46,631.00				\$10,554.00	\$822,425.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY	\$46,593.00	\$5,605.00	\$24,990.00	\$519,003.00	\$36,330.00				\$8,223.00	\$640,744.00
HOLLEY ELEMENTARY	\$2,213.00		\$161.00	\$24,653.00	\$1,726.00				\$250.00	\$29,003.00
JORDAN ELEMENTARY	\$21,008.00	\$2,527.00	\$11,267.00	\$234,010.00	\$16,381.00				\$3,708.00	\$288,901.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY	\$39,199.00	\$4,716.00	\$21,024.00	\$436,638.00	\$30,565.00				\$6,917.00	\$539,059.00
OAKLAND ELEMENTARY	\$3,230.00	\$402.00	\$1,867.00	\$35,976.00	\$2,518.00				\$422.00	\$44,415.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$26,378.00	\$3,173.00	\$14,148.00	\$293,822.00	\$20,568.00				\$4,654.00	\$362,743.00
PATTERSON ELEMENTARY	\$4,145.00	\$516.00	\$2,395.00	\$46,170.00	\$3,232.00				\$542.00	\$57,000.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$1,997.00		\$145.00	\$22,246.00	\$1,557.00				\$227.00	\$26,172.00
SEGUIN ELEMENTARY	\$3,358.00	\$418.00	\$1,941.00	\$37,405.00	\$2,618.00				\$439.00	\$46,179.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$1,234.00		\$118.00	\$13,744.00	\$962.00				\$111.00	\$16,169.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY	\$114,951.00	\$13,829.00	\$61,653.00	\$1,280,440.00	\$89,631.00				\$20,286.00	\$1,580,790.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY	\$48,771.00	\$5,867.00	\$26,158.00	\$543,253.00	\$38,028.00				\$8,606.00	\$670,683.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$523,078.00</b>	<b>\$62,316.00</b>	<b>\$278,499.00</b>	<b>\$5,826,561.00</b>	<b>\$407,860.00</b>				<b>\$91,445.00</b>	<b>\$7,189,759.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP038 - Sitework Package 3**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	\$31,871.00	\$3,834.00	\$17,094.00	\$355,012.00	\$24,851.00				\$5,624.00	\$438,286.00
AUSTIN HIGH	\$62,069.00	\$7,467.00	\$33,290.00	\$691,380.00	\$48,397.00				\$10,952.00	\$853,555.00
BOWIE MIDDLE	\$2,605.00	\$324.00	\$1,505.00	\$29,012.00	\$2,031.00				\$340.00	\$35,817.00
CROCKETT MIDDLE	\$18,241.00	\$2,194.00	\$9,783.00	\$203,189.00	\$14,223.00				\$3,220.00	\$250,850.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE	\$20,171.00	\$2,427.00	\$10,818.00	\$224,685.00	\$15,728.00				\$3,560.00	\$277,389.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$51,331.00	\$6,175.00	\$27,531.00	\$571,769.00	\$40,024.00				\$9,058.00	\$705,888.00
KEMPNER AG	\$56,829.00	\$6,837.00	\$30,480.00	\$633,017.00	\$44,311.00				\$10,029.00	\$781,503.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$20,295.00	\$2,441.00	\$10,886.00	\$226,064.00	\$15,824.00				\$3,581.00	\$279,091.00
PFC	\$48,495.00	\$5,834.00	\$26,011.00	\$540,189.00	\$37,813.00				\$8,558.00	\$666,900.00
PROGRESSIVE HIGH	\$26,537.00	\$3,192.00	\$14,232.00	\$295,591.00	\$20,691.00				\$4,684.00	\$364,927.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE	\$29,708.00	\$3,574.00	\$15,933.00	\$330,916.00	\$23,164.00				\$5,243.00	\$408,538.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$31,442.00	\$3,783.00	\$16,865.00	\$350,234.00	\$24,516.00				\$5,548.00	\$432,388.00
TECHNICAL EDUCATION CENTER	\$19,699.00	\$2,370.00	\$10,566.00	\$219,424.00	\$15,360.00				\$3,475.00	\$270,894.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$7,274.00	\$905.00	\$4,205.00	\$81,028.00	\$5,672.00				\$951.00	\$100,035.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$426,567.00</b>	<b>\$51,357.00</b>	<b>\$229,199.00</b>	<b>\$4,751,510.00</b>	<b>\$332,605.00</b>				<b>\$74,823.00</b>	<b>\$5,866,061.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP039 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 1**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BAINES MIDDLE</b>	\$155,026.00	\$24,646.00	\$54,527.00	\$1,800,530.00	\$234,069.00				\$24,871.00	\$2,293,669.00
<b>LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE</b>	\$191,406.00	\$30,430.00	\$67,324.00	\$2,223,071.00	\$288,999.00				\$30,708.00	\$2,831,938.00
<b>MCAULIFFE MIDDLE</b>	\$303,295.00	\$48,219.00	\$106,678.00	\$3,522,592.00	\$457,937.00				\$48,657.00	\$4,487,378.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$649,727.00</b>	<b>\$103,295.00</b>	<b>\$228,529.00</b>	<b>\$7,546,193.00</b>	<b>\$981,005.00</b>				<b>\$104,236.00</b>	<b>\$9,612,985.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP040 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 2**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY	\$85,537.00	\$13,599.00	\$30,085.00	\$993,465.00	\$129,151.00				\$13,724.00	\$1,265,561.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY	\$123,097.00	\$19,570.00	\$43,297.00	\$1,429,697.00	\$185,861.00				\$19,748.00	\$1,821,270.00
GOODMAN ELEMENTARY	\$27,194.00	\$4,323.00	\$9,565.00	\$315,838.00	\$41,059.00				\$4,362.00	\$402,341.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY	\$6,130.00	\$975.00	\$2,156.00	\$71,193.00	\$9,255.00				\$983.00	\$90,692.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$103,466.00	\$16,449.00	\$36,392.00	\$1,201,700.00	\$156,221.00				\$16,600.00	\$1,530,828.00
LEONETTI ELEMENTARY	\$1,622.00	\$261.00	\$752.00	\$18,842.00	\$2,261.00				\$264.00	\$24,002.00
PARKS ELEMENTARY	\$128,222.00	\$20,385.00	\$45,100.00	\$1,489,227.00	\$193,599.00				\$20,571.00	\$1,897,104.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY	\$124,738.00	\$19,831.00	\$43,874.00	\$1,448,759.00	\$188,339.00				\$20,012.00	\$1,849,553.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$600,006.00</b>	<b>\$95,393.00</b>	<b>\$211,221.00</b>	<b>\$6,968,721.00</b>	<b>\$905,746.00</b>				<b>\$96,264.00</b>	<b>\$8,877,351.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP041 - HVAC - MEP East Zone 3**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY	\$160,189.00	\$25,467.00	\$56,344.00	\$1,860,496.00	\$241,865.00				\$25,698.00	\$2,370,059.00
SCANLAN OAKS ELEMENTARY	\$210,463.00	\$33,460.00	\$74,026.00	\$2,444,398.00	\$317,772.00				\$33,764.00	\$3,113,883.00
SCHIFF ELEMENTARY	\$74,233.00	\$11,802.00	\$26,111.00	\$862,173.00	\$112,083.00				\$11,908.00	\$1,098,310.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY	\$93,476.00	\$14,861.00	\$32,879.00	\$1,085,664.00	\$141,136.00				\$14,996.00	\$1,383,012.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$538,361.00</b>	<b>\$85,590.00</b>	<b>\$189,360.00</b>	<b>\$6,252,731.00</b>	<b>\$812,856.00</b>				<b>\$86,366.00</b>	<b>\$7,965,264.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP042 - HVAC - MEP East Central 1**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ELKINS HIGH	\$224,867.00	\$35,750.00	\$79,092.00	\$2,611,693.00	\$339,520.00				\$36,076.00	\$3,326,998.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE	\$58,940.00	\$9,371.00	\$20,730.00	\$684,558.00	\$88,993.00				\$9,457.00	\$872,049.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE	\$42,447.00	\$6,748.00	\$14,930.00	\$492,995.00	\$64,089.00				\$6,810.00	\$628,019.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE	\$206,125.00	\$32,770.00	\$72,501.00	\$2,394,020.00	\$311,223.00				\$33,068.00	\$3,049,707.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH	\$107,565.00	\$17,101.00	\$37,833.00	\$1,249,307.00	\$162,410.00				\$17,258.00	\$1,591,474.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$639,944.00</b>	<b>\$101,740.00</b>	<b>\$225,086.00</b>	<b>\$7,432,573.00</b>	<b>\$966,235.00</b>				<b>\$102,669.00</b>	<b>\$9,468,247.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP043 - HVAC - MEP East Central 2**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY	\$58,935.00	\$9,370.00	\$20,729.00	\$684,493.00	\$88,984.00				\$9,454.00	\$871,965.00
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY	\$48,951.00	\$7,782.00	\$17,218.00	\$568,534.00	\$73,909.00				\$7,853.00	\$724,247.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY	\$82,847.00	\$13,171.00	\$29,140.00	\$962,218.00	\$125,088.00				\$13,292.00	\$1,225,756.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY	\$45,597.00	\$7,249.00	\$16,038.00	\$529,584.00	\$68,846.00				\$7,315.00	\$674,629.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY	\$167,449.00	\$26,621.00	\$58,896.00	\$1,944,816.00	\$252,826.00				\$26,864.00	\$2,477,472.00
JONES ELEMENTARY	\$6,130.00	\$975.00	\$2,156.00	\$71,193.00	\$9,255.00				\$983.00	\$90,692.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY	\$88,166.00	\$14,017.00	\$31,011.00	\$1,023,992.00	\$133,119.00				\$14,144.00	\$1,304,449.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$12,891.00	\$2,050.00	\$4,534.00	\$149,725.00	\$19,464.00				\$2,069.00	\$199,733.00
PALMER ELEMENTARY	\$25,062.00	\$3,984.00	\$8,816.00	\$291,081.00	\$37,841.00				\$4,020.00	\$370,804.00
QUAIL VALLEY ELEMENTARY	\$39,634.00	\$6,301.00	\$13,940.00	\$460,323.00	\$59,842.00				\$6,359.00	\$586,399.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY	\$107,247.00	\$17,050.00	\$37,722.00	\$1,245,613.00	\$161,930.00				\$17,206.00	\$1,586,768.00
SULLIVAN ELEMENTARY	\$1,622.00	\$261.00	\$752.00	\$18,842.00	\$2,261.00				\$264.00	\$24,002.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$684,531.00</b>	<b>\$108,831.00</b>	<b>\$240,952.00</b>	<b>\$7,950,414.00</b>	<b>\$1,033,365.00</b>				<b>\$109,823.00</b>	<b>\$10,127,916.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP044 - HVAC - MEP West Central 1**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
<b>BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY</b>	\$73,059.00	\$11,510.00	\$24,645.00	\$813,802.00	\$105,794.00				\$7,881.00	\$1,036,691.00
<b>COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY</b>	\$65,981.00	\$10,395.00	\$22,258.00	\$734,960.00	\$95,545.00				\$7,116.00	\$936,255.00
<b>COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY</b>	\$127,728.00	\$20,123.00	\$43,087.00	\$1,422,756.00	\$184,958.00				\$13,776.00	\$1,812,428.00
<b>CORNERSTONE ELEMENTARY</b>	\$9,023.00	\$1,422.00	\$3,044.00	\$100,510.00	\$13,066.00				\$973.00	\$128,038.00
<b>DRABEK ELEMENTARY</b>	\$159,692.00	\$25,158.00	\$53,869.00	\$1,778,801.00	\$231,244.00				\$17,224.00	\$2,265,988.00
<b>DULLES ELEMENTARY</b>	\$65,618.00	\$10,338.00	\$22,135.00	\$730,913.00	\$95,019.00				\$7,076.00	\$931,099.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$501,101.00</b>	<b>\$78,946.00</b>	<b>\$169,038.00</b>	<b>\$5,581,742.00</b>	<b>\$725,626.00</b>				<b>\$54,046.00</b>	<b>\$7,110,499.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP045 - HVAC - MEP West Central 2**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE	\$109,969.00	\$17,483.00	\$38,680.00	\$1,277,224.00	\$166,039.00				\$17,642.00	\$1,627,037.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$633,489.00	\$100,714.00	\$222,817.00	\$7,357,598.00	\$956,488.00				\$101,630.00	\$9,372,736.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE	\$153,822.00	\$24,455.00	\$54,104.00	\$1,786,546.00	\$232,251.00				\$24,677.00	\$2,275,855.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE	\$62,233.00	\$9,894.00	\$21,890.00	\$722,793.00	\$93,963.00				\$9,983.00	\$920,756.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$959,513.00</b>	<b>\$152,546.00</b>	<b>\$337,491.00</b>	<b>\$11,144,161.00</b>	<b>\$1,448,741.00</b>				<b>\$153,932.00</b>	<b>\$14,196,384.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP046 - HVAC - MEP West 1**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
FLEMING ELEMENTARY	\$83,682.00	\$13,072.00	\$27,118.00	\$895,471.00	\$116,411.00				\$4,973.00	\$1,140,727.00
HOLLEY ELEMENTARY	\$42,593.00	\$6,654.00	\$13,803.00	\$455,784.00	\$59,252.00				\$2,530.00	\$580,616.00
JORDAN ELEMENTARY	\$146,041.00	\$22,814.00	\$47,327.00	\$1,562,769.00	\$203,160.00				\$8,677.00	\$1,990,788.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$272,316.00</b>	<b>\$42,540.00</b>	<b>\$88,248.00</b>	<b>\$2,914,024.00</b>	<b>\$378,823.00</b>				<b>\$16,180.00</b>	<b>\$3,712,131.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP047 - HVAC - MEP West 2**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY	\$20,284.00	\$3,225.00	\$7,134.00	\$235,582.00	\$30,626.00				\$3,254.00	\$300,105.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY	\$35,176.00	\$5,592.00	\$12,372.00	\$408,547.00	\$53,111.00				\$5,644.00	\$520,442.00
NEILL ELEMENTARY	\$6,130.00	\$975.00	\$2,156.00	\$71,193.00	\$9,255.00				\$983.00	\$90,692.00
OAKLAND ELEMENTARY	\$6,130.00	\$975.00	\$2,156.00	\$71,193.00	\$9,255.00				\$983.00	\$90,692.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY	\$81,968.00	\$13,031.00	\$28,831.00	\$952,010.00	\$123,761.00				\$13,151.00	\$1,212,752.00
PATTERSON ELEMENTARY	\$1,622.00	\$261.00	\$752.00	\$18,842.00	\$2,261.00				\$264.00	\$24,002.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY	\$81,827.00	\$13,009.00	\$28,782.00	\$950,373.00	\$123,548.00				\$13,127.00	\$1,210,666.00
SEGUIN ELEMENTARY	\$61,000.00	\$9,698.00	\$21,456.00	\$708,475.00	\$92,102.00				\$9,785.00	\$902,516.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY	\$93,765.00	\$14,907.00	\$32,980.00	\$1,089,019.00	\$141,572.00				\$15,042.00	\$1,387,285.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY	\$149,104.00	\$23,705.00	\$52,444.00	\$1,731,751.00	\$225,128.00				\$23,920.00	\$2,206,052.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY	\$1,622.00	\$261.00	\$752.00	\$18,842.00	\$2,261.00				\$264.00	\$24,002.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$538,628.00</b>	<b>\$85,639.00</b>	<b>\$189,815.00</b>	<b>\$6,255,827.00</b>	<b>\$812,880.00</b>				<b>\$86,417.00</b>	<b>\$7,969,206.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP048 - HVAC - MEP West 3**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN HIGH	\$183,274.00	\$28,873.00	\$61,824.00	\$2,041,480.00	\$265,392.00				\$19,768.00	\$2,600,611.00
BOWIE MIDDLE	\$62,132.00	\$9,788.00	\$20,960.00	\$692,088.00	\$89,971.00				\$6,702.00	\$881,641.00
CROCKETT MIDDLE	\$38,534.00	\$6,071.00	\$12,998.00	\$429,231.00	\$55,800.00				\$4,157.00	\$546,791.00
GARCIA MIDDLE	\$60,654.00	\$9,556.00	\$20,461.00	\$675,619.00	\$87,830.00				\$6,541.00	\$860,661.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE	\$57,185.00	\$9,009.00	\$19,291.00	\$636,984.00	\$82,808.00				\$6,167.00	\$811,444.00
KEMPNER HIGH	\$3,290.00	\$541.00	\$1,338.00	\$36,646.00	\$4,764.00				\$104.00	\$46,683.00
TRAVIS HIGH	\$73,565.00	\$11,590.00	\$24,816.00	\$819,443.00	\$106,528.00				\$7,934.00	\$1,043,876.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY	\$9,400.00	\$1,481.00	\$3,172.00	\$104,703.00	\$13,611.00				\$1,013.00	\$133,380.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$488,034.00</b>	<b>\$76,909.00</b>	<b>\$164,860.00</b>	<b>\$5,436,194.00</b>	<b>\$706,704.00</b>				<b>\$52,386.00</b>	<b>\$6,925,087.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP049 - HVAC - MEP Support Facilities**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING	\$167,267.00	\$26,352.00	\$56,425.00	\$1,863,179.00	\$242,213.00				\$18,041.00	\$2,373,477.00
DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	\$7,050.00	\$1,111.00	\$2,378.00	\$78,527.00	\$10,209.00				\$760.00	\$100,035.00
HOPSON FIELD HOUSE	\$32,622.00	\$5,139.00	\$11,004.00	\$363,375.00	\$47,239.00				\$3,519.00	\$462,898.00
KEMPNER AG	\$7,798.00	\$1,229.00	\$2,630.00	\$86,862.00	\$11,292.00				\$841.00	\$110,652.00
M. R. WOOD ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION CENTER	\$177,359.00	\$27,942.00	\$59,829.00	\$1,975,593.00	\$256,827.00				\$19,129.00	\$2,516,679.00
PFC	\$11,571.00	\$1,823.00	\$3,903.00	\$128,889.00	\$16,756.00				\$1,248.00	\$164,190.00
PROGRESSIVE HIGH	\$24,204.00	\$3,813.00	\$8,165.00	\$269,611.00	\$35,049.00				\$2,611.00	\$343,453.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$427,871.00</b>	<b>\$67,409.00</b>	<b>\$144,334.00</b>	<b>\$4,766,036.00</b>	<b>\$619,585.00</b>				<b>\$46,149.00</b>	<b>\$6,971,384.00</b>



**Project Summary**  
**BP050 - Drinking Fountains**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
AUSTIN HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BAINES MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BOWIE MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
BUSH HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
COMMONWEALTH ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
CORNERSTONE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
CROCKETT MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
DRABEK ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
DULLES HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
DULLES MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
ELKINS HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
FIRST COLONY MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
GARCIA MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
GLOVER ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
GOODMAN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
HERITAGE ROSE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00

206



**Project Summary**  
**BP050 - Drinking Fountains**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
HIGHTOWER HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
HOLLEY ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
JONES ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
JORDAN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
KEMPNER AG				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
KEMPNER HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
M. R. WOOD ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION CENTER				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MADDEN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MARSHALL HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
MISSOURI CITY MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
OAKLAND ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
PALMER ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
PARKS ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
PROGRESSIVE HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
QUAIL VALLEY ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
RIDGE POINT HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00

207



Project Summary  
**BP050 - Drinking Fountains**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
RIDGEMONT ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SCANLAN OAKS ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SCHIFF ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SEGUIN ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
TRAVIS HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH				\$45,125.00	\$2,256.00				\$119.00	\$47,500.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>				<b>\$3,068,500.00</b>	<b>\$153,408.00</b>				<b>\$8,092.00</b>	<b>\$3,230,000.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP051 - Cameras & Monitors**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
ARMSTRONG ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
COLONY MEADOWS ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
DRABEK ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
GOODMAN ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
JONES ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
JORDAN ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
LEONETTI ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
LEXINGTON CREEK ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
MADDEN ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY			\$2,218.00	\$38,413.00	\$1,921.00				\$129.00	\$42,681.00
NEILL ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
OAKLAND ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
OYSTER CREEK ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
PARKS ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
PATTERSON ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
QUAIL VALLEY ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
SCANLAN OAKS ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
SCHIFF ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
SEGUIN ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY			\$1,236.00	\$21,607.00	\$864.00				\$301.00	\$24,008.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>\$28,174.00</b>	<b>\$492,160.00</b>	<b>\$20,065.00</b>				<b>\$6,450.00</b>	<b>\$546,849.00</b>

209



Project Summary  
**BP052 - Life Safety Systems**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY			\$16,155.00	\$279,743.00	\$13,987.00				\$940.00	\$310,825.00
GARCIA MIDDLE			\$47,790.00	\$827,538.00	\$41,377.00				\$2,782.00	\$919,487.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY			\$20,411.00	\$353,445.00	\$17,672.00				\$1,189.00	\$392,717.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE			\$50,945.00	\$882,165.00	\$44,108.00				\$2,965.00	\$980,183.00
MISSION WEST ELEMENTARY			\$19,855.00	\$343,806.00	\$17,190.00				\$1,156.00	\$382,007.00
PALMER ELEMENTARY			\$18,837.00	\$326,181.00	\$16,309.00				\$1,096.00	\$362,423.00
QUAIL VALLEY MIDDLE			\$42,779.00	\$740,757.00	\$37,038.00				\$2,489.00	\$823,063.00
TOWNWEST ELEMENTARY			\$18,172.00	\$314,669.00	\$15,733.00				\$1,058.00	349,632.00
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			\$108,628.00	\$1,881,000.00	\$94,050.00				\$6,322.00	\$2,090,000.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>\$343,572.00</b>	<b>\$5,949,304.00</b>	<b>\$297,464.00</b>				<b>\$19,997.00</b>	<b>\$6,610,337.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP053 - Stage Curtains**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	B Design Contingency	C Other Professional Services	D Construction	E Construction Contingency	F FF&E	G Site Development	H Admin & Other Project Costs	I Project Contingency	TOTAL
AUSTIN PARKWAY ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
DULLES ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY				\$13,893.00	\$695.00				\$351.00	\$14,939.00
FORT SETTLEMENT MIDDLE				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
HODGES BEND MIDDLE				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
LAKE OLYMPIA MIDDLE				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
MCAULIFFE MIDDLE				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
RIDGEGATE ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
SARTARTIA MIDDLE				\$49,617.00	\$2,481.00				\$1,254.00	\$53,352.00
SETTLERS WAY ELEMENTARY				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE				\$31,011.00	\$1,551.00				\$783.00	\$33,345.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>				<b>\$404,631.00</b>	<b>\$20,237.00</b>				<b>\$10,218.00</b>	<b>\$435,086.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP054 - Exterior Lighting**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING			\$5,719.00	\$99,034.00	\$4,952.00				\$333.00	\$110,038.00
ELKINS HIGH			\$2,166.00	\$37,513.00	\$1,876.00				\$126.00	\$41,681.00
HIGHTOWER HIGH			\$38,874.00	\$673,135.00	\$33,657.00				\$2,262.00	\$747,928.00
HUNTERS GLEN ELEMENTARY			\$16,811.00	\$291,101.00	\$14,555.00				\$979.00	\$323,446.00
KEMPNER AG			\$6,586.00	\$114,039.00	\$5,702.00				\$383.00	\$126,710.00
KEMPNER HIGH			\$38,874.00	\$673,135.00	\$33,657.00				\$2,262.00	\$747,928.00
PROGRESSIVE HIGH			\$3,033.00	\$52,519.00	\$2,626.00				\$176.00	\$58,354.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY			\$20,971.00	\$363,127.00	\$18,156.00				\$1,220.00	406,474.00
WILLOWRIDGE HIGH			\$38,874.00	\$673,135.00	\$33,657.00				\$2,262.00	\$747,928.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>\$171,908.00</b>	<b>\$2,976,738.00</b>	<b>\$148,838.00</b>				<b>\$10,003.00</b>	<b>\$3,307,487.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP055 - Sound System Package**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
BLUE RIDGE ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
BRAZOS BEND ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
BURTON ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
COLONY BEND ELEMENTARY			\$5,893.00	\$102,036.00	\$5,102.00				\$342.00	\$113,373.00
FLEMING ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
GARCIA MIDDLE			\$13,865.00	\$240,084.00	\$12,004.00				\$807.00	\$266,760.00
HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY			\$5,893.00	\$102,036.00	\$5,102.00				\$342.00	\$113,373.00
KEMPNER HIGH			\$20,797.00	\$360,126.00	\$18,006.00				\$1,211.00	\$400,140.00
LANTERN LANE ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
MISSION GLEN ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
PECAN GROVE ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
SIENNA CROSSING ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
SUGAR LAND MIDDLE			\$13,865.00	\$240,084.00	\$12,004.00				\$807.00	\$266,760.00
SUGAR MILL ELEMENTARY			\$3,120.00	\$54,019.00	\$2,701.00				\$181.00	\$60,021.00
TRAVIS HIGH			\$15,598.00	\$270,095.00	\$13,505.00				\$907.00	\$300,105.00
WALKER STATION ELEMENTARY			\$2,773.00	\$48,017.00	\$2,401.00				\$161.00	\$53,352.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>			<b>\$103,988.00</b>	<b>\$1,800,633.00</b>	<b>\$90,033.00</b>				<b>\$6,046.00</b>	<b>\$2,000,700.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP098 - District Wide Deficiencies**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
BOND CONTINGENCY										\$5,000,000.00
D&C SPACE PLANNING										\$4,000,000.00
FACILITIES POWER CORRECTION FACTOR										\$750,000.00
FINE ARTS INSTRUMENTS										\$2,000,000.00
LIFE SAFETY UPGRADES										\$500,000.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>										<b>\$12,250,000.00</b>



Project Summary  
**BP099 - District Wide**  
 Exhibit - 1

Description	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	TOTAL
Description	A/E Design Fees & Reimbursables	Design Contingency	Other Professional Services	Construction	Construction Contingency	FF&E	Site Development	Admin & Other Project Costs	Project Contingency	TOTAL
BUSES & OTHER VEHICLES										\$4,670,000.00
CLASSROOM TOOLSET										\$48,113,000.00
DISTRICT WIDE WHITE FLEET										\$4,055,000.00
EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION SYSTEM										\$175,000.00
FLOOR MOUNTED DOOR LOCKS										\$200,000.00
INFRASTRUCTURE										\$1,512,000.00
NETWORK										\$62,625,000.00
POLICE VEHICLES										\$4,020,000.00
SAFETY AND SECURITY CONTINGENCY										\$1,000,000.00
SECURITY CAMERAS										\$3,050,000.00
STAFF COMPUTER REFRESH										\$4,357,000.00
STANDARDIZED WEAPONS AND RESPONSE KIT										\$200,000.00
SYSTEMS										\$2,771,000.00
TRANSPORTATION SMART TAG										\$1,000,000.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>										<b>\$134,748,000.00</b>

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-074DE Choice Partners Cooperative</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Online eProcurement Catalog</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of an online eProcurement Catalog from Amazon Business Services, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed \$15,000,000 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through August 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under Chapter 44, §44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, or Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000 or greater.</p> <p>Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 The Interlocal Cooperation Act et seq as amended, allows local governments like Fort Bend ISD the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest extent possible, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions.</p> <p>Local Government Code § 271.102, Cooperative Purchasing Program Participation (a) A local government may participate in a cooperative purchasing program with another local government of this state or another state or with a local cooperative organization of this state or another state. Government Code § 791.025 (c) A local government that purchases goods and services under this section satisfies the requirement of the local government to seek competitive bids for the purchase of the goods and services. Once a school district has determined that a local cooperative purchasing program will afford the district the best value, it need not follow any specific competitive procurement process.</p> <p>With the approval of this contract, additional options for Fort Bend ISD to gain access to goods unavailable through awarded vendors are added. Amazon Business Services will be added as a supplemental resource to support department and campuses with unique purchases and support the District's continuing efforts to provide quality goods and services. Additionally, it will help promote competition amongst awarded vendors because of the marketplace visibility to discounted prices. Over the last three (3) fiscal years (FY 2020 – FY 2023) one (1) Houston area ISD has processed over 12,000 orders valued at \$5,813,561, creating</p>

savings of over \$1,444,643. The average Amazon order from a school campus or district office during that time was around \$240.

The Amazon Business contract from Choice Partners is tailored for the public sector, including state and local government agencies, public and private K–12 school districts and higher education organizations, and nonprofit businesses with features that include tax-exempt purchasing, price discounts, and other features optimized for the public sector. This contract provides access to the Amazon Business marketplace where Fort Bend ISD can purchase across ten (10) different commodity categories. The District can enroll as many users as needed on a single account, create approval workflows, and use Purchase Orders to track orders.

Campuses and departments will have access to millions of products offered exclusively to Amazon Business customers. Business Prime Shipping during this contract will provide FREE Two-day Shipping on millions of eligible products. The membership fee will cost the District \$3,500 annually and is funded through the Purchasing Department operating budget. However, based on Fort Bend ISD's enrollment size and spending potential on Amazon, Amazon Business has offered Fort Bend ISD a Business Prime Extended Free Trial for 12 months with the ability to receive a waived subscription the following year, which would mean two (2) years without subscription fees for FY 2024 and FY 2025.

Categories include:

- Office Supplies
- Classroom, School, Art Supplies and Materials
- Career and Technology Education Materials and Supplies
- Books
- Audio Visual Supplies and Computer Peripherals
- Scientific Equipment and Lab Supplies
- Apparel
- Agricultural, Supplies and Equipment
- Items not available through awarded vendors

This is a cooperative contract with Choice Partners, a cooperative managed under the auspices of the Harris County Department of Education (HCDE) and was formed in accordance with § 791. Choice Partners National Purchasing Cooperative offers quality, legal procurement, and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. Choice Partners pools the purchasing power of public agencies and achieves bulk volume discounts on behalf of those public agencies.

All Choice Partners contracts have been competitively bid as the lead public jurisdiction. Contracts may only be used by governmental entities, such as school districts, charter schools, colleges and universities, municipalities, counties, other government agencies, and nonprofits.

	<p>All of their solicitations are conducted by the staff at Choice Partners, who complete the legal, competitively bid government procurement process. Choice Partners utilizes standard templates, which are reviewed and updated by HCDE legal counsel to comply with procurement law requirements, as appropriate, and specified in Texas Educ. Code § 44, Texas Local Gov't. Codes § 262 and 271, and in the case of job order contracts, Texas Government Code § 2269, and Federal Regulations Code 24 CFR 85.36. They also meet all cooperative requirements of the EDGAR/Uniform Guidance 2 CFR 200 as interpreted by the US Department of Education.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The term of this contract is for three (3) years with two (2) optional one-year extensions. The contract term will begin on July 1, 2023, and will run through June 30, 2026. With the two (2) option years, the contract can be extended until June 30, 2028.</p> <p>Expenditures in 2021-22 were \$3,258,608 for these categories and year-to-date expenditures are \$2,918,794. Expenditures will not exceed \$15,000,000 and funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer Richard Gay, Executive Director Business Services
Vendor:	Amazon Business Services, Inc.
Budget Sources:	General Fund Federal Funds Campus Activity Funds
Amount:	Not to Exceed \$15,000,000 through August 2028
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through August 2028
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>Board Policy District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Request for Delegation of Authority to Execute Contract for Natural Gas on Behalf of the Fort Bend Independent School District</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for a new natural gas contract to cover the District's natural gas needs going forward and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the contracts through June 2028.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>Fort Bend ISD currently has a natural gas contract with Symmetry Energy Solutions until October 2023. The District is working with energy consultant AEG to explore more cost-effective natural gas contracts through the TIPS Cooperative. If the District changes contracts prior to October 2023, it could lead to budgetary savings over the current contract.</p> <p>Since the natural gas market can be volatile and it is not possible to hold favorable rates beyond 24 hours, a contract will need to be signed prior to the next Board of Trustees meeting. It is recommended that the Board of Trustees delegate authority to the Superintendent to sign and enter into a contract(s) with a natural gas provider(s) on the district's behalf. District staff will work with AEG to procure favorable rates and will report back to the Board when new rates are procured.</p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under Chapter 44, §44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, or Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000.00 or greater.</p> <p>Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 The Interlocal Cooperation Act et seq as amended, allows local governments like FBISD the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest possible extent, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions.</p> <p>Local Government Code § 271.102, Cooperative Purchasing Program Participation (a) A local government may participate in a cooperative purchasing program with another local government of this state or another state or with a local cooperative organization of this state or another state. Government Code § 791.025 (c) A local government that</p>

purchases goods and services under this section satisfies the requirement of the local government to seek competitive bids for the purchase of the goods and services. Once a school district has determined that a local cooperative purchasing program will afford the district the best value, it need not follow any specific competitive procurement process.

The TIPS cooperative purchasing contract will allow the district to fulfill its natural gas energy needs efficiently and effectively and comply with the school district's bidding requirements. The current cooperative contract has renewal options through June 2028. Should the contract not be renewed for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to use an alternate cooperative contract or an alternate procurement method.

**Background**

This is a cooperative contract through The Interlocal Purchasing System, (TIPS) a national purchasing cooperative that offers legal procurement, and contract solutions to meet government purchasing requirements. TIPS pools the purchasing power of public agencies and achieves bulk volume discounts on behalf of these public agencies. Contracts may only be used by governmental entities, such as school districts, charter schools, colleges and universities, municipalities, counties, other government agencies, and nonprofits.

TIPS is under the Region 8 Educational Support Center (ESC) auspicious as the Lead Agency. Region 8 ESC located in Pittsburgh, Texas and is one of 20 Regional Education Service Centers within Texas that assists school districts in improving student performance and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of school operations, as commissioned by the Texas State Legislature, and was formed under Section 791. TIPS procures and awards all vendor contracts in compliance with these procurement laws. Vendor agreement/contract awards are made pursuant to a delegation of authority by the Region 8 Board of Directors and reported to the Board at regularly scheduled monthly meetings held in compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act.

The laws of many states throughout the nation permit interlocal cooperation and interlocal agreements with other public entities. Each solicitation contains language, which advises all vendors that the subsequent contract may be used by other government agencies. This language is based on the lead district "Joint Powers Authority" which awards the contract based on a competitive solicitation and thorough evaluation process.

Expenditures in 2021-2023 to date have been \$990,083 for these services.

Requested By:

Christopher Juntti, Interim Chief Operations Officer  
Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer

Vendor:	TBD
Budget Sources:	General Fund
Amount:	Not to Exceed - TBD
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors contacted by FBISD Notification System:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through June 2028
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

<b>BOT Meeting:</b>	<b>June 5, 2023</b>
<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>23-071TA The Interlocal Purchasing System (TIPS), Choice Partners Cooperative</b>
<b>References:</b>	<b>District Goal 5</b>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Remediation, Restoration and Disaster Recovery Services</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>Consideration and approval for the purchase of remediation and restoration services from various cooperative contracts in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,369,295 and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement through July 2026.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>In October 2018, the Board approved 19-026AR Remediation/ Restoration Services, which expires in September 2023. In April 2021, the Board approved the ratification of expenditures and revision to expenditure authorizations due to Texas Winter Storm 2021.</p> <p>Remediation, restoration, and disaster recovery services were most recently utilized at Missouri City Middle School for a water damage event caused by sprinkler heads and at the Administration Building due to flooding over the Easter Break. Disaster recovery will provide the District with the means to regain access and functionality to its Information Technology (IT) infrastructure in the event of disasters, cyber-attacks, or other business disruptions.</p> <p>Due to these two (2) major flooding events occurring within the District, the current contract does not have an adequate expenditure authorization to fully cover expenditures required for remediation and restoration for the two events. Once the expenditure amount on the current contract is exhausted, the new contract will replace it and will be used for the remaining remediation and restoration needs related to the floods, and for future needs through July 2026.</p> <p>The Facilities Department has recognized that Board authorization of a valid procurement method for the purchase of facility restoration services is needed to comply with policy. The recommended vendors will provide remediation, restoration and emergency disaster recovery services that include but are not limited to the cleanup and removal of hazardous materials and providing recovery services from damages resulting from fire, water, wind, smoke, contamination, corrosion and other natural or man-made disasters.</p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to request approval under Chapter 44, §44.031(a) of the Texas Education Code, or Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act, and Board Policies CH (LEGAL) CH (LOCAL) purchasing and acquisition of goods and services. The Board of</p>

	<p>Trustees is required to approve proposal awards valued at \$50,000 or greater.</p> <p>The various cooperative purchasing contracts will allow the Facilities Department to respond to, and the District to recover from, unforeseen disasters and emergencies. The cooperative purchasing contract was formed under Section 791, Chapter 791, Interlocal Cooperation Act. The Interlocal Cooperation Act allows local governments the ability to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of local governments by authorizing them to contract, to the greatest possible extent, with one another and with other local governments and agencies of the state to perform governmental administrative functions.</p> <p>Under the authority granted in the Texas Government Code §791.001 et seq as amended allows Fort Bend ISD to enter into Inter-local agreements with any other Government Entity as defined in the Texas Government Code §791.001-791.029. Subparagraph (3) further stipulates that "Governmental functions and services" means all or part of a function or service in any of the following areas: (N) other governmental functions in which the contracting parties are mutually interested, (4) defines "Local government" to mean a: (A) county, municipality, special district, junior college district, or other political subdivision of this state or another state; and subparagraph (5) "Political subdivision" includes any corporate and political entity organized under state law.</p> <p>Renewal options are available through July 2026. Should either contract not renew for the full term, staff will return to the Board to request authorization to utilize an alternate cooperative contract, or an alternate procurement method.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Expenditures in 2021-22 were \$194,396. Expenditures year to date are \$617,491. Expenditures will not exceed \$1,369,295, through July 2026. Funding is included in the budget.</p>
Requested By:	Christopher Juntti, Chief Operations Officer (Interim) Bryan Guinn, Chief Financial Officer
Vendor:	A&M Environmental Action Restoration Inc ATI Restoration LLC Basic IDIQ Inc Blackmon Mooring Services*** Cavalry Construction ERC Environmental & Construction Services, Inc Facilities Sources Dura Pier Facilities Services First Onsite Property Restoration Hallmark Mitigation and Construction LLC Justice Claims Consulting & Disaster Recovery Services LLC

	KHI-Construction LLC Knight Restoration Services Mooring Recovery Services Propac Inc Ranger Security Solutions Roadrunner Restoration Service Master Recovery Management Target Solutions Texas General Contractors, Inc*** The Cleaning guys
Budget Sources:	General Fund Bond Funds
Amount:	Not to Exceed \$1,369,295 through July 2026
Other Supporting Information	
Sole Source:	No
Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:	N/A
Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:	N/A
Number of responses received:	N/A
Number of "no bid" responses received:	N/A
Length of commitment:	Through July 2026
Last solicitation date:	N/A
Supporting documents:	N/A
Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):	None

\*\*\* Previously awarded a contract of the same scope with the District.

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Consideration and Approval:**  
**Resolution Authorizing One-time,**  
**Lump-Sum Payment to Staff**  
**References: Board Policy CDC (Legal)**  
**District Goal**  
**Continuous Improvement**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a resolution authorizing a one-time retention and recruitment supplemental payment for eligible staff payable in FY2023-24.

### **Summary**

It has been a priority of the Board of Trustees to reward and recognize the efforts of employees and recruit hard-to-fill positions while balancing the constraints imposed by the State of Texas public education funding formulas. The regular session of the Texas legislature has ended, and a base budget has been sent to Governor Abbott for signature by June 18, 2023, once the Texas Comptroller has certified the state budget is balanced. The state budget includes an increase to the Tier 2 golden penny yield that will balance the General Fund 2023-24 budget without the use of ESSER III funds.

The additional state revenue allows part of the District's ESSER III funds to be used for a one-time supplemental payment for all eligible staff. This one-time payment will be calculated based on the following parameters:

One-time retention payment for employees as follows:

- A one-time payment of \$1,500, for eligible full-time employees on the teacher pay scale as well as JROTC teachers
- A one-time payment of \$1,000 for eligible full-time employees not on the teacher pay scale
- A one-time payment of \$500 for eligible part-time employees including but not limited to crossing guards, color guards and bus drivers

Criteria to be eligible for this one-time retention supplemental payment are as follows:

- Must be employed (full-time or part-time) by Fort Bend ISD as of June 5, 2023
- Must not be a substitute; and
- Must still be employed by Fort Bend ISD as of September 30, 2023
- Employees are not eligible for both a retention and recruitment supplemental pay; employees will only be paid either the retention supplement or the recruitment supplement.

Staff also recommends a recruitment payment for hard to fill positions that are being hired for the 2023-24 school year. Positions that are identified as hard to fill will be eligible to receive an amount no greater than \$1,500. Employees are not eligible to receive both a retention supplement and a recruitment supplement.

The one-time, lump sum payments are subject to all legal deductions, are not considered TRS eligible, and are approved only for the 2023-24 fiscal year.

The \$15.45 million total cost of the retention and recruitment supplement to the various funds is estimated to be as follows:

- ESSER III Fund - \$15.0 million
- Extended Learning - \$0.4 million
- Facility Rentals - \$3,000
- Internal Service Funds - \$20,000
- Bond Funds - \$24,000

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

**RESOLUTION  
OF FORT BEND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**AUTHORIZING AN EMPLOYEE RETENTION AND RECRUITMENT PAYMENT**

June 5, 2023

**WHEREAS**, the Fort Bend Independent School District resolved to explore means of providing all FBISD employees with an increase in compensation for the 2023-24 school year; and

**WHEREAS**, the FBISD acknowledges the significant competition among Houston area public school districts to attract talented new employees and retain dedicated employees; and

**WHEREAS**, the FBISD has identified additional funding available to provide eligible FBISD employees with a one-time supplemental retention payment; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Trustees finds that recruitment and retention of employees for the 2023-24 school year provides an important benefit to the District and that a supplemental payment will serve the legitimate public purpose of promoting employee recruitment and retention;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:**

Section One: That the Board of Trustees has determined there is a benefit to the District as well as a legitimate public purpose served to provide all eligible District employees of a one-time supplemental retention payment and reasonably adequate controls are in place to ensure the benefit will be received by the District; and

Section Two: That each eligible full-time FBISD employee shall receive payment in the form of a retention supplement as follows:

- \$1,500 for employees on the teacher's salary scale, including JROTC teachers;
- \$1,000 for eligible full-time employees not on the teacher's salary scale; and
- \$500 to all eligible part-time employees including, but not limited to, crossing guards, color guards and part-time bus drivers.

Section Three: To be eligible for this one-time retention payment, an employee shall:

1. Be an FBISD employee (full-time or part-time) as of June 5, 2023;
2. Not be a substitute; and,
3. Remain on staff through September 30, 2023.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Administration is authorized to issue a recruitment payment in an amount no greater than identified in Section Two, to new employees hired for the 2023-24 school year *provided* sufficient funding is identified for this purpose. An employee may not receive both the retention supplement and recruitment payment.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that any one-time lump sum payment under this resolution is subject to all legal deductions, is not considered TRS eligible, and is approved only for the 2023-24 fiscal year.

**PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED** BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE FORT BEND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of JUNE 2023.

**CERTIFICATE FOR RESOLUTION**

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was presented to the Board of Trustees of the Fort Bend Independent School District during a regularly scheduled meeting on June 5, 2023. A quorum of the Board of Trustees being then present, it was duly moved and seconded that the resolution be adopted, and such resolution was then adopted according to the following vote:

Ayes: \_\_\_\_\_  
Nays: \_\_\_\_\_  
Abstentions: \_\_\_\_\_

To certify which, witness my hand and the official seal of the District this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June 2023.

President, Board of Trustees

FORT BEND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Judy Dae, President, Board of Trustees

ATTEST:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
David Hamilton, Secretary, Board of Trustees

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Consideration and Approval:**  
**Policy DNA(Local)**  
**References: Board Policy BF (Local)**  
**Department: Legal Services**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of proposed revision of policy DNA (Local).

**Summary**

The Board Policy Committee and Administration recommend the following revision of local policy:

- DNA(Local) Performance Appraisal: Evaluation of Teachers
  - Fort Bend ISD appraises teachers using the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS) One component of a full T-TESS appraisal is an annual 45 minute classroom observation by the appraiser.
  - The proposed revisions aim to reduce the stress and burden associated with these observations by allowing eligible teachers to waive the annual 45 minute observation requirement. Eligible teachers would be required to complete the 45 minute observation once every three years, instead of annually. Eligible teachers must still complete other required portions of T-TESS.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Coby Wilbanks  
Interim General Counsel

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL  
EVALUATION OF TEACHERS

DNA  
(LOCAL)

T-TESS	<p>The District shall appraise teachers using the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS) in accordance with law and administrative regulations.</p> <p>The Board shall approve a list of certified appraisers who can appraise a teacher in place of the teacher's supervisor.</p>
ANNUAL APPRAISAL	<p>District teachers shall be appraised annually. The District shall establish an appraisal calendar each year.</p>
EXCEPTION	<p><del>During the 2016-17 school year, t</del>The District may, with the teacher's written consent, waive the annual <u>full</u> appraisal requirement for a teacher who <del>was</del><u>is</u> eligible for less-than-annual evaluations under <del>the District's former appraisal system</del><u>state law, rule, and local procedures</u>.</p> <p>When a <del>complete</del><u>full</u> appraisal is not scheduled for an eligible teacher, either the teacher or the principal may require that an appraisal be conducted by providing written notice to the other party.</p> <p>A teacher's supervisor shall have the authority to return a teacher to the traditional appraisal cycle as a result of performance deficiencies documented in accordance with state rule.</p>
ANNUAL REVIEW PROCESS	<p>In the years in which a T-TESS <u>full</u> appraisal is not scheduled for an eligible teacher, the teacher shall participate in an annual review process that includes the elements listed in state rule.</p> <p>The annual review process shall produce a written document to be presented to the teacher, signed by the teacher and supervisor, and maintained in the personnel file.</p> <p>Administrative <del>regulations-procedures</del> shall define any additional criteria and <u>requirements and shall be available on the District's public website</u>. <del>transitional information during this time.</del></p>
REMEDIES	<p>Should a teacher have a concern, complaint, or grievance associated with his or her appraisal, the teacher may do any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Submit a written response or rebuttal after receiving a written observation summary or any other written documentation associated with his or her appraisal, or after receiving a written summative annual appraisal report, within ten working days after receiving the summary, documentation, or report.</li><li>2. Request a second appraisal by another certified appraiser after receiving a written observation summary or after receiving a written summative annual appraisal report with which the teacher disagrees, within ten working days of receiving the summary or report.</li></ol>

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Action: Consideration and Approval:**  
**Budget Amendment**  
**References: Board Policy CE (Legal)**  
**District Goal 5**  
**Department: Business and Finance**

### **Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a Child Nutrition Fund budget amendment for 2022-23.

### **Summary**

#### **Fund 240 (Child Nutrition)**

The Child Nutrition Fund revenues are expected to increase by \$1.28 million primarily due to:

- Increase in Local Revenues of \$0.35 million due to higher earnings on investments and increased meal sales participation.
- Increase in Federal Revenues of \$0.9 million for higher reimbursements and additional Supply Chain Assistance Grant of \$0.66 million.

Staff has previously shared expenditures in the Child Nutrition Fund will be increasing. Due to the receipt of Supply Chain Assistance grants, the fund balance in the Child Nutrition Fund exceeds 90-days. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) does not allow a fund balance in the fund to exceed 90-days and requires an approved spend-down plan if fund balance exceeds the limit.

Fort Bend ISD has submitted a spend down plan that was approved by the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA), which oversees the National School Lunch Program in Texas. The spend down plan includes replacing aging kitchen equipment across the District. A Board information item was sent on March 31, 2023 indicating the type of equipment being purchased, and both the April and May budget updates indicated expenditures would be increasing in the fund due to the spend down plan approved by TDA.

Expenses are experiencing a net increase of \$2.25 million for the following:

- Increase in function 35 by \$2.5 million for additional food costs and equipment purchases. The equipment purchases are part of the spend down of fund balance the District must implement to be compliant with not having over 90 days of fund balance. TDA is approving equipment purchases much faster than anticipated and the District expects \$2.5 million in requisitions to be entered by year end.
- Decrease function 51 by \$0.25 million for lower utility costs.

<b>Fund</b>	<b>Function</b>	<b>Amended Budget (05/31/2023)</b>	<b>Budget Amendment</b>	<b>Final Amended Budget</b>
240	57 – Local Revenues	\$11,050,200	\$350,000	\$11,400,200
240	58 – State Revenue	130,000	30,000	160,000
240	59 – Federal Revenues	31,950,000	900,000	32,850,000
	<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>\$43,130,200</b>	<b>\$1,280,000</b>	<b>\$44,410,200</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
240	35 – Food Services	34,740,000	2,500,000	37,240,000
240	51 – Facilities Maintenance	790,200	(250,000)	540,200
	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$35,530,200</b>	<b>\$2,250,000</b>	<b>\$37,780,200</b>
240	Other Sources	3,127		3,127
<b>240</b>	<b>Net Change</b>	<b>\$7,603,127</b>	<b>(\$970,000)</b>	<b>\$6,633,127</b>
	Fund Balance - Beginning	13,377,005	-	13,377,005
	<b>Fund Balance - Ending</b>	<b>20,980,132</b>	<b>(970,000)</b>	<b>\$20,010,132</b>

Submitted by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Bryan Guinn  
Chief Financial Officer

**For: Fort Bend ISD Board of Trustees**  
**Date: June 5, 2023**  
**Action: Consideration and Approval:**  
**Professional Services Agreement**  
**for Project Management Services /**  
**Owner’s Representative**  
**References: Board Policy CV (Local)**  
**District Goal 5**  
**Department: Operations**

**Recommendation**

Consideration and approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Jacobs Project Management Company for Project Management Services to help with the execution of Bond 2023 work in an amount not to exceed \$27,389,156, approval of the budget and authorization for the superintendent to negotiate and execute the agreement.

**Summary**

In February 2023, staff issued RFQ 23-040KB for Project Management Services/Owner’s Representative Services. Four firms responded including, CBRE Heery, AECOM, AGCM, Inc. and Jacobs Project Management Company.

With the Director of Purchasing & Materials Management acting in an oversight capacity, an evaluation team composed of FBISD staff members from the Design & Construction, Procurement and Finance Departments evaluated the proposals and ranked the firms according to the attached proposal evaluation matrix.

Purchasing & Materials Management then notified the top two firms that they had been short-listed and scheduled interviews to help finalize the vendor selection. CBRE Heery and Jacobs Project Management Company both participated in an interview by a panel comprised of FBISD staff members from the following departments: Design & Construction, Business & Finance, Information Technology and Procurement.

Based on the evaluation of the proposals and the interviews, Jacobs Project Management Company was selected as the most qualified vendor.

Specific highlights include:

- Jacob’s expedited initial bond set-up and “Sprint Start” program;
- Jacob’s use and experience with “Prolog” and “Kahua” as the program management information software platform (PMIS);
- Jacob’s proposed project execution schedule; and
- Jacob’s proposed staff and staff availability.

On April 21, 2023, FBISD Purchasing & Materials Management finalized the scoring information and authorized Design & Construction to begin the negotiation phase with Jacobs Project Management Company.

During the negotiation phase, and with the previous knowledge on the delivery approach used for Bond 2018 with Jacobs Project Management Company, Design & Construction utilized this information and all lessons learned as a platform to negotiate a new contract.

Under Bond 2018, a 48-month Not-to-Exceed contract was utilized, where a lump sum contract was negotiated. The contract was based on Time & Material with a not-to-exceed amount. This contract established monthly rates per person based on qualifications and experience. FBISD controlled how staff was assigned to the program and drove the staff management plan throughout the duration of the bond program. The upper management structure once provided by Jacobs under Bond 2014 was eliminated under Bond 2018, generating a direct reporting line from Jacobs staff to the district.

With the successful approach obtained with Bond 2018, the same approach will be maintained for Bond 2023, where a Not-To-Exceed Time & Material contract will be set in place to establish a staff management plan controlled directly by the District.

The industry standard AIA contract document C171-2013 was utilized to establish the contractual responsibilities between Jacobs Project Management Company and the district for Bond 2018. Since the contractual approach was successful and gave the district the flexibility and control of the project management staff, it will be utilized once again for Bond 2023, with a Not-to-Exceed amount of \$27,389,156 for a total of 60-month. This will cover the four-year bond plus an additional year to finalize construction and project closeout. The negotiated fee is based on 2.4% of the construction costs.

Bond 2018's contract was based on a 2.7% fee of the construction costs. Throughout the negotiation process for Bond 2023, efficiencies and better alignment were established, thus leading to a reduced fee of 2.4% of construction costs, which generates an anticipated savings of \$1,800,000 through the reduction of the percentage fee.

Budget:

ITEM	Cost
Contract Amount	\$27,389,156
Contingency (10%)	\$2,738,915
Total Project Budget	\$30,128,071

The work will begin upon Board approval of the budget and execution of the contract. Jacobs Project Management Company has identified staff that is available and ready to begin work upon contract execution.

Recommended by:

Christie Whitbeck  
Superintendent of Schools

Submitted by:

Chris Juntti  
Chief Operations Officer (Interim)

Carolina Fuzetti  
Executive Director Design & Construction

**RFQ 23-040KB Project Management Services/Owner's Representative Services for Proposed 2023 Bond Program**

<b>TAB</b>	<b>Evaluation Criteria Section Government Code 2254, CV Legal</b>	<b>Point System</b>
0	<b>COVER LETTER</b>	REQUIRED
1	<b>FIRM INFORMATION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• History / Years of experience in K-12</li> <li>• Location of the firm’s main and local offices</li> <li>• Awards and recognitions</li> <li>• Specialized experience in K-12 Organization of similar size</li> <li>• Claim resolution</li> </ul>	10
2	<b>MANAGEMENT STYLE AND PHILOSOPHY</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prior program management experience - Similar programs</li> <li>• Organization and management philosophy</li> <li>• Program budget, schedule, and documentation coordination experience</li> <li>• Prolog/Kahua and PMIS software experience in program management</li> <li>• LEED imitative experience CM@R, CSP, and JOC experience</li> <li>• Project Controls</li> <li>• Procurement Role - PM/OR procurement role in programs of similar size &amp; scope.</li> <li>• Permitting Process- Describe PM/OR process for permitting, review and inspections from regulatory agencies.</li> </ul>	45
3	<b>FIRM EXPERIENCE AND REFERENCES</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Client references</li> <li>• Contractor references</li> <li>• Design professional reference</li> </ul>	20
4	<b>PROJECT TEAM</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program management team organization</li> <li>• Staff resumes</li> <li>• Program schedule</li> </ul>	20
5	<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other relevant information about your firm.</li> </ul>	5
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 Points</b>

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS 23-040KB**  
**Project Management Services/Owner's Representative Services for Proposed 2023 Bond Program**

**SCORING OF REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

Firm	Total Points (100 points Max)	Firm's Ranking Order
Jacobs Project Management Co.	92.33	1
CBRE Heery LLC	76.68	2
AECOM	60.08	3
AGCM, Inc.	57.78	4

**SCORING OF PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW**

Firm	Interview/Presentation Total Points (100 points Max)	Firm's Ranking Order
Jacobs Project Management Co.	91.69	1
CBRE Heery LLC	75.90	2

**FINAL RANKING OF FIRMS USING A 80% WEIGHT ON REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND A 20% WEIGHT ON PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW**

Firm	RFQ Score	Weight	Presentation/Interview Score	Weight	Final Score	Final Ranking Order
Jacobs Project Management Co.	73.86	80%	17.83	20%	91.69	1
CBRE Heery Vanir	61.33	80%	14.57	20%	75.90	2
AECOM	60.08	100%			60.08	3
AGCM, Inc.	57.78	100%			57.79	4