## 25-26 School Board Evaluation Instrument - Mid-Year Feedback Form

MSBA Handbook: https://mnmsba.org/wp-				
content/uploads/2022/10/SuptEvalResourceGuide10-2022.pdf				
Board Member:	Date:			
Performance Rating Scale				
<ul> <li>4 - Highly Effective – The superintendent's performance achieve an exceptionally high level. This rating is relative.</li> <li>3 - Effective – The superintendent's performance is fully a high level. The superintendent not only meets goals and shows flexibility and creativity in adjusting to changed c and can articulate progress to date and future plans.</li> <li>2 - Developing – The superintendent's performance dem associated with effective performance, although a few ex</li> <li>1 - Ineffective – The superintendent's behavior does not associated with effective performance. The superintender or may fail to show positive behaviors desired. This rating</li> </ul>	ely rare.  y satisfactory, meeting all expectations at d carries out plans effectively, but also ircumstances or unexpected roadblocks constrates many of the characteristics ceptions and inconsistencies may exist demonstrate the characteristics nt may behave contrary to expectations.			
Goals Evaluation				
STANDARD 3: Communication and Community Relationshi	ns			
3.a Relationships with the Community 3.b Engagement 3.c Informs the Community as a Whole 3.d. Advocacy 3.e Visibility and Approachability  GOAL: Advancement of the strategic initiative and goals of Alignment of school planning and directional focus.  Evidence towards Completion or Advance of Goal: [] 4  Overall Standard 3 Rating: [] 4 [] 3 [] 2 [] 1				
Comments (A rating of a 4 or 1 require a comment):				

STANDARD 2: School	l District Finance	:S
--------------------	--------------------	----

2.a Budget Development and Maintenance	[]4 []3 []2 []1		
2.b Financial Statements	[]4 []3 []2 []1		
2.c Financial Controls	[]4 []3 []2 []1		
2.d Bond and Levy Campaigns	[]4 []3 []2 []1		
2.e Asset Protection	[]4 []3 []2 []1		
<b>GOAL:</b> Development of long-range fiscal projections	and the maintenance of a healthy fund		
balance. A healthy fund balance is defined as 20% of	more of the operational budget.		
<b>Evidence towards Completion or Advance of Goal</b>	:[]4 []3 []2 []1		
Overall Standard 2 Rating: []4 []3 []2 []1			
Overan Standard 2 Rating. [] + [] 2 [] 2			
<b>Comments</b> (A rating of a 4 or 1 require a comment):			
STANDARD 5: Human resources			
Focus Standard: Delegation of Duties			
	]4 []3 []2 []1		
	]4 []3 []2 []1		
	]4 []3 []2 []1		
_	]4 []3 []2 []1		
	]4   ]3 []2 []1		
5.f. Collective Bargaining [	] [] 3 [] 2 [] 1		
5.g Evaluation	] 4 []3 []2 []1		
<b>Evidence towards Completion or Advance of Goal</b>	:[]4 []3 []2 []1		
Overall Standard 5 Rating: [] 4 [] 3 [] 2 [] 1			
<b>Comments</b> (A rating of a 4 or 1 require a comment):			
Comments (A rating of a 4 of 1-require a comment).			
-			

Combined Rating - an a	average of the	above	ratings
------------------------	----------------	-------	---------

Combined Rating – an average of the above ratings					
Additional Comments on other Strands – reference MSBA handbook	for details (optional)				
• Standard 1: Governance Team [] 4 [] 3	[]2 []1				
• Standard 4: School District Operations [] 4 [] 3	[]2 []1				
• Standard 6: Teaching and Learning [] 4, 1] 3	[]2 []1				
• Standard 7: Student Support [] 4 [] 3	[]2 []1				
• Standard 8: Ethical and Inclusive Leadership [] 4 [] 3	[]2 []1				
Overall Comments:					
Overall Goals and Standards (Combined): []4 []3 []2	[]1				
Satisfactory: Yes/No (average rating of 2.5 or higher)					
Recommended for Contract Offer: Yes/No					
Comment:					