

Three Rivers School Board of Directors met for a regular session, Monday, June 18, 2012 at the District Administrative Office, 8550 New Hope Road, Grants Pass, Josephine County, Oregon at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Ron Lengwin, Chairperson of the Board, Zone V PRESENT
Bob Litak, Member of the Board, Zone I
Jadd Horban, Member of the Board, Zone II
Leslie Meier, Vice-Chairperson of the Board, Zone III
Ron Crume, Member of the Board, Zone IV
Debbie Breckner, Director of Human Resources
Doug Ely, Director of Student Services
Peter Maluk, Director of Elementary Education

Also Present: Rick Draper, Steve Jones, Patti Richter/The Daily Courier, ALSO PRESENT
Robert Horban, Shawn Logue, Stephanie Allen/Applegate &
Williams Principal, Jim Bunge/Aramark, Dave Valenzuela/
Evergreen Elementary Principal and Shelly Quick/Recording
Secretary.

Board Chair Ron Lengwin called the meeting to order at 7:05 PM and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance. CALL TO ORDER

Superintendent Huber-Kantola announced that all administrator positions in the district have been filled. The names put in front of the board tonight for approval include: David Regal—Principal at Evergreen Elementary; Dustin Purnell—North Valley HS Assistant Principal; Jamie Ongman—Hidden Valley HS Assistant Principal and Robert Horban as the new Director of Maintenance. SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Superintendent Huber-Kantola reported that a high school retreat was held in which the new high school administrators were able to attend, along with the current high school administrative team. They would like to focus on some banners and things in the schools to really start making sure that we are promoting student success; the commitment to student, staff and community to work together and having really clear goals; and a real emphasis on character and leadership.

Superintendent Huber-Kantola then shared the concept that '*Three Rivers Runs Deep*'. In the area of athletics Three Rivers would like to have a unified athletic program where we are doing an outstanding job of teaching our expectations up front. Part of that would be that we have a culture in our athletic departments based on respect (parents respecting coaches, kids respecting coaches and coaches respecting kids and parents). With a shared sense of responsibility and ownership it will equal achievement.

Member Horban expressed his appreciation to the teachers and staff for all of their hard work this school year. It was a successful year both academically and athletically.

District Accountant Lisa Cross stated that the ending fund balance should be around \$1.95 million. The estimate is up about \$50,000 from last month FINANCIAL REPORTS

CONSENT AGENDA

Member Crume requested that the 'Routine Personnel Items' be pulled from the Consent Agenda to be voted on separately as it has been revised. Member Crume then made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda with the exception of the personnel items. Member Meier seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED

Member Crume made a motion to approve the revised *Routine Personnel Items*. Member Meier seconded. Member Horban stated that he will be abstaining from the vote due to conflicts.

ROUTINE PERSONNEL
ITEMS

Member Litak stated that one of the new hires is related to one of our board members. He asked for an explanation of the process in which the person was selected and who was on the hiring committee.

Superintendent Huber-Kantola stated that the committee consisted of three district office directors: Debbie Breckner, Doug Ely as the Director of Maintenance and himself. There were also two board members: Ron Crume and Ron Lengwin. They started with 35 applicants and went through a screening process, then interviewed six candidates. Director Ely then added that it was a very diverse group of applicants, which was then narrowed down to three—then to two. From the two he did some more research and reference checks and selected Robert Horban. The interview committee was unanimous in the top two and the final selection was made by Director Ely on the basis of outstanding comments made when checking his references and his ties to the community.

Member Litak stated that he was a little uncomfortable with approving someone that he doesn't know and is related to a board member, but it sounds like the committee went through the process very carefully and feels satisfied with the responses given.

Member Meier added that she feels cautious about hiring somebody because they are related to somebody—but also doesn't want to not hire someone related as long as there is no direct supervision which is outlined by our nepotism policy—and in this case there is not—so she is comfortable with it as well.

Member Crume noted that of the three final candidates, two of them were from our community and one was from out of town. It was the committee's suggestion that being that there were two that lived in the community for twenty plus years, they would know the different sub-contractors and community members to work with.

Member Ely added that at no point during the process was there any communication with Member Horban and he had no influence in the decision-making process. He recognizes that it can be an awkward position, but also wants to hire the best person for the district.

APPROVED

A vote was called for and the motion to approve personnel passed 4-0, Member Horban abstaining.

COMMUNITY
COMMENTS

Lincoln Savage Middle School teacher Rick Draper addressed the board regarding step raises in the district. Mr. Draper expressed his dissatisfaction with the fact that the union agreed to forfeit the step increase in lieu of a \$900 stipend that was paid to all teachers. He expressed his belief that his step increase was denied by the district and forfeited by the union who ultimately used the money saved from not paying steps to give compensation to teachers who have already stepped out and who have already benefited from steps for years. He believes that if there is money to pay steps, then pay them first and negotiate the rest.

Member Meier thanked Mr. Draper for speaking up and also for all he does for our

students. Member Meier added that factually she does not believe it is true that the district did not want to pay steps. She explained that based on the limited funds available from the state annually, which is continually going down due to things such as declining enrollment, the district has an amount to work with for contracts and gives the district bargaining reps 'marching orders' to get to a certain number. The board does not determine how the number is to be reached. Director Breckner added that at no time last year did the district propose eliminating the steps.

COMMUNITY
COMMENTS
(CONTINUED)

Member Meier then expressed her concern in that Mr. Draper felt there was not communication until the '11th hour' in which they are given a contract to vote on. She would hope that he would be getting information from the union all the way along. The board is not able to provide any information because that would be unfair labor practices. If they are not, then he should be demanding information. She also expressed her belief that staff members need to be in contact with their union representatives to let them know their feeling and give them some marching orders in regards to bargaining.

Mr. Draper commented that he is aware that nobody wants to give up a step raise. But, he understands how negotiations work and that last year they received an e-mail from the bargaining team that they have met an agreement on a Monday or Tuesday and would be voting at the end of the week. Rather than lay out exactly what was going to be forfeited or changed, they were given the entire contract for review, which was very time consuming. He asked to make it clear that he does not complain one bit about an issue or a monetary thing that they all have to partake in evenly. Lost days affect everybody proportionally. He immediately saw the step raises as an issue and raised concern and wrote letters; and was then told by his union president that it was too late. He expressed his disappointment with the bargaining team and disappointed with the policy that says we have a contract to pay step raises and we are no longer going to do it, especially when it affects teachers differently.

Director Breckner stated that if he is disappointed in the bargaining team then don't elect them. Bargaining sessions are open and anyone is welcome to attend to be informed as to the specifics of the district's proposal.

Member Litak thanked Mr. Draper for attending the board meeting and expressing his thoughts. Mr. Litak personally agreed that the step schedule should be compressed, but also commented that he was at the wrong meeting and 'preaching to the choir'. It is the union leadership that he should be talking to. It is up to the teachers to find out what is going on, attend the bargaining sessions and give feedback as to what he feels they should be doing.

Superintendent Huber-Kantola reported that he was invited to talk with the local sheriff and Simon Hare along with board members Jadd Horban and Ron Crume. They also met with the Grants Pass City Police representatives. The sheriff's levy failed so this was an information seeking meeting on our part to find out what the status is of local law enforcement, specifically regarding schools. In a nutshell, it is pretty sparse. There is little to no law enforcement available during the school hours.

SCHOOL LAW
ENFORCEMENT

Member Horban added that there will be reduced patrols next year and some ideas were provided for the district to be self-sufficient and better ways to make sure we have security at our schools. We are adopting some new policies and plans to be able to accommodate the needs and safety of our staff and students. We will need to spend some time on this issue this summer in a workshop.

Member Crume stated that the City of Cave Junction pays for one full time patrol officer. One of the ideas was to approach the City of Cave Junction and see if they could possibly

SCHOOL LAW
ENFORCEMENT
(CONTINUED)

do 1-1/2 so there would be an officer available during school hours. The City of Grants Pass contracts a School Resource Officer for nine months of the year, which serves District 7 and Fruitdale. We are looking to see what it would cost the district to have one full time officer, nine months of the year and the sheriff office pick up the salary the other three months.

Superintendent Huber-Kantola explained that the way this got started with Grants Pass was with a grant. We intend to explore grant options as a first step.

Member Horban stated they also discussed how to handle drug related crimes in our schools and how to process the evidence and the drugs without patrol.

It was agreed upon by the board that a work session to discuss this issue needs to be held soon.

POLICY DJ—SECOND
READING

Ms. Cross stated she provided back-up information as requested in hopes that it would provide the information needed to make the decision to pass the purchasing policy. The last paragraph was revised to state “The Superintendent or his designee. . .”

APPROVED

Member Litak moved to approved policy DJ—District Purchasing as revised. Member Meier seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

POLICY DBE—SECOND
READING

Ms. Cross presented policy DBE—*Budget Committee* to the board for the second reading with the recommended revisions from the last meeting which states that “*Every attempt will be made to fill the vacancy with a resident of that zone. If no qualified applicant can be found, the vacancy may be filled by any resident of the district*”.

Member Meier asked that the same language be applied to both types of vacancies—an unexpired term and an expired term. She also requested that notices for both types of vacancies be published.

Member Meier moved to approve policy DBE—Budget Committee with the outlined changes which include that the language of “Every attempt will be made to fill the vacancy with a resident of that zone. If no qualified applicant can be found, the vacancy may be filled with any resident of the district” will pertain to both types of vacancies and similarly that the language about how we will provide notice of vacancies will apply to both types of vacancies. Member Horban seconded.

Member Litak asked what is the definition of ‘qualified’? Is it what the district considers qualified or the directors or just anything that fulfills #4? Ms. Cross responded that qualifications for holding office are just that they reside in the zone/district and are registered to vote.

APPROVED

Board Chair Lengwin called for a vote and the motion passed unanimously.

DISTRICT CALENDAR

Director Breckner explained that we are still in negotiations with both employee groups for next year. We are also coming upon July 1st which means we need to get some things established in order to be able to set things up for payroll. She requested the board approve the presented 2012-13 District Calendar as a starting place, knowing that the district is still continuing to bargain with both unions.

Member Meier stated that she will make a motion to approve but wants it understood that it is marked very clearly on the presented calendar that it is ‘subject to collective bargaining’. She also wanted to make it clear that she is moving to approve the calendar only for the reasons presented by Ms. Breckner. Member Meier moved to

approve the presented calendar with the understating that it is only a temporary calendar and that the board expects the final calendar that will come before them will have a legal school year for kids—which this does not. The motion also contains the directive that the calendar will absolutely be revisited by the board before the school year starts.

DISTRICT CALENDAR
(CONTINUED)

Member Litak seconded—then added that he absolutely is not in favor of a calendar that does not provide the legal minimum school year for our students, in terms of the number of hours. However, will vote to approve it only as an interim calendar to have a starting place.

Member Horban stated that he will not be voting in favor of the calendar as he cannot approve something that is not a legal school year.

Board Chair Lengwin called for a vote and the motion passed 4-1 (Member Horban opposing).

APPROVED

Superintendent Huber-Kantola presented the 2012-13 Aramark contract for approval. The new contract has been amended and eliminates one of the positions that we were funding under Aramark, which was the Director of Maintenance. The district will continue to maintain the custodial manager, ISIS software program used for work orders, supplies and not be required to repay them for any of the equipment that came with the contract when it was originally signed. In the long run, between hiring a new Director of Maintenance and amending this contract, will save the district approximately \$15,000 a year. Superintendent Huber-Kantola recommended that the board approve the amended contract.

2012-13 ARAMARK
CONTRACT

Member Crume moved to approve the 2012-13 Aramark Contract. Member Litak seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED

K-12 Education Director Peter Maluk explained that since last fall two things have happened on the state level to address how schools are going to be measured and how school districts are going to establish goals for the students. The two things are separate but connected. No Child Left Behind (NCLB) is still in existence, but to extricate ourselves from that, Oregon has filed a waiver which would provide amnesty from some of the punitive aspects of NCLB beginning with next year. To do that they also needed to develop a plan for how they would measure schools and students and create a different structure for the goals for the district. Part of that was the Governor established the Oregon Education Investment Board (OEIB) and just last month hired a Chief Education Officer to oversee that. The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) has been working on this waiver that was submitted in February to the Federal government for approval to get out of NCLB and at the same time part of that plan is that each district in the state will develop an Achievement Compact that is going to begin next year.

2012-13 ACHIEVEMENT
COMPACT

Director Maluk reviewed the timeline that was received back in February for the 2012-13 Compacts:

- ⇒ April 5 to June 30 District boards complete their compacts
- ⇒ April 12 Data validation process begins
- ⇒ May 4 Deadline to request corrections
- ⇒ Mid-May Technical assistance on goal setting and trajectory toward 40/40/20
- ⇒ July 2 District return completed compacts
- ⇒ July 31 Chief Education Officer accepts compacts and local priority measures
- ⇒ Sept. 30 Deadline to establish achievement compact advisory committees

Director Maluk reminded the board that the ultimate goal is not that a certain percentage of students would meet or exceed on tests, but rather that by 2025 100% of our kids would be

2012-13 ACHIEVEMENT
COMPACT (CONTINUED)

successfully exiting high school; 40% of them would go to college, 40% would go to some post-secondary training/vocational and 20% would successfully finish and complete high school. That would begin next year by beginning to measure, track and support the kindergarten class for 2012-13.

Back in April we received some data from the state, but they were supposed to give us more and they haven't. It has created a bit of a problem as how do you set goals to get to 100% graduation rate in 2025? Director Maluk reviewed the anticipated measures to track progress.

The guidance that he was hoping for in May is missing. Last week he received a recommendation from COSA (Confederation of Oregon School Administrators). A group of districts that have the money to have statisticians and data analysts on staff (Beaverton, Salem-Kizer and Portland), got together and came up with some recommendations which has helped him prepare a draft for our district Achievement Compact.

COSA has criticized the academic achievement because of timing. We have been asked by the state to present it by the end of this month, and things are still missing. We are being asked to develop projected goals for next year and we don't even have the official results from this year's testing. We don't have the official results of this year's graduation rates. We don't have the official results from our OAKS testing—and we won't have it until the beginning of October.

Director Maluk's recommendations:

- To submit an Achievement Compact as required with very minimal increases to any data submission (1-2%)
- Submit with a cover letter expressing some of the frustrations and concerns and possibly attaching the White Paper that COSA has written with all of their concerns about the Achievement Compact.
- Review the compact in August after AYP data is finalized and TRSD Priority/Focus schools have been identified.

Director Maluk then reviewed the recommended Three Rivers School District 2012-13 Achievement Compact.

Superintendent Huber-Kantola explained that it is a new system. The Board is supposed to approve an Achievement Compact by June 30th. Funding is tied to whether or not the Board approves an Achievement Compact—not whether or not the district meets the Achievement Compact.

Member Meier responded that we don't have a choice if funding is tied to it, and agreed that we should have a cover letter that goes with it expressing our frustrations.

Director Maluk stated that newly appointed Director Dave Valenzuela will bring it back to the Board in August.

Member Litak asked for an explanation of the 'Formula Revenue', and specifically the 'QEM recommended' figures on the bottom of the first page. Superintendent Huber-Kantola explained that several years ago the legislature initiated a study that stated in order to meet all of the educational goals that we have in Oregon what would be a Quality Education Model (QEM) in terms of funding for the school districts? Next year if we were to be funded at what the Quality Education Model was we should be funded at \$49,529,925—an additional \$12 million above what we are projected to be funded at (\$37,338,916).

Member Litak moved to approve the Achievement Compact with the understanding that it will be revisited in August and requested Director Maluk move forward with the letter addressing concerns attached to the COSA White Paper. Member Horban seconded and the motion passed 4-1 (Member Crume opposing).

2012-13 ACHIEVEMENT COMPACT (CONTINUED)

Board Chair Lengwin called for a recess at 8:35
The board reconvened at 8:44 PM

BREAK

Director Maluk presented the Edgewater 2012-13 facility use for board approval. Mr. Maluk introduced Shawn Logue from Edgewater Christian Fellowship and reported that this would be the sixth or seventh year of renewing the facility use agreement. Edgewater has been a wonderful partner and sharing the building is a hardship on the staff and has an impact on the school in regards to planning. With the work and effort that they have put into the school both in physical labor and what they contribute monetarily on a monthly basis for rental has helped the school and the district. They have been a great community partner. Mr. Maluk gave his support for renewing the agreement.

EDGEWATER CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP FACILITY USE

Member Crume made a motion to approve the 2012-13 facility use agreement and fee schedule. Member Litak seconded.

Member Litak asked that given the rental fees are the same as last year, and utility costs are going up if the fees should be adjusted? Director Maluk responded that the charges are based on the district's Facility Use policy. The policy would need to be changed in order to do that.

Member Crume added that given the work that the Edgewater volunteers have contributed to the school, raising the rent would not be in order.

Member Meier added that she feels it is a great partnership and definitely a "win-win", but still has discomfort as it looks like a more permanent arrangement. She would hope that at some point they have a facility of their own.

Member Crume commented that he wished there were five more partners like this because given the current state of our budget we could use it right now.

Shawn Logue explained that they have property with a small building on it right now and are now moving towards the next phase which includes the next building.

Member Meier explained that her concerns are with the lack of availability of the building for school functions—she does not have any concerns about Edgewater as 'tenants' using the facility. She has heard from everybody that it is a win-win, and appreciates how much they have done for the school.

Board Chair Lengwin called for a vote and the motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED

District Accountant Lisa Cross requested the board approve the fuel contract for Hays Oil. We went out to bid and only two bids were received (Colvin Oil and Hays Oil). The contracts for the unleaded gasoline and heating fuel would be for five years, renewable every year upon board approval.

FUEL BID AWARD

Member Crume moved to approve Hayes Oil as the district's supplier for PS 200 and unleaded fuel based on the submitted prices. Member Meier seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED

Human Resources Director Debbie Breckner reminded the board that last March two contracts were created for the Superintendent Huber-Kantola—one as the Director of Fiscal

SUPERINTENDENT EXTRA DUTY CONTRACT

- SUPERINTENDENT EXTRA DUTY CONTRACT Services and a second one as Superintendent. Ms. Breckner requested approval of the superintendent's extra-duty contract.
- APPROVED Member Litak moved to approve the superintendent extra-duty contract. Member Meier seconded and the motion passed unanimously.
- FOOD SERVICE CONTRACT Director Breckner reported that the current food service contract expires June 30, 2012. The district went through an extensive RFP and bid process back in the fall. Sodexo was the choice of the RFP committee. Ms. Breckner stated that she is still waiting on approval from ODE. It is a requirement that ODE signs off on the contract due to funding.
- Member Meier asked what happens if we don't have approval from ODE by June 30th. Ms. Breckner responded that it could affect the summer food programs. She will continue to follow-up with ODE to get an answer.
- APPROVED Member Crume moved to approve the food service contract with Sodexo. Member Litak seconded and the motion passed 4-1 (Member Horban opposed).
- COMPUTER LEASE Director Breckner stated we began a computer lease program five years ago as a way to start some type of replacement cycle. At the time we had machines that hadn't been replaced in fifteen years. At the same time we went to eSIS and at the time had computers that were not sufficient to run the program. In regards to the new lease, we have a number of computers that the suggested 350 computers would replace. Those computers would go to labs that are antiquated. Rather than leasing 350 monitors, we are proposing to lease 150 to replace the old CRT monitors which use more electricity to run. We are also reaching the place where the currently leased computers are becoming outdated for some of the things we are asking our staff to be able to do with them. When we move to our new student information system the older leased computers do not meet the specs to run. Instead of replacing 500 as before we are asking to lease 350, and move the 350 that these will replace to replace the old ones that we still have running. Like before, this would be a five year lease. The old lease stated that we could purchase the old ones for one dollar a piece at the end of five years but they are so old and not worth much so we were able to get all 500 of them for one dollar.
- Member Crume asked if it is possible to upgrade the computers we currently have? Dave Valenzuela explained that we can do minimal upgrades to them. For the older computers that will be repurposed to schools, they will be responsible the cost for the upgrade and the RAM and providing the monitor. It will cost approximately \$32.95 to upgrade to run some of the current programs that we are having our students use.
- Member Horban asked how many bids we got for the computers? Director Breckner explained that Oregon has a government contractor for computers—CDW-G, and that's who we contract with. The district gets the state rate.
- Director Breckner explained that her big fear is that we are coming to the end of a software agreement that requires us to be no more than two versions behind. Right now we are okay because Windows has not come out with a new operating system beyond Windows 7. Once it does, we will then have to upgrade to Windows 7 over Windows XP. She stated that it would be her preference to delay this lease a year to next summer and next summer have the new machine swap; having to upgrade to Windows 7, having a new student information system at a time that we are also having to do the Achievement Compact which requires acquiring major data. There are 75 reports that we are required to generate data out of—we have to have a system that does that, and

have a system that works to do that. Making all the changes at one time is a recipe for a major disaster. The requirements are only getting greater, and we need to give our staff the tools to be able to do their work.

COMPUTER LEASE

Member Litak asked what next year's budget was for technology. Director Breckner responded that it was about \$757,000. The district will be able to do all of the technology changes proposed tonight within the existing budget, partially due to reducing this lease. After this year of implementation for Synergy, if it is approved, the Synergy expense which is close to \$77,000 goes to \$14,000 next year—which will give the Technology Director a lot more flexibility in being able to meet the needs of staff. We can't meet the technology needs that we have. We are fortunate to have the availability of Title I funds for the majority of our schools to assist with the technology needs.

Member Litak asked if this will use up the complete tech budget at this time—what will be left in contingency if we have problems? Ms. Breckner responded that there is a line item of about \$20,000 to be used for that purpose as well as to support the four schools that don't have access to Title I funds.

Member Litak moved to approve the lease for 350 computers and 150 monitors and to purchase the 500 old leased computers for one dollar. Member Meier seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED

Director Breckner stated we are currently utilizing eSIS in the district for our student information system which is used to generate most of the data which is contained in those 75 reports (eSIS is used by more than 60% of the districts in the state). eSIS has been bought out by another company and will no longer be providing support to districts beginning July 1st of this year. In January the State formed a consortium to select a new system. Synergy was selected due to its ability to interface with ODE. Salem/Kizer negotiated statewide pricing for interested districts.

SYNERGY/EDUPOINT CONTACT

The district will get access soon and will be able to utilize the program for a year prior to implementing the program district-wide for the 2013-14 school year (July 1, 2013). This will give the district a better opportunity to provide better training to staff.

Member Litak asked how we know that this is the really the best option and we don't have any other real alternatives? Director Breckner responded that when the district had the demonstrations of the other programs that were available that met the state criteria (which is required because we don't have a programmer), we need a system that can be manipulated on the backend by a consortium of people to make the appropriate changes. To be able to meet those specs there were 5 or 6 different student information systems used. We opened up the webinars this year to staff in all buildings, which included office staff, teachers and administrators. About 70 staff members participated in the demonstrations. Every one had a positive response to this program. Ms. Breckner stated that the migration from eSIS to Synergy should be pretty easy.

Member Horban asked if someone could look into the fact that we are being charged a per student cost based on 5002 students in the contract when our actual enrollment is 4565. Ms. Breckner responded that they probably pulled the information from data that the state provided. Ms. Breckner will have them revise that number.

APPROVED

Member Meier moved to approve the Synergy contract to provide the district's student information system. Member Litak seconded and the motion passed 3-2 (Members Horban and Crume opposing).

UPS LEASE

Future Technology Director Dave Valenzuela stated that our uninterruptable power supply is an insurance device for expensive and crucial equipment that we have in our server room.

UPS LEASE
(CONTINUED)

It's not a battery back-up, but a power conditioner which provides a constant, clean supply of power to our servers. Without that when we have spikes, brown-outs or any kind of fluctuation do to weather it puts a huge amount of stress on our servers. If the board approves the purchase of the UPS (uninterruptable power supply) devices, they are insuring \$135,000 worth of equipment for about \$8,000 this year, \$4,000 next year and then we will own the devices. If we get into a cycle similar to what we are doing with our PC's and we can do it again in a few years, and repurpose the ones that we buy now out to Lorna Byrne and Fruitdale, we will be protecting those servers as well. These devices also allow us to monitor the environment remotely. For instance, if there is a leak on a Saturday night at 2:00 AM he would get a text message from the UPS device that they would know that there is an issue. As opposed to Monday morning—and who knows what kind of damage could be done. In the event of a power outage it will provide battery backup services as well—up to 45 minutes so that our server doesn't go down and incur data loss and other issues. The UPS devices that we have now don't provide nearly the functionality. They are a low-level power conditioner.

TABLED

Member Crume asked that we table the item until next month. Director Breckner responded that would be fine.

OTHER

Member Meier asked if there was an explanation for the loss of enrollment at every school except Applegate and Manzanita? Superintendent Huber-Kantola responded that it is typical for this time of year as the school year comes to a close.

ADJOURN

Adjourn at 9:47 PM

Ron Lengwin
Chairperson of the Board

Dan Huber-Kantola
Superintendent-Clerk