

Eden Prairie School District 272
Superintendent Monitoring Report

Policy Name: 2.7 Asset Protection	Monitoring Timeframe: July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2019 July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020	Policy Monitoring Column FOR BOARD USE ONLY Compliance rating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● OI is/is not reasonable ● Data does/does not provide adequate evidence of compliance <i>Include specific evidence for rating conclusion and recommendations.</i>
Policy Quadrant: Executive Limitations	Date of School Board Monitoring: August 26, 2019 August 24, 2020	
		Board member name:
<u>Global Constraint:</u> The Superintendent shall not cause or allow district assets to be unprotected, inadequately maintained, inappropriately used, or unnecessarily risked.		<i>(enter rating and reasoning when appropriate)</i>
<u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret compliance with the overall policy to mean that all twelve (12) policy provisions are in compliance. Furthermore: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I interpret “assets” to mean physical and intellectual property of the District with a value greater than \$5,000. 2. I interpret “unprotected” to mean assets without insurance and/or a plan/guiding procedures. 3. I interpret “inadequately maintained” to mean a lack of preventive maintenance, repair, or renovation which may cause an asset to deteriorate and experience an accelerated depreciation, within available financial resources. 4. I interpret “inappropriately used” to mean the utilization of assets not in accordance with the desired Ends of the district. 5. I interpret “unnecessarily risked” to mean the exposure of assets to circumstances resulting in a higher likelihood of theft or otherwise being unavailable for the asset’s intended purposes. 		
<u>Justification:</u> The provisions 2.7.1 through 2.7.12 adequately summarize and categorize those district assets that this policy protects.		
<u>Measurement Plan:</u> All provisions are in compliance.		

<p><u>Evidence:</u> Presented in provisions 2.7.1 through 2.7.12.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.1 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Develop a facilities construction, renovation and maintenance plan that is not part of a comprehensive rolling ten-year plan.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret “a comprehensive rolling ten-year plan” to be a master plan for facilities construction, renovation, and/or maintenance that is reviewed and updated annually. The annual update allows the District to consider alternatives to the plan in order to reflect new facility needs and educational plans for the future.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> A school district needs an ongoing program of assessment of existing school sites and facilities in order to identify school facility improvement issues, alternative means of addressing those issues, and to maintain a quality educational environment for students, staff, and community/partnership users. “To establish and maintain quality school facilities and a desirable learning environment for students, staff, and community users, an ongoing assessment of school facility needs and a program of public information about those needs is essential. (Guide for Planning School Construction Projects in Minnesota; MDE, 2003; p. 37)</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The comprehensive rolling ten-year facilities plan shall be reviewed and updated on an annual basis. 2. The comprehensive rolling ten-year facilities plan shall be consulted and referenced during the development of any facilities construction, renovation, and/or maintenance plan. 3. The Capital Fund budget shall be reviewed and updated on an annual basis. 	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> At the April 23, 2018 April 22, 2019 school board meeting, the board approved the 2018-19 2019-20 capital budget which included funds to address facility improvements. Prior to approval, the administration worked with principals, department leaders, facility, staff, and the Superintendent’s Cabinet to determine priorities for maintaining district facilities.</p> <p>Facility work completed or planned in fiscal year 2019 2020 adhered to the Comprehensive Facility Plan and included the following components:</p>	

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district continued working on a long-term facility planning process geared to align with the strategic plan 2. Implemented facility changes to accommodate educational program needs and related space concerns 3. Discussed with the School Board deferred maintenance and capital fund expenditures and revenue sources 4. Planned for the building of the Welcome Center and adjoining parking lot replacement of the high school gym floor and bleachers, Oak Point/Eagle Heights parking lot addition, district-wide pavement upgrades, and many annual building updates throughout the district 5. Continued development of Designing Pathways recommendations <p>The school board approved on June 18, 2018 June 24, 2019 a multi-year facilities plan of proposed projects by building location as part of the 10-year long-term facility maintenance plan which was submitted to MDE for review and approval in July 2018 2019. At the January 28, 2019 school board meeting, the district sold \$9,995,000 of general obligation facility maintenance bonds to fund two years of deferred maintenance projects. On May 14, 2019 the community approved a referendum to fund Designing Pathways facility improvements. On July 18, 2019 the district sold \$37,765,000 of general obligation school building bonds to fund these facility improvements.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.2 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Fail to insure against theft and casualty losses at 100 percent of replacement value and against liability losses to School Board members, staff, and the organization itself in an amount greater than the average for comparable organizations.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Property, Casualty, Umbrella insurance coverage is in place to insure the School District for losses to real and personal property per Statement of Values on file with the insurance company. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. “Fail to insure against theft and casualty losses at 100 percent of replacement value” is interpreted to mean a level of theft and casualty insurance that assumes a reasonable level of risk with consideration to the overall cost of said insurance (See Justification 2.a.). 2. Equipment breakdown insurance coverage is in place specifically for boilers and machinery. 3. Automobile insurance coverage is in place to insure the School District for losses resulting from owned, financed, leased or rented school buses, vans, driver’s education vehicles and ground/facilities vehicles are as per Schedule on file with the insurance company. 4. Commercial General Liability, Umbrella Excess Liability coverages are placed to insure against Bodily Injury & Property Damage Liability; Personal & Advertising Injury Liability; Medical Payments; Violent Event Response, Employee Benefits Liability and Sexual Abuse 5. School Leader’s Errors & Omissions coverage included Directors and Officers and school leader’s professional. 6. Crime coverage included for loss sustained from employee dishonesty, theft, disappearance and destruction. 	

7. Inland Marine coverage for the transport of goods in transit, as well as moveable property and instrumentalities of communication. These categories include items such as instruments, cameras, fine arts, signs, valuable papers, electronic data processing and miscellaneous equipment.
8. Data and Network Security covers claims made by third parties arising out of a breach of the School District's computer network and data storage units or devices.
9. Volunteer Accident coverage protects an organization's volunteer workforce for medical costs associated with an accidental injury incurred while working on behalf of the organization.
10. International General Liability coverage is in place to insure students and staff while on international school sponsored trips.
11. Drone Liability coverage is in place to protect the School District for third party claims of property damage or injury resulting from the use of a district owned drone.
12. Workers' Compensation is a form of insurance providing wage replacement and medical benefits to employees injured in the course of employment in exchange for mandatory relinquishment of the employee's right to sue his or her employer for the tort of negligence.

Justification:
This policy revolves around risk management, that is the identification, assessment, and prioritization of risks. The strategies to manage risk typically include transferring the risk to another party, avoiding the risk, reducing the negative effect or probability of the risk, or even accepting some or all of the potential or actual consequences of a particular risk. The business office uses Marsh & McLennan for brokerage services for this category of insurance coverage. Below are a number of considerations and coverage categories reviewed on an annual basis, the last review being 2019-20 coverage was reviewed on ~~May 25, 2018~~ June 4, 2019:

1. Policies and procedures are in place to provide assurance of protection & supervision of facilities and equipment. (District Policy 902 Use of School District Facilities and Equipment)
2. Insurance is meant to provide a reasonable assurance that the owner of the insured real property can fully replace it in case of a loss. In this case, the Administration has chosen to insure at 100% of replacement value which considers the following pertinent facts:
 - a. The current estimated property value is ~~\$459,287,084~~ \$477,012,008 based on a property appraisal completed in 2017 plus an industry recommended ~~1.14%~~ 3.85% increase for ~~2018~~ 2019 over the prior year.
 - b. The property insurance plan the District purchases utilizes Special Form Replacement Cost on a Blanket Property coverage basis (i.e., total property limit can be used at any one location or multiple locations), meaning the only loss situation that the full 100% coverage would be utilized would be in the case of the complete destruction of all real and personal property of the District in every location in a single event. If the District were to lose multiple buildings in a single event, the total amount of insurance coverage would be available to rebuild and refurbish those school sites.
3. School Districts are subject to Minnesota Rule: Chapter 466. Tort Liability, Political Subdivisions. (<https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=466&view=chapter#stat.466.02>) A school district cannot be held liable for actions that are not foreseeable when reasonable measures ... are employed to insure adequate ...duties

are being performed ..., and there is adequate consideration being given for the safety and welfare of all students in the school. (<http://cousineaulaw.com/laws/minnesota-tort-laws>)

- a. In most education-related civil lawsuits there are two main categories of tort liability relevant to educational institutions—Intentional and Negligent Torts.
 - i. Intentional Torts—occur when an individual attempts or “intends” to cause harm to another. For intent to exist, the individual must be aware that injury will, or could, be the result of the act.
 - ii. Negligent Torts—there are four elements of a negligent tort:
 - 1. Duty—The duty of an individual or the institution to protect those in our care
 - 2. Breach (of Duty)—failure of the individual or institution to exercise a standard of reasonable care.
 - 3. Proximate Cause—showing that the individual or institution failed to exercise a standard of reasonable care.
 - 4. Injury—No matter how great the duty (Element 1), how egregious the breach of that duty (Element 2), or how foreseeable the consequences and proximate cause of the individual or institution’s standard of care (Element 3), there is no liability if actual injuries cannot be proven.

Measurement Plan:

Compliance with this policy shall be evidenced through annual review of school district risk management processes and the subsequent renewal of our insurance plans that conform to the interpretation of this policy.

Evidence:

Coverages in place July 1, 2018

- 1. Property, Casualty, Umbrella insurance coverage is in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 2. Equipment breakdown insurance coverage is in place through Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection & Insurance Co. (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 3. Automobile insurance coverage is in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 4. Commercial General Liability, Umbrella Excess Liability coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 5. School Leaders Errors & Omissions coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 6. Crime coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 7. Inland Marine coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 8. Data Security coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))
- 9. Network Security and Privacy coverages are in place through Beazley Insurance Co. (~~July 1, 2018–July 1, 2019~~ [July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020](#))

<p>10. Volunteer Accident coverage is in place through HSR, Inc (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020)</p> <p>11. International General Liability coverages are in place through AIG (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020)</p> <p>12. Drone Liability coverage is in place through Global (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020)</p> <p>13. Workers' Compensation coverage is in place through SFM (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020)</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.3 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Subject facilities and equipment to improper wear and tear or insufficient maintenance.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean all facility reviews and maintenance plans are in place for all school district buildings and grounds. The School Board is informed about the financial resources needed to implement the plans and that school budgets reflect the identified facility needs (within fiscal limitations).</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proactive maintenance plans explicitly stated facility needs with associated costs, and appropriate budgets are essential to ensure school district assets are protected. 2. Facilities and equipment such as computers, school buses, boilers, air handling units, coolers and freezers, dishwashers, serving lines and ovens routinely exceed their expected useful life due to the following procedures, training and asset handling requirements: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Maintenance staff, technology staff, food service staff and school bus mechanics keep detailed records of maintenance schedules for all equipment b. All staff is trained on the use of equipment that they operate and hold appropriate licensure or certification where required c. Access to facilities and equipment is limited through security, either human or electronic, at all times. The proper authorities and key staff are notified in the case of breach of this security 	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Short and long-term maintenance plans are in place for each district facility. The School Board receives facilities progress reports as needed or desired throughout the year. Budgets include funds for maintenance and equipment needs as determined in district plans within available resources. Finally, the Superintendent approves expenditures from building contingency funds as needed.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> Plans as identified in the justification are in place along with a budget to support them.</p>	

<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.4 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Allow external guests or user groups access to the facilities or assets without procedures in place to protect district concerns.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> The District encourages maximum use of school facilities and equipment for community purposes that do not interfere with use for school purposes. The District expects members of the community who use facilities and equipment to do so with respect for school district property and an understanding of proper use. Individuals and groups shall be responsible for damage to facilities and equipment. A certificate of insurance may be required by the school district to ensure payment for these damages and any liability for injuries. The school district administration may authorize the use of school facilities by community groups or individuals. It may impose reasonable regulations and conditions upon the use of school facilities as it deems appropriate.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> District Policy 902 Use of School District Facilities and Equipment puts forth the processes related to the public use of school facilities and equipment.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Compliance shall be evidenced by the appropriate application of District Policy 902.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> Eden Prairie Community Education reserved buildings for 1,745 uses that served approximately 20,650 28,801 community members during fiscal year 2019 2020. The Eden Prairie High School Student Activities Department rented its facilities (performing arts center, bubble, activity center, gyms, private instructor rooms, music areas, fields, and tennis courts) for 10,633 8,016 events during fiscal year 2019 2020. Information regarding the procedures in place for community use of District facilities can be found at: http://comed.edenpr.org/facility_use</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	

<p>2.7.5 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Unnecessarily expose the organization, its School Board, or its staff to claims of liability.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean the actions of the school board, the superintendent’s behavior, and the behavior of all district employees will be governed by state and federal law.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> The best way to preserve the legal liability of the school district is to ensure policies and procedures are current, the School Board and Staff are aware and understand the policies and procedures, and that required trainings (Federal and State) occur annually. A deliberate provision for staff awareness of legal responsibilities and professional behavior is essential.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Commercial General Liability, Umbrella Excess Liability and School Leader’s Errors & Omissions and Crime coverages are in place to insure against Bodily Injury & Property Damage Liability; Personal & Advertising Injury Liability; Medical Payments; Employee Benefits Liability and Sexual Abuse, School Leader’s Professional, Director’s and Officer’s Liability, and Employment Practices Liability. In addition, we provide opportunities for training to staff and Board members on Federal and State laws annually as required. Written policies indicating appropriate procedures for various activities within the district are in place and available on our web site and in the central office.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> Commercial General Liability, Umbrella Excess Liability coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (July 1, 2018-July 1, 2019) (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020) School Leader’s Errors & Omissions coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (July 1, 2018-July 1, 2019) (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020) Crime coverages are in place through Liberty Mutual (July 1, 2018-July 1, 2019) (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020)</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	

<p>2.7.6 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Endanger the organization's public image, its credibility, or its ability to accomplish ends.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean the Superintendent shall not cause or allow any practice, activity, decision, or organizational circumstance that is unlawful, unsafe, imprudent, or in violation of commonly accepted educational and professional ethics and practices.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district’s image, credibility, and ability to accomplish its Ends must be protected at all times. A deliberate provision and attention to maintaining a current, purposeful, legal, and ethical financial system significantly improves the district’s ability to achieve its Ends and maintain the support of its community. 2. Superintendents are not only subject to rules and regulations related to the operation of a school district, but also to the Minnesota Code of Ethics for School Administrators (MN Rule 3512.5200). 	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This policy shall be measured by the presence (or lack thereof) of any formal complaints found to be factual by the School Board, their designee, or the Board of School Administrators that the public image, credibility, or ability to accomplish our Ends has been endangered. 2. Other evidence to support compliance with this policy include the acceptance of related Executive Limitation monitoring reports determined to be in compliance by the School Board. 	
<p><u>Evidence:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There were no formal complaints made to the School Board or Board of School Administrators regarding public image violations or violations against the rules and regulations set forth by the Minnesota Code of Ethics for School Administrators. 2. All Executive Limitation monitoring reports regarding public image and credibility were accepted as in compliance. 	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	

<p>2.7.7 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Allow uninsured personnel access to material amounts of funds.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean that adequate internal controls and insurance coverages are in place to limit the District’s exposure and risk of loss.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> Insurance coverage is essential to protect the overall integrity of the financial system. Insurance ensures that fraud, negligence, or theft by an employee will have a limited impact on the school district.</p> <p>Proper internal controls are essential to limit the district’s exposure to loss through fraud or error.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The School District develops, publishes, enforces, and reviews annually internal controls regarding access to funds. 2. The external auditors annually review the system of internal controls, conduct a system walk-through and report any exceptions. 3. Employee Theft and Dishonesty/Crime policies are in place to insure against: Employee Theft Per Loss (also includes Public Employees Faithful Performance); Depositor’s Forgery/Alteration; Theft, Disappearance & Destruction (Money, Securities & Other Property – including Robbery & Safe Burglary; and Computer Funds & Transfer Fraud. 	
<p><u>Evidence:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The District Business Office conducted its formal internal control annual review and trained Business Office employees regarding the District’s regulations regarding access and handling of district funds in June 2018 June 2019. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The internal control procedures revealed no exceptions during fiscal year 2019 2020. b. Each site petty cash and safe is randomly audited annually by business office staff. c. All cash deposits must be accompanied by a written cash receipt. Cash receipt backup retained at the site is randomly audited by business office staff. d. The use of the online payment systems, Feepay, Campus and USAePay will reduce the amount of cash transactions throughout the district, especially in student activities and food service. 2. The fiscal year 2019 2020 financial audit required by state statute will be presented on or about November 25, 2019 November 23, 2020. <p>The audit report includes a section on “Internal Controls” that would indicate concerns with the District’s internal processes and controls during the prior fiscal year.</p>	

<p>3. The fiscal year 2018 2019 Audit indicated no internal control deficiencies regarding uninsured personnel access to material amounts of funds.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.8 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Receive, process, or disburse funds under controls that are insufficient to meet the School Board-appointed auditor's standards.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean the District audit and financial statements must be based on Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, the federal Single Audit Act, and the Minnesota Legal Compliance Guide issued by the Office of the State Auditor in reference to the school district's financial resources.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> School districts are required to have an annual independent financial audit, to submit audited financial data to the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE) electronically, and to submit all required components of the audit report to MDE and to the Office of the State Auditor.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> The Annual Audit Report that routinely tests the district's financial processes and practices. Non-compliance would be reflected by "findings" published in the Annual Audit Report to the Board of Education.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> The School Board approved the fiscal year 2018 2019 audit on November 19, 2018 November 25, 2019 and these reports were submitted to the Minnesota Department of Education and the State Auditor's office in December 2018 2019. The fiscal year 2018 2019 Annual Audit revealed one legal compliance findings and two internal control findings over compliance. A corrective action plan was put in place to address the findings.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	

<p>2.7.9 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Compromise the independence of the School Board’s audit or other external monitoring or advice.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> I interpret this to mean I will not interfere with the external auditing process and not utilize auditing personnel for other financial business. I will ensure the annual audit takes place in a timely manner.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> The external audit is, in its purest form, a report card on the overall functioning of the school district. As such, the Superintendent and Executive Director of Business Services should cooperate (and not disrupt) the audit process to all possible extent. As a primary safeguard to maintaining fiscal integrity, the external audit should remain as neutral to the administration and operations of the district as possible.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Per State Statute, the School Board appoints an external auditor to conduct all external audits. Audits are conducted at the beginning of each fiscal year, and must be completed by the School Board prior to December 31. As a part of the process, the School Board meets with the external auditor to review the audited statements and to report its findings.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> The School Board approved the fiscal year 2018 2019 audit on November 19, 2018 November 25, 2019 and these reports were submitted to the Minnesota Department of Education and the State Auditor’s office in December 2018 2019.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.10 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Substantially change the principal educational purpose of a school by closing, repurposing, consolidating, combining or creating new or choice destination schools without School Board approval.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I interpret <i>school</i> as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The legal definition of <i>school</i> per Minnesota Statute 120A.05, b. I further interpret <i>school</i> to be the “real property” consisting of any one of the eight (8) current <i>school</i> buildings and Lower Campus. 2. The phrase <i>principal educational purpose</i> is interpreted as the standard course of academic programs implemented to meet the standards established by the Minnesota Commissioner of Education (MN Statute 120A.05). 	

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. I interpret <i>substantially change the principal purpose of a school</i> to mean: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Alter the primary use of one of the District’s elementary, middle or secondary schools per the legal definition of a <i>school</i> (MN Statute 120A.05). b. Significantly alter the physical space <u>and</u> primary use of a specially designated or designed space of a <i>school</i> (i.e. gym, swimming pool, media center, etc.). c. To demolish, reconfigure, or remodel in order to create a new use at a cost in excess of \$100,000 at one site. 4. I interpret <i>by closing or repurposing it</i> to mean: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <i>Closing</i> is eliminating the use of a District <i>school</i> building for any District purpose. b. <i>Repurposing</i> is: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Creating a District “<i>Destination School</i>” ii. Using a District <i>school</i> building for a purpose not associated with a school. 5. I interpret <i>by consolidating or combining it with another school</i> to mean: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The action of moving one <i>school</i> into another <i>school’s</i> building, and eliminating one of the <i>schools</i> affected, or; b. Moving one <i>school</i> into another school’s building and changing the principal educational purpose of individual <i>schools</i>. 6. I interpret <i>board approval</i> as an affirmative majority vote by a quorum of the School Board on a recommendation provided by the Superintendent or his/her designee. 	
<p><u>Justification:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The legal definition of <i>school</i> was used to delineate those structures from other District real properties. 2. Minnesota Statute 120A.05 defines the <i>principal educational purpose</i> of school sites: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Subd. 9.Elementary school. "Elementary school" means any school with building, equipment, courses of study, class schedules, enrollment of pupils ordinarily in prekindergarten through grade 6 or any portion thereof, and staff meeting the standards established by the commissioner. b. Subd. 11.Middle school. "Middle school" means any school other than a secondary school giving an approved course of study in a minimum of two consecutive grades above 4th but below 10th with building, equipment, courses of study, class schedules, enrollment, and staff meeting the standards established by the commissioner of education. c. Subd. 13.Secondary school. "Secondary school" means any school with building, equipment, courses of study, class schedules, enrollment of pupils ordinarily in grades 7 through 12 or any portion thereof, and staff meeting the standards established by the commissioner of education. <p>(Note: the Education Center in Eden Prairie is not included in this definition, as the District does not own real property at that site.)</p> 3. The definition of “Real Property” was used to differentiate it from other types of property owned by the district <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. “Real Property” is defined as “A building or structure shall include the building or structure itself, together with all improvements or fixtures annexed to the building or structure, which are integrated with and of permanent benefit to the building or structure, regardless of the present use of the building, and which 	

cannot be removed without substantial damage to itself or to the building or structure.” (MN Statute 272.03.b.)

(Note: the Education Center in Eden Prairie is not included in this definition, as we do not own real property at that site.)

4. Closing or Repurposing

- a. It is not uncommon for individual rooms in a facility to provide several functions as needs arise and priorities change over a period of time while the *school* as a whole retains its *principal educational purpose*. Most often, the *repurposing* of usable space does not require substantial changes to the physical structure.
- b. This interpretation does not limit Administration from managing its *schools* to produce the desired ends. It does limit the administration from *substantially changing* to a *school* in a manner that would:
 - i. Physically alter a “specially designated or designed space” for a new use.
 - ii. Require contracts over \$100,000 for repurposing classroom or specialized space at a single *school* to be approved by the School Board per MN Statute 123B.52 and MN Statute 471.345, Subd. 3.

5. “Destination School”

- a. Meets the legal definition of a *school* and provides the standard academic program and standards as established by the Minnesota Commissioner of Education *in an alternative, enhanced, or specialized learning environment*.
- b. Is open to enroll eligible students regardless of their home location within the School District
- c. Provides a specialized academic focus in *an alternative, enhanced, or specialized learning environment* that may include, but is not limited to: language immersion, technology, environmental studies, fine arts, online, STEM, etc.
 - i. Programs such as Level III Gifted Services, Special Education Low Incidence and Center-Based programs, and English Language Learners are not *destination schools*.
 - ii. Eagle Heights Spanish Immersion School is a *destination school* per this interpretation.
 - iii. The Level IV Gifted and Talented programming would be considered a *destination school* at such point as it encompasses multiple grade levels at one or more *school sites*.

6. Board Approval

- a. School Board voting rules are self-explanatory and legally required to do the business of the school district

This interpretation has the expectation that the Superintendent will undertake a process that provides adequate background information, opportunities for discussion, and culminates with a specific recommendation for Board action.

Measurement Plan:

The Superintendent shall be found to be in compliance with this policy when:

- 1. The district’s *school sites* retain their “principal” educational purpose,
- 2. The district’s *school sites* are not repurposed, consolidated or combined with another school without Board approval.

<p><u>Evidence:</u> No spaces were changed during the reporting period, except where legally required by the Governor’s executive order and not under the control of the superintendent.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>2.7.11 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Allow anyone other than the School Board to name facilities, schools, classrooms, or spaces within the district.</p>	
<p><u>Operational Interpretation:</u> The Eden Prairie School Board is responsible for permanently naming facilities, which includes buildings, rooms, internal spaces, streets, landscape materials and associated exterior furnishings, courts, athletic fields, open spaces, forests, and all other areas owned, operated, or controlled by the Eden Prairie School District.</p> <p>Eden Prairie School District may name facilities according to provisions established by statute. The School Board may elect to name facilities in recognition of individuals who have attained achievements of extraordinary and lasting distinction or enter into authorized agreements and contracts to lease naming rights for school facilities or enter into an agreement with a sponsoring agent in order to generate alternative sources of revenue to be used according to a plan specified by the School Board.</p>	
<p><u>Justification:</u> Board authority, established in statute, permits the Board to enter into a contract to lease the naming rights for school facilities, sell advertising on or in facilities and otherwise enter into an agreement with a sponsoring agent. The School Board exercises approval authority for naming of all facilities owned, operated, or controlled by the Eden Prairie School District.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Compliance with this policy shall be evidenced via the Board naming all permanent facilities owned or leased by the District.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> The Eden Prairie High School brought forward a space to rename as the South Commons Collab. The School Board approved this name on September 23, 2019. On June 22, 2020, the School Board approved renaming the EPHS School Store to The Nest - Home of the Eagles. No other spaces formally changed names during FY 2019-20.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	

2.7.12 Furthermore, the Superintendent shall not: Eliminate any non-state-required programs that would adversely affect our reputation and/or diminish the value of our broad-based educational opportunities without School Board approval.

Operational Interpretation:

1. An “educational program” is defined as “a set of learning activities with a specific goal.” In the context of a school district, an educational program is interpreted as a set of courses leading to acquisition or mastery of a set of identified competencies.
2. The core of this policy [The Superintendent shall not] “Eliminate any non-state required programs...without board approval” is self-explanatory. I interpret this policy to mean that Administration must seek approval via official vote of the School Board to discontinue any educational program at any level that is not required by Minnesota statute.
 - a. The State of Minnesota requires the following of all of its high school graduates:
 - i. 4 credits of language arts
 - ii. 3 credits of mathematics, including algebra, geometry, statistics and probability sufficient to satisfy the standards. Students in the graduating class of 2015 and beyond must complete an algebra II credit or its equivalent as part of the 3-credit requirement. In addition to the high school credits, students in the graduating class of 2015 and beyond must also complete an algebra I credit by the end of eighth grade.
 - iii. 3 credits of science, including a biology credit. In addition, students in the graduating class of 2015 and beyond must complete a chemistry, physics, or Career and Technical Education (CTE) credit as part of the 3-credit requirement. (The CTE credit must meet the standards underlying the chemistry or physics credit.)
 - iv. 3½ credits of social studies, including U.S. history, geography, government and citizenship, world history and economics.
 - v. 1 credit in the arts
 - vi. 7 elective credits
 - b. In grades K-8 school districts are required to put state academic standards into place so all students have access to high-quality content and instruction. Districts must develop local standards for subjects that do not have state standards. (Source: MDE) Therefore, specific elective courses and programs are not required at these levels, but the standards embedded in those courses and programs are required to be delivered in some form to students.
3. The phrase “adversely affect our reputation and/or diminish educational opportunities” is subjective in nature. Therefore, the discussion regarding “value” becomes a consideration for the School Board rather than one for the Superintendent in the decision-making process.

<p><u>Justification:</u> The parents and owners of Eden Prairie schools value the broad-based educational program offered by the District.</p>	
<p><u>Measurement Plan:</u> Compliance shall be evidenced by adherence to the intent and direction of this policy.</p>	
<p><u>Evidence:</u> No programs were eliminated during the reporting period.</p>	
<p><u>Statement of Assertion:</u> Report is Reasonable and Evidence support the Operational Interpretation</p>	
<p>School Board member's summarizing comments:</p>	