# Index 2 DCIT Subcommittee

Student Progress

May 20, 2014



## Index 2 Subcommittee Members

- Tom Crowe, Superintendent
- Angela Ahrlett
- Paul Armstrong
- Paul Baker
- Molly Brinkley, Instructional Technology Director
- Keri Decker
- Maria Mata
- Pilar Moreno-Recio, Executive Director of Bilingual/ESL
- Marilyn Noblitt
- Rachel Ortega
- Cynthia Roman, Advanced Academic Services Specialist
- ► Elaine Smith, Executive Director of Special Education
- Stephanie Howard, Executive Director for Curriculum & Instruction (Chair)



### Overview of Index 2

- ▶ Purpose Provide a measure of student progress by subject and student group independent of overall student achievement levels.
- Reading/ELA, math, and writing
  - ► Reading grades 3 4, English I, and English II
  - Writing English II writing
  - ► Math grades 4 Algebra I
- ➤ Student groups All, Special Education, ELL, African American, American Indian, Asian, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, White, and Two or More Races (25 for group)



### Overview of Index 2 Continued

- New in 2014
  - ▶ Progress measure for STAAR-M & STAAR-Alt (State of TX Assessments of Academic Readiness)
  - ELL Progress Measure new in 2014 (based on TELPAS proficiency level (TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System)
    - ► Students classified as limited English proficient (LEP)
    - Students who take the English language versions of STAAR
    - > Students who are not parent denials
  - Suspended Index 2 for high schools in 2014
  - No progress measure for English I  $\rightarrow$  English II reading (test redesign)
  - No writing (redesign of English I & II to a combined test)
  - Include students taking accelerated coursework
- New in 2015
  - $\blacktriangleright$  English I → English II (redesign of the EOC)
  - $\rightarrow$  4<sup>th</sup> grade writing  $\rightarrow$  7<sup>th</sup> grade writing (4<sup>th</sup> graders tested in 2011-12 / will be in 7<sup>th</sup> 2014-15)



### ECISD Index 2 Score - 2013

- Target Score for districts 21
- ECISD Score 31
- ► Elementary campuses 19 of 25 elementary campuses met the target of 30
- ▶ Junior high campuses 3 of 6 junior high campuses met the school target of 29
- ► High School campuses 3 of 4 high schools met the high school target of 17
- ▶ Index 2 only indicator causing 4 campuses to go into Improvement Required
  - ▶ 1 high school (NTO)
  - ▶ 3 junior highs (Bonham, Ector, and Nimitz)



## Data Analyzed by Subcommittee

- > STAAR (State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness) & STAAR-M
- **EOC** (end-of-course)
- TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System)
- Advanced Placement
- International Baccalaureate
- PSAT (preliminary SAT)
- ReadiStep (8th graders)
- ACT/SAT



## 2013 – Percent of Students who Met or Exceeded Progress

#### Reading

Year	All	AA	Н	W	2 or more	EcoDis	ELL	Special Ed
2013	55	55	54	57	60	N/A	57	47
2014								

#### Math

Year	All	AA	Н	W	2 or more	EcoDis	ELL	Special Ed
2013	50	49	49	52	58	N/A	56	44
2014								

#### Writing

Year	All	AA	Н	W	2 or more	EcoDis	ELL	Special Ed
2013	46	45	45	48	36	N/A		46
2014								



## Strategic Priorities

- Strategic Priority 1
  - We will ensure learning experiences are engaging, challenging, and meaningful.
    - ▶ 1.1 All campuses will implement researched and outcome based strategies based on school-wide reform to address gaps in student learning and performance in reading language arts, science, social studies, and math to include the following targeted groups: all students, limited English proficient students, migrant students, special needs students, and at-risk students in a timely manner.
    - ▶ 1.2 All students will reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in English language arts, writing, math, science, and social studies to include the following subgroups: all Title I students, all students, all limited English proficient students, migrant students, special needs students, and at-risk students in a timely manner.
    - ▶ 1.5 Improved performance for attendance rate: The attendance rate for students in all targeted subgroups will increase to a minimum of 95%.
    - ▶ 1.7 Improved performance for participation: TAKS/STAAR: All campuses will meet or exceed 95% participation for every subgroup and TAKS/STAAR subject tested.



### Our Recommendations

- Continue using data analysis software (Eduphoria Aware and INOVAPlus) to focus on adding value to all students and to monitor individual student progress
- Increase the number of students meeting or exceeding progress for all students and all student groups
- Continue educating all faculty and staff on the accountability system and upcoming changes
- Continue providing professional development and support for teachers in content areas where data has indicated a need for improvement



## Professional Development Provided

- Accountability training
- ► INOVAPlus training
- World Café of Strategies
- Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP)
- Teacher University
- Lead4Ward content specific sessions (writing, math, science, and social studies)
- Content area pre-planning sessions
- After-the-Bell sessions
- Region 18 offerings
  - ► End-of-Course Exam Sessions
  - New Math TEKS
  - Literacy training
  - Differentiation



The Index 2 Subcommittee appreciates everyone who provided data and information that was used in our comprehensive needs assessment.

