

# November Policy Meeting

---

## INTERNAL REVIEW OF POLICIES

<b>Policy Number</b>	<b>Issue or Item to Discuss Re: Current Policy</b>	<b>Superintendent Recommendation</b>
<b>6:280</b>	We do not regularly hold back or use this process	Retention is proven to actually inhibit academic growth. We have not done so since I have been here and would be hard pressed to be convinced it is in the best academic interest of most kids. Therefore, I think the policy needs review
<b>5:230</b>	Removal of student language is troubling to me	To be read in its entirety and discussed – my issue is noted in the previous column
<b>7:190</b>	Student handbook is created at building level and shared with BOE – not from Superintendent and to define what distributed means	If the BOE is ok with this process, we just have a little wordsmithing to do
<b>7:200</b>	Would suggest we clean up suspension appeal language	Very vague and ambiguous. Puts a lot of pressure on the BOE and does not provide clarity for admin or parents. My suggestion would be that any suspension can be appealed to the Supe. If the Supe denies, it can be brought to the BOE. In ALL cases, the student will serve the consequence – the question is whether or not it is removed from their record
<b>7:240</b>	Extra-curricular drug testing no longer in place and complete review of the TARGET program	Either we need to pay up or take out
<b>7:290</b>	Full suicide prevention program to be in place	Counselors are working on this
<b>7:250 and 7:290</b>	Building level student support committees must be in place	Counselors are working on this
<b>7:300</b>	Refers to local drug testing program	Same as above
<b>7:302</b>	Does not match BOE approved changes in handbook	Switch to match the approved handbook changes
<b>8:20</b>	Says non-profits to NOT be charged during regularly scheduled staff hours – currently we	Discussion worthy

	charge a nominal fee // BOE policy does not match accompanying AP materials	
--	---	--