

Huntsville Independent School District

Mance Park Middle School

2025-2026 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: C

Distinction Designation

Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth

Mission Statement

To cultivate a safe, nurturing, and effective learning environment where all students are encouraged and challenged through rigor to reach their maximum potential both academically and socially.

Value Statement

As a group of professional educators and inspirers of hope, we believe...

WE BELIEVE ALL STUDENTS CAN LEARN AT HIGH LEVELS!

- student growth is achieved through the determination, hard work, dedication, mentorship, and motivation of both the student, their peers and those who teach and guide them.
- education is the shared responsibility of the student, the staff, parents and the community.
- it is our shared responsibility to believe in, encourage, and guide our students to recognize their own potential.
- that building a responsible, positive, and engaging learning environment for students will help prepare them for their future. Therefore, we will maintain high academic expectations while meeting the individual needs of our students through differentiated instruction and forming relationships where students feel safe to learn.
- that it is our responsibility to promote and model positive, respectful relationships among students, staff, families, and the greater community.
- we should model and teach students respect, accountability and responsibility for academic success and application in school, home and community settings.
- we can build champions through rigorous academics, a positive culture, and real world applications.
- each student is entitled to and has the potential to benefit from a well-rounded educational program stressing fundamental academic skills as well as skills and knowledge in reasoning, citizenship, personal responsibility, interpersonal relations, and the use of technology.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
| Demographics | 4 |
| Campus : Mance Park Middle | 4 |
| Year | 4 |
| Administration | 4 |
| School Population (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 5 |
| Student Demographics (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 5 |
| Student Programs (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 5 |
| Student Indicators (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 6 |
| Special Education Services (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 6 |
| College and Career Readiness School Models (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | 7 |
| | 8 |
| Student Learning | 9 |
| School Processes & Programs | 31 |
| Perceptions | 33 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 36 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 37 |
| Goals | 39 |
| Goal 1: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE All students will achieve academic success and demonstrate growth. | 39 |
| Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents. | 50 |
| Goal 3: COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT The school will improve parent and community partnerships in an environment that promotes trust through effective communication and inclusivity. | 60 |
| Goal 4: RESOURCES The school will ensure fiscal accountability and responsibility through alignment and sound stewardship of our financial resources. | 63 |
| Goal 5: STAFF The school will retain and recruit qualified staff that will maintain standards that foster student success. | 66 |
| State Compensatory | 70 |
| Budget for Mance Park Middle School | 70 |
| Personnel for Mance Park Middle School | 70 |
| Title I Personnel | 71 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 72 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Mance Park Middle School has the following population represented:

Campus : Mance Park Middle

Year

2022

▼

441 Fm 2821 E
Huntsville, TX
77320-9298
(936) 435-6400 Phone
(936) 435-6617 Fax

Administration

Administrator names are based on role ID reporting on the Fall 30090 staff records

| | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| Principal | Jamie Lorenz |
| Principal | Joshua Campbell |
| Principal | William Woods |

School Population (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024)

Student Total

| | Count | Percent |
|-----------|-------|---------|
| 7th Grade | 429 | 48.27% |
| 8th Grade | 453 | 51.73% |

Student Demographics (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024)

Gender

| | Count | Percent |
|--------|-------|---------|
| Female | 422 | 47.85% |
| Male | 460 | 52.15% |

Ethnicity

| | | |
|-----------------|-----|--------|
| Hispanic-Latino | 326 | 36.96% |
|-----------------|-----|--------|

Race

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| American Indian - Alaskan Native | 4 | 0.45% |
| Asian | 4 | 0.45% |
| Black - African American | 227 | 25.74% |
| Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| White | 301 | 34.13% |
| Two-or-More | 20 | 2.27% |

Student Programs (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024)

| | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Dyslexia | 106 | 12.02% |
| Gifted and Talented | 42 | 4.76% |
| Regional Day School Program for the Deaf | 1 | 0.11% |
| Section 504 | 95 | 10.77% |
| Special Education (SPED) | 170 | 19.27% |

Bilingual/ESL

| | | |
|--|-----|--------|
| Emergent Bilingual (EB) | 167 | 18.93% |
| Bilingual | 0 | 0.00% |
| English as a Second Language (ESL) | 114 | 12.93% |
| Alternative Bilingual Language Program | 0 | 0.00% |
| Alternative ESL Language Program | 33 | 3.74% |

Title I Part A

| | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| Schoolwide Program | 429 | 48.64% |
| Targeted Assistance | 0 | 0.00% |
| Targeted Assistance Previously Participated | 0 | 0.00% |

| Student Programs (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Title I Homeless | 0 | 0.00% |
| Neglected | 0 | 0.00% |

| Student Indicators (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| At-Risk | 570 | 64.63% |
| Foster Care | 1 | 0.11% |
| IEP Continuer | 0 | 0.00% |
| Immigrant | 12 | 1.36% |
| Intervention Indicator | 0 | 0.00% |
| Migrant | 0 | 0.00% |
| Military Connected | 7 | 0.79% |
| Transfer In Students | 11 | 1.2472% |
| Unschoolered Asylee/Refugee | 0 | 0% |
| Economic Disadvantage | | |
| Economic Disadvantage Total | 639 | 72.45% |
| Free Meals | 593 | 67.23% |
| Reduced-Price Meals | 46 | 5.22% |
| Other Economic Disadvantage | 0 | 0.00% |
| Homeless and Unaccompanied Youth | | |
| Homeless Status Total | 52 | 5.90% |
| Shelter | 2 | 0.23% |
| Doubled Up | 49 | 5.56% |
| Unsheltered | 0 | 0.00% |
| Hotel/Motel | 1 | 0.11% |
| Not Unaccompanied Youth | 35 | 3.97% |
| Is Unaccompanied Youth | 17 | 1.93% |

| Special Education Services (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Primary Disabilities | | |
| No Disability | 0 | 0.00% |
| Orthopedic impairment | 2 | 1.18% |
| Other health impairment | 19 | 11.18% |
| Auditory impairment | 0 | 0.00% |
| Visual impairment | 1 | 0.59% |

| Special Education Services (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Deaf-Blind | 0 | 0.00% |
| Intellectual disability | 41 | 24.12% |
| Emotional disturbance | 21 | 12.35% |
| Learning disability | 64 | 37.65% |
| Speech impairment | 3 | 1.76% |
| Autism | 18 | 10.59% |
| Developmental delay | 0 | 0.00% |
| Traumatic brain injury | 1 | 0.59% |
| Noncategorical early childhood | 0 | 0.00% |
| Instructional Settings | | |
| Speech Therapy | 3 | 1.76% |
| Homebound | 0 | 0.00% |
| Hospital Class | 0 | 0.00% |
| Mainstream | 77 | 45.29% |
| Resource Room | 62 | 36.47% |
| VAC | 0 | 0.00% |
| Off Home Campus | 0 | 0.00% |
| State School | 0 | 0.00% |
| Residential Care | 0 | 0.00% |
| Self Contained | 28 | 16.47% |
| Full-Time Early Childhood | 0 | 0.00% |
| Nonpublic Day School | 0 | 0.00% |

| College and Career Readiness School Models (2023 - 2024 Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/26/2024) | Count | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Associate Degree <i>Does not include leavers</i> | 0 | 0.00% |
| Early College High School (ECHS) | 0 | 0.00% |
| New Tech | 0 | 0.00% |
| P-Tech | 0 | 0.00% |
| T-Stem | 0 | 0.00% |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
|--|--|--|

Demographics Strengths

MPMS has a diverse population. Each population brings a variety of culture enrichment to the campus community.

The diversity of the campus enables students from a variety of experiences to come together to learn and grow together.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The staff, students and community need a deeper understanding of our growing diverse population and how best to acknowledge and appreciate similarities and differences. This is evident in our two lowest performing R/E groups for 2025 accountability ratings in African American and Hispanic as well as our highest discipline numbers coming from the the same two subpops.

Root Cause: Lack of consistent implementation of culturally responsive training and restorative practices.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

2023-2024 Student STAAR/EOC Data revealed:

- MPMS dropped in performance in Domain I resulting in a overall D for domain I.
- MPMS dropped in performance in Domain 2 resulting in an overall C for domain 2.
- Data is still being analyzed to determine performance in Domain 3.
- In a total of 30 indicators (performance levels, A, M and M) MPMS decreased in 18, increased in 10 and remained the same in 2 categories of Domain I.
- In a total of 24 indicators (performance levels, A, M and M) MPMS decreased in 8, increased in 14 and remained the same in 2 categories of Domain 2.

The expected overall grade in the state accountability system is expected to drop from a "B" in 2022-2023 to a "C" in 2023-2024.

TEA Summary Grades 3-8 STAAR:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/10WtEJLgMrWstOg_-yI0O_CElwEEjVoZr/view?usp=sharing

Algebra I Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 157 | 97% | 62% | 17% | | | | |
| 2019 STAAR | 158 | 99% | 84% | 55% | | | | |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 147 | 90% | 56% | 34% | | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 100 | 92% | 61% | 20% | | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 107 | 94% | 55% | 21% | | | | |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 107 | 98% | 76% | 44% | | | | |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 103 | 91% | 53% | 38% | | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 99 | 99% | 69% | 42% | | | | |
| 21-22 Spring EOC STAAR | 99 | 100% | 88% | 66% | 39 | 63 | 76 | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark | 107 | 94% | 73% | 59% | 39 | 63 | 76 | |
| <i>22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only)</i> | <i>107</i> | <i>95%</i> | <i>70%</i> | <i>59%</i> | | | | |
| <i>22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | <i>107</i> | <i>93%</i> | <i>79%</i> | <i>64%</i> | | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 109 | 97% | 72% | 46% | 39 | 63 | 76 | |
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only)</i> | <i>109</i> | <i>98%</i> | <i>81%</i> | <i>53%</i> | | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|---|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 109 | 92% | 53% | 39% | | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | 109 | 100% | 89% | 54% | 34 | 54 | 69 | |
| 23-24 STAAR | 118 | 98% | 81% | 50% | 34 | 54 | 69 | |
| Same group as 7th graders: | | 96% | 77% | 40% | | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark | 115 | 91% | 83% | 65% | 44 | 54 | 69 | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark (4 zero weighted) | 113 | 95% | 88% | 71% | 44 | 54 | 69 | |
| <i>23-24 Spring Benchmark</i> | 115 | 99% | 62% | 32% | 34 | 54 | 69 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| <i>P.Nash 2023 STAAR (8th Test)</i> | 118 | 96% | 77% | 40% | | | | |

8th Grade Math Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 259 | 37% | 7% | 1% | | | |
| 2019 STAAR | 278 | 55% | 26% | 2% | | | |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 290 | 37% | 20% | 0.02% | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 310 | 33% | 10% | 1% | | | |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 320 | 27% | 8% | 0% | | | |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 323 | 46% | 16% | 0% | | | |
| 21-22 Fall Benchmark | 325 | 46% | 25% | 3% | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 315 | 44% | 13% | 2% | ***ALL WEIGHTED | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 336 | 48% | 19% | 3% | ** Questions 3, 14, 18 | | |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 334 | 58% | 25% | 5% | 45 | 67 | 86 |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|------------|---------|
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ZW) | 345 | 73% | 42% | 7% | | (7, 9, 13) | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ALL) | 345 | 73% | 34% | 6% | 45 | 67 | 86 |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 345 | 73% | 42% | 12% | | | |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types) | 345 | 69% | 49% | 12% | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 342 | 54% | 13% | 1.2% | 45 | 67 | 86 |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 342 | 51% | 17% | 1.4% | | | |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only) | 342 | 63% | 20% | 3% | | | |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types) | 342 | 38% | 13% | 0.8% | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | | 74% | 41% | 11% | 35 | 54 | 77 |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 23-24 STAAR | 318 | 69% | 34% | 7% | 38 | 54 | 77 |
| Same group as 7th Graders: | | 45% | 18% | 1% | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark | 282 | 61% | 46% | 13% | 44 | 54 | 77 |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 303 | 58% | 22% | 2% | 35 | 54 | 77 |
| | | | | | | | |

7th Grade PAP Math Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 122 | 79% | 42% | 5% | | | | |
| 2019 STAAR | 121 | 90% | 64% | 11% | | | | |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 141 | 83% | 57% | 13% | | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 89 | 73% | 37% | 3% | | | | |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 96 | 92% | 50% | 10% | | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 121 | 88% | 64% | 15% | | | | |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 123 | 87% | 60% | 19% | | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 122 | 87% | 57% | 16% | | | | |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 124 | 91% | 61% | 25% | 45 | 67 | 86 | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark | 130 | 85% | 71% | 21% | 45 | 67 | 86 | |
| <i>22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only)</i> | 130 | 92% | 60% | 16% | | | | |
| <i>22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 130 | 85% | 65% | 43% | | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 130 | 87% | 58% | 18% | 45 | 67 | 86 | |
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only)</i> | 130 | 90% | 64% | 24% | | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 130 | 68% | 47% | 20% | | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | | 94% | 73% | 37% | 35 | 54 | 77 | |
| 23-24 STAAR | 101 | 97% | 80% | 37% | 38 | 54 | 77 | |
| Same Students as 6th Graders: | | 96% | 59% | 24% | | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark | 100 | 94% | 84% | 38% | 44 | 54 | 77 | |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 101 | 95% | 72% | 27% | 35 | 54 | 77 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| <i>J.Nash 2022 STAAR (6th)</i> | 98 | 96% | 59% | 24% | | | | |
| <i>Fall Benchmark (6th)</i> | 93 | 94% | 53% | 27% | | | | |

7th Grade Math Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 295 | 40% | 13% | 3% | | | | |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 296 | 55% | 21% | 2% | | | | |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 318 | 30% | 4% | 0.90% | | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 297 | 25% | 5% | 0.01% | | | | |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 301 | 29% | 3% | 0.06% | | | | |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 332 | 33% | 9% | 2% | | | | |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 318 | 37% | 6% | 2% | | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 317 | 39% | 11% | 2.2% | ALL WEIGHTED | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 313 | 36% | 13% | 2.6% | **With Zero Weight | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--|
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 321 | 49% | 15% | 4% | 40 | 63 | 80 | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ZW) | 295 | 35% | 8% | 1.40% | | | | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ALL) | 295 | 34% | 8% | 1% | 40 | 63 | 80 | |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 295 | 32% | 9% | 1% | | | | |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types) | 295 | 46% | 14% | 4% | | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 296 | 37% | 7% | 1% | 40 | 63 | 80 | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 287 | 34% | 7% | 1% | | | | |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only) | 296 | 36% | 7% | 2% | | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters | |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 296 | 31% | 6% | 2% | | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | 303 | 45% | 18% | 1% | 41 | 57 | 80 | |
| 23-24 STAAR | 318 | 36% | 16% | 2% | 43 | 59 | 83 | |
| Same Group as 6th Graders: | | 56% | 14% | 2% | | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark | 289 | 19% | 12% | 1% | 48 | 57 | 80 | |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 307 | 34% | 10% | 1% | 41 | 57 | 80 | |
| | | | | | | | | |

8th Grade SS Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 427 | 46% | 15% | 5% | | | |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 364 | 59% | 24% | 10% | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 427 | 58% | 33% | 16% | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 441 | 50% | 21% | 14% | | | |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 396 | 38% | 15% | 7% | | | |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 414 | 39% | 15% | 7% | | | |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 421 | 42% | 16% | 8% | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 428 | 39% | 14% | 6% | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 428 | 45% | 17% | 8% | | | |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 432 | 39.3% | 16.7% | 8.5% | 48 | 76 | 90 |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ALL) | 448 | 39.29% | 13.2% | 5.6% | 50 | 70 | 80 |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 448 | 55% | 16% | 11% | | | |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | Masters |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|-------|---------|
| <i>22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 448 | 34% | 12% | 6% | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 448 | 41% | 12% | 4% | 50 | 70 | 80 |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ZW) | 448 | 48% | 17% | 8% | | | |
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only)</i> | 448 | 37% | 16% | 5% | | | |
| <i>23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types)</i> | 448 | 46% | 15% | 6% | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | 454 | 45% | 17% | 7% | 43 | 61 | 73 |
| 23-24 STAAR | 438 | 51% | 24% | 11% | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark (ALL) | 409 | 47% | 30% | 12.0% | 51 | 61 | 73 |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 416 | 42% | 16% | 6% | 43 | 61 | 73 |
| | | | | | | | |

8th Grade Science Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 431 | 52% | 26% | 10% | | | |
| 2019 STAAR | 430 | 63% | 33% | 14% | | | |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 432 | 52% | 28% | 13% | | | |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 407 | 36% | 10% | 2% | | | |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 385 | 41% | 17% | 6% | | | |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 441 | 44% | 21% | 9% | | | |
| 21-22 Fall Benchmark | 428 | 48% | 18% | 9% | | | |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 427 | 50% | 26% | 12% | | | |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 434 | 59% | 26% | 12% | 52 | 71 | 83 |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ZW) | 446 | 55% | 19% | 6% | | | |
| 22 FALL Benchmark (ALL) | 446 | 54% | 23% | 6% | 52 | 71 | 83 |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters | App | Meets | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|-----|-------|----|
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 446 | 51% | 14% | 7% | | | |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types) | 446 | 61% | 32% | 14% | | | |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 443 | 55% | 23% | 8% | 52 | 71 | 83 |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only) | 443 | 61% | 28% | 7% | | | |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types) | 443 | 41% | 24% | 11% | | | |
| 22-23 STAAR | | 69% | 37% | 9% | 37 | 54 | 76 |
| 23-24 STAAR | 442 | 61% | 33% | 10% | | | |
| 23 Fall Benchmark | 416 | 63% | 51% | 23% | 46 | 54 | 76 |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 385 | 57% | 31% | 7% | 37 | 54 | 76 |
| | | | | | | | |

8th Grade RLA Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches 34 | Meets 54 | Masters 71 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 428 | 56% | 26% | 9% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 356 | 67% | 39% | 19% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 444 | 52% | 24% | 7% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 436 | 65% | 32% | 22% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 412 | 39% | 18% | 8% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 430 | 48% | 28% | 16% |
| 20-21 Spring Benchmark | 419 | 44% | 20% | 8% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 433 | 57% | 30% | 11% |
| 22 Spring STAAR | 433 | 69% | 38% | 22% |
| 22 FALL Benchmark | 446 | 67% | 25% | 13% |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 446 | 72% | 34% | 26% |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types) | 446 | 50% | 25% | 7% |
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 436 | 68% | 31% | 11% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only) | 436 | 76% | 41% | 23% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (w/o ECR) | 436 | 72% | 35% | 18% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types) | 436 | 50% | 19% | 7% |
| 22-23 STAAR | 454 | 76% | 46% | 18% |
| 23-24 STAAR | 447 | 72% | 44% | 21% |

| | Students Tested | Approaches 34 | Meets 54 | Masters 71 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Same group as 7th Graders: | | 71% | 43% | 19% |
| 23-24 Fall Benchmark | 421 | 81% | 53% | 23% |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 422 | 65% | 35% | 14% |
| | | | | |

7th Grade RLA Data:

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 419 | 46% | 24% | 10% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 421 | 58% | 31% | 16% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 427 | 52% | 25% | 12% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 456 | 55% | 30% | 16% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 386 | 40% | 16% | 7% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 443 | 45% | 21% | 6% |
| 20-21 Spring Benchmark | 366 | 41% | 19% | 10% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 443 | 59% | 36% | 14% |
| 22 STAAR | 443 | 73% | 45% | 29% |
| 22 FALL Benchmark | 418 | 58% | 18% | 3% |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (MC Only) | 418 | 65% | 32% | 10% |
| 22 Fall Benchmark (New Item Types) | 418 | 29% | 9% | 1% |

| | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 22-23 SPRING Benchmark (ALL) | 422 | 56% | 25% | 13% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (MC Only) | 422 | 63% | 31% | 15% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (New Item Types) | 422 | 54% | 23% | 11% |
| 23 Spring Benchmark (w/o ECR) | 422 | 63% | 24% | 11% |
| 22-23 STAAR | 430 | 71% | 43% | 19% |
| 23-24 STAAR | 419 | 62% | 39% | 15% |
| Same Students as 6th Graders: | | 64% | 41% | 12% |
| 23-24 Fall Benchmark | 405 | 58% | 42% | 22% |
| 23-24 Spring Benchmark | 404 | 55% | 29% | 13% |

Student Learning Strengths

Through the use of research based practices and high yield instructional strategies, MPMS continues to grow students with highest gains in Domain 2. We attribute this growth to our PLC framework and building collective efficacy in our staff.

Domain 2 for Student Growth reveals a growth in instructional practices with the rating of an 88 B for 21-22

Instructional strategies and first time instruction reveal promising work with appropriate Tier II interventions.

Historical Data:

2019 Accountability Ratings Overall Summary - B

STAAR Peformance Scaled Score of 71 C

| | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---|
| School Progress | Scaled Score of 84 | B |
| Closing the Gaps | Scaled Score of 76 | C |

Implementation and use of teacher and student data trackers to formatively and summatively assess student learning with appropriate intervention and enrichment opportunities.

Preliminary STAAR Data for 21-22 revealed:

| 7th Grade Reading | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 419 | 46% | 24% | 10% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 421 | 58% | 31% | 16% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 427 | 52% | 25% | 12% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 456 | 55% | 30% | 16% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 386 | 40% | 16% | 7% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 443 | 45% | 21% | 6% |
| 20-21 Spring Benchmark | 366 | 41% | 19% | 10% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 443 | 59% | 36% | 14% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 443 | 73% | 45% | 29% |

| 8th Grade Reading | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 428 | 56% | 26% | 9% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 356 | 67% | 39% | 19% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 444 | 52% | 24% | 7% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 436 | 65% | 32% | 22% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 412 | 39% | 18% | 8% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 430 | 48% | 28% | 16% |
| 20-21 Spring Benchmark | 419 | 44% | 20% | 8% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 433 | 57% | 30% | 11% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 433 | 69% | 38% | 22% |

| 8th Grade Science | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 431 | 52% | 26% | 10% |
| 2019 STAAR | 430 | 63% | 33% | 14% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 432 | 52% | 28% | 13% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark | 407 | 36% | 10% | 2% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 385 | 41% | 17% | 6% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 441 | 44% | 21% | 9% |
| 21-22 Fall Benchmark | 428 | 48% | 18% | 9% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 427 | 50% | 26% | 12% |
| | x | x | x | x |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 434 | 59% | 26% | 12% |

| 8th Grade SS | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 427 | 46% | 15% | 5% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 364 | 59% | 24% | 10% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 427 | 58% | 33% | 16% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 441 | 50% | 21% | 14% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 396 | 38% | 15% | 7% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 414 | 39% | 15% | 7% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 421 | 42% | 16% | 8% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 428 | 39% | 14% | 6% |
| | 428 | 45% | 17% | 8% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 432 | 39.3% | 16.7% | 8.5% |

| 7th Grade Math | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 295 | 40% | 13% | 3% |
| Spring 2019 STAAR | 296 | 55% | 21% | 2% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 318 | 30% | 4% | 0.90% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 297 | 25% | 5% | 0.01% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 301 | 29% | 3% | 0.06% |

| 7th Grade Math | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 332 | 33% | 9% | 2% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 318 | 37% | 6% | 2% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 317 | 39% | 11% | 2.2% |
| | 313 | 36% | 13% | 2.6% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 321 | 49% | 15% | 4% |

| 7th Grade PAP Math | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 122 | 79% | 42% | 5% |
| 2019 STAAR | 121 | 90% | 64% | 11% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 141 | 83% | 57% | 13% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 89 | 73% | 37% | 3% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 96 | 92% | 50% | 10% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 121 | 88% | 64% | 15% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 123 | 87% | 60% | 19% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 122 | 87% | 57% | 16% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 124 | 91% | 61% | 25% |

| 8th Grade Math | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 259 | 37% | 7% | 1% |
| 2019 STAAR | 278 | 55% | 26% | 2% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 290 | 37% | 20% | 0.02% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 310 | 33% | 10% | 1% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 320 | 27% | 8% | 0% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 323 | 46% | 16% | 0% |
| 21-22 Fall Benchmark | 325 | 46% | 25% | 3% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 315 | 44% | 13% | 2% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 336 | 48% | 19% | 3% |
| 21-22 Spring STAAR | 334 | 58% | 25% | 5% |

| Algebra I | Students Tested | Approaches | Meets | Masters |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 2019 SPRING Benchmark | 157 | 97% | 62% | 17% |
| 2019 STAAR | 158 | 99% | 84% | 55% |
| 19-20 Fall Benchmark | 147 | 90% | 56% | 34% |
| 20-21 Fall Benchmark (Total) | 100 | 92% | 61% | 20% |
| 20-21 SPRING Benchmark | 107 | 94% | 55% | 21% |
| 2021 Spring STAAR | 107 | 98% | 76% | 44% |
| 21 Fall Benchmark | 103 | 91% | 53% | 38% |
| 21-22 SPRING Benchmark | 99 | 99% | 69% | 42% |
| 21-22 Spring EOC STAAR | 99 | 100% | 88% | 66% |

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 2025 STAAR All Students, Domain 1 decreased from 39 to 38 scaled score. We also stayed at a 64 from the previous year in Domain II without growing in that domain. Largest decrease occurred in African American and SPED subpopulations.

Root Cause: Lack of high quality first time instruction and appropriate tiering of lessons. Appropriate use of data analysis protocols, Eduphoria Mastery Tracker and implementation of the learning cycle in PLC's and the classroom. Proper use of formative assessment to drive instructional decisions and provide necessary intervention and enrichment opportunities.

Problem Statement 2: Low Student performance for all students on essential standards at high levels of rigor and cognitive demand revealed a decrease from 39 to 38 in domain I.

Root Cause: Lack of intentional focus on critical thinking skills, rigor and levels of cognitive demand and the students ability to understand who they are as a learning in the metacognitive process.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Need to provide structured and targeted Tier II Intervention and Enrichment to accelerate instruction for all students due to a 2025 Closing the Gaps score of 68 and not increasing from a 64 from 2024 to 2025 in for Domain II.

Root Cause: Inability of teachers to accelerate instruction due to inconsistencies in alignment of instructional concepts and knowledge within the classroom setting.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Pre AP classes are offered in Math, ELA, Social Studies and Science for both grade levels.

On level classes are extended to all other students.

Special education classes are available to the students that are being serviced. Functional classes, Resource Math and ELA, and co-teach in all four contents are all available.

ESL classes and inclusion support are available with the additional use of an ESL IA that follows and supports our EL students and teachers.

Dyslexia classes are offered to students that meet the requirements under the 504 umbrella.

Teacher turnover rate has decreased tremendously (14%). Our first year teachers are provided a mentor. Monthly meetings are held for new teachers.

Students are provided Tier II and Tier III programming based on need and behavioral data.

Implementation of improved UIL academic program.

Hornet Time is our newly implemented Intervention and Enrichment period that is provided every Tuesday and Thursday for 30 minutes. Content teachers alternate weeks with a focus on intervention and enrichment data acquired through formative and summative assessment.

Title I programming and supports are provided to students through the implementation of tutorials, parents involvement opportunities, school supplies, programs, etc.

PLC's at work and consulting with Solution Tree has enabled teachers to better align our instructional practices through collaborative efforts focused on improved instructional planning, focus on essential standards, high quality first time instruction, common formative assessment, intervention and enrichment opportunities, and sustainable practices.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Teachers will continue to be trained in Capturing Kids Hearts

Improvements made in behavioral management through the use of professional development and implementation of the Power Hour program and Classroom Management Coach

Improved student engagement through purposeful planning and tiered lesson design.

Increased level of rigor and DOK within on-level and Pre-AP classrooms.

Improved student involvement within our academic UIL program.

Improved student accountability measures for student learning.

Improved RTI process for identifying and addressing student needs.

Improved PLC processes and teacher commitment to the process.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Need for alignment of written, taught, and tested curriculum within the PLC framework through the critical thinking process and metacognition as revealed in the decrease from 39 to 38 in our Domain I scaled score.

Root Cause: Lack of appropriate training in curriculum alignment and implementation of high quality first time instruction.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): For all grades and all subjects on STAAR 2025, 54% of the Emergent Bilingual (current & monitored) students were at the approaches standard, 27% at meets and 7% at masters. This is a slight increase from 2024 where 515 were at approaches, 24% at meets and 6% at masters. This is still lower than that state level.

Root Cause: Lack of training and coaching on implementation of high yield sheltered instruction strategies (specific to emergent bilingual learners and newcomers).

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

At MPMS we believe that ALL kids can learn at high levels.

We strive to collaboratively improve our practice of teaching and learning not only for students but also staff.

MPMS PLC Non-Negotiables

- Our PLC is driven by the 4 Essential Questions of a PLC...
 - What do we want our students to learn?
 - How do we know if they have learned it?
 - How do we respond if they didn't learn it?
 - How do we respond if they already learned it?

- Operating as a Collaborative Team by...
 - Being helpful
 - Being a problem solver
 - Not taking things personal
 - Not multitasking
 - Celebrating each other's successes
 - Being present and focused with student achievement as the main priority
 - Alignment of work with school goals

- Conduct business with Effective Communication that is...
 - Positive
 - Professional

- Open-minded
- Honest
- Patient

- Commit to always being Prepared for PLC time by...
 - Being focused
 - Staying on topic
 - Prioritizing goals
 - Following the agenda
 - Organizing and reviewing ALL materials

- Properly address Content by...
 - Aligning to the standards
 - Establishing and following sequence and pacing guides
 - Creating proficiency models and hold ALL students accountable
 - Making instructional decisions based on data

- Create a climate of Risk Taking by...
 - Buying in to campus initiatives
 - Being willing to try new things
 - Getting out of your comfort zone

Perceptions Strengths

2023 Employee Perception Survey Results:

Prompt:

| | |
|--|-----|
| Quality work is expected of students | 83% |
| Staff Recognition is built into the school culture | 84% |
| Various forms of feedback are provided | 87% |
| Opportunities are available to provide input | 87% |

2022 Employee Perception Survey:

| Prompt: | Strongly Agree/Agree % |
|--|------------------------|
| ...quality work is expected of me | 99% |
| ...information is available to help me do my job effectively | 87% |
| ...I am clear about my job responsibilities | 95% |
| ...procedures have been implemented to keep me safe at work. | 90% |
| ...collaboration is encouraged and practiced | 91% |
| ...decisions are data driven | 84% |

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): %Strongly Agree/Agree - 81% for Staff recognition is built into the campus culture, 84% ...opportunities for professional growth.
Root Cause: Need for recognition committee and opportunities for professional growth for all staff.

Problem Statement 2: Based on 2023 data, the risk ratio of Out of School Consequences (OSS Removal) for African American in Special Education is has increased from 2.6 to 3.3 from 2020-21 to 2023-24.
Root Cause: Lack of consistent ongoing cultural awareness training for teachers and staff that focuses on working with students of different backgrounds- socio-economic status, race and ethnicity.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: The staff, students and community need a deeper understanding of our growing diverse population and how best to acknowledge and appreciate similarities and differences. This is evident in our two lowest performing R/E groups for 2025 accountability ratings in African American and Hispanic as well as our highest discipline numbers coming from the the same two subpops.

Root Cause 1: Lack of consistent implementation of culturally responsive training and restorative practices.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: 2025 STAAR All Students, Domain 1 decreased from 39 to 38 scaled score. We also stayed at a 64 from the previous year in Domain II without growing in that domain. Largest decrease occurred in African American and SPED subpopulations.

Root Cause 2: Lack of high quality first time instruction and appropriate tiering of lessons. Appropriate use of data analysis protocols, Eduphoria Mastery Tracker and implementation of the learning cycle in PLC's and the classroom. Proper use of formative assessment to drive instructional decisions and provide necessary intervention and enrichment opportunities.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Need for alignment of written, taught, and tested curriculum within the PLC framework through the critical thinking process and metacognition as revealed in the decrease from 39 to 38 in our Domain I scaled score.

Root Cause 3: Lack of appropriate training in curriculum alignment and implementation of high quality first time instruction.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: Need to provide structured and targeted Tier II Intervention and Enrichment to accelerate instruction for all students due to a 2025 Closing the Gaps score of 68 and not increasing from a 64 from 2024 to 2025 in for Domain II.

Root Cause 4: Inability of teachers to accelerate instruction due to inconsistencies in alignment of instructional concepts and knowledge within the classroom setting.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: For all grades and all subjects on STAAR 2025, 54% of the Emergent Bilingual (current & monitored) students were at the approaches standard, 27% at meets and 7% at masters. This is a slight increase from 2024 where 515 were at approaches, 24% at meets and 6% at masters. This is still lower than that state level.

Root Cause 5: Lack of training and coaching on implementation of high yield sheltered instruction strategies (specific to emergent bilingual learners and newcomers).

Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: %Strongly Agree/Agree - 81% for Staff recognition is built into the campus culture, 84% ...opportunities for professional growth.

Root Cause 6: Need for recognition committee and opportunities for professional growth for all staff.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data
- T-P ESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Goal 1: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

All students will achieve academic success and demonstrate growth.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percent of students at each of the Approaches, Meets, and Masters Level on STAAR by 5% (Domain 1).

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: All students will score at the approaches level on mandated assessments.

Achievement gap, between student populations will be eliminated.

The percentage of students from all student groups achieving advanced academic performance will increase from prior year.





| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Continue implementation of high yield instructional strategies of PLC's at Work and School Improvement for All initiatives through ongoing coaching and consulting from Solution Tree and using Global PD. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased capacity of campus administrators in instructional leadership Improved teacher effectiveness Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC's and Classroom Teachers TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Instructional Supplies - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$11,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Campus Leadership Team will analyze district and state level assessments to create a plan of action centered on increasing the percentage of students meeting the state and district standards through viable data analysis protocols Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement in all core areas. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Facilitate Quarterly Data Reviews of campus academic and behavioral data where IC's, Dean, AP's, and Counselors present, review, and analyze student achievement and progress in order progress monitor campus goals and create strategic action plans for students and staff. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement and progress Capacity building of campus administrators Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: Student/Staff Guest Speaker - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$8,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 4: Teachers will meet daily in Collaborative Team Meetings to develop engaging lessons aligned with state mandated curriculum (TEKS) that is tiered to meet students where they are and is driven by common formative assessment data utilizing teacher and student Mastery Trackers within Eduphoria premium. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase professional growth and learning for teachers. Increased Student Achievement/Progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Assistant Principals Instructional Coaches Funding Sources: Instructional Supplies Data Trackers - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$10,000, Teacher Resources - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$2,500 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 5: Implement a campus-wide, systemic Response to Intervention (RtI) model for academic and behavioral needs, to include research based interventions and progress monitoring for identified At Risk students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction Funding Sources: Instructional Supplies - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$3,506, Interventionist Rdg & Math & Dyslexia Teacher - State Comp Ed | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 6: Improved implementation of a RTI Interventionist to progress monitor student performance campus wide (with RTI Scheduler), collect relevant data, establish academic and behavioral need, facilitate Tier 2 and Tier 3 program scheduling, as well as facilitate necessary RTI meetings and processes to improve student achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Student Learning Assistant Principals Funding Sources: Materials and Equipment - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$12,543, 3 IA's - State Comp Ed | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 7: Implementation with fidelity of Hornet Time, our Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention and enrichment period on Wednesdays driven by targeted instructional and behavioral data analysis utilizing the RTI Scheduler software. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement/progress Lower student/teacher ratio Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Dean of Student Learning | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 8 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 8: Provide Administrative Leadership Training and Coaching in the area of instructional leadership for Assistant Principals, Dean of Teaching and Learning, and Instructional Coaches in collaborative weekly meetings and one on one monthly meetings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased capacity in instructional leadership for campus Teacher effectiveness Increased student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 9 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 9: Provide ongoing instructional coaching and feedback to all staff through Instructional Sweeps - instructional coach visit/modeling, administrative walkthroughs and T-TESS observations. Implementation of walkthrough form focused on campus focus areas of Assessment FOR Learning, Tiered lesson design, Learning Targets, Proficiency Levels, Quality Checks for Understanding, Small Group Instruction, Randomized Questioning Strategies, PBIS, Capturing Kids Hearts, and Classroom Management. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Teacher effectiveness Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Instructional Coaches ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 10 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 10: Monitor student attendance and implement appropriate truancy prevention measures to ensure compliance with compulsory attendance laws and reduced dropout rates. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve rate of proper attendance tracking measures by staff and students. Increase student attendance and exposure to classroom instruction. Increased student achievement/progress. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal PEIMS Clerk | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 11 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 11: Train all staff in the social and emotional program of Capturing Kids Hearts and implement with fidelity through progress monitoring using campus walkthroughs and teacher/student feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement. Improved student behavior and transitions. Improved Staff and Student morale Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean Assistant Principals Counselors | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 12 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 12: Provide core academic coaches to provide professional development, mentoring and modeling for all staff. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student academic achievement through one-on-one teacher training. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Funding Sources: 2.5 Instructional Coaches - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$182,561, 1 Instructional Coach - State Comp Ed | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 13 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 13: Proper implementation of the tiered inclusion/co-teach model for SPED students and the ability to build capacity in SPED and general education staff with appropriate implementation of student accommodations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved effectiveness of special education staff in the implementation of instructional support and strategies in the classroom. Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning SPED Instructional Coach SPED Department Instructional Coaches Funding Sources: Snap & Read - 289 - Title IV, Part A, Sub 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 14 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 14: Provide additional after school and before school pullouts for Tier II and Tier III students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction Funding Sources: Extra Duty - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$13,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 15 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 15: Improve student achievement through the use and student monitoring of Student Data Folders and Goal Setting Journals within each core content classroom for every student. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve student achievement and growth in all core content areas by having students set and progress monitor achievement goals for the year through the use of Student Data Folders and Goal Setting Journals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Students Teachers Dean of Instruction Principal TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments - Targeted Support Strategy Funding Sources: Brad Folders, Notebook Paper, Composition Journals - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$6,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |

Goal 1: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

All students will achieve academic success and demonstrate growth.





Performance Objective 2: Increase the percent of students in all special programs scoring at each of the Approaches, Meets, and Masters level on STAAR by 5%. (Domain III)

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: We will use summative and district benchmark data to track processes. We will use STAAR end of year data to evaluate.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Increase student achievement through ongoing, in person and online professional development for teachers and all instructional staff with an emphasis on Instructional Leadership, Tier I instruction, Classroom Management, and Social/Emotional Learning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase capacity of all instructional staff Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Assistant Principals Funding Sources: PD - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$5,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide ongoing professional development for sped and gen ed staff in regards to accommodations and modifications. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Capacity building of teachers in incorporating appropriate accommodations and instructional strategies in daily lessons. Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Instructional Coaches SPED Instructional Coach | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Proper implementation of the tiered inclusion/co-teach model for SPED students and the ability to build capacity in SPED and Gen ed staff with appropriate implementation of student accommodations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved effectiveness of sped staff in the implementation of instructional support and strategies in the classroom. Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning SPED Instructional Coach SPED Department Instructional Coaches | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 4: Provide increased and improved Emergent Bilingual services for second language learners and classroom teachers through a strategic Professional Development plan encompassing sheltered instruction, ELPS, PLD's and other research based strategies to increase student achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Capacity building in teachers in the area of ELPS implementation in the classroom. Increased student achievement/progress. Improved TELPAS achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Campus ESL Coordinator Emergent Bilingual Instructional Assistants Funding Sources: Rosetta Stone access for EB students - 263 - Title III, Part A, Bilingual IA - 263 - Title III, Part A | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 5: Ongoing professional development on implementation of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and Capturing Kids Hearts through monthly and six week data reviews/updates/trainings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved classroom environment for teaching and learning. Acknowledgement of appropriate behaviors on campus. Increased instructional time in the classroom. Increased student achievement/progress. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning PBIS Leadership Team CKH Process Champions | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |





| Strategy 6 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 6: Improve student performance on all essential standards in areas of higher cognitive demand and rigor through the use and implementation of metacognitive strategies utilizing the "Learning Pit" graphic organizer as a anchor for the learning process. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve student achievement on essential standards requiring high cognitive demand. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Learning Pit Graphic Organizer - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$5,000 | | Formative | | | Summative |
| | | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | | |

Goal 1: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

All students will achieve academic success and demonstrate growth.

Performance Objective 3: Increase the percent of students meeting or exceeding growth in mathematics and ELA/Reading by 5%. (Domain II)

Evaluation Data Sources: We will use summative and district benchmark data to track processes. We will use STAAR end of year data to evaluate. We will also use Growth Tables as anchor points.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implementation of foundational reading and writing concepts through small group instruction, writing workshop, ECR and SCR training through ongoing PD and coaching by Consultants for Reading Instructional Coach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student achievement for Reading Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction AP's RLA Instructional Coach Funding Sources: Classroom Books - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$2,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Implementation of Universal Screener in ELAR and Math through iReady with progress monitoring through beginning of the year, middle of the year, and end of the year assessments to drive instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Student Achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction AP's RLA and Math Instructional Coaches | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> | | | | |

Goal 1: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

All students will achieve academic success and demonstrate growth.

Performance Objective 4: Increase the percent of students on track for reading (PK-K) or reading on grade level (1-8) by 5%. (HB 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: All students will pass state mandated assessments.
Achievement gap, between student populations will be eliminated.
The percentage of students from all student groups achieving advanced academic performance will increase from prior year.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Use of relevant data from iReady Universal Screener and LLI to improve reading levels of struggling students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students reading on grade level. | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div><div><div></div>No Progress</div><div><div></div>Accomplished</div><div><div></div>Continue/Modify</div><div><div></div>Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |





Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS

MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents.

Performance Objective 1: Implement procedures and systems that promote and support positive behaviors and social and emotional well-being.

Evaluation Data Sources: District Discipline Data

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide professional development for campus-wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS). Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Student Achievement Decrease ODR Decrease classroom disruptions Increased instructional time Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal AP's | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Train all staff in Capturing Kids Hearts and implement the process with fidelity through classroom walk throughs, progress monitoring, Process Champions, and ongoing training. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Student Engagement Improved Student Achievement Decreased ODR Increased Instructional Time Improved Student Well-Being Improved Staff and Student Morale Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean AP's | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Implementation of Teen Leadership as an elective course for both 6th, 7th 8th grade students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Social and Emotional Well-Being of Students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean of Instruction AP's Funding Sources: Teen Leadership Teacher - State Comp Ed | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 4: Utilize the implementation of a campus/district Classroom Management Coach to support all staff in the use and implementation of high quality classroom management strategies and supports. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve classroom effectiveness and efficiency and build capacity in classroom teachers in the area of classroom management. Decreased referrals and an increase in student learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Management Coach Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Classroom Teachers | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> </div> | | | | |

Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS

MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents.

Performance Objective 2: Monitor and assess the effectiveness of the district health, safety and emergency plans. Implement with fidelity all required campus safety procedures and drills.

Evaluation Data Sources: Safety drill documentation, incident reflection and evaluation documents, staff surveys.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide high quality ongoing training for all safety drills/concerns on campus for both staff and students using the HISD Standard Response Protocols. Monitor and implement all required fire, weather related, lock down, hazardous materials, and active shooter drills to best prepare students and staff for any event. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved facilitation of all drills Improved campus safety Improved knowledge of all safety scenarios and protocols Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Resource Officer | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Review and update the Campus Emergency Operations Plan to ensure compliance with National Incident Management System (NIMS) Guidelines and provide CRASE training at the beginning of the year as well as ongoing trainings addressing active school shooters or attackers and other threats to staff and student safety. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Compliance Prepared Staff for any Emergency Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean AP's Campus SRO | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Conduct weekly exterior door checks to ensure all exterior doors are appropriately labeled and in locked and functional while also conducting ongoing interior door assessments to ensure all instructional areas are locked and secured at all times. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve campus safety Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal School Resource Officer | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |



No Progress



Accomplished



Continue/Modify







Discontinue

Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS

MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents.

Performance Objective 3: Provide and reinforce character education opportunities to increase positive interactions among and between students and staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: Guidance Lessons and counselor visit data.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide professional development and resources through Capturing Kids Hearts, PBIS and other available resources through Leadworthy curriculum and courses to 6th, 7th and 8th grade students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in bullying incidents Improved Staff and Student morale | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Continue to implement district policy for defining bullying and the protocol through consistent implementation of this policy including reporting and consequences to address and reduce incident of bullying. Recognize Bullying Prevention Month. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in incidents | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> | | | | |

Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS





MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents.

Performance Objective 4: Lower the number of discipline referrals by 5% each year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Trend data charts will reflect a decline in the number of referrals by all student groups.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implement PBIS and Capturing Kids Hearts process campus-wide to instill a focus on appropriate behaviors and equip staff with appropriate proactive and restorative discipline measures. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in referrals Improved classroom and school climate. Increased instructional time due to less behavioral redirections Improved teacher morale Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Dean of Student Learning PBIS Leadership Team Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Build capacity in teachers in research-based classroom management strategies by providing Power Hour training in Harry Wong and Getting Better Faster research as well as through administrative walk-throughs and observations followed with feedback and coaching. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals Improved classroom and school climate. Increased instructional time due to less behavioral re-directions. Improved teacher morale Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Dean of Student Learning | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Create systemic behavioral reporting systems that incorporate parent involvement, re-teaching appropriate behaviors, Tier I behavior logs, behavior support plans, use of behavior coaches, and the RTI process to improve behavior. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease classroom removals Increase instructional time for behavior students Improved teacher morale Increased student achievement/progress. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Student Learning | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 4: Conduct Code of Conduct assemblies with all students. Teach and model for students the behavioral expectations and systems associated with HISD and MPMS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals Improved classroom and school climate. Increased instructional time due to less behavioral re-directions. Improved teacher morale Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 5: All staff will present, explain and model campus procedures and expectations for all areas of the school for the first three days of school on a rotation basis using a campus wide powerpoint presentation. Students will hear the same information for each designated area three different times from three different staff members. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals Improved classroom and school climate. Increased instructional time due to less behavioral re-directions. Improved teacher morale Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 6: Implement with fidelity the RTI and MTSS for students struggling behaviorally. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To increase student achievement by best supporting students and reteaching replacement behaviors. Supporting struggling teachers. Reducing discipline referrals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Asst. Principal RTI Interventionist Dean TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> </div> | | | | |





Goal 2: SAFE SCHOOLS

MPMS will promote nurturing, safe and secure places for students, staff and parents.

Performance Objective 5: Lower the number repeat assignments regarding dis-respectfulness and fighting that lead to ISS or OSS by 5% each year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Trend data charts of student placement in ISS and OSS indicates a decrease in total repeat placements.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Administration will work closely with students struggling behaviorally in and out of the RTI process to establish positive relationships with students. The venue for this strategy will be small groups, restorative circles, one on one sessions, parent involvement, mentors, and behavior support that is offered on a consistent basis. Students will be engaged in behavior support plans used to redirect poor behavior patterns and provide replacement behaviors for each student. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign In Reduction in the Number of assignment to OSS, ISS, and DAEP Increase in use of replacement behaviors Increased instructional time for teacher and student Improved student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Student Learning Behavior coaches Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide assistance for at risk students who struggle behaviorally and with various social skills, conflict resolution, problem solving, and other components of social life through the Capturing Kids Hearts and PBIS programs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign In Reduction in the Number of assignment to OSS, ISS, and DAEP Increase in use of replacement behaviors Increased instructional time for teacher and student Improved student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Student Learning Counselors Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Develop and offer counseling for student aggressors and victims. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Schedule Sessions Sign In Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 4: Reduce the number of African American and Hispanic students for out of placement discipline assignments by 5% by introducing a restorative practice approach and working closely with parents and community partners. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in out of placement discipline assignments for minority students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | |





Goal 3: COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

The school will improve parent and community partnerships in an environment that promotes trust through effective communication and inclusivity.

Performance Objective 1: Provide opportunities for parent and community involvement to promote academic achievement and school spirit.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increased community involvement in all operation of the district.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Celebrate student, staff, and volunteer accomplishments and establish criteria for recognitions in a variety of ways. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased positive comments and support for school and community Improved teacher and parent morale Increased level of support and volunteers from community Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Counselors | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Seek feedback from stakeholders by hosting PTO and Parent Meetings, Meet and Greet, Curriculum Open House, and other parent and community impact sessions throughout the year. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved parent and community engagement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Funding Sources: Parent Engagement - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$2,400 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Building productive partnerships with Faith Based Groups, PTO, SHSU, and other community based groups to further enhance the necessary support for staff and students to be successful. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved parent and community engagement Improved staff and student morale | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |





| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 4: Work closely with our home/school liaison to support families, including those experiencing homelessness, to increase student success and parent involvement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and student involvement Increased student welfare Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal AP's Dean | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> </div> | | | | |

Goal 3: COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

The school will improve parent and community partnerships in an environment that promotes trust through effective communication and inclusivity.

Performance Objective 2: Provide a variety of communication methods to share information with parents, students, and community stakeholders.





Evaluation Data Sources: Communication Examples
Parent Engagement

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide consistent communication through thrillshare, social media, monthly newsletters and word of mouth from staff and community partners to better inform and engage parents and community members in school functions and activities. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased positive comments and support for school and community Improved teacher and parent morale Increased level of support and volunteers from community Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean AP's | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |

Goal 4: RESOURCES The school will ensure fiscal accountability and responsibility through alignment and sound stewardship of our financial resources.

Performance Objective 1: Implement a budget development process that ensures resources are identified, prioritized, aligned and allocated to reflect the district and campus mission and belief statements.

Evaluation Data Sources: Completed Data Reviews





| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Train staff on state and federal resource allocations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved resource efficiency Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Implement the use of technology devices, furniture and other resources to enhance student achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved use of technology effectiveness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: Furniture & other Misc items - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$13,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Utilize district and state resources (Solution Tree, Region VI, iReady, Seidlitz etc) to provide additional services as needed as a means of maximizing revenues and communicate to all stakeholders their availability. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Dean AP's | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | |

Goal 4: RESOURCES The school will ensure fiscal accountability and responsibility through alignment and sound stewardship of our financial resources.

Performance Objective 2: Develop and implement a plan to maintain and/or improve the educational environment and capabilities of our facilities.

Evaluation Data Sources: All facilities capable of meeting the needs of student learners.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Ensure technology resources, cameras, WAPS, and vape detectors are effectively used and monitored. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved campus efficiency Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal ILS AP's | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Install or update interactive learning boards in all classrooms to improve hands on learning and the implementation of high yield instructional strategies to improve student learning for at-risk students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student engagement, student achievement and implementation of high yield instructional strategies in all classrooms. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Classroom Teachers, ILS, and Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Results Driven Accountability Funding Sources: Interactive Promethean Boards - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$10,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Purchase and implement the use of AC-30 Anywhere Charging Carts for student use chromebooks to ensure availability and access for ALL students on a daily basis. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve accessibility for all students to online instructional strategies and resources to further improve learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ILS, Admin and classroom teachers. TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Funding Sources: AC-30 Chromebook Charging Carts - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$31,000 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |





Goal 5: STAFF

The school will retain and recruit qualified staff that will maintain standards that foster student success.

Performance Objective 1: Hire and retain qualified personnel using district developed processes and procedures.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff retention rate

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Refine New Teacher Induction Program to provide new teaches with just in time information and resources. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved capacity of first year teachers Improved Teacher morale Improved teacher attendance Increase student achievement/progress Improved rate of retention for first year teachers Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Funding Sources: - LOCAL, New Tchr Academy ESC6 & Pre-contract pay - 255 - Title II, Part A | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide Mentors to first year teachers and equip them with the necessary curriculum and resources to not only grow and support new teachers but increase their leadership capacity as well. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased collaboration with mentors and mentees Increased leadership capacity in mentors and mentees Improved teacher morale Improved rate of retention for teachers Increase student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Dean of Student Learning Funding Sources: - LOCAL, Mentor Stipends - 255 - Title II, Part A | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |





| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Regularly scheduled check-ins with new teachers and their appraiser to review needs/issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased collaboration with mentors and mentees Increased leadership capacity in mentors and mentees Improved teacher morale Improved rate of retention for teachers Increase student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Dean of Student Learning Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 4: Support all Alternative Certification Teachers (DOI) ensuring that each of them are aware of the expectations for TEA Certification and that they are enrolled in a reputable Alternative Certification Program. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher effectiveness and collective efficacy of their work. Improved number of TEA certified teachers and a decrease in the number of DOI certified teachers. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal DOI Teachers TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | |

Goal 5: STAFF

The school will retain and recruit qualified staff that will maintain standards that foster student success.

Performance Objective 2: Increase recruiting of Highly Qualified Teachers, specifically math, science, bilingual education, foreign languages, ELAR, and special education.

Evaluation Data Sources: All positions filled with highly qualified, competent educators prepared to meet the needs of student learners. Staff surveys, retention rate data charts, T-TESS appraisals, PD agendas/sign-ins





| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Attract and retain HQ teachers by participating in district and university sponsored job fairs. Continue partnership with SHSU teacher intern program, with additional targets in critical high-need areas. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher quality Improved retention rates Improved school climate Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Offer high quality professional development for identified areas Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher quality Improved retention rates Improved school climate Increased student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> | | | | |

Goal 5: STAFF

The school will retain and recruit qualified staff that will maintain standards that foster student success.

Performance Objective 3: Increase ESL certification for teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of certified ESL staff will increase in the system.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Attract and retain ESL certified Teachers by participating in district sponsored job fairs./ Promote ESL certification among existing teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in ESL certifications Increase in use of ELPS in the classroom Increase student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Offer high quality professional development and support for ESL certified teachers Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in ESL certifications Increase in use of ELPS in the classroom Increase student achievement/progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Dean of Teaching and Learning Funding Sources: - LOCAL | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | | | | |
| <div> No Progress</div> <div> Accomplished</div> <div> Continue/Modify</div> <div> Discontinue</div> | | | | |

State Compensatory

Budget for Mance Park Middle School

Total SCE Funds: \$508,703.00

Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 8

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Mance Park Middle School

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>FTE</u> |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Carolyn Stivender | RTI Interventionist | 1 |
| Kaitlyn Ledezma | Dyslexia Teacher | 1 |
| Michelle Black | Dyslexia Teacher | 1 |
| Sabrina Washington | IA - General | 1 |
| Sharon Wynn | IA - General | 1 |
| Virginia Colley | Instructional Coach - RLA | 1 |
| Wendy Wenskunas | Teen Leadership | 1 |
| Yasmin Perez | IA - General | 1 |

Title I Personnel

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>Program</u> | <u>FTE</u> |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Casey Schindler | Instructional Coach - SS | | .5 |
| Charly Baker | Instructional Coach - Math | | 1 |
| Kristen Green | Instructional Coach - Science | | 1 |
| Michelle Burleson | Reading Interventionist | Funded from ESSER III until 2024 | 1 |

Campus Funding Summary

| 211 - Title I, Part A | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|--|--------------|--------------|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Instructional Supplies | | \$11,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | Student/Staff Guest Speaker | | \$8,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | Instructional Supplies Data Trackers | | \$10,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | Teacher Resources | | \$2,500.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | Instructional Supplies | | \$3,506.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | Materials and Equipment | | \$12,543.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | 2.5 Instructional Coaches | | \$182,561.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 14 | Extra Duty | | \$13,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 15 | Brad Folders, Notebook Paper, Composition Journals | | \$6,000.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | PD | | \$5,000.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 6 | Learning Pit Graphic Organizer | | \$5,000.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | Classroom Books | | \$2,000.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | Parent Engagement | | \$2,400.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | Furniture & other Misc items | | \$13,000.00 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | Interactive Promethean Boards | | \$10,000.00 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | AC-30 Chromebook Charging Carts | | \$31,000.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$317,510.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$265,510.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | -\$52,000.00 |
| 255 - Title II, Part A | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | New Tchr Academy ESC6 & Pre-contract pay | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | Mentor Stipends | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |

| 263 - Title III, Part A | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | Bilingual IA | | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | Rosetta Stone access for EB students | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$20,745.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$20,745.00 |
| IDEA B | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| LOCAL | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | | | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | | | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| 289 - Title IV, Part A, Sub 1 | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 13 | Snap & Read | | \$0.00 |

| 289 - Title IV, Part A, Sub 1 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|---|--------------|--------------|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| State Comp Ed | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | Interventionist Rdg & Math & Dyslexia Teacher | | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 IA's | | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 Instructional Coach | | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | Teen Leadership Teacher | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Bilingual Allotment | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$0.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Grand Total Budgeted | | | | | \$286,255.00 |
| Grand Total Spent | | | | | \$317,510.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | -\$31,255.00 |