

# Amphitheater

### **Teacher Performance Evaluation System**

## 2015/2016

Amphitheater Public Schools 701 West Wetmore Rd Tucson, Arizona 85705



DRAFT for Governing Board approval August 11, 2015



#### **GOVERNING BOARD**

Deanna M. Day, M.Ed., President Jo Grant, Vice President Kent Paul Barrabee, Ph.D. Julie Cozad, M.Ed. Scott A. Leska

#### DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

Patrick Nelson, Superintendent Todd A. Jaeger, J.D., Associate to the Superintendent/General Counsel Monica Nelson, Associate Superintendent, School Operations Scott Little, Chief Financial Officer

#### POLICY NOTIFICATION STATEMENT

The Amphitheater Governing Board and the Amphitheater Unified School District are committed to a policy of nondiscrimination in relation to race, color, religion/religious beliefs, gender, age, national origin, sexual orientation, creed, citizenship status, marital status, political beliefs/affiliation, disability, home language, family, social or cultural background. This policy will prevail in all matters concerning staff members, students, the public, educational programs and services, and individuals with whom the District does business

#### **Amphitheater Public Schools Vision, Mission & Beliefs**

#### Vision

Amphitheater schools and facilities are places where students thrive academically; places parents want their children to go; places where highly skilled people work; and places community members respect because of the high student achievement, caring environment, and focus on individual needs!

#### We Value

achievement, caring, creativity, curiosity, diligence, diversity, fairness, honesty, kindness, respectfulness, responsibility and service to the community.

#### Mission

To empower all students to become contributing members of society equipped with the skills, knowledge, and values necessary to meet the challenges of a changing world.

#### We Believe

- All students can learn and achieve
- Everyone has unique strengths, talents, and needs
- All students and staff should be responsible for and dedicated to educational excellence
- Education requires cooperation, honesty, and respect among the student, parents, staff, school, and community
- The school community deserves a safe and caring environment
- Our actions reflect our values and dedication to meet student needs fairly and equitably
- Ample resources are essential to accomplish the Mission.

#### **Table of Contents**

Foreword5
ATPES Development
ATPES Domains7
ATPES Alignment with Arizona Professional Teaching Standards
Student Academic Progress Data Information9
Teacher Designation Labels and Explanation of Terms
Evaluator Qualifications
Process Steps: Continuing Teacher (full/part-time)
Process Steps: Probationary Teacher (full/part-time)20
ATPES Process Steps and Deadline Dates
Lesson Plan Requirement and Conference Guidelines
Evaluation of Competence/Ratings24
Professional Growth Plan/Improvement Plan25
Appeal Provision
Appendix A: Evaluation System Forms
Rating Form, Group A and B28
Formal Narrative Comments Form
Informal Observation Forms
Improvement Plan
Appendix B: Forms
Pre-Observation Information
Professional Activities
Professional Growth Plan
Professional Growth45
Appendix C: Sample Lesson Plan Formats46
ATPES Committee Members

#### FOREWORD

The Governing Board of Amphitheater Public School District is responsible for establishing assessment and evaluation guidelines for the improvement of instruction in cooperation with the advice of its certificated staff. Elements to be included in the Teacher Performance Evaluation System are the following:

- 1. A reliable instrument, including specific criteria for measuring effective teaching performance in each area of the teacher's classroom performance.
- 2. An assessment of the competencies of teachers as related to the criteria.
- 3. Actual classroom observations which meet specified frequency and duration requirements.
- 4. Specific and reasonable plans for the improvement of teacher performance.
- 5. Student academic progress data deemed valid and reliable for the teacher being evaluated.
- 6. Appeal procedures for teachers disagreeing with the evaluation, if the evaluation is used as criteria in determining compensation.

Arizona law requires that public school districts have evaluation systems for "certificated teachers" which meet certain criteria and include certain components. In that context, the law defines "certificated teachers" to include anyone who is issued a certificate for their public school position by the Arizona Department of Education, except psychologists and administrators who teach students less than 50% of the time. Thus librarians must be evaluated in accordance with this law because of their certification by the Arizona Department of Education. (Complete statutory guidelines for teacher evaluation systems may be found in ARS § 15-203, *et seq.* and ARS § 15-537, *et seq.*) This manual, as well as Governing Board Policy *GCO* and its corresponding administrative regulations, describes Amphitheater District's philosophy and policies related to certificated teacher evaluation. Where any provision or expression of District philosophy, policies, regulations or the content of manual may exist, and state statues and other laws shall always control, in accordance with law.

The Associate to the Superintendent or a designee is responsible for monitoring implementation of this system in accordance with law. In addition, the Associate to the Superintendent or designee shall submit an annual report to the State Department of Education providing information required under ARS 15-537 relative to the District's Teacher Performance Evaluation System.

#### ATPES DEVELOPMENT

The Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System is developed through a collaborative process involving district teachers and administrators. A committee consisting of teachers and evaluators, representing various grade levels, and district administrators regularly reviews and, as appropriate, recommends revision of the evaluation system based upon input from evaluators and teachers.

In 2010, the Arizona Legislature required that the Arizona State Board of Education (SBOE) adopt a framework for a teacher evaluation instrument that includes quantitative data on student academic progress that accounts for between thirty-three percent (33%) and fifty percent (50%) of the evaluation outcomes for teachers in Arizona. *See,* Senate Bill 1040, 49<sup>th</sup> Legislature, 2d Reg. Session. SB 1040 also required that school districts begin using a teacher evaluation instrument that meets the data requirements established by the SBOE beginning in school year 2012-2013. See also ARS 15-203. Since 2010, there have been additional and substantial changes made in the way that teachers are evaluated. Most recently, the Fifty-First Legislature, First Regular Session, 2013, passed House Bill 2500 (Laws 2013, Chapter 149). This newest law builds on changes also made in the 2012 legislative session (HB2823; Laws 2012, Chapter 259) and clarifies many of that earlier bill's provisions. Sections of the Arizona Revised Statutes which were affected include 15-501, 15-536, 15-537, 15-538, 15-538.01, 15-539, and 15-550.

The recent uncertainty with respect to a new statewide measure of student achievement (such as the former AIMS) led to yet more legislative changes in the most recent legislative session. (See, Senate Bill 1289, Chap. 76, 52<sup>nd</sup> Legislature, 1<sup>st</sup> Regular Session). In short, these most recent changes allow school districts greater flexibility with respect to teacher evaluation outcomes given the uncertainty of the statewide measure.

Since the 2010-2011 school year, a committee has regularly met to review the Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System (ATPES) and recommend appropriate revisions to comply with the requirements of all of the legislative directives and the SBOE framework, as those requirements evolved. The committee's work will continue as changes to and implementation of the changing laws progress. This ATPES manual and the evaluation instrument it contains represent the culmination of the committee's work to date. The procedures and contained in this manual, as well as the evaluation instrument itself, have been approved by the Amphitheater Governing Board.

Throughout the development of the ATPES, several concepts were considered:

- Arizona State Law, including the requirements of ARS 15-203 as described above, must be met.
- Arizona Professional Teaching Standards and research on best practices
- Teaching content should align with the Arizona College and Career Ready Standards and other curriculum requirements (e.g., International Baccalaureate<sup>®</sup>, Advanced Placement<sup>®</sup>), as applicable.
- Evaluation systems should target those areas most critical to both learning and success of students within the learning environment
- No single way of learning or teaching should be prescribed for all teachers.
- The evaluation process should reinforce sound instructional practices, identify areas for improvement, stimulate professional growth, encourage teacher self-reflection, and provide for collaboration between the teacher and the evaluator.

- An evaluation system should identify and address instructional and professional practices that do not meet district standards.
- Quantitative measures of student academic progress used to evaluate teacher performance must be valid and reliable.
- An evaluation system should be understandable and efficient

#### **Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation Domains**

# The five domains of the ATPES instrument reflect these key concepts and provide the structure for the evaluation of teachers. A description of each of these five domains is provided below.

#### **Domain 1: Designing and Planning Instruction**

Effective teachers develop instructional plans which are aligned with Arizona College and Career Ready Standards. They plan lessons which take student characteristics and needs into account in order to ensure success. They reflect on the plans relative to student progress and make adjustments accordingly.

#### Domain 2: Assessing and Analyzing Student Learning

Effective teachers continually assess student learning using a variety of assessment methods. Clear criteria are utilized to provide feedback to the learner. Student assessment data is analyzed regularly to monitor and adjust instructional practices. Quantitative measures of individual student academic progress, in part, are used to determine teacher effectiveness.

#### Domain 3: Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment

Effective teachers create a productive, safe, and mutually respectful learning environment. Such a climate supports the development of student abilities toward accomplishment of academic goals.

#### Domain 4: Implementing and Adjusting Instruction

Effective teachers implement research based teaching methods, attend to academic learning time and student engagement, make instructional adjustments based on student interaction with the lesson and utilize a variety of communication techniques and methods to ensure student success. Critical thinking and problem solving are encouraged by teacher questions and student tasks. Technology is utilized by the teacher and the learner as a tool to increase student engagement and productivity.

#### **Domain 5: Professional Responsibilities**

Effective teachers support the vision, mission and beliefs of the district and work collaboratively with colleagues in the school environment to ensure the best academic setting for every child. Therefore, quantitative measures of school-wide student academic progress, in part, are used to determine teacher effectiveness.

Effective teachers also adhere to district and school policies, procedures and timelines. Professional development is on-going. Communication with parents regarding the progress of students is consistent and regular.

Ultimately, the evaluation of any teacher should lead to valid conclusions which may be used for the improvement of instruction. Upon identification of deficiencies in classroom performance and specific recommendations for improvement, strategies for achieving improvement will be cooperatively developed by the teacher and evaluator.

#### Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System

#### Alignment with the Arizona Professional Teaching Standards

The Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System (ATPES) was aligned with the Arizona Professional Teaching Standards (from INTASC). The following chart illustrates this alignment:

Arizona Professional Teaching	ATPES Domains
Standards	
<b>Standard 1:</b> The teacher designs and plans instruction that develops students' abilities to meet Arizona's academic standards and the district's assessment plan	<b>Domain 1:</b> Designing and Planning Instruction
<b>Standard 2:</b> The teacher creates and maintains a learning climate that supports the development of students' abilities to meet Arizona's academic standards	<b>Domain 3:</b> Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment
<b>Standard 3:</b> The teacher implements and manages instruction that develops students' abilities to meet Arizona's academic standards	<b>Domain 4:</b> Implementing and Adjusting Instruction
<b>Standard 4:</b> The teacher assesses learning and communicates results to students, parents and other professionals with respect to students' abilities to meet Arizona's academic standards	<b>Domain 2:</b> Assessing and Analyzing Student Learning <b>Domain 5:</b> Professional Responsibilities
<b>Standard 5:</b> The teacher collaborates with colleagues, parents, the community and other agencies to design, implement, and support learning programs that develop students' abilities to meet Arizona's academic standards and transition from school to work or post-secondary education	<b>Domain 5:</b> Professional Responsibilities
<b>Standard 6:</b> The teacher reviews and evaluates his or her overall performance and implements a professional development plan	Domain 5: Professional Responsibilities
<b>Standard 7:</b> The teacher has general academic knowledge as demonstrated by the attainment of a bachelor's degree. The teacher also has specific academic knowledge in his or her subject area or areas sufficient to develop student knowledge and performance to meet Arizona academic standards	<b>Domain 4:</b> Implementing and Adjusting Instruction
<b>Standard 8:</b> The teacher demonstrates current professional knowledge sufficient to effectively design and plan instruction, implement and manage instruction, create and maintain an appropriate learning environment, and assess student learning	<b>Domain 4:</b> Implementing and Adjusting Instruction <b>Domain 2:</b> Assessing and Analyzing Student Learning <b>Domain 3:</b> Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment
<b>Standard 9:</b> In collaboration with other professionals and parents, the special education teacher participates in the design, implementation, and assessment of individualized education programs	<b>Domain 1:</b> Designing and Planning Instruction

#### **ATPES Inclusion of Student Academic Progress Data: 2015/2016 Implementation**

Student academic progress data is not available in all content areas. As a result, the Arizona State School Board and the Amphitheater School District define two groups of teachers. Group A teachers have valid and reliable student progress data for their student population and content area for a total of three years; Group B teachers do not. As a result, only Group A teachers are evaluated directly on the progress of students in their classroom. Both groups are evaluated on the progress of all students in their school.

For 2015/2016, weights are unchanged from last year for student progress data for Group A and Group B as recommended by the ATPES Design Team. These are:

Group A teachers--43% of evaluation total based on student progress data:

Indicators of Quality Teaching (Classroom Observations)	57%
Classroom-level student progress	20%
School-level student progress	10%
School-level additional measures of effectiveness	13%

Group B teachers--33% of evaluation total based on student progress data: Indicators of Quality Teaching (Classroom Observations) 67% School-level student progress 20% School-level additional measure of effectiveness 13%

The design team discussed the fact that AIMS was not administered in 2014-15. To ensure that teacher ratings are based partially on the academic gains of the students, the team recommended using AIMS from 2013-14. The Arizona Department of Education did not release new State Accountability labels (A-F) for the 2014-15 school year. So like the AIMS, the committee recommended using the prior 2013-14 school year label. The measures, as appropriate for the grade levels, are:

School-level student progress (academic growth; 10% for Group A, 20% for Group B teachers) AIMS - The 2013-14 school year will be the most recent AIMS used NWEA MAP DIBELS

School-level additional measures of effectiveness (13% for both Group A and Group B)

2013-14 AZ-Learns school label (6.5%; that is, half of the weight of the additional measures) 2013-14 AZ-Learns district label Advanced Placement testing and passing rates (high school only) Parent satisfaction survey results College/career readiness (high school only)

**Parent Survey Data:** Percentages of parent responses of "Agree and Strongly Agree" to questions which address student progress and/or school effectiveness.

**Career and/or College Readiness Indicators:** "College/career readiness" for this purpose is defined as the number of seniors who meet one of the following sets of criteria:

#### "College-ready seniors":

Pass the AIMS or other state assessment <u>AND</u> Have an unweighted GPA of at least 3.0 AND fulfill one of the following:

a. Have taken at least one advanced course (e.g., courses that receive weighted grades) and earned at least a grade of C

OR

b. Have scored at benchmark for college readiness in math or English/critical reading on the ACT or SAT college entrance exam

#### 

#### "Career-ready seniors":

Pass the AIMS or other state assessment AND Have at least two units in one area of career/technical education (CTE); have concentrator status

#### **School Summary Report Information and Sample**

Each school principal receives a School Summary Report at the beginning of the school year. This shows <u>two</u> <u>weighted averages</u> for school-level academic measures—the <u>Academic Growth Weighted Average</u> and the <u>Other</u> <u>Indicators Average</u>. These replace the School Overall Weighted Average (OWA). The Academic Growth Weighted Average is a measure of the academic growth of all students. The Other Indicators Average includes AZ-Learns labels for the school and the district, and parent survey results. High schools include additional measures of school effectiveness in the Other Indicators Average, including AP passing rate and College and Career Readiness indicators. Reports are based on three years of data unless the school is in improvement status, when only the most recent year may be used.

The Academic Growth weighted average is reported in the ATPES evaluation instrument in Indicator 5.6, and the Other Indicators average is reported in Indicator 5.7.

The sample below shows a portion of an elementary report:

//17/2014						
Happy Elem	entary		nool Summa v 2011-12, 2012-		Academic Growth Indicator 5.6	2.83
Math AIMS			Observed	Observed to Evenetted		
2013-14	Grade	Cnt	<u>Observed</u> Growth	<u>Observed to Expected</u> Growth Ratio	Ratio w 86% Cl	1-4 Rubric
2010 14	04	90	7.51	-0.38	2.29	4.00
	05	91	12.48	0.19	1.30	3.00
	stu count:	181			100000	
-			<u>Observed</u>	Observed to Expected		
2012-13	Grade	<u>Cnt</u>	Growth	Growth Ratio	Ratio w 86% Cl	1-4 Rubric
	04	112	4.93	0.03	1.48	3.00
	05	85	11.33	-0.45	0.46	1.00
	stu count:	197				
			Observed	Observed to Expected		
2011-12	<u>Grade</u>	Cnt	Growth	Growth Ratio	Ratio w 86% Cl	<u>1-4 Rubric</u>
	04	95	14.62	0.82	3.27	4.00
	05	83	11.52	-0.64	0.77	2.00
	stu count:	178				
NWEA						
			Observed	Observed to Expected		
2013-14	Grade	Cnt	Growth	Growth Ratio	Ratio w 86% CI	1-4 Rubric
	02	68	17.94	1.36	1 49	3.00

#### **Classroom Gain History Report Information and Sample**

The Classroom Gain History Report will be generated for every teacher in Group A. The Weighted Average for the appropriate content area--Math, Reading, or Overall (both math and reading)—is used to show student progress for Indicator 2.5 on the evaluation instrument. All Classroom Gain History Reports show three years of growth on AIMS and/or NWEA depending on the grade taught.

The sample below shows a cut-away portion of a report for a middle-school math teacher.

-----

		Classro	om Gain	History	y Report			
Earnest		Frank	Sy 2009-10	, 2010-1	1, 2011-12	Academic Perfo	ormance Rubric	
TchID# 00000		Current School: Mountain Middle			Overall Weighted Average 2.9			
0.00.00	-				Observed	Observed to Expected		
Math	Sy	Classroom Location	Grade	Cnt	Growth	Growth Ratio	Ratio w 86%CI	1-4 Rubric
AIMS								
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	06	53	8.64	-0.56	0.55	2.0
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	07	61	27.48	4.00	5.83	4.0
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	08	1	-18.00	-1.12		
		sy stud	lent count:	115				
87	2010-11	Mountain Middle	06	63	5.62	0.29	0.64	2.0
	2010-11	Mountain Middle	07	32	17.38	0.76	1.96	4.0
		sy stud	lent count:	95				1997
22	2009-10	Valley Middle	06	95	1 <u></u> β.12	0.41	1.60	4.0
	norrocultures	sy stud	lent count:	95	Usa actives	Description.	10005401	
NWE.	4							
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	06	58	6.41	0.80	0.97	2.0
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	07	68	4.90	0.81	1.05	3.0
	2011-12	Mountain Middle	08	1	2.00	0.26		
38		sy stud	lent count:	127				
	2010-11	Mountain Middle	06	72	6.83	0.75	0.88	2.0
	2010-11	Mountain Middle	07	35	5.31	0.79	1.08	3.0
	2010-11	Mountain Middle	08	1	8.00	1.99		
	8494.00005899999		lent count:	108	horized on	10469531		
	2009-10	Valley Middle	06	87	7.91	1.00	1.13	3.0
s <del>.</del>		sy stud	lent count:	87	in a sector	11.50507	2000-198-194-1	
						Math Weig	hted Average	2.91

#### Explanation of Terms Used in the School Summary Report and the Classroom Gain History Report

**School Overall Weighted Average:** This number in the upper right-hand corner of the School Summary Report summarizes school-wide student progress data that principals will use on Indicator 5.6 of the evaluation instrument for both Group A and Group B teachers. Student gains for each assessment, grade, and subject (math or reading) are evaluated using a 1-4 rubric. The rubric scores are weighted by the number of students in that group and averaged. The School Overall Weighted Average is also used by the Associate Superintendent for principal evaluation.

**Classroom Weighted Average:** The Classroom Gain History Report for Group A teachers typically shows three weighted averages—for Math, Reading and Overall -- for classroom-level student progress. If the teacher teaches only math or only reading, the rating for that subject is used for Indicator 2.5 on the evaluation instrument. If the teacher teaches both subjects the Overall Weighted Average is used for Indicator 2.5. Student gains for each assessment, grade, and subject (math or reading) are evaluated using a 1-4 rubric. The rubric scores are weighted by the number of students in that group and averaged.

Grade: The grade level group the teacher taught each year.

Cnt: The number of students with a pre and post test in that assessment for that grade and year.

**Observed Growth:** The actual average student growth from pretest to posttest.

**Observed to Expected Growth Ratio:** The actual average student growth for this group of students divided by the expected growth for a similar group of students. For example, a ratio of 1.0 means the group grew in one year exactly as much as similar Amphi students grew in the past. A ratio of 0.5 means they only made half as much progress, and a ratio of 1.5 means they made progress equivalent to 1.5 years.

**Ratio with 86% CI:** A confidence level (CI) is a statistical adjustment. This adjustment sets up a range that most likely contains the true score. In other words, it allows for a fairer estimate of the group's true average and adjusts for potential measurement errors. In this case, the upper "borderline" scores adjust to the higher rubric range.

1-4 Student Progress Rubric: The Observed to Expected Growth Ratio with 86% CI is compared to four levels of student gains:

- 4 Students made 1.5 years' progress or more
- 3 Students made from 1 to 1.5 years' progress
- 2 Students made from 0.5 to 1 year's progress
- 1 Students made less than 0.5 year's progress

Measures such as AZ-Learns labels that do not show gains set a rubric score of 3 as "expected" performance. Higher performance rates a 4, and lower performance rates a 2 or a 1.

**Weighted Average:** The rubric score for each measure is weighted by the number of students in that group when it is averaged.

#### Assessments and Measures of Student Academic Progress for Year Three Implementation 2015/2016

Assessment/Measure	Grade Level	School	Classroom
Growth measures			
NWEA MAP	2-9, fall-spring growth	yes	yes
High School AIMS	10, growth from grade 8 AIMS	yes	yes
DIBELS	K, 1	yes	-
Other measures of school effectiver	ness	-	
School AZ-Learns label	All	yes	
District AZ-Learns label	All	yes	
AP testing, passing rates	High school	yes	
Survey of parent satisfaction	All	yes	
College/career readiness	High school	yes	

#### TEACHER DESIGNATION LABELS AS REQUIRED BY ARS 15-203 Calculation of Student Progress Data Component

ATPES was developed in compliance with Arizona State Law on teacher evaluation. State law defines two groups of teachers—Group A and Group B—and the weights of student progress data at the classroom and school levels allowable for each group.

Group A teachers teach content (math, reading) and have three years of student gains as measured by valid and reliable tests (NWEA MAP).

Group B teachers teach other content without standardized assessments, are new teachers with fewer than three years of data, or have too few students with gains to be evaluated on them.

For the 2014/2015 school year, modifications have been made in the weighting of the student progress data based on recommendations from the ATPES Design Team:

2014/2015	2013/2014
Group A TeachersIndicators of quality teaching (classroom observation)Classroom-level student progressSchool-level student progressSchool-level additional measures of effectiveness(School AZ-Learns label 6.5%; Other school measures 6.5%)	Classroom-level student progress 33%
Group B TeachersIndicators of quality teaching (classroom observation)67%School-level student progress20%School-level additional measure of effectiveness13%(School AZ-Learns label 6.5%;0ther school measures 6.5%)	

Arizona State Law requires teachers to be designated as Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective. On the ATPES evaluation form, the indicators of quality teaching from classroom observation and the calculation of classroom- and school-level student progress are weighted according to the proportions above to make a total score. Each teacher's label of effectiveness is assigned according to the total score:

Group A teachers	
Highly Effective	113
Effective	99
Developing	80
Ineffective	<80
Group B teachers Highly Effective Effective Developing Ineffective	110 94 76 <76

#### **TEACHER DESIGNATION LABELS AS REQUIRED BY ARS 15-203**

After studying the actual aggregated data from 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 teacher evaluations, the ATPES Design Team discussed the various aspects of teacher designation and how to approach setting criteria which would determine a label. The following criteria for teacher designation labels were established for the 2014/2015 school year as required by Arizona State Law:

#### Group "A" Teacher Designation Criteria

Highly Effective: Final evaluation score greater than or equal to	113
Effective: Final evaluation score between	99 and 112
Developing: Final evaluation score between	80 and 98
Ineffective: Final evaluation score below	<80
Group "B" Teacher Designation Criteria	
Highly Effective: Final evaluation score greater than or equal to	110
Effective: Final evaluation score between	94 and 109
Developing: Final evaluation score between	76 and 93
Ineffective: Final evaluation score below	<76

#### **EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION INSTRUMENT TERMS**

#### **Informal Observation**

The teacher's evaluator will observe various aspects of the teacher's performance and may do so without prior notice. In conducting observations, the evaluator may rely upon information obtained from appropriate sources, such as the teacher, previous supervisors and other third parties, and information contained in the personnel file. Teachers will be made aware of the sources of information utilized in their evaluation.

#### **Formal Classroom Observation**

The teacher's evaluator will observe various aspects of the teacher's performance and will do so after scheduling the observation with the teacher which is 30 minutes in length or a full class period.

#### **Professional Growth Plan**

A plan devised for the purpose of refining existing skills and/or the establishment of goals for professional growth and development.

#### **Deficiencies in Classroom Performance**

An evaluator rating of a 1'' in one or more indicators or domains signifies a deficiency in classroom performance which must be addressed.

#### **Improvement Plan**

A required formal plan designed to improve deficiencies in classroom performance.

#### **Inadequacy of Classroom Performance**

A teacher's failure to overcome deficiency (ies) in classroom performance which are addressed in an Improvement Plan.

#### **Student Academic Progress**

Student growth from one testing point to another as measured by valid and reliable assessment measures (e.g., Fall to Spring Growth on NWEA MAP, growth on AIMS from one year to the next, etc.)

#### **Certificated Teacher**

A person who holds a certificate from the State Board of Education to work in the schools of this state and who is employed under contract in a position that requires certification, except a psychologist or an administrator devoting less than fifty percent (50%0 of his time to classroom teaching.

#### **Probationary Teacher**

A certificated teacher who is not a continuing teacher is considered probationary until the beginning of the fourth year of full time employment with the district.

#### **Continuing Teacher**

A certificated teacher who:

- has been and is currently employed by the District for the major portion of three (3) consecutive school years, and;
- who has not been designated in the lowest performance classification of the previous school year or who has not regained continuing status after being designated as a probationary teacher.

#### **Teacher Designation Labels/Performance Classifications**

The four (4) performance classifications for teachers and principals under Arizona law and defined by the State Board of Education (e.g., Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, Ineffective).

#### **EVALUATOR QUALIFICATIONS AND TRAINING**

Pursuant to Arizona law, the Governing Board designates district staff that is qualified to evaluate teachers using the Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System. The Superintendent submits recommendations of qualified evaluators to the Governing Board for approval.

#### Qualifications

The following criteria have been established for board-designated evaluators. In order to be considered qualified, an evaluator will:

- 1. Demonstrate knowledge of district and state policies, laws and rules relating to instruction, professionalism and evaluation; and
- 2. Demonstrate planning and implementation strategies relating to evaluation system timelines, content and expectations; and
- 3. Demonstrate data recording and analysis skills through simulations and/or on-site practice/feedback sessions; and
- 4. Demonstrate skills in analysis of quantitative student progress data; and
- 5. Demonstrate conferencing and improvement planning skills through simulations and/or on-site practice/feedback sessions; and
- 6. Demonstrate ability to write a detailed, personalized and specific narrative which illustrates the ratings given and provides specific suggestions for improvement of practice and/or provides appropriate support for accomplished teaching; and
- 7. Understands and can explain the classroom and school Overall Weighted Average for student progress data.

#### Training

Evaluators may receive training in a variety of ways. Evaluators may participate in the district administrative in-service sessions, or, district-approved evaluator training workshops. All such training should include information related to the legal aspects of teacher evaluation and the development of skills for data collection and analysis, analysis of quantitative student progress data, conferencing and improvement planning. Regardless of the specific training received, evaluators are responsible for meeting the criteria specified above.

#### Evaluation Process Steps for Continuing Teachers (Full/Part Time)

- 1. All evaluators are provided with a copy of the Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System (ATPES) prior to classroom observations.
- 2. The evaluation procedures shall be reviewed at each school. At the beginning of the school year, the principal shall meet with the school's faculty for the purpose of orienting the teachers to the total evaluation plan prior to any observation. Any teacher that is hired after the school year begins must also be given a review of evaluation procedures prior to the teacher's evaluation. All teachers are provided with a copy of the ATPES prior to classroom observations.
- 3. Student academic progress data is provided to teachers and evaluators at the beginning of the academic year reflecting up to and including three full years by teacher and by school. Each teacher is informed of their Group A or Group B status for the purposes of evaluation.
- 4. The teacher performance evaluation cycle shall include at least two (2) actual classroom observations of the certificated teacher demonstrating teaching skills in a complete and uninterrupted lesson by the qualified evaluator.
- 5. One (1) informal observation shall occur prior to January 30<sup>th</sup>. There shall be at least sixty (60) calendar days between the first informal observation and the last (formal) observation.
- 6. The informal observation(s) is/are to be documented through use of an Informal Observation form. A copy of the form must be provided to the teacher within five (5) workdays of each informal classroom observation.
  - a. No conference is <u>required</u> for informal visits.
  - b. A conference may be called at the discretion of either party within three (3) workdays of receipt of the Informal Observation form.
  - c. Preliminary notice of inadequacy may follow an informal observation.
  - d. An informal observation shall not be conducted within two (2) instructional days of any scheduled period in which school is not in session for one (1) week or more (e.g., Fall Break, Winter Break, Spring Break).
- 7. One (1) formal observation shall occur prior to April 10 using the following procedures:
  - a. The teacher and the evaluator agree upon a date and time for the observation. If agreement on the date and time cannot be reached after reasonable attempts, the administrator may set the date and time and shall provide the teacher five working days advance notice of the observation.
  - b. The teacher will submit a written lesson plan in advance of the observation.

c. The evaluator will observe the teacher actively teaching a full lesson. The minimum observation time is 30 minutes, or a complete lesson.

d. The last observation may follow the issuance of a preliminary notice of inadequacy of classroom performance, the completion of any performance improvement plan, and can be used to determine whether the teacher has corrected inadequacies and has demonstrated adequate classroom performance.

- a. e. A formal observation shall not be conducted within two (2) instructional days of any scheduled period in which school is not in session for one (1) week or more (e.g., Fall Break, Winter Break, Spring Break).
- 8. Within five working days of the formal observation, a conference will be held between the teacher and the evaluator. The evaluator will present the ATPES rating form and narrative and will provide

positive feedback and identify performance concerns to the teacher. The teacher and evaluator sign the completed ATPES form. Copies are distributed as follows: One copy to teacher, One copy to school file, One copy to Director of Human Resources.

- 9. Evaluators may conduct additional observations or evaluation cycles beyond the required minimum. Teachers may also request additional observations or evaluation cycles. Observations that do not constitute a complete and uninterrupted lesson shall not be considered in determining performance ratings and may only be used to provide feedback to improve instructional performance.
- 10. Evaluations of teaching performance and related documentation are to remain confidential, except where disclosure is authorized or required by law.
- 11. Professional development plans may be developed as appropriate.
- 12. Recommendations for improvement shall be provided to the teacher by the evaluator whenever deficiencies in classroom performance are noted.
- 13. An Improvement Plan **must** be completed by the evaluator and the teacher when:
  - a. A teacher receives a rating of "1" on any Indicator or Domain
  - b. A teacher receives a rating of "1" on a Domain or the majority of a Domain's indicators
- 14. An Improvement Plan **may** be completed by the teacher and the evaluator at the evaluator's discretion when a teacher receives a rating of "2" on any indicator or domain.
- 15. The evaluation of a continuing teacher shall be completed no later than April 10.
- 16. The Superintendent may determine to provide Preliminary Notice of Inadequacy of Classroom Performance to the teacher and shall notify the Governing Board if doing so. Procedures set forth by law shall be followed.
- 17. Evaluations of teaching performance and related documentation are to remain confidential. Copies shall be distributed within five (5) workdays of the evaluation conference as follows:
  - One copy to teacher
  - One copy for school file
  - One copy to the Associate Superintendent

#### PROCESS STEPS-Probationary/Short Term Teachers (Full/Part-time)

- 1. All teachers and evaluators are provided with a copy of the Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System (ATPES) at the beginning of the school year.
- 2. The evaluation procedures shall be reviewed at each school. At the beginning of the school year, the principal shall meet with the school's faculty for the purpose of orienting the teachers to the total evaluation plan prior to any observation. Any teacher that is hired after the school year begins must also be given a review of evaluation procedures prior to the teacher's evaluation. All teachers are provided with a copy of the ATPES prior to classroom observations.
- 3. All teachers are provided with a copy of the student progress data for the school and the classroom (as available) at the beginning of the school year. Each teacher is informed of their Group A or Group B status for the purposes of evaluation.
- 4. The teacher performance evaluation cycle shall include at least two (2) actual classroom observations of the certificated teacher demonstrating teaching skills in a complete and uninterrupted lesson by the qualified evaluator. A probationary teacher shall be evaluated (a full cycle) at least once per semester.
- 5. There shall be at least sixty (60) calendar days between the first informal observation and the last (formal) observation of each cycle. The Fall semester informal classroom observation(s) for each probationary and short-term teacher should occur no later than September 15th. The Spring semester informal classroom observation for each probationary and short-term teacher should occur by January 15th.

a. Each informal observation shall be documented through the use of an Informal Observation form. A copy of the form must be provided to the teacher within five (5) workdays of each informal classroom observation.

b. No conference is <u>required</u> for informal visits. A conference may be called at the discretion of either party within three (3) workdays of receipt of the Informal Observation form.

c. Preliminary notice of inadequacy may follow an informal observation.

d. An informal observation shall not be conducted within two (2) instructional days of any scheduled period in which school is not in session for one (1) week or more.

- 6. The Fall semester final (formal) classroom observation for each probationary and short-term teacher should occur by November 15. The Spring semester formal classroom observation for each probationary and short-term teacher should occur by March 30.
- 7. The procedure for formal observations is as follows:

a. The teacher and the evaluator agree upon a date and time for the observation. If agreement on the date and time cannot be reached after reasonable attempts, the administrator may set the date and time and shall provide the teacher five working days advance notice of the observation. The Fall conference should be held by November 20; the Spring conference should be held by April 15.

b. The teacher will submit a written lesson plan in advance of the observation.

c. The evaluator will observe the teacher actively teaching a lesson. The minimum observation time is 30 minutes, or a complete and uninterrupted lesson.

d. Within five working days of the formal observation, a conference will be held between the teacher and the evaluator. The evaluator will present the ATPES rating form and narrative and will

provide positive feedback and identify performance concerns to the teacher. The teacher and evaluator sign the completed ATPES form. Copies are distributed as follows: one copy to teacher, one copy to school file, one copy to Director of Human Resources.

- 8. Evaluators may conduct additional observations or evaluation cycles beyond the required minimum. Teachers may also request additional observations or evaluation cycles. Observations that do no constitute a complete and uninterrupted lesson shall not be considered in determining performance ratings and may only be used to provide feedback to improve instructional performance.
- 9. Evaluations of teaching performance and related documentation are to remain confidential, except where disclosure is authorized or required by law.
- 10. Professional development plans may be developed as appropriate.
- 11. Recommendations for improvement shall be provided to the teacher in writing by the evaluator whenever deficiencies in classroom performance are noted.
- 12. An Improvement Plan **must** be completed by the evaluator and the teacher when a teacher receives a rating of "1" on any Indicator or Domain or the majority of a Domain's indicators.
- 13. An Improvement Plan **may** be completed by the teacher and the evaluator at the evaluator's discretion when a teacher receives a rating of "2" on any Indicator or Domain.
- 14. Recommendations for improvement or an Improvement Plan may be required based upon the results of an evaluation. Improvement Plans will be developed through collaboration between the evaluator and the teacher and will be submitted to Human Resources in a timely fashion.
- 15. The Superintendent may determine to provide Preliminary Notice of Inadequacy of Classroom Performance to the teacher and shall notify the Governing Board if doing so. Procedures set forth by law shall be followed.
- 16. Evaluations of teaching performance and related documentation are to remain confidential. Copies shall be distributed within five (5) workdays of the evaluation conference as follows:
  - One copy to teacher
  - One copy for school file
  - One copy to the Associate Superintendent

Г

Deadline Dates	Continuing Teachers	All Probationary and/or Short Term Teachers (full time/part time)
Beginning of Academic Year	Administrator Presents and Explains the Teacher Evaluation Instrument and Student Academic Progress Data is presented to each teacher (as appropriate). School data is presented to all certified staff. All staff members are informed of their Group A or Group B status.	Administrator Presents and Explains the Teacher Evaluation Instrument and Student Academic Progress Data for the previous three years is presented to each teacher (as appropriate). School data is presented to all certified staff.
September 15		Informal Observation
November 15		Formal Observation
November 20		Conference
December 5		Recommended Deadline for non-renewal Notices to Superintendent
January 15	Informal Observation	Informal Observation
March 30		Formal Observation
April 10	Formal Observation	Formal Observation
April 15	Conference	Conference
April 15	ATPES forms due for all teachers	ATPES forms due for all teachers

Dates shown are preferred deadlines. Actual events and actions may occur prior to dates shown. In addition, any action or event occurring within timelines or deadlines established by State Law shall be satisfactory even if different from dates shown above.

#### WRITTEN LESSON PLAN REQUIREMENT

A detailed, written lesson plan for the purpose of demonstrating planning skills is required of all teachers for formal observations.

#### **EVALUATION CONFERENCE GUIDELINES**

The following guidelines will help direct evaluation conferences:

- 1. Bi-lateral communication is essential. The perspectives of both the evaluator and the teacher will be discussed during the evaluation conference.
- 2. Teacher self-reflection, either written or oral will be required.
- 3. Teaching which has occurred throughout the year will be discussed. Performance evaluation should be based on both formal and informal observations.
- 4. Quantitative student progress data from student assessments will be reviewed with the teacher.
- 5. The evaluation conference provides an opportunity for the evaluator to gather information which cannot be obtained or observed in the formal observation process. Teachers will be invited to share this information. The evaluator may revise ratings or narrative comments based on post conference information.
- 6. The evaluator has both a professional and legal responsibility for determining the final assessment of teaching performance.
- 7. The perspectives of the evaluator and the teacher should be reviewed at the completion of the evaluation conference.

#### **EVALUATION OF COMPETENCE**

The evaluation system contains both ratings of competence as well as narrative comments about the level of competence demonstrated by teachers.

#### **RATING SCALE**

Each indicator (Indicators 2.5 and 5.6 excepted) and domain will be rated according to the following rubric scale:

4 – A teacher at Level 4 exceeds the best practices of Level 3 through innovation, flexibility, and creativity for an indicator. The teacher at Level 4 is recognized as a leader in this area and could be called upon to model skills/competencies or assist in the development of this skill with colleagues.

3 –A teacher at Level 3 demonstrates appropriate best practices for an indicator, engages and guides students, differentiates and monitors the results. A teacher at this level is student-centered and focuses on the skills and strategies which result in increased student progress. The Level 3 teacher demonstrates mastery of this indicator.

2 – A teacher at Level 2 demonstrates strategies for an indicator but does not yet monitor its effectiveness on student learning. A teacher at Level 2 is progressing toward applying effective practices toward accomplishment of the indicator, but needs some assistance in mastering the skills necessary to positively affect student progress.

1 – A teacher at Level 1 may demonstrate a strategy for an indicator but it is incorrect or ineffective. A teacher at this level must improve his/her understanding and application of strategies for this indicator to correct or avoid negative effects on student progress.

#### Rating Scale for Student Progress Data: Indicators 2.5, 5.6 and 5.7

Indicator 2.5 is for Group A teachers only, and is the Classroom Overall Weighted Average (OWA) for the teacher. This is found in the Classroom Gain History Report.

Indicator 5.6 is for all teachers, and is the Academic Growth average reported in the School Summary report. This is already filled in for the ATPES evaluation instrument for each school.

Indicator 5.7 is for all teachers, and is the Other Indicators average reported in the School Summary report. This is already filled in for the ATPES evaluation instrument for each school.

The rating scale range for student progress data is 1 to 4. The actual weighted average over a three-year period for an individual or school will appear as a number such as 2.75, 3.78, etc. **Ratings in the 1-1.99 range will be considered deficient and will require an improvement plan.** 

#### **RATING FACTORS**

Ratings will be based upon formal and informal observations and results of quantitative student progress assessments. In addition, an evaluator may use data gathered throughout the year which is obtained during any direct observation of the teacher's performance in the classroom or through contacts in the school professional setting.

Ratings may also reflect input from responsible third parties or from the personnel file of the teacher. Teachers will be made aware of third-party information relied upon in the determination of evaluation ratings or comments.

#### **CAREER LADDER EVALUATIONS**

Specific procedures for the evaluations of Career Ladder teachers and applicants are detailed in the Career Ladder program. Teachers on Career Ladder are evaluated by their building administrator.

The teaching performance of Career Ladder teachers and applicants will be evaluated on the same criteria as for all district teachers.

#### Professional Growth Plan

Amphitheater District has many excellent teachers whose performance does not mandate improvement in the sense that there are deficiencies present in that performance. But, even when no domain or indicator is rated "1", a teacher can still benefit from a Professional Growth Plan which encourages the refinement of skills and continuous improvement of practice. When a domain or indicator is rated "2", a Professional Growth Plan addressing that area is strongly encouraged. Professional Growth Plans may be developed at the discretion of the teacher and/or the evaluator. Any teacher may be asked to complete a Professional Growth Plan regardless of performance level. Professional Growth Plans are required for all Career Ladder teachers.

The teacher and the evaluator will mutually agree on the specifics of a Professional Growth Plan. This plan should indicate the specific goals, activities to be undertaken, and the role the evaluator will assume in providing assistance to the teacher. This plan can be developed at the evaluation conference or at a later date.

#### **Improvement Plan**

An Improvement Plan must be developed when any indicator or entire domain is rated a"1", on the ATPES rating form. Improvement Plans may be written for ratings of "2" on any one indicator based on administrative discretion. If more than one Domain is rated "1" priority will determine the specifics of the plan. All deficiencies must be addressed. If an improvement plan is not required, deficiencies will be addressed through recommendations for improvement.

The plan, arrived at through discussion *between* teacher and evaluator, must specify (a) the specific area(s) needing improvement, (b) action(s) and timelines for improvement, (c) expected outcomes, and (d) administrative assistance which will be provided.

Areas identified for improvement will be re-evaluated as outlined in the Improvement Plan.

#### Lack of Progress on an Improvement Plan

If a teacher fails to overcome deficiencies which were addressed through recommendations for improvement or an improvement plan, the evaluator may notify the Superintendent of the continuing deficiencies and may request that the Superintendent issue a preliminary notice of inadequacy of classroom performance.

#### APPEAL PROVISION

Teacher evaluations are not subject to appeal; however, if a teacher feels the results of an evaluation are unjustified, or that procedural violations or circumstances beyond the control of the teacher have occurred, a second observation by a different, Board-approved evaluator may be requested.

A request for a second observer must be submitted to the Director of Human Resources or his/her designee within five (5) workdays after completion of the evaluation. At the request of the teacher, the Director of Human Resources will appoint a second observer. Alternatively, the teacher and the evaluator may each appoint second and third observers, respectively.

If appropriate, the second/third observer will view the teacher actively teaching a lesson. If the rating being disputed by the teacher is in an area which cannot be observed in the classroom, the second/third observer will gather and evaluate available data and determine the rating based upon that information.

The opinion of the second/third observer will be included as additional information in the teacher's personnel file. It will not replace or supersede the disputed evaluation.

Special appeal provisions for Career ladder participants may be found in the Career Ladder manual.

Appendix A

**EVALUATION SYSTEM FORMS** 

			_			
	Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evalu	ation Sys	tem			
	(ATPES)					
	2015-16					
AMPHIT	HEATER					
Public	School, School					
	Teacher last name					
	Teacher first name					
	Content Area/Grade Level					
	Group	Group A				
	Date informal evaluation					
	Date formal evaluation					
	Evaluator name					
Descriptions of	f Ratinas:					
	at Level 4 exceeds the best practices of Level 3 through innovation, flexibility	, and creativ	vity for an i	ndicator.	The	
	vel 4 is recognized as a leader in this area and could be called upon to mode	skills/comp	etencies o	r assist in	the	
developmen	t of this skill with colleagues.					
3 - A teacher	at Level 3 demonstrates appropriate best practice for an indicator, engages a	and quides s	tudents. di	fferentiate	s	
practice and	monitors the results. A teacher at this level is student-centered and focuses	on the skills	and strate	gies whicl	h	
result in incr	eased student progress. The Level 3 teacher demonstrates mastery of this ir	dicator.				
2 - A teacher	at Level 2 demonstrates strategies for an indicator but does not vet monitor i	ts offoctivo	ness on stu	dent learn	ing	
	this level is progressing toward applying effective practices leading to the ac					
needs some	assistance in mastering the skills necessary to positively effect student prog	ress.				
1 - A topohou	at Level 1 may demonstrate a strategy for an indicator but it is incorrect or in	offoctivo A	to achor at	this I ava		_
	e his/her understanding and application of strategies for this indicator to corr					
student prog						
		Rating	V	Veighted valu		
Domain 1: Desi	gning and Planning Instruction	Rating	V	Veighted valu	Jes	
Domain 1: Des	gning and Planning Instruction Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards	Rating	V	Veighted valu	Jes	
Domain 1: Des		Rating	V	Veighted valu	Jes	
Domain 1: Des	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards	Rating	v	Veighted valu	Jes	
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards * Long-term planning in place * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment	Rating	V	Veighted valu	les	
	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards * Long-term planning in place * Reflected in curriculum maps	Rating	V	Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards * Long-term planning in place * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data	Rating	V	Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards * Long-term planning in place * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners  * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners	Rating		Veighted valu		
<u> </u>	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners  * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources  * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels  * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success	Rating		Veighted valu		
<u> </u>	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners  * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources  * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels  * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners  * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources  * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels  * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident * Materials prepared and accessible	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident * Materials prepared and accessible Engages in on-going reflection to promote student achievement	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident * Materials prepared and accessible Engages in on-going reflection to promote student achievement	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 Domain 1 Overall	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident * Materials prepared and accessible Engages in on-going reflection to promote student achievement rating	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 Domain 1 Overall Domain 2: Asse	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards  * Related to the identified objective  * Sequenced from basic to complex  * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners  * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources  * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels  * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared:  * Daily planning evident  * Materials prepared and accessible Engages in on-going reflection to promote student achievement rating	Rating		Veighted valu		
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 Domain 1 Overall	Establishes appropriate goals and objectives aligned to State Standards  * Long-term planning in place  * Reflected in curriculum maps Makes instructional planning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment data Activities and lessons are:  * Aligned with standards * Related to the identified objective * Sequenced from basic to complex * Built on prior student knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant Adapts instructional opportunities for diverse learners * Includes a variety of materials, methods and resources * Includes learning experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels * Plans accommodations or modifications for individual student success Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning evident * Materials prepared and accessible Engages in on-going reflection to promote student achievement rating	Rating		Veighted valu		

2.3	Assessments have clear, measurable criteria				
2.3	Feedback from students is regularly used to monitor and adjust instruction				
2.4	Continuously enrolled students assigned to this teacher demonstrate academic progress as	Classroom Weighted			
2.5	measured by valid and reliable quantitative assessment data over itme	Average			
main 2 Overa	all rating				
omain 3: Cr	reating and Sustaining the Environment	1	1	-	1
3.1	Creates an environment based on respect and effective relationships with students				
3.2	Establishes and maintains classroom procedures and rules				
3.3	Responses to student behavior are appropriate and consistent				
3.4	Encourages the student to demonstrate self-discipline and responsibility to self and others				
3.5	Creates a classroom culture that promotes the development of intrinsic motivation to learn Safe and adequate organization of physical space provides equitable access to learning and				
3.6	the use of resources, materials and technology				
3.7	Strategies are in place for effective transitions				
main 3 Overa	all rating				
	plementing and Adjusting Instruction				-
4.1	Demonstrates knowledge of the subject matter				-
4.2	Clearly communicates objectives and state standards to students				-
4.3	Provides clear written and/or oral communication to students Instructional pace maximizes time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit				
4.4	closure				
4.5	Uses research-based classroom strategies and grouping techniques				
4.6	Bromotop student appagement and student questions addressing poods of all learners				
4.6	Promotes student engagement and student questions addressing needs of all learners				
4.7 4.8	Encourages critical thinking, creative thinking and problem solving				
4.8	Uses effective questioning and discussion techniques				
	Provides on-going feedback to students during instruction				
4.10	Uses a variety of instructional resources, including technology				
4.11	Demonstrates flexibility and responsiveness based on student needs Models the skills, concepts, attributes, or thinking processes to be learned				
main 4 Overa					
main 5: Pr	ofessional Responsibilities				
5.1	Supports school and district vision, mission, beliefs and policies while abiding by the ethics of the profession				
5.2	Collaborates, plans, supports and interacts with colleagues in a professional manner				
5.3	Reviews and evaluates overall performance and responds to employer feedback in order to plan for and engage in high-quality professional development				
	Performs non-instructional duties				
	* Maintains accurate, confidential and timely student records				
	* Participates in required meetings				
	* Is punctual				<u> </u>
	* Maintains a professional day				<u> </u>
5.4	* Completes assigned tasks by established deadlines				
5.5	Communicates student achievement and progess to students, their parents, and others as appropriate				
		School			
5.0	Continuously enrolled students at the school demonstrate academic progress measured by	Weighted Growth			
5.6	valid and reliable quantitative assessment data over time	Average School Weighted			
		Average of Other			
5.7	Other measures of school effectiveness	Measures			
main 5 Overa	all rating				
	ed Rating		0.00		

The instrument provided here is for reference only. The evaluator user form is provided electronically.

	Summary		 	
	Domain 1	0.00	 	
	Domain 2	0.00	 	
	Domain 3	0.00	 	
	Domain 4	0.00	 	
	Domain 5	0.00		
	Total all domains	0.00		
Weighted values we	re used to meet legal requirements regarding weighting of student progress data:			
	Points	Weighted value		
	1	0		
	2	0.8		
	3	1.61		
	4	2.41		
Criteria for effectiv	eness labels			
	Group A			
Highly effective	113+		 	
Effective	99-112		 	-
Developing	80-98		 	
Ineffective	<80		 	
			 	-
	Decedent the existence characteristic structure of the second s		 	
	Based on the criteria above, this teacher is classified as		 	
				1
Teacher's signature		Date	 	
Evaluator's signature		Date		
E valuator o orginature		Bailo		
Teacher comments	optional):			
Administrator Com	ments: See Attached Narrative			
PROFESSIONA	L DEVELOPMENT PLAN INFORMATION			
	Results of evaluation require Needs Improvement Plan*	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
	Destancia de Oracida Disercita da d			
	Professional Growth Plan attached			
	Professional Growth Plan attached			
Teacher's signature	Professional Growth Plan attached	Date		
Teacher's signature	Professional Growth Plan attached	Date		
		Date		
Teacher's signature Evaluator's signature				
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION			
Evaluator's signature				
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION			
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year)	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year.	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Probateachers only.)	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Probateachers only.)	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed Date	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason): I DO NOT RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensu	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed Date *Note: An Impr	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason): I DO NOT RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensu I DO NOT RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensu	Date		
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed Date *Note: An Impr	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason): I DO NOT RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensu	Date		

						T
						+
		Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evalu	ation Syst	tem		
- //		(ATPES)				+
	·	2015-16				+
		2013-10			_	+
29 80 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ITHEATER	School			_	+
Рябііс	Schools	Teacher last name			-	+
		Teacher first name			-	-
		Content Area/Grade Level				+
						+
		Group Date informal evaluation	Group B			+
						+
		Date formal evaluation				┿
		Evaluator name				+
ncher at Le velopmen	r at Level 4 exceeds the evel 4 is recognized as nt of this skill with collea	e best practices of Level 3 through innovation, flexibility, a leader in this area and could be called upon to model s agues. es appropriate best practice for an indicator, engages an	skills/compet	encies or a	assist in t	he
teacher at		es strategies for an indicator but does not yet monitor its ng toward applying effective practices leading to the acco g the skills necessary to positively effect student progre	omplishment			
prove his/	assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon	strate a strategy for an indicator but it is incorrect or ine application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a				
prove his/	assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon			ve effects o		t
orove his/ ogress.	assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and esigning and Planning	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and esigning and Planning	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and esigning and Planning Establishes appropriate	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress. ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /signing and Planning Establishes appropriate * Long-term planni * Reflected in curri	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress. main 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /signing and Planning Establishes appropriate * Long-term planni * Reflected in curri	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ pgress. ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ pgress. hain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e:	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ pgress. ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her under	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ pgress. ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her understandin	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her understandin	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a g Instruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ pgress. ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her unde	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a ginstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her unde	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
borove his/ bogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her unde	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a ginstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
borove his/ bogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a ginstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
borove his/ bogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a ginstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
ain 1: De	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a ginstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
hain 1: De 1.1 1.2 1.3	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding a	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources pexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
borove his/ ogress.           nain 1: De           1.1           1.2           1.3           1.4	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding //her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understanding //her understanding and /her understanding //her understandin	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources i experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
nain 1: De 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding // Long-term planning * Long-term planning * Reflected in curri Makes instructional plan data Activities and lessons ar * Aligned with star * Related to the id * Sequenced from * Built on prior stu Adapts instructional opp * Includes learning * Plans accommod Teacher is prepared: * Daily planning ev * Materials prepared	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources pexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success ident ident ad and accessible	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
nain 1: De 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her understanding and /her und	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources i experiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding /her understanding and /her und	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a glinstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources pexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success ident ident ad and accessible	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
nain 1: De           1.1           1.2           1.3           1.4           1.5           1.6           nin 1 Overa	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and //her understanding //her understan	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a gInstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources gexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success ident ad and accessible ection to promote student achievement	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
nain 1: De           1.1           1.2           1.3           1.4           1.5           1.6           ain 1 Overa           nain 2: As	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon- /her understanding and /her understanding and //her understanding and //her understanding //her	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a gInstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources gexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success ident ection to promote student achievement ng Student Learning	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t
prove his/ ogress.	e assistance in masterin r at Level 1 may demon /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and /her understanding and //her understanding //her understanding ////////her understanding //her underst	application of strategies for this indicator to correct or a gInstruction goals and objectives aligned to State Standards ng in place iculum maps ning decisions based on qualitative and quantitative assessment e: ndards entified objective basic to complex dent knowledge, promote higher order thinking and are relevant ortunities for diverse learners y of materials, methods and resources gexperiences for students which address a variety of cognitive levels dations or modifications for individual student success ident ad and accessible ection to promote student achievement	avoid negativ	ve effects o	on studen	t

2.3 2.4	Assessments have clear, measurable criteria		
2.4	Feedback from students is regularly used to monitor and adjust instruction		
2.5	Continuously enrolled students assigned to this teacher demonstrate academic progress as measured by valid and reliable quantitative assessment data over itme	Not used for this teacher	
nain 2 Overa	all rating		
main 3: Ci	reating and Sustaining the Environment		
3.1	Creates an environment based on respect and effective relationships with students		
3.2	Establishes and maintains classroom procedures and rules		
3.3	Responses to student behavior are appropriate and consistent		
3.4	Encourages the student to demonstrate self-discipline and responsibility to self and others		
3.5	Creates a classroom culture that promotes the development of intrinsic motivation to learn		
3.6	Safe and adequate organization of physical space provides equitable access to learning and the use of resources, materials and technology		
3.7	Strategies are in place for effective transitions		
nain 3 Overa			
	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
main 4: Im	plementing and Adjusting Instruction		
4.1	Demonstrates knowledge of the subject matter		
4.2	Clearly communicates objectives and state standards to students		
4.3	Provides clear written and/or oral communication to students		
	Instructional pace maximizes time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit		
4.4			
4.5	Uses research-based classroom strategies and grouping techniques		
4.6	Promotes student engagement and student questions addressing needs of all learners		
4.7	Encourages critical thinking, creative thinking and problem solving		
4.8	Uses effective questioning and discussion techniques		
4.9	Provides on-going feedback to students during instruction		
4.10	Uses a variety of instructional resources, including technology		
4.11	Demonstrates flexibility and responsiveness based on student needs		
4.12	Models the skills, concepts, attributes, or thinking processes to be learned		
nain 4 Overa	all rating		
main 5: Pr 5.1	rofessional Responsibilities Supports school and district vision, mission, beliefs and policies while abiding by the ethics of the profession		
5.2	Collaborates, plans, supports and interacts with colleagues in a professional manner		
5.2	Reviews and evaluates overall performance and responds to employer feedback in order to plan for and engage in high-quality professional development		
	Performs non-instructional duties		
	* Maintains accurate, confidential and timely student records		
	* Participates in required meetings		
	* Is punctual		
	* Maintains a professional day		
5.4	* Completes assigned tasks by established deadlines		
<b>F F</b>	Communicates student achievement and progess to students, their parents, and others as		
5.5	appropriate	School	
E C	Continuously enrolled students at the school demonstrate academic progress measured by	Weighted Growth	
5.6	valid and reliable quantitative assessment data over time	Average School Weighted Average of	
57	Other massures of school effectiveness	Other	
5.7	Other measures of school effectiveness	Measures	

The instrument provided here is for reference only. The evaluator user form is

#### provided electronically.

	Summary	0.00	
	Domain 1	0.00	
	Domain 2	0.00	
	Domain 3	0.00	
	Domain 4	0.00	
	Domain 5	0.00	
	Total all domains	0.00	
Weighted values we	e used to meet legal requirements regarding weighting of student progress data:		
	Points	Weighted value	
	1	0	
	2	0.92	
	3	1.84	
	4		
		2.70	
Criteria for effectiv	eness labels		
	Group B		
Highly effective	110.00		
Effective	94-109		
Developing	76-93		
Ineffective	<76		
	Based on the criteria above, this teacher is classified as		
Teacher's signature		Date	
		<b>D</b> (	
Evaluator's signature		Date	
Teacher comments	optional):		
Administrator Com	ments: See Attached Narrative		
PROFESSIONA	L DEVELOPMENT PLAN INFORMATION		
	Results of evaluation require Needs Improvement Plan*		
	Professional Growth Plan attached		
Teacher's signature		Date	
Teacher's signature		Date	
		Date Date	
Teacher's signature Evaluator's signature			
Evaluator's signature			
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION		
Evaluator's signature			
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION		
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year)	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year.	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Probateachers only.)	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Probateachers only.)	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Probateachers only.)	Date	
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	
Evaluator's signature	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed Date	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason): I DO NOT RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensu	Date	
Evaluator's signature EMPLOYMENT (To be initialed Date	RECOMMENDATION by PRINCIPAL at Final Evaluation of the year) I RECOMMEND that this employee be offered a contract for the ensuing year. I RECOMMEND this employee for continuing status. (Use for third year Proba teachers only.) NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason):	Date	Image: Constraint of the sector of

#### Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System Narrative Comments

Teacher:	Date:
This evaluation is based on the following numbe	r of observations, formal and informal: 1 2 3+
<b>Domain 1: Designing and Planning Instruction</b> Evidence of designing and planning instruction that	
Supporting Data:	
Recommendations for continuous improvement inclu	
Supporting Data:	
Areas that may negatively impact student performant	
Supporting Data:	
Area(s) of deficiency that must be addressed (Requi Supporting Data:	red for ratings of "1"):
Required action(s):	
Domain 2: Assessing and Analyzing Student L	earning
Evidence of assessing and analyzing student learnin	g that positively impacts student learning includes:
Supporting Data:	
Recommendations for continuous improvement inclu	ıde:
Supporting Data:	
Areas that may negatively impact student performan	nce include:
Supporting Data:	

Area(s) of deficiency that must be addressed (Required for ratings of "1"):

Supporting Data:

Required action(s):

#### **Domain 3: Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment**

Evidence of creating and sustaining the learning environment that positively impacts student learning includes:

Supporting Data:

Recommendations for continuous improvement include:

Supporting Data:

Areas that may negatively impact student performance include:

Supporting Data:

\_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of deficiency that must be addressed (Required for ratings of "1"): *Supporting Data:* 

Required action(s):

#### **Domain 4: Implementing and Adjusting Instruction**

Evidence of implementing and adjusting instruction that positively impacts student learning includes:

Supporting Data:

Areas that may negatively impact student performance include:

\_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of deficiency that must be addressed (Required for ratings of "1"): *Supporting Data:* 

Required action(s):

#### **Domain 5: Professional Responsibilities**

Evidence of professional responsibilities that positively impacts the students and the school include:

Supporting Data:

Recommendations for continuous improvement include:

Supporting Data:

-----

Areas that may negatively impact student performance include:

Supporting Data:

\_\_\_\_\_

Area(s) of deficiency that must be addressed (Required for ratings of "1"): *Supporting Data:* 

Required action(s):

*Note: An Improvement Plan MUST BE DEVELOPED if ratings of "1" are given.* Copies to: Human Resources – School - Employee

# AMPHITHEATER TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM Informal Classroom Observation Record

Teacher:	_School:
Grade/Subject Observed:	Date of Observation:

Description of the observation:

Teacher behaviors that positively impacted student learning included:

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

Area(s) of focus that may further maximize student learning:

- •
- •
- •
- •

# Area(s) of concern

- •
- •
- •
- •

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:

### AMPHITHEATER TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM Informal Classroom Observation Record

Teacher:	School:
Grade/Subject Observed:	Date of Observation:

Domain 1: Designing and Planning Instruction

Domain 2: Assessing and Analyzing Student Learning

Domain 3: Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment

Domain 4: Implementing and Adjusting Instruction

Domain 5: Professional Responsibilities

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:	
5		

## AMPHITHEATER TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Teacher:	School:
Grade/Subject:	

SPECIFIC DOMAIN(S) OR INDICATOR(S) NEEDING IMPROVEMENT:

EXPECTED OUTCOMES: (Describe the expected improvements in performance)

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE TO BE PROVIDED:

Page 1 of 2

Dates of Progress Checks: (Progress to be assessed at each of these dates. Evaluator and teacher will both initial at each checkpoint) Progress Check Dates: Rating: Initials:

 -		

Checkpoint ratings:

- P Performance meets the expected standard. Plan may be terminated.
- CP Plan should be continued.
- NP Progress not made as specified in plan.

## FINAL ASSESSMENT

# Performance Meets Expectations Performance Does Not Meet Expectations Teacher's Signature

Teacher's Signature:	Date:
Evaluator's Signature:	Date:

Page 2 of 2

Provide copies when plan is developed. Distribute copies after timelines are completed.

Copies to: Human Resources School Employee

Appendix B

FORMS

#### PRE-OBSERVATION INFORMATION Recommended

Teacher	Date of Conference	
Date and Time for Observation		

Plan an instructional lesson of 30-45 minutes. Complete the whole lesson, from start to finish, within the observation period. Prepare a written lesson plan for the evaluator.

- 1. The lesson presented will be:
- 2. Arizona College and Career Ready Standards addressed:
- 3. The lesson is being taught because: (Include pre-assessment information here. Also, explain how this lesson fits into the long-range plan.)
- 4. The assessments of student learning include:
- 5. Special characteristics of the class and how adjustments made for special needs students include:
- 6. In our conference, I would especially like feedback about:

This form must be completed and returned to the observer the day before the scheduled observation. When being observed, please have the following prepared:

- 1. Lesson plans out where the observer can review them.
- 2. Copies of materials students will be using.
- 3. Copies of any follow-up/homework materials that will be used to reinforce the concept taught.

## PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES Optional Listing of Professional Activities

Name:\_\_\_\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_

I. School/District Committees (List the committees and level of responsibility held for each.)

II. Professional Development Activities/Education (List workshops, institutes, conferences, summer classes, and seminars along with dates attended. Also indicate the nature of participation such as presenter, etc.)

III. Education-Related Community Service (List educational tours, business partnerships/shadowing, or other experiences along with dates of those activities which support professional activities beyond the classroom.)

IV. Other

Copies to: Human Resources - School - Employee

## AMPHITHEATER TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM PROFESSIONAL GROWTH PLAN

AREA(S) TO BE ADDRESSED:

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

SPECIFIC ACTIONS AND TIMELINES:

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE TO BE PROVIDED:

Teacher's Signature:	Date:
Administrator's Signature:	Date:

Copies to: Human Resources – School – Employee

# **Professional Growth Plan**

Name:	School:
Grade Level/Subject Area:	Date:

Goal for your own professional	growth:		
My objectives:	My activities: (One activity per box)	Timeline for activities:	Evidence of impact on student learning:

My reflection on the effectiveness of my plan (e.g., include specific examples of application to classroom practice, "aha" moments, relationships to student achievement, connections made, future actions proposed):

Appendix C

Sample Lesson Plan Formats

46

# Lesson Plan

Teacher Name:	Dat	e:

School:\_\_\_\_\_\_Subject/Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

Learner Objectives	Teaching Procedures	Materials	Assessment of Learner Progress	Special Needs
AZCCSS				

Comments

## Lesson Plan

Teacher Name:

School:

Subject/Grade:

Date:

**Learning Objective:** (What do you want students to know and be able to do? What is the intended learning?)

# Arizona College and Career Ready Standards, or other content specific standards, addressed:

Time Frame: (Is the instructional time appropriate for the lesson?)

Anticipatory Set: (How will the students be "hooked" to the learning?)

**Relevancy:** (What is the purpose of the lesson?)

**Check for Understanding:** (How will you know the students are progressing through the lesson successfully?)

**Assessment:** (How will you know the students have gained the intended learning? What assessment tools will you use? How will students be involved in ongoing assessment?)

**Learning Connections:** (What student needs, interests, and prior learning will be the foundation for this lesson? What curriculum connections will be made in this lesson?)

**Learning Task Description:** (What teaching **methods** will be implemented? How will the learning activity be structured to encourage learner involvement?)

**Modeling:** (How will you show the students what you would like for them to do, know or demonstrate?)

Guided Practice: (What will students do to try out their new learning?)

**Special Class characteristics:** (What modifications will be in place for special needs learners and accelerated learners?)

**Closure:** (How will the intended learning be summarized by the students?)

#### Materials and Resources:

# Elementary Reading/Language Arts Lesson Plan Template (Page 1 of 2)

Grade Level:	Unit:	Day:	
Theme:			
Question of the week:			
Text:			
Ohiective			
Standards			
Stanuarus			
Get Ready to Read		Duration	
Get Ready to Read Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
	:	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources	::	Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources Instruction: Read and Comprehend		Duration	
Materials and Targeted Resources			
Materials and Targeted Resources Instruction: Read and Comprehend			
Materials and Targeted Resources Instruction: Read and Comprehend Materials and Targeted Resources			
Materials and Targeted Resources Instruction: Read and Comprehend			
Materials and Targeted Resources Instruction: Read and Comprehend Materials and Targeted Resources			

# Elementary Reading/Language Arts Lesson Plan Template (Page 2 of 2)

Language Arts	Duration	]
Materials and Targeted Resources:		Notes:
Instruction:		
Assessments		
Homework		

<b>Elementary Mathematics Less</b>	on Plan Template (Page 1 of 2)	
Grade Level	Unit	Chapter
Lesson	Teacher Pages	Student Pages
Math Standards:	ndards: Mathematical Practices:	
Theme:		
Essential Question:		
Objective:		
	Launch the Chapter	
	(Up to one day's lesson)	
Am I Ready?	Video Launch of Concept	My Math Words
My Vocabulary Cards	My Foldable	Project-based Learning
Notes:		
	Daily Routine Options (5-10 min.)	
Arizona College and Career	What's the Math	Building on the Essential
Ready Quick Check <u>or</u> Problem		Question
of the Day	-	
Developing Vocabulary     Notes:	Math Songs/Animations	Virtual Manipulatives
	Launch the Lesson Options	
	(5-10 min.)	
	Vocabulary 🛛 Work Mats	Virtual Manipulatives
Notes:		
	Teach	
Math in My World	(10-15 min.)	Talk Math
Hands-on		Talk About It
Exploration: Modeling the Math		
<ul> <li>Learn the Strategy</li> </ul>	Practice the Strategy	Explore & Explain
Self-Check Quizzes	<ul> <li>Math in Motion Videos</li> </ul>	See & Show
Work Mats/Manipulatives	eStudent Edition	Virtual Manipulatives
Notes:		

Elementary Mathematics Lesson Plan Template (Page 2 of 2)			
Practice & Apply Options (Plan for multiple tasks)			
	(20-30 min.)		
Independent Practice/Practice It	Apply It	Write About It	
Differentiated Independent Practice in Student Edition	Problem Solving	HOT Problems	
Common Error Alerts	<u>Rtl Differentiated Instruction</u>	<ul> <li>Leveled Practice (Reteach &amp; Enrich – Online Resources</li> </ul>	
Small Group Options	My Learning Station Options	Online Games	
Notes:			
	Wrap it UP (5 min.)		
<u>My Homework</u>	Vocabulary Check	<u>Test Practice</u>	
Review	Reflect and Clarify	Formative Assessment	
	Assessments		
Am I Ready? –			
Diagnose and Prescribe -			
Check My Progress -			
Assessment -			
Reflect and Review -			

# **SIOP Lesson Plan Components**

Teacher Name:		School:
Торіс:		Class:
Population Description:		
Time Frame:		
	Preparation	
AZ State Standards:	•	
Content Objectives:		
*Language Objectives:		
*Learning Strategies:		
Key Vocabulary:		
Materials:		
Higher Order Questions:		

# Instruction

Building Background: (Links to Experience, Links to Learning, Key Vocabulary Activities)

**Presentation:** (Lesson Delivery)

**Practice/Application:** (Activities, strategies, and interaction)

# Review

Review and Assessment: (Review objectives and vocabulary, assess learning)

Modifications: (What extensions and modifications will you use to meet the needs of all students?)

# **Members on the ATPES Committee**

Sarah Andricopoulos	Instructional Support Assistant, La Cima Middle School
Glenda Arffa	Assistant Principal, Coronado K-8 School
Beth Brungardt	Special Education Teacher, Keeling Elementary School
John Fife	President, Amphi EA/Teacher, Cross Middle School
Fabienna Godlewski	Math Teacher, Canyon Del Oro High school
Andy Heinemann	Principal, Cross Middle School
Margaret Hervert	Second Grade Teacher, Rio Vista Elementary School
David Humphries	English Teacher, Amphitheater High School
Kevin Johnson	English Teacher, Coronado K-8 School
Roseanne Lopez, Ed.D.	Chief Academic Officer Elementary Education, Wetmore Center
Jay Midyett, Ph.D.	Wetmore, Program Assessment and Evaluation Analyst
Robin Meece	Elementary School Improvement Specialist, Wetmore
Debbie Melde	Math Teacher, Amphitheater Middle School
Connie Price-Johnson	Wetmore, Research Analyst
Christine Sullivan	Principal, La Cima Middle School
Dawn Theodore	Social Studies Teacher, Ironwood Ridge High School