

January 29, 2019
Teacher and Principal Evaluation System Recommends
Background and Rationale

Dr. Roseanne Lopez, Associate Superintendent for Elementary Education

# State Law Teacher Evaluation

# ARS § 15-203 and ARS § 15-537

- Teaching performance and professional practice component of the evaluation shall account for between 50% and 67% of the total evaluation outcome.
- LEAs (Local Education Agency) shall use multiple measures of teaching performance to evaluate teacher.
- LEA evaluation instruments developed or selected as meeting the needs of the LEA shall include rubrics that are aligned to the Professional Teaching Standards approved by the State Board of Education.
- Must result in a label of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective.







# Student Progress Data

Group A Teachers: Teachers with objective student progress data on State and/or local standardized assessments

- Classroom Observations: 57%
- Classroom level student progress data: 20%
- School-level student progress data: 109/
- Other measures of effectiveness: 13%

Group B Teachers: Teachers who do not have student progress data based on State or local standardized assessments.

- Classroom Observations: 67%
- School-level student progress data: 20%
- School-level additional measures of effectiveness: 139/
- NOTE: In Amphitheater we use three years of data to look for trends before we attribute the data to the teacher's evaluation.







# State Law on Principal Evaluation

- Multiple data elements must be used to calculate the portion of each principal's evaluation dedicated to student academic progress.
- Student academic progress shall account for at least 33% of evaluation outcomes, but must not exceed 50%.
- Academic progress must include an academic growth component.
- The "Leadership" component of the evaluation must align with the Arizona Professional Administrative Standards and will account for between 50% and 67% of the evaluation outcomes.
- Must designate a label of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective.







# Our Current Practice

- Amphitheater Teacher Performance Evaluation System (ATPES)
  - Designing and Planning Instruction
  - Assessment and Analyzing Student Learning
  - Creating and Sustaining the Learning Environment
  - Implementing and Adjusting Instruction
  - Professional Responsibilities
  - Student Progress Data
- Amphitheater Principal Performance Evaluation System (APPES)
  - Leadership
  - Student Achievement
  - Relationships
  - Professional Growth
  - Management
  - Student Progress Data, Attendance Rate, Promoting and Marketing the School, Community Outreach







# Student Academic Progress Data: OWA

Assessment/Measure	Grade Level	School	Classroom
Growth measures			
NWEA MAP	2-9, fall-spring growth	yes	yes
AzMERIT pass rate (3 years)	3-12	yes	no
AzMERIT growth	3-8	yes	yes
DIBELS Next	K, 1	yes	no
Other measures of school effectiveness			
ADE A-F school accountability label	All	yes	
District A-F school accountability label	All	yes	
AP testing, passing rates	High school	yes	
College/career readiness	High school	yes	



**AMPHITHEATER** 



# Committee Recommendation for Teacher Evaluation

The Framework for Teaching Evaluation Instrument by Charlotte Danielson (2013)

# Rationale:

- Research based
- Recognized and utilized nationally
- Rubrics are used as a guide for professional learning and align with the Arizona Professional Teaching Standards
- Helps to guide professional conversations about teaching and furthering teacher practice
- Closest to our current philosophy and practice



**AMPHITHEATER** 



# Danielson

- Domain 1: Planning and Preparation
- Domain 2: The Classroom Environment
- Domain 3: Instruction
- Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

- NEED TO ADD: Student progress data
- NEED TO ADD: Performance classification labels





# Charlotte Danielson's FRAMEWORK FOR TEACHING

# **DOMAIN 1: Planning and Preparation**

# 1a Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy

• Content knowledge • Prerequisite relationships • Content pedagogy

# 1b Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

- Child development
   Learning process
   Special needs
- · Student skills, knowledge, and proficiency · Interests and cultural heritage

# 1c Setting Instructional Outcomes

- · Value, sequence, and alignment · Clarity · Balance
- · Suitability for diverse learners

# 1d Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources

• For classroom • To extend content knowledge • For students

# 1e Designing Coherent Instruction

- · Learning activities · Instructional materials and resources
- Instructional groups Lesson and unit structure

# 1f Designing Student Assessments

- Congruence with outcomes
   Criteria and standards
- Formative assessments
   Use for planning

# **DOMAIN 2: The Classroom Environment**

# 2a Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport

- · Teacher interaction with students
- · Student interaction with students

# 2b Establishing a Culture for Learning

- · Importance of content
- Expectations for learning and achievement Student pride in work

# 2c Managing Classroom Procedures

- Instructional groups Transitions Materials and supplies
- Non-instructional duties
- · Supervision of volunteers and paraprofessionals

# 2d Managing Student Behavior

- Expectations Monitoring behavior
- Response to misbehavior

# 2e Organizing Physical Space

- Safety and accessibility
- · Arrangement of furniture and resources

# **DOMAIN 4: Professional Responsibilities**

# 4a Reflecting on Teaching

•Accuracy • Use in future teaching

# 4b Maintaining Accurate Records

- Student completion of assignments
   Student progress in learning
- Non-instructional records

# 4c Communicating with Families

- · About instructional program · About individual students
- Engagement of families in instructional program

# 4d Participating in a Professional Community

- •Relationships with colleagues Participation in school projects
- •Involvement in culture of professional inquiry Service to school

# 4e Growing and Developing Professionally

- •Enhancement of content knowledge / pedagogical skill
- Receptivity to feedback from colleagues Service to the profession

# 4f Showing Professionalism

- •Integrity/ethical conduct Service to students Advocacy
- Decision-making Compliance with school/district regulation

# DOMAIN 3: Instruction

# 3a Communicating With Students

- Expectations for learning Directions and procedures
- · Explanations of content
- Use of oral and written language

# 3b Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

- Quality of questions
   Discussion techniques
- Student participation

# 3c Engaging Students in Learning

- Activities and assignments
   Student groups
- Instructional materials and resources Structure and pacing

# 3d Using Assessment in Instruction

- Assessment criteria Monitoring of student learning
- Feedback to students
- · Student self-assessment and monitoring

# 3e Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

- · Lesson adjustment · Response to students
- Persistence

Copyright 2014 The Danielson Group LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Teacher and Observer training by Danielson Group-endorsed consultants is recommended.





# Committee Recommendation for Principal Evaluation

The Marzano Focused School Leader Evaluation Model

- Rationale:
  - Research based
  - Recognized and utilized nationally
  - Rubrics support principal professional learning for growth
  - Closest to our current philosophy and practice







# The Marzano Focused School Leader Evaluation Model

- A Data-Driven Focus on School Improvement
- Instruction of a Viable and Guaranteed Curriculum
- Continuous Development of Teachers and Staff
- Community of Care and Collaboration
- Core Values
- Resource Management

NEED TO ADD: Student progress data

NEED TO ADD: Performance classification labels









# Marzano Focused School Leader Evaluation Model



# A Data-Driven Focus on School Improvement

# Element 1:

The school leader ensures the appropriate use of data to develop critical goals focused on improving student achievement at the school.

# Element 2:

The school leader ensures appropriate analysis and interpretation of data are used to monitor the progress of each student toward meeting achievement goals.

# Element 3:

The school leader ensures the appropriate implementation of interventions and supportive practices to help each student meet achievement goals.



# Instruction of a Viable and Guaranteed Curriculum

# Element 1:

The school leader provides a clear vision for how instruction should be addressed in the school.

# Element 2:

The school leader uses knowledge of the predominant instructional practices in the school to improve teaching.

# Element 3:

The school leader ensures that school curriculum and accompanying assessments align with state and district standards.

# Element 4:

The school leader ensures that school curriculum is focused on essential standards so it can be taught in the time available to teachers.

# Element 5:

The school leader ensures that each student has equal opportunity to learn the critical content of the curriculum.



# Continuous Development of Teachers and Staff

## Element 1:

The school leader effectively hires, supports and retains personnel who continually demonstrate growth through reflection and growth plans.

# Element 2:

The school leader uses multiple sources of data to provide teachers with ongoing evaluations of their pedagogical strengths and weaknesses that are consistent with student achievement data.

### Element 3:

The school leader ensures that teachers and staff are provided with job-embedded professional development to optimize professional capacity and support their growth goals.



©2018 Robert J. Marzano. Can only be digitized in iObservation. iObservation is a registered trademark of Learning Sciences International® Updated: 06-27-18 MC07-01

877.411.7114 | marzanocenter.com

Page 1









# Marzano Focused School Leader Evaluation Model



# Community of Care and Collaboration

# Element 1:

The school leader ensures that teachers work in collaborative groups to plan and discuss effective instruction, curriculum, assessments, and the achievement of each student.

# Element 2:

The school leader ensures a workplace where teachers have roles in the decision-making process regarding school planning, initiatives, and procedures to maximize the effectiveness of the school.

## Element 3:

The school leader ensures equity in a child-centered school with input from staff, students, parents, and the community.

### Element 4:

The school leader acknowledges the successes of the school and celebrates the diversity and culture of each student.



# Core Values

# Element 1:

The school leader is transparent, communicates effectively, and continues to demonstrate professional growth.

## Element 2:

The school leader has the trust of the staff and school community that all decisions are guided by what is best for each student.

# Element 3:

The school leader ensures that the school is perceived as safe and culturally responsive.



# Resource Management

# Element 1:

The school leader ensures that management of the fiscal, technological, and physical resources of the school supports effective instruction and achievement of each student.

# Element 2:

The school leader utilizes systematic processes to engage district and external entities in support of school improvement.

### Element 3:

The school leader ensures compliance to district, state, and federal rules and regulations to support effective instruction and achievement of each student.



©2018 Robert J. Marzano. Can only be digitized in iObservation. iObservation is a registered trademark of Learning Sciences International® Updated: 06-27-18 MC07-01

877.411.7114 | marzanocenter.com

Page 2









# Links

Danielson

https://www.danielsongroup.org/framework/

Marzano Focused School Leader Evaluation Model

https://www.marzanocenter.com/wp-

content/uploads/2018/10/MC07-02-Marzano-Focused-School

-Leader-Eval-Model-1.pdf







# What would be required to make this change?

- Governing Board approval to move forward with contracting and training
- Preparation of evaluation tools
- Mandatory training for all evaluators of teachers and principals
- Mandatory training for all teachers and principals during beginning in June 2019





# Questions?



