Page 1 Form

Capital Expense Request, Suggestion, or Proposal

Primary Spend Category: Core Functionality (dropdown)	Project Name: eRate Wireless Project			
	opdown)			
Secondary Spend Category (if applicable): Direct Impact on Learning (dropdown)				
Request Number: 20180313 - CF - MA - 112 (update last 3 digits)				
Narrative Description of the project:				
Narrative Description of the project: This project will take place during the summer prior to the 2018-2019 school year. This project included a refresh of 270 (increase of 9 access points from the February 13 board meeting, after getting the final numbers needed for the additions at Holmes) wireless access points in our district that are end of life and can no longer be updated. Our inability to update those access points and our overall wireless infrastructure is hindering the performance capacity of all other access points in the district (total 502). Narrative Statement of why the project/spending is needed: District 97 currently has three different types of access points, and 261 of them are end of life (no longer supported by Cisco) and can no longer be updated. Since cannot update the system to provide benefits to these 261 access points, we are also unable to maximize the performance of the 241 other access points. Our district operates almost entirely on wireless connections, so this affects all users. Cisco and Apple have worked very closely to optimize the wireless connection in newer versions, so the update Anticipated impact/result of the spend: Our current access points that we are recommending to be refreshed are between seven and eight years in production and are end of life, which means they can no longer function on a system with the most up to date version. Because we cannot update our system due to these 261 access points, the rest of the access points also cannot take advantage of updated versions that would allow greater speeds and be optimized for our student and staff devices across all district buildings. Who and how many will be impacted or benefited? All district staff and outside entities that use our classrooms for learning spaces. All District Buildings				
Narrative Statement of what alternatives exist, if any, to the spend: Continued use of unsupported and outdated wireless infrastructure that will prohibit improvements to our wireless system that all students, teachers and staff rely heavily on to deliver high quality instruction and for students to leverage for research to create in ways to demonstrate their learning.				
ways to demonstrate their learning.	reate in			
,	reate in			
Evaluation/Scoring Section				
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (dro	opdown)			
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (dro Date by which the spend would occur: Jul 1 2018 (dropdown)				
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (dro Date by which the spend would occur: Jul 1 2018 (dropdown) Unit dollar amount: \$150,349 Number of units: 1 Total dollar amount: \$ Expected annual impact (+/-) to operating expense: \$90,209 Impact of spend on operating budget:	opdown) \$150,349			
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (drop Date by which the spend would occur: Jul 1 2018 (dropdown) Unit dollar amount: \$150,349 Number of units: 1 Total dollar amount: \$ Expected annual impact (+/-) to operating expense: \$90,209 Impact of spend on operating budget:	opdown) \$150,349			
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (dro Date by which the spend would occur: Jul 1 2018 (dropdown) Unit dollar amount: \$150,349 Number of units: 1 Total dollar amount: \$ Expected annual impact (+/-) to operating expense: \$90,209 Impact of spend on operating budget: As part of our technology plan, the district would see the impact on the technology plan budget be \$150,349, before any expense and the control of the con	ppdown) \$150,349			
Evaluation/Scoring Section Name of Scorer: Michael Arensdorff Date: Mar 13 2018 (dropdown) Date by which the spend would occur: Jul 1 2018 (dropdown) Unit dollar amount: \$150,349 Number of units: 1 Total dollar amount: \$ Expected annual impact (+/-) to operating expense: \$90,209 Impact of spend on operating budget: As part of our technology plan, the district would see the impact on the technology plan budget be \$150,349, before any ereimbursement, which is a 40% reimbursement rate based on our free and reduced numbers across the district. Anticipated/potential offsets (i.e. grants, revenues, etc.): The district will see an estimated revenue amount for 2018-2019 SY of \$60,140 by leveraging the eRate process to receive	ppdown) \$150,349			

42129126.xlsx Page 1

Possible spend category

			category	
evel 1 Category - What is the opportunity	or pr	oblem? (25 Possible Points)		Additional context
Life Safety and Health	25	Required to reasonably prevent or respond to known or projected risks, e.g., educational environment or indoor	LS	e.g. Life Safety Priority A item
Compliance	20	Required for code/regulatory, contract compliance, required upgrades, end-of-life	LS	e.g. Life Safety report items
System Failure/Upgrade	20	A system has failed or is reaching its useful life and is need of replacement now or in the immediate future	CF	
Component Failure/Upgrade	15	A component of a system has failed or is reaching its useful life and is in need of replacement now or in the imm	CF	
District Growth	15	Infrastructure or applications required to enable growth in school, teaching, student, data	CF, DI	
Required Infrastructure	10	Required infrastructure improvement/enhancement to enable other projects	DI, EN	
Strategic Priority	10	System or application needed to enable achievement of District strategic goals and/or ends	EN	Spend is pursuant to District goals, mission, va
Preferred, Desired	7	Customers would prefer a new or different product, system or equipment to that which is currently working	EN	
Political Expedience	3		EN	
No Problem	0	Review and no problem found		
evel 2 Failure Expectancy - What is the fr	equer	ncy of the problem? (25 Possible Points)		
Immediate/Emergency/Very Poor		The system has failed or is expected to fail in less than 1 year	LS, CF	
Probable/High/Poor	19	Failure and/or replacement need within 1 to 3 years	LS, CF	
Eventual/Medium/Fair	13	Failure and/or replacement need within 3 to 5 years	LS, CF	
New/Low/Good	7	The system, component or technology currently does not exist; or failure and/or replacement need is greater that	DI	
No Problem	0	There is no critical problem or identified need with the system	EN	
	•			
evel 3 Consequence - What is the impact	resul	t? (25 Possible Points)		
Safety/Health		Student and/or staff safety or health is or has lost potential to be compromised	LF	e.g. Life Safety Priority A item
Outage/Closure	21	Upon failure, a production outage or a partial or complete facility closure will occur	LF	
Potential Damage	17	Potential or significant damage to District instruction, assets or reputation	LS, CF	
Legal/Financial	13	Significant legal or financial penalties	LS, CF	
Instruction/Investment Return:	9	Generates high return on instruction and/or investment (ROI as measured by test scores, NPV, or other)	CF, DI	
Staff Productivity:	6	Significantly increases staff productivity (as measured objectively)	DI, EN	
Loss Opportunity/Minor Conseque	n 3	Opportunity lost to improve process or reporting, or minor consequences	EN	
No Failure:	0	No failure is expected		
	•			
evel 4 Users Impacted (25 Possible Point	s)			
	25			
High Impact/District-wide	20			
High Impact/District-wide Medium Impact/Feeder-wide	17			
Medium Impact/Feeder-wide	17 8			
Medium Impact/Feeder-wide Lower Impact/School-wide	17 8			

Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030	one-time on going	Life SafeLS Core FuiCF Direct In DI EnhanceEN
	30 31			

Life Safety and Health	25	Immedia	25	Safety/Health
Compliance	20	Probabl€	19	Outage/Closure
System Failure/Upgrade	20	Eventua	13	Potential Damage
Component Failure/Upgrade	15	New/Lov	7	Legal/Financial
District Growth	15	No Prob	0	Instruction/Investr
Required Infrastructure	10			Staff Productivity
Strategic Priority	10			Loss Opportunity/
Preferred, Desired	7			No Failure
Policital Expendience	3			
No Problem	0			

25	High Imr 25	3	7
21	Medium 17	(submitter's date)	(start spend date)
17	Lower In 8		
13	Minimal 0		
9			
6			
3			
0			

Project Name	Request #	Primary Spend Category	Secondary Spend Category
eRate Wireless Project	20180313 - CF - MA - 112	CF	DI

	Estimated Dollar	Start Date of	
Building or Location(s)	Amount	Spend	Score
All District Buildings	\$150,349	07/01/2018	67

Annual	
Impact	Anticipated
to OpEx	Offsets
90209	60140

(COPY AND PASTE VALUES INTO MASTER CAPEX)