

TITLE: 25-021 for E-Rate C2 Services DATE: 4/22/2025

## **ACTION ITEM**

## **BACKGROUND:**

We request that the MISD Board of Trustees approve the recommendation as made by the Purchasing Department for awarding the RFP 25-021 for E-Rate C2 Services. Completed proposal requests for RFP 25-021 were received prior to the closing at 2:00 p.m. on March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2025.

## **CONSIDERATIONS:**

The purpose of this Request for Proposals was to solicit a qualified vendor for C2 related goods and services including network switches, wireless access points, cabling, and related services. Mansfield ISD received four responses, with only two responding to all requested areas.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

"The Purchasing Department recommends the approval of CDW G for E-Rate C2 services as listed."

Mansfield ISD Network Equipment Evaluation						
FY2025 E-Rate						
FCC Form 470 Number	250026047					
Vendor Name		CDW G - 180 FT	CDW G - 220 FT	DISYS	Advanced Networks	CMC Network Solutions
	Switches	\$57,746.28	\$57,746.28	\$56,978.76	\$84,263.05	
	WAPS	\$272,032.99	\$272,032.99	\$316,628.73	\$372,369.67	
	Cabling	\$153,659.91	\$162,104.31	\$124,745.00		\$86,995.30
	Total Cost	\$483,439.18	\$ 491,883.58	\$498,352.49	\$456,632.72	\$86,995.00
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points					
Purchase price	30	30.00	29.48	29.10		
Reputation of the vendor and vendor's goods or services	10	10	10	10	9	8
Quality of the vendor's goods or services	10	10	10	10	8	9
Extent to which the goods or services meet the district's needs	10	10	10	10	7	5
Vendor's past relationship with the district	5	5	5	3	3	3
Impact of district's compliance with laws and rules relating to Historically Underutilized Businesses	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total long-term cost to the district	5	5	5	5	5	5
Other relevant factors specifically listed in this CSP	- U	Ü	Ü	-	- U	Ü
Meets Manufacturer Certification Requirements	5	5	5	5	5	5
Service and support proximity to school district	5	5	5	5	5	5
Compatibility with existing network equipment	11	11	11	11	11	11
Vendor has DIR Contract or Interlocal Purchasing Agreement acceptable to District	8	8	8	8	8	8
Total Maximum Points :	100	99.00	98.48	96.10	61.00	59.00
CDWG provided an additional response with a different avg cable length. It's list and was the avg length the other suppliers were responding to.  Advanced Networks did not respond to the Cabling Section			opted to go with w			
CMC Network Solutions did not respond to the Switches or WAPS section						
OMO NELWOLK SOLUTIONS AND HOLLESPOND TO THE SWITCHES OF WAPS SECTION						