User: Kathy Johnson User Role: District

FIRST RATING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012

Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: WASKOM ISD(102903)		Publication Level 1: 6/21/2013 3:05:18 PM			
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: None			
Rating: Superior Achievement		Last Updated: 6/21/2013 3:05:18 PM			
Dist	rict Score: 70	Passing Score: 52			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was The Total Fund Balance L and Restricted Fund Balance (In The General Fund?	4/26/2013 5:11:19 PM	Yes		
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net (Net of Accretion of Interest of Appreciation Bonds) In the Ge Activities Column in the State Greater than Zero? (If the Dis Change in Students was 10%	4/26/2013 5:11:19 PM	Yes		
3	Were There No Disclosures Ir Financial Report And/Or Othe Information Concerning Defar Indebtedness Obligations?	4/26/2013 5:11:20 PM	Yes		
4	Was The Annual Financial Rep One Month After November 2 28th Deadline Depending Upo Fiscal Year End Date (June 30 31st)?	4/26/2013 5:11:20 PM	Yes		
5	Was There An Unqualified Op	4/26/2013	Yes		

7/10/2013

	Financial Report?	5:11:20 PM	
6	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?	4/26/2013 5:11:20 PM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	4/26/2013 5:11:21 PM	5
8	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	4/26/2013 5:11:21 PM	5
9	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	4/26/2013 5:11:21 PM	5
10	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	4/26/2013 5:11:22 PM	5
11	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	4/26/2013 5:11:22 PM	5
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	4/26/2013 5:11:22 PM	5
13	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	4/26/2013 5:11:23 PM	5

14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)	4/26/2013 5:11:23 PM	5
15	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	4/26/2013 5:11:23 PM	5
16	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/26/2013 5:11:23 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/26/2013 5:11:24 PM	5
18	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)	4/26/2013 5:11:24 PM	5
19	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than <u>\$0?</u>	4/26/2013 5:11:24 PM	5
20	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?	4/26/2013 5:11:25 PM	5
			70 Weighted Sum
×			1 Multiplier Sum
			70 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

In

0

100000

- A. Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is
 Substandard Achievement.
- **B.** Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)

Superior Achievement	64-70
Above Standard Achievement	58-63
Standard Achievement	52-57
Substandard Achievement	<52

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios			Indicator 17	Range Ratios	s for
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High		District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22		< 500	5	14
500-999	10	22	6	500-999	5.8	14
1000-4999	11.5	22		1000-4999	6.3	14
5000-9999	13	22		5000-9999	6.8	14
=> 10000	13.5	22		=> 10000	7.0	14

OPTIONS

Update Unpassed	Update All	Lower Publication Level
Raise Publication Lev	vel Susp	end Suspension Reason.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734