

BUDGET HEARING
COMMUNITY COMMENT

shortfall to provide mental health services to the elementary schools in the amount of \$30,000 for the 2014-15 school year. If the board could find a way to fill that gap for one year, then his team in the curriculum office could allocate that money next year, through the budgeting process, to fill that gap for the 2015-16 school year and forward. Without that funding resource mental health services will cease to exist in at least 80% of our elementary schools. As a former elementary school principal he knows what those services do for the students that need that service.

Board Chair Olmo explained that they will finish with the Community Comment period during the budget session and once they get back to looking at the approval of the budget if anyone were to want to make a motion to move \$30,000 from contingency to services that would make the money available for elementary mental health.

Paul Wolter with "News with Views.com". Has been in the news business for the past 12 years and seen this wonderful country go down the tubes. He is proud of this board—proud that they stood up against Common Core and had the courage to stand up for what they believe in. Made sure that they don't have unisex bathrooms in the schools—kept questionable books away from the kids. He explained they have about eight school teachers that write regularly for the publication.

ADOPT BUDGET,
APPROPRIATE FUNDS &
DECLARE TAX LEVY

Board Chair Olmo stated that if anyone would like to make a motion to allocate \$30,000 from contingency to service to maintain the elementary mental health program she would accept the motion. There can be an open discussion on the topic if there is a motion and a second.

Member Crume made a motion, for the purpose of discussion, to postpone approval until the July board meeting so they have a chance to discuss it and talk about it. They have received a lot of requests for money out of the contingency fund already. Member Lengwin seconded the motion. The motion passed 3-2, Olmo and Dwyer opposing. Ms. Olmo stated it would be tabled until the July meeting and Mr. Valenzuela can provide additional information.

Board Chair Olmo stated they are moving on to the budget portion to adopt the budget that was recommended by the Budget Committee. She asked for clarification on exactly what needs to be approved? Lisa Cross responded that if they approve the resolution to adopt the budget, appropriate funds and declare the tax levy everything is in writing in the resolution.

Member Crume asked a question in regards to the tax levy—is it the same as they have always had? Ms. Cross responded that it covers the district's general obligation bonds and the amount that is levied will cover the payments for next year.

Member York made a motion to adopt the budget, appropriate funds and declare the tax levy as presented. Member Lengwin seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Board Chair Olmo stated they are moving into the regular board session (6:00 PM) and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

SUPERINTENDENT'S
REPORT

Superintendent Adams stated phase 3 of the North Valley Sewed District Feasibility Study is now complete and presented the board with a complete folder that contained phase 1, 2 and 3 of the study. The board was informed of phase 1 and 2 and she brought their attention to phase 3. In phase 3—item 3.3 provides options. In the following pages there are cost comparisons. Page 26 provides information on the funding plan (grants, loans and programs that will fund jobs). The board now has the complete information to move forward.

Director Breckner recognized staff that serve on the Oregon Ed Tech Professional

Development Cadre: Rob Saunders, Kirsten Valenzuela and Dave Valenzuela.

RECOGNITION OF
EXCELLENCE

North Valley High School principal recognized Ian and Angie Kassab. They are the directors of the North Valley Boosters Club and have volunteered over eight years of service to the school.

New Bridge principal Brent Workley recognized his language arts teacher Kim DeForest. Ms. DeForest had her students at the correctional facility participate in a national poetry contest and one of her students, Aaron Martin, won the contest. The student was able to go on the first ever 'field trip' from New Bridge high school to Eugene where he was interviewed by a couple of newspapers.

District Accountant Lisa Cross reported that the Cash Report was submitted; the tax collections were 2% above last year. The year end forecast is consistent at \$2 million for an ending fund balance.

FINANCIAL REPORTS

Board Chair Olmo presented the Consent Agenda. All items on the Consent Agenda may be approved by a single motion unless a member of the Board or the Superintendent requests that an item or items be removed and voted upon separately. Member Dwyer made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Member Crume seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

Robert Conrad first addressed Mr. Crume and stated he had made several mistakes in the past, he should have never stepped down as board chair. He encouraged him to keep on doing what he is doing. What he and several other board members are doing is fighting against communist core. Sexual orientation and unisex restrooms is a ridiculous idea with no common sense used in the thought process. What happened to morals and common sense? Mr. Conrad then addressed the superintendent—in regards to a lawsuit against the school district and was stopped by Board Chair Olmo as the public is not allowed to address personnel issues in Community Comment. She explained it could create additional liability for the district and can not be allowed. Mr. Conrad then stated that a conservative Christian male makes an easy target. The board runs the school district and the superintendent works for the board. Ms. Olmo reminded him to be careful with his comments.

COMMUNITY
COMMENTS

Joseph Rice stated that it is important that the school board represent the values of this community. As a parent of a child enrolled in Three Rivers School District he supports the values of the sitting school board. They have fought against Common Core, an indoctrination curriculum that re-writes our constitution and teaches a different interpretation of it; undermining the values many have sacrificed to preserve it. Mr. Conrad then went on to state how he is trying to wrap his head around how the superintendent believes unisex bathrooms are a positive thing in our schools. His daughter goes to the school. It is not a value this community holds. His daughter has a right not to be teased or harassed using the restroom. If transgender students are prevalent within the district they should use the teacher lounge. Adult supervision and you can assure the student will not be bullied. He went on to state the recent conduct of the superintendent is atrocious. Board Chair Olmo then cut him off and asked him to stop. Mr. Rice advised he was stating his opinion under the first amendment and the ability to redress his grievance to government and then asked Ms. Olmo if she was attempting to silence him? Ms. Olmo responded yes. Mr. Rice responded "He will not have it and will continue". Ms. Olmo attempted to cut off his comments again and explained they could be causing increased liability for the district and asked him to conclude. Board Chair Olmo then recessed the meeting at 6:21

Board Chair Olmo reconvened the meeting at 6:22 and issued an apology to the

RECESS	superintendent for the comments made. They are not reflective of the views of the entire community.
COMMUNITY COMMENTS	Teacher Kari O'Brien addressed the board regarding class sizes, specifically dual-curriculum—a fourth and fifth grade curriculum being taught in the same room. She hoped that in workshops over the course of the summer they will find a way to either eliminate the dual curriculum classrooms or support them with a full time assistant dedicated to that classroom.
JEROME PRAIRIE PROJECTED SAVINGS VS. ACTUAL	District Accountant Lisa Cross stated that at the last meeting board member Danny York asked for information regarding the consolidation. She explained that the initial estimate for staff savings was \$235,000 and in reviewing the figures, the actual number is \$258,640. Looking at the enrollment, it was projected the first year to be 358—and the actual as of December 2013 was 397. It has come down since then and has settled in the 370's. In regards to the bussing, she checked with First Student Manager Rowdy Bates and he reported that there really was no impact either way because they re-did a lot of the routes. There was only a .02 hour difference which resulting in an approximate savings of \$81.90 for the entire 165 day year. The estimated electrical savings per year was \$15,693 and the actual savings (through May) was \$8,345. The estimated fuel savings per year was \$31,396 and the actual savings (through May) was \$25,237. Looking into it she realized first, that the building was occupied for about one quarter of the year and during the winter they used down their fuel and hold off getting loads of diesel until fall. In this case the tank got filled in November or December for Jerome Prairie when it really didn't need to be.
RESOLUTION (#7) TO TRANSFER FUNDS	District Accountant Lisa Cross stated back in 1993/94 the board approved that with the schools discretionary funds—they wanted a way for them to use it efficiently and have a little bit of a savings account so there is now an account 150. If schools do not use all of their discretionary dollars, it's rolled over at the end of the year to fund 150 and they use it to purchase things for the school—Chrome books, curtains for their stages, etc. On June 1 st she took an estimate, not to exceed \$180,000. Whatever their ending balance is on June 30 th will be rolled over. Member Lengwin made a motion to approve the transfer of funds from the general fund to the carryover fund as presented. Member Dwyer seconded and the motion passed unanimously.
RESOLUTION (#06) TO TRANSFER APPROPRIATIONS	Ms. Cross brought forward resolution #06. Last year it was brought to the board, the idea of moving all of the district scholarship funds over to Josephine County Education Fund. Some of them were moved last year and we have more to move this fiscal year. Because it is actually the district writing a check to them it hits our books. Although this was approved in theory, because we have to write a check she needs formal approval to do it. There are only a few scholarships left to move over. Ms. Cross asked for approval to move the funds in fund 700. Member Dwyer made a motion to move the funds as presented in resolution #06. Member York seconded and the motion passed unanimously.
INTER-DISTRICT TRANSFERS	Director Allen-Hart reminded the board that a few months back they agreed to go ahead and grandfather all of the students that were on a transfer this year. This was 85 students, the majority of which were from District 7 and then a few from Rogue River, Medford and outlying areas. Those students are now ours forever. They no longer have to do the annual re-application process. The next step, however, is to determine how many students we are going to take in the upcoming year that are new transfer students. With the new law, the district is not able to handle transfers as before. The district needs to set a cap and go through the process of taking applications in a designated window of time. Should we exceed that cap, she will come back to the board seeking permission to potentially raise the cap. Ms. Allen-Hart proposed 100 slots for the upcoming year for new students that would be coming to Three Rivers. She proposed a small change to the

grid she had presented to the board in the packet. She would like to stay with 45 high school students coming in, but would like to shift five students from the elementary up to the middle school given the roll up numbers. This would allow 25 middle school and 30 elementary school slots. These are slots that are available at any elementary schools, they are not specific to a school or a grade level. Parents will be given first or second preference given the space that's allowed and to maintain class sizes. She requested a motion to approve the district cap at 100 for the upcoming year. The lottery will be July 23rd. As long as the district is under the 100 cap we can receive all of the students, provided that they are within the individual caps. Should we exceed the 100, she will hold those and will come back to the board at the September meeting to ask that the cap be raised if there is space.

INTER-DISTRICT
TRANSFERS

Member Lengwin asked how the district is doing the high school transfer process? If somebody applies to come in now, is she granting that now—or waiting until a certain date? Ms. Allen-Hart responded that if the board were to approve it tonight, tomorrow they would begin to receive applications and that window will be open until July 10th. She would then continue to take applications, but date stamp them. The district would close July 10th and assess the numbers that she has, and see where the numbers fall within the allotted slots that are available in the high schools. We would continue to accept transfers but make it really clear that those will be based on after they are finished with the first round of the lottery. Should there be additional space the cap will be raised as appropriate and take those students as well. The drawing will be conducted on July 23rd and the students will be placed that filled out their application within that period of time. Ms. Allen Hart reported that all of the districts in the area are doing the same process. Grants Pass school district opened their window last week. She will have the information available on the district website. It has gone out to all of our current transfer students.

Member York made a motion to approve the cap at 100 as presented. Member Dwyer seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Director Breckner stated that the board had approved the tentative 2014-15 calendar before. They had talked about the survey data around the statewide inservice day which for October 2014 would have been October 10th. Students would have ended on Friday the 12th and teachers would have returned on June 15th. The survey data was that the majority of staff preferred to work the statewide inservice day, which previously was an unpaid, non-contract day so that they could be finished on June 12th. Member York's suggestion to take a look and see, if by doing that, were they able to relieve November from being so crazy. She pointed out that November is less crazy by one day because of that suggestion. Ms. Breckner asked the board for their full approval of the presented calendar which includes a Friday late start/staff development schedule. For staff they identified, looking at other days of the week it they did staff development on Tuesday's one kindergarten group gets hit 36 times. Friday's impact everyone equally. It allows the district to continue the work in PLC's; continue their work in reaching the governor's 40/40/20 education plan. It's very similar to what has been done before. The end of next year when they are planning for full-year kindergarten the next year where it's all day, every day, they can look at another day of the week. Their recommendation to the board with this calendar is that the staff development schedule be Friday late starts. Ms. Breckner requested the board approve the presented calendar as modified since the April board meeting so they can move forward with getting it published, out to parents, communicated to students and work on establishing work calendars for staff.

2014-15 STUDENT
CALENDAR

Member Crume stated he does not remember ever approving the calendar with semesters. Ms. Breckner responded that the previous calendar that was approved in April was a semester calendar. Mr. Crume stated he believes the board had concerns about the semester versus the trimester and asked to have further discussion on it. He does not remember ever approving the semesters. He asked if other board members do? Member

2014-15 STUDENT
CALENDAR

Lengwin did not remember approving it either. Mr. Crume said all of the sudden they have parents coming forward, saying that their kids have schedules for semester—and to him it seems that it was news to him. He doesn't know that they have bargained with the teachers over the semester versus the trimester. Ms. Breckner stated that for teachers bargaining wouldn't be on semesters versus trimesters, it would be on the length of the student contact time. The contact time on the seven period day, on semesters is within the contact time, it wouldn't need to be bargained. What is at issue is on the Friday's, and there are still bargaining on that piece. Her understanding was that was the piece the board had asked them to keep working on—was the days where they had the optional schedule. Ms. Breckner welcomed the board to table the calendar; she does not recall it that way. In April they adopted a semester calendar with them going back to look at staff development and whether the statewide inservice day or June took place. Ms. Breckner stated that the calendar represents the days the students come to school. The district is proposing a semester calendar/semester high school schedule. They believe that's in the best interest of kids given the current graduation requirements. Mr. Crume asked if that calendar has been approved? Ms. Breckner said it wasn't. Mr. Crume asked if it was true that the kids schedules have already been adjusted and set? Ms. Breckner responded that draft schedules have been established, but they are not done because they don't have staffing yet and they are still having conversations with the Association about how to handle the intervention time.

Member Dwyer made a motion to approve the 2014-15 student calendar as presented. The motion failed for lack of a second.

PLANNED COURSE
STATEMENTS

Director Valenzuela reported that in their board packets they have planned course statements for three courses that are being proposed. Two math courses and an elective course. The math courses are proposed to be taught at all three high schools. The elective course will be taught this first year at Illinois Valley High School. Member Crume requested to see more information on the Holocaust Studies. He asked if there would be textbooks? Mr. Valenzuela responded that they will read the same textbooks that the English/Language Arts classes teach. It's modeled after the course that is currently taught at Grants Pass High School. If the board needs more information he can get it. Mr. Crume responded that it seems that some of these things get thrown at them and they don't have a lot of time to preview. He would like some more information to see what the content is.

Board Chair Olmo stated that if they put this on the board agenda for July does it give him enough time to move forward if it is approved?

Board Member Crume made a motion to table the planned course statement for Holocaust Studies. Member Lengwin seconded. They requested copies of the reading list from Mr. Valenzuela. Board Chair Olmo called for a vote and the motion passed 3-2 (Olmo and Dwyer opposing).

Member Dwyer made a motion to approve the two math planned course statements, Common Core III and IV. Member York seconded and the motion passed 4-1 (Crume opposing).

District Accountant Lisa Cross presented resolution #09 for approval. This resolution transfers an amount not to exceed \$250,000 from contingency to the food service fund to cover estimated negative ending fund balance.

STATUS OF FOOD
SERVICE FUND 298

Member Crume stated his concern with this is that they went through this last year. When he first got on the board, it was sold to the board about how wonderful Sodexo is going to be; were staying within the budget and there was no overruns. In the last two years it seems like we have transferred a large amount of money for the food service. He has a

hard time swallowing a quarter million dollars for food service overrun. He is not prepared to vote on it. It needs to be tabled, they need to look at it and attorneys need to review it. He cannot willingly go forward with a quarter of a million dollars, at will, being taken away from the classrooms.

STATUS OF FOOD
SERVICE FUND 298

Member York stated that there was a \$40,000 negative beginning fund balance and wasn't that what last year's resolution was for? Ms. Cross responded—No, at the time that was done in June the final numbers came through in September when they were done with the audit. The actual loss last year was close to \$100,000. Mr. York expressed concern over the fact that the loss continues to increase and what it may mean for next year. Food service served 67,000 fewer meals, but it cost the district a quarter million dollars more. Ms. Cross responded that is because the staffing levels don't go down with the fewer meals. Last year at this time the State Department was behind two months with their reconciliations. The district never received payments until August. This month the district has received through May. She feels confident about our numbers. She explained that a fund cannot go into the negative, even if it is \$200 there has to be a resolution. When she saw the depth of the deficit she looked into the numbers. At some point the deficit has to be addressed because the district may not be doing anything illegal, by ending the year with a negative ending fund balance because the district did not spend more than what was budgeted. It would be a comment in our audit that she will need to put in, but at some point they need to reconcile how to take care of it. Ms. Cross put some recommendations in her email as to how the district can move forward with the deficit. There are things that she can do, in her position, to help find these things earlier. There is nothing she could have done that would have changed the numbers. The district has things to deal with as a rural school district, just as we have to deal with on the State School Fund—those same items complicate food service. We have more sites, the same level of staff, fewer meals, snow days, contracts that change mid-year. A lot of factors go into the number and she encouraged the board not to assume that the issue is just Sodexo. She believes the district needs to get a committee together and look at the whole program very closely. Sodexo brings a lot to the table and are willing to work with us. She would like to see us moving forward to try to solve the problem.

Superintendent Adams stated that whether it happens at the board meeting tonight, or at a later date, the reconciliation has to take place. What can change is how the district proceeds with issues such as staffing, a loss of revenue from the Boys & Girls Club, snow days—\$20,000 for two days and the catering functions. Ms. Adams liked the recommendations Ms. Cross identified because they are things that have to be done, but the reconciliation needs to take place.

Member York asked if the program runs with very little oversight? Ms. Cross does not know—that is not her expertise. She added that on snow days food service did not serve meals but in a lot of cases we still paid employees. People were allowed to use personal days. There were a lot of little factors that add up.

Member Crume asked if it would hurt it they tabled this item until July? Ms. Cross responded—no. It was Mr. Crume's suggestion, everything to do with Sodexo be postponed until July and have somebody look things over.

Member Olmo stated that with Dave Holmes coming in next week, as far as appointing a sub-committee and moving forward, she believes it is something that he could be involved in. She would be hesitant to appoint a committee in his absence. She believes the board can work with him to have a committee to look and then report back at even potentially the July meeting. Potentially looking at both where we are at today and why, but also moving forward. The district is in a cycle with Sodexo because we are on an annual contract, but then not talking about it until the end of the year. She assumes they are moving forward with Sodexo for the 2014-2015 school year due to timing. But she would like to see all of

STATUS OF FOOD
SERVICE FUND 298

their options and what else is out there. Whether they are working with a different provider, or Sodexo in a different way—what those options are. She would like to give Dave Holmes a chance to weigh in and structure the group.

Ms. Cross explained that at the time she made the resolution, she was also doing the ending fund balance and the district normally puts aside \$50,000. When estimating the ending fund balance she considers \$50,000 as a possibility almost every year. The district had an additional \$100,000 in taxes come in, so that offset it. This time of year there are so many ups and downs with revenues and decreased spending that it's not uncommon to have a savings of \$100,000.

Mr. York stated that in sticking with the conversations they have already had this evening, they are talking about elementary school class sizes, they have closed Jerome Prairie and saved \$258,000—they are about to drop \$250,000 to bail out food service. It's tough to swallow.

Member Crume made a motion to table Resolution #09 until the July board meeting. Member York seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

EQUITY IN SCHOOL
LUNCH PRICING

Board Chair Olmo explained the next two items on the agenda are the school lunch pricing and the Sodexo contract which are also food service items and could be a part of the discussion to take place in July. Superintendent Adams brought up the fact that the district has a summer lunch program, and would tabling the contract put a halt to the summer lunch programs if the contract does not start July 1? Director Marshall added it would be about 15-20,000 meals that would not be served to kids who might not otherwise get summer meals. The district has already started that program and it would then end it 13 days. Ms. Olmo asked that in order to keep the summer lunch program moving forward the 2014-15 Sodexo contract needs to be approved? Mr. Marshall responded—yes.

Superintendent Adams stated that three representatives from Sodexo are in attendance and asked if there is an interim plan that could be put together for thirty days to cover the summer lunch program if the board should elect to do that? They responded that they are willing to do thirty days.

Member Dwyer explained that the pricing changes reflect a law that the district will be looking at one way or another. She does not feel that it is particularly controversial. The prices are not Sodexo-driven. They are ten cents addressing a thirty cent disparity between where we are and where the law is going to require the district to be.

Board Chair Olmo stated that she is looking for a motion to extend the current contract with Sodexo for thirty days—until the end of July 2014.

Member Dwyer made a motion to table the Sodexo contract until the July meeting. Member York seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Member Dwyer made a motion to table the school lunch pricing until July. Member Crume seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

OTHER

Member Crume stated he had four additional items for this agenda. At the last public meeting he asked that something be put on the agenda—a policy review of policy BDC and it wasn't done. Ms. Olmo explained that was communicated to her, but in a prior meeting the board agreed to push all of the policy reviews until when the new superintendent was here which is what she did.

Mr. Crume stated that with that in mind he made a motion that the board change policy

BDC to comply with ORS 192.650. Ms. Olmo responded that it is not on the agenda. Mr. Crume stated it doesn't matter—they spoke to an attorney and it doesn't have to be on the agenda and what he is asking for is perfectly legal. Ms. Olmo disagreed—it's not on the agenda and she is not prepared to accept the motion.

OTHER

Mr. Crume stated he made a motion, and the attorney says it's legal. Board Chair Olmo asked if there was anything else? Mr. Crume again stated he made a motion. Ms. Olmo responded that there is no second and there is no motion. Member Lengwin seconded the motion. Member Dwyer asked for clarification as to what the motion was?

Mr. Crume stated that he made a motion to change policy BDC, Executive Sessions to comply with ORS 192.650. Our policy states that "*executive minutes shall be kept in written form*". ORS 192.650 states that "*executive session minutes can be kept in written form or recorded.*" So, he made a motion that they change policy BDC to comply with ORS 192.650. Board Chair Olmo responded that none of the board members have that policy in front of them; they don't have the language in front of them. The reality is that they, as a board, had decided to push all of the policies for the district forward to when the new superintendent is here. This policy in no way is more important than the ones that directly impact kids. The idea that they would move forward a policy that is just self-serving so that they can organize their meetings in a way they may want to without looking at all of the policies that impact kids—she doesn't understand; it doesn't make sense to her. She doesn't have the policy in front of her so she is not prepared to vote on it. Member Dwyer added that she is really uncomfortable with something like this that could be really important for them to do coming before the board as a hostile motion. She believes that if she had the opportunity to read it and look at it—it doesn't sound unreasonable to her. This is outside of their regular process in a way that is uncomfortable.

Board Chair Olmo called for a vote and the motion passed 3-2 (Olmo and Dwyer opposing).

Board Secretary Quick asked for clarification as to what the motion was? Mr. Crume explained the changes are that their executive session minutes shall be kept in written form or recorded form. The current policy states 'written form'. The change is that it will be kept in written or recorded so the board can meet with the superintendent and record their executive session. Ms. Quick explained that they currently do that already. Mr. Crume said that they are also in writing. With this change they can choose to do it in writing or recorded form—that's what the ORS states. Member Dwyer asked Mr. Crume if there was a particular reason why he doesn't want to have written minutes? Mr. Crume responded—yes, he wants to be able to have them written or recorded—whatever they choose. Ms. Olmo added that he doesn't want to have someone recording the minutes manually—she is not opposed to the idea, but is opposed to the process. Ms. Quick asked if this was in opposition to her being present at the meetings? Ms. Olmo responded that it is in opposition to having anyone other than board members present. Mr. Crume added this would give them the ability to have an executive session with their superintendent and record the meeting. Ms. Olmo stated she has the motion and asked Mr. Crume if that was his fourth item. He responded that it was his first—and one of them was by the request of David Holmes.

Mr. Crume stated the second item is that he would like to make a motion, as they had discussed before; he believes there have been three board members that have asked the chair to address this—he made a motion that they hire an attorney, Chris Cauble, who's presented a proposal for legal services, to act as the attorney for the board and superintendent as their local representation. Mr. Lengwin seconded the motion. Ms. Olmo asked if anyone has seen a copy of this proposal? Mr. Crume said he sent it to all board members. Ms. Olmo has not read it. Ms. Dwyer asked who sent it to all of them? Mr. Crume stated the proposal was addressed to Ms. Olmo on April 14th. Ms. Olmo

OTHER responded that she had not read it—she did not open the attachment. She received the email, but did not open the attachment. Ms. Dwyer asked where the email came from? Ms. Olmo answered Chris Cauble then stated they have a policy right now that is potentially in conflict with that. When they were looking at one point about having a local attorney to talk to about local issues, or just for clarification and it was something they were considering the idea of. But then it came to her attention that the board has a current policy that is in conflict with that—she does not remember exactly what the language is. It was something to the effect that all communication has to go through the superintendent so if the district were to retain services it would still all go through the superintendent and didn't really make sense. Mr. Crume responded that his motion has nothing to do with communication, it's just that they retain the attorney and hire the attorney if he is needed. Ms. Olmo asked who would deem that an attorney is needed? Ms. Crume answered that the majority of them feel that. Ms. Olmo clarified that then the district would hire an attorney and then all communication would go through the superintendent? Where would the management of the funds come from? Mr. Crume answered through the district's legal counsel funds. Ms. Olmo stated that he can't just go and talk to legal counsel at will and spend public dollars without process. Mr. Crume does not believe Chris Cauble would talk to them individually. Ms. Olmo asked then how would an attorney communicate with the board? Mr. Crume responded that they will decide that later. His motion is that they hire an attorney like they have been wanting to do since April. Ms. Olmo stated he can't hire an attorney with public dollars. Mr. Crume said the district spent \$17,000 with Hungerford last month—he thinks they can hire an attorney. Ms. Olmo absolutely disagreed. Mr. Crume stated there is a motion and a second. Member York stated like they talked about earlier, with the issues they have with food service and being able to analyze it and be able to say realistically what are their options? He can look at the contract, and can formulate something, but for him to have someone who knows what they're doing look at it and give an opinion makes sense. Why would she be opposed to having that option for the board? Ms. Olmo stated they do have people to call—they have the Hungerford's. Mr. Crume said every district has a local attorney—most district's have a local attorney and they need a local attorney here that they can turn to. Ms. Olmo asked without any process to control how much money they are going to spend, who's going to spend it and what they are going to talk about? They can't just go hire an attorney and then just start spending tax dollars because one of them thinks that it's important enough to warrant it. They would have to have process and policies that would support it. To go hire an attorney and potentially waste public dollars is very dangerous. Mr. Crume believes they have wasted quite a bit of money this past month. He can't imagine paying him that much money over the course of a year. Never the less they would have a second attorney to turn to. Ms. Olmo stated that right now they don't have board members just contacting attorneys wasting district money—there's a process. Would that same process that they use now be in place for this new attorney? Mr. Crume answered he doesn't know—that's not part of his motion. They will let the new superintendent decide that—or make a motion when he gets here. Ms. Olmo responded that until that point, potentially they could be talking about spending tens of thousands of dollars. Mr. Crume said he can't imagine that anybody would do that.

Board Chair Olmo stated there is a motion and a second and called for a vote. The motion passed 3-2 (Olmo and Dwyer opposing).

ADJOURNED

Board Chair Olmo then adjourned the meeting. Mr. Crume stated that he still had two more items. Ms. Olmo responded that she is done.

Adjourn at 7:20 PM