Ector County Independent School District

Lee Buice Elementary

Improvement Plan

2020-2021

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster

Distinction Designations:

Academic Achievement in Science Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth



Mission Statement

The mission of Dr. Lee Buice Elementary School is to excite and inspire curiosity through literacy and innovative learning experiences.

Vision

The vision of Dr. Lee Buice Elementary School is to create a community of unlimited opportunities for all.

Core Beliefs

Our core beliefs are to: innovate, collaborate, and celebrate.

We believe every child can learn. We care for one another and value each other's opinions. In our classrooms, students mirror the work of readers, writers, mathematicians, scientists, and social scientists. We believe in celebrating our story and sharing our successes.

Table of Contents

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
|---|----|
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Achievement | 6 |
| School Culture and Climate | 20 |
| Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention | 24 |
| Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment | 27 |
| Parent and Community Engagement | 29 |
| School Context and Organization | 36 |
| Technology | 38 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 39 |
| Goals | 42 |
| Goal 1 : Foundational Excellence: Buice Elementary will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices. | 42 |
| Goal 2: Invest in Talent: Buice Elementary will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to | |
| inform their practices today. | 50 |
| Goal 3: Learning Journey: Buice Elementary will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and | |
| achieve goals they have yet to dream. ECISD will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society. | 53 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 72 |
| Addendums | 73 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Attendance and demographic data:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/13dZNg-EEHu zvXIkqNUkvHlNIZDEoJe3x2jNRH8u6CA/edit?usp=sharing

Texas Education Agency PDM3-130-010 v20.3.1 TSDS PEIMS ATTENDANCE RATES COMPARISON BY ETHNICITY, GENDER, AND SPECIAL POPULATION Campus-level Data

Tuesday 07/21/2020 1:58 PM Page 43 of 44

Campuses: ALL 2019 - 2020 Summer Collection, Resubmission

LEA: 068901 - ECTOR COUNTY ISD

Campus: 068901133 - LEE BUICE EL

| | | Days Mer 2018 - 2019 | 2019 - 2020 | Total Days 2018 - 2019 | Absent 2019 - 2020 | Total Day 2018 - 2019 | s Present 2019 - 2020 | Total Ineligible 2018 - 2019 2 | e Days 2019 - 2020 | Total Eligi 2018 - 2019 | ible Days 2019 - 2020 | Attendar 2018 - 2019 | ce Rate 2019 - 2020 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Campus | | 110,613.0 | 113,322.0 | 5,070.0 | 3,957.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 95.4% | 96.5% |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino | | 68,093.0 | 69,324.0 | 3,293.0 | 2,525.0 | 64,800.0 | 66,799.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64,800.0 | 66,799.0 | 95.2% | 96.4% |
| American Indian or Alaska | | 166.0 | 166.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 159.0 | 163.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 159.0 | 163.0 | 95.8% | 98.2% |
| Asian | | 1,347.0 | 1,531.0 | 37.0 | 69.0 | 1,310.0 | 1,462.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,310.0 | 1,462.0 | 97.3% | 95.5% |
| Black or African American | | 2,559.0 | 3,599.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 2,470.0 | 3,499.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,470.0 | 3,499.0 | 98.5% | 97.2% |
| Hawaiian or Other Pacific | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| White | | 37,197.0 | 37,220.0 | 1,609.0 | 1,211.0 | 35,588.0 | 36,009.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35,588.0 | 36,009.0 | 95.7% | 96.7% |
| Two or More Races | | 1,251.0 | 1,482.0 | 35.0 | 49.0 | 1,216.0 | 1,433.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,216.0 | 1,433.0 | 97.2% | 96.7% |
| | Total | 110,613.0 | 113,322.0 | 5,070.0 | 3,957.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 95.4% | 96.5% |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | | 58,134.0 | 61,514.0 | 2,725.0 | 2,084.0 | 55,409.0 | 59,430.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55,409.0 | 59,430.0 | 95.3% | 96.6% |
| Female | | 52,479.0 | 51,808.0 | 2,345.0 | 1,873.0 | 50,134.0 | 49,935.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50,134.0 | 49,935.0 | 95.5% | 96.4% |
| | Total | 110,613.0 | 113,322.0 | 5,070.0 | 3,957.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105,543.0 | 109,365.0 | 95.4% | 96.5% |
| Special Population | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Special Education | | 15,555.0 | 17,098.0 | 754.0 | 695.0 | 14,801.0 | 16,403.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14,801.0 | 16,403.0 | 95.2% | 95.9% |
| Economic Disadvantage | | 50,618.0 | 47,821.0 | 2,635.0 | 2,115.0 | 47,983.0 | 45,706.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47,983.0 | 45,706.0 | 94.8% | 95.6% |
| LEP | | 2,288.0 | 3,072.0 | 136.0 | 86.0 | 2,152.0 | 2,986.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,152.0 | 2,986.0 | 94.1% | 97.2% |
| At Risk | | 34,159.0 | 44,295.0 | 1,818.0 | 1,778.0 | 32,341.0 | 42,517.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32,341.0 | 42,517.0 | 94.7% | 96.0% |
| Gifted and Talented | | 14,215.0 | 12,307.0 | 567.0 | 334.0 | 13,648.0 | 11,973.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13,648.0 | 11,973.0 | 96.0% | 97.3% |
| Migrant | | 166.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 161.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161.0 | 0.0 | 97.0% | 0.0% |
| Homeless Status | | 2,929.0 | 3,396.0 | 172.0 | 226.0 | 2,757.0 | 3,170.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,757.0 | 3,170.0 | 94.1% | 93.3% |
| Unaccompanied Youth | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Early Reading Indicator | | 14,539.0 | 19,978.0 | 801.0 | 862.0 | 13,738.0 | 19,116.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13,738.0 | 19,116.0 | 94.5% | 95.7% |
| Military Connected | | 664.0 | 677.0 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 650.0 | 655.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 650.0 | 655.0 | 97.9% | 96.8% |
| Foster Care | | 480.0 | 1,236.0 | 14.0 | 39.0 | 466.0 | 1,197.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 466.0 | 1,197.0 | 97.1% | 96.8% |
| r D ' E1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NOTE: Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Demographics Strengths

Buice was awarded a distinguished designation for overall student growth two years in a row. We were also named a 2018 School Transforming Learning.

We serve a diverse population of learners. Economically disadvantaged students are showing significant growth. All of our student sub pops met their target for growth this year.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Economically disadvantaged student attendance went down from 2018-2019 to 2019-2020 **Root Cause:** More attendance monitoring and consistent contracts needed for students

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

| School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond |
|--|
| Percent Met or Exceeded Progress years 2017-2019 |
| |

Reading

| 2018 69 67 68 64 66 66 2019 75 81 78 86 78 80 | Year | State Campus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Dis SPED |
|---|------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2018 | 69 67 68 64 | 66 66 |
| M_{-4} . | 2019 | 75 81 78 86 | 78 80 |
| Math | Math | | |
| Year State Campus H W AA Asian 2 or More Eco Dis SPED | Year | State Campus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Dis SPED |
| 2018 70 70 70 71 73 66 | 2018 | 70 70 70 71 | 73 66 |
| 2019 82 76 71 75 76 87 | 2019 | 82 76 71 75 | 76 87 |

State Assessment Results-Approaches

3rd Reading

| Year | State | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Di | s SPED |
|------|-------|---------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| 2017 | 73 | 75 | 78 70 | 80 | * |
| 2018 | 77 | 76 | 77 68 | 73 | * |
| 2019 | 76 | 76 | 72 86 | 67 | * |

3rd Math

| Year | State | e Camp | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco D | is SPED |
|------|-------|--------|---------------------------|-------|---------|
| 2017 | 77 | 74 | 75 72 | * | * |
| 2018 | 78 | 76 | 74 * | 76 | * |
| 2019 | 79 | 72 | 66 80 | 63 | * |
| | | | | | |

4th Reading

| Year | State Campus H W AA Asian 2 or More | | | | s SPED |
|------|-------------------------------------|----|-------|----|--------|
| 2017 | 70 | 80 | 80 80 | 68 | * |

| School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond 2018 | 73 | 77 | 79 74 | 75 | * |
|--|----------|--------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 2019 | 75 75 | 86 | | 73 73 | * |
| 2019 | /3 | 80 | 85 88 | /3 | * |
| 4th Math | | | | | |
| Year | State | e Camp | ous H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco I | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 76 | 77 | 78 78 | * | * |
| 2018 | 78 | 79 | 78 81 | 70 | * |
| 2019 | 75 | 84 | 81 94 | 76 | * |
| 4th Writing | | | | | |
| Year | Stat | e Camp | ous H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco I | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 65 | 72 | 73 * | * | * |
| 2018 | 63 | 75 | 78 71 | * | * |
| 2019 | 67 | 76 | 76 75 | 69 | * |
| 5th Reading | | | | | |
| Year | State | e Camp | ous H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco I | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 82 | 80 | 75 89 | 76 | * |
| 2018 | 84 | 87 | 93 78 | 83 | * |
| 2019 | 86 | 90 | 89 92 | 87 | * |
| 5th Math | | | | | |
| Year | State | e Camp | ous H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco I | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 87 | 79 | 74 89 | 71 | * |
| 2018 | 91 | 93 | 95 * | 91 | * |
| 2019 | 90 | 88 | 89 87 | 87 | * |
| 5th Science | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

2017

2018

69

89

* 85

90 89

56

74

76

| School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| 2019 | 75 | 85 | 82 92 | 87 | * |
| | | | | | |
| State Assessment Results-Meets | | | | | |
| 3rd Reading | | | | | |
| Year | Stat | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Di | is SPED |
| 2017 | 45 | 44 | 38 51 | 49 | * |
| 2018 | 43 | 37 | 37 26 | 24 | * |
| 2019 | 45 | 43 | 40 51 | 33 | * |
| | | | | | |
| 3rd Math | | | | | |
| Year | Stat | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Di | is SPED |
| 2017 | 49 | 44 | 41 49 | * | * |
| 2018 | 47 | 36 | 33 * | 32 | * |
| 2019 | 49 | 36 | 34 37 | 20 | * |
| | | | | | |
| 4th Reading | | | | | |
| Year | Stat | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Di | is SPED |
| 2017 | 44 | 52 | 53 54 | 45 | * |
| 2018 | 46 | 52 | 51 55 | 43 | * |
| 2019 | 44 | 51 | 49 59 | 35 | * |
| 41 N 4 | | | | | |
| 4th Math | ~ | ~ | | | anen |
| Year | | | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | | is SPED |
| 2017 | 47 | 43 | 43 44 | 40 | * |
| 2018 | 49 | 45 | 43 48 | * | * |
| 2019 | 48 | 46 | 42 50 | 33 | * |
| 4th Writing | | | | | |
| Year | Stat | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco Di | is SPED |
| 2017 | 34 | 44 | 51 * | * | * |

2018

54

39

52 55

| School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond | | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|----------------------------|---------|---------------|
| 2019 | 35 | 45 | 43 50 | 35 | * |
| SAID II | | | | | |
| 5th Reading | 04- | | II W AA A-: 2 M | Г., Г | N:- CDED |
| Year | | - | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 48 | 38 | 38 39 | 38 | * |
| 2018 | 54 | 61 | 67 51 | 46 | * |
| 2019 | 54 | 61 | 56 68 | 51 | * |
| 5th Math | | | | | |
| Year | Sto | ta Camp | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | Есо Г | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 50 | 40 | 36 50 | 38 | * |
| 2017 | 58 | 48 | 48 * | 51 | * |
| 2019 | 58 | 55 | | 46 | * |
| 5th Science | 38 | 33 | 55 54 | 40 | • |
| | 04- | | II W AA A-: 2 M | Г., Г | N:- CDED |
| Year | | - | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | | Dis SPED * |
| 2017 | 42 | 41 | * 52 | 35 * | * |
| 2018 | 41 | 51 | 50 51 | | |
| 2019 | 49 | 66 | 65 70 | 69 | * |
| State Assessment Results-Masters | | | | | |
| 3rd Reading | | | | | |
| Year | Sto | ta Camp | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | Есс Г | Dis SPED |
| 2017 | 29 | 29 | 18 42 | 24 | NS SPED * |
| | | | | | |
| 2018 | 25 | 24 | 25 16 | 17 | * |
| 2019 | 27 | 22 | 23 23 | 25 | * |
| 3rd Math | | | | | |
| Year | Sta | te Camp | us H W AA Asian 2 or More | Есо Г | ois SPFD |
| 1 Cui | Sta | c Camp | do II W AA Asian 2 of Word | LCU L | /13 DI LD |

Lee Buice Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

2017

2018

9 of 73

18

21

10 28 21 *

15

26

23

Campus #133 August 24, 2020 11:28 PM

| School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|----------|--|
| 2019 25 19 | 20 17 | 2 | * | |
| | | | | |
| 4th Reading | | | | |
| • | pus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Есо Г | ois SPED | |
| 2017 24 31 | 31 31 | 30 | * | |
| 2018 24 23 | 22 24 | 25 | * | |
| 2019 22 26 | 21 38 | 15 | * | |
| | | | | |
| 4th Math | | | | |
| Year State Camp | pus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco D | ois SPED | |
| 2017 27 26 | 28 28 | 25 | * | |
| 2018 27 21 | 19 26 | * | * | |
| 2019 28 28 | 23 34 | 11 | * | |
| 4th Writing | | | | |
| Year State Camp | pus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Eco D | is SPED | |
| 2017 11 12 | 13 * | * | * | |
| 2018 11 21 | 19 24 | * | * | |
| 2019 11 18 | 14 22 | 9 | * | |
| | | | | |
| 5th Reading | | | | |
| Year State Camp | pus H W AA Asian 2 or More | Есо Г | ois SPED | |
| 2017 25 22 | 21 25 | 21 | * | |
| 2018 26 22 | 22 22 | 14 | * | |
| 2019 29 33 | 27 43 | 28 | * | |
| | | | | |
| 5th Math | | | | |
| Year State Camp | pus H W AA Asian 2 or More | e Eco Dis SPED | | |
| 2017 24 22 | 19 28 | 24 | * | |

Lee Buice Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

2018

2019

10 of 73

19 *

27 30

14

28

*

15

28

30

36

Campus #133 August 24, 2020 11:28 PM

School Progress Academic Growth 2018 and beyond

5th Science

| Year | State | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or I | More Eco | Dis SPED |
|-----------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| 2017 | 18 | 13 | * 21 | 15 | * |
| 2018 | 17 | 17 | 17 14 | * | * |
| 2019 | 24 | 34 | 31 38 | 44 | * |
| Overall Math | | | | | |
| Year | State | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or I | More Eco | Dis SPED |
| Appr | 82 | 80 | 77 86 | 73 | 30 |
| Meets | 52 | 46 | 44 47 | 34 | 5 |
| Masters | 26 | 25 | 23 27 | 15 | 3 |
| Overall Reading | | | | | |
| Year | State | e Campu | s H W AA Asian 2 or I | More Eco | Dis SPED |
| Appr | 75 | 81 | 79 84 | 72 | 37 |
| Meets | 48 | 51 | 48 59 | 40 | 5 |
| Masters | 21 | 27 | 24 35 | 19 | 0 |

Student Success Initiative – Percent Passing on First Administration

5th Reading

| Year | State Campus H W AA Asian 2 or More | EcoDis Special Ed ELL |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2019 | 78 79 79 78 | 78 38 |
| 2018 | 79 83 85 79 | 75 25 |
| 2017 | 72 67 62 75 | 61 38 |
| 5th Math | | |
| Year | State Campus H W AA Asian "2 or More" | EcoDis "Special Ed" ELL |
| 2019 | 83 79 79 78 | 78 38 |
| 2018 | 85 84 83 85 | 75 50 |
| 2017 | 81 73 71 78 | 68 23 |

2019 STAAR results are as follows:

Reading:

3rd grade: 76% met standard

4th grade: 86% met standard

5th grade: 90% met standard

Math:

3rd grade: 72% met standard

4th grade: 84% met standard

5th grade: 88% met standard

Writing:

4th grade:76% met standard

Science:

5th grade: 85% met standard

White students and sped students have demonstrated the largest achievement gap.

Kinder and first grade Istation data shows a struggle in reading with only 71% of our students finishing the year on tier one. Fortunately, only 10% of our students in kinder and first grade are in tier three but growth is still lacking.

Campus earned two distinctions this year: one in growth and one in science.

Campus improved from an overall "C" rating to a "B."

Campus improved from a 69% score in 2a to an 89.

Campus closed all growth gaps in domain 3.

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Econ | EL (Current & Monitored)+ | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continue- ously Enrolled | Non- Continue- ously Enrolled | Total Met | Total Evaluated |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------|
| Academic Ach | nievemen | t Status | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELA/Reading Target | 44% | 32% | 37% | 60% | 43% | 74% | 45% | 56% | 33% | 29% | 19% | 36% | 46% | 42% | | |
| Target Met % at | Y | | Y | Y | | | | | Y | | N | | Y | Y | | |
| Meets GL Standard or Above | 53% | 20% | 50% | 61% | - | 63% | - | * | 40% | 36% | 15% | 50% | 52% | 56% | | |
| # at Meets GL Standard or Above Total | | ** | 107 | 63 | - | 5 | - | * | 53 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 124 | 54 | | |
| Tests (Adjusted) | 336 | ** | 215 | 104 | - | 8 | - | * | 132 | 11 | 39 | 8 | 239 | 97 | | |
| Math Target | 46% | 31% | 40% | 59% | 45% | 82% | 50% | 54% | 36% | 40% | 23% | 44% | 47% | 45% | | |
| Target Met % at | Y | | Y | N | | | | | N | | N | | Y | Y | | |
| Meets GL Standard or Above # at Meets | 47% | 20% | 45% | 49% | - | 75% | - | * | 34% | 36% | 18% | 25% | 48% | 46% | | |
| GL Standard or Above Total | | ** | 97 | 51 | - | 6 | - | * | 45 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 114 | 45 | | |
| Tests (Adjusted) Total Indicators Growth Status | 337 | ** | 216 | 104 | - | 8 | - | * | 133 | 11 | 40 | 8 | 240 | 97 | 10 | 14 |

Lee Buice Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

13 of 73

Campus #133 August 24, 2020 11:28 PM

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Econ | EL (Current & Monitored)+ | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continue- | Non- Continue- ously Enrolled | Total Met | Total Evaluated |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--------------|--------------------|
| ELA/Reading Target | 66 | 62 | 65 | 69 | 67 | 77 | 67 | 68 | 64 | 64 | 59 | 65 | 66 | 67 | | |
| Met | Y | | Y | Y | | | | | Y | | Y | | Y | Y | | |
| Academic Growth Score | 80 | * | 76 | 85 | - | 92 | - | * | 76 | 100 | 75 | * | 79 | 82 | | |
| Growth Points | 181.5 | * | 114.5 | 57 | - | 5.5 | - | * | 69.5 | 8 | 21 | * | 128.5 | 53 | | |
| Total Tests | 228 | * | 150 | 67 | - | 6 | - | * | 91 | 8 | 28 | * | 163 | 65 | | |
| Math Target | 71 | 67 | 69 | 74 | 71 | 86 | 74 | 73 | 68 | 68 | 61 | 70 | 71 | 70 | | |
| Target Met | Y | | Y | Y | | | | | Y | | Y | | Y | Y | | |
| Academic Growth Score | 83 | * | 82 | 84 | - | 100 | - | * | 80 | 81 | 86 | * | 83 | 84 | | |
| Growth Points | 190 | * | 122.5 | 56.5 | - | 6 | - | * | 73 | 6.5 | 24 | * | 135.5 | 54.5 | | |
| Total Tests | 228 | * | 150 | 67 | - | 6 | - | * | 91 | 8 | 28 | * | 163 | 65 | | |
| Total Indicators | | ate ate ate | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 | 14 |
| Graduation Ra Target Target | ite Status 90% | | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Met 2017 % Graduated | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| 2018 % Graduated | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| 2018 # Graduated | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | |

Lee Buice Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

14 of 73

Campus #133 August 24, 2020 11:28 PM

| | All Students | African s American | Hispanio | c White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Econ Disadv | EL (Current & Monitored)+ | Fd | Fd | Continue- ously Enrolled | Commue- | Total To Met Ev | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------|------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2018 | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | | | | | |
| Total in Class | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| English Lang | age Prof | iciency Sta | atus | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Target | _ | - | | | | | | | | 36% | | | | | | |
| Target | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TELPAS | | | | | | | | | | (40/ | | | | | | |
| Progress Rate | | | | | | | | | | 64% | | | | | | |
| TELPAS | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | |
| Progress | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | |
| TELPAS | | | | | | | | | | 1.1 | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | 11 | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Succe | ss Status | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Target | 47 | 36 | 41 | 58 | 46 | 73 | 48 | 55 | 38 | 37 | 23 | 43 | 48 | 45 | | |
| Target | | | * 7 | T 7 | | | | | T 7 | *** | * 7 | | * 7 | | | |
| Met | Y | | Y | Y | | | | | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Y | | |
| STAAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Component | 54 | 31 | 51 | 58 | _ | 76 | _ | 67 | 46 | 54 | 25 | 42 | 53 | 54 | | |
| Score | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % at | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | 0.20/ | - 00/ | 0.407 | 0-0/ | | 0.70/ | | 000/ | | 0 = 0 / | 100/ | 6007 | 0.00/ | 000/ | | |
| GL Standard | 83% | 79% | 81% | 87% | - | 95% | - | 90% | 77% | 87% | 48% | 68% | 83% | 83% | | |
| or Above | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % at | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meets GL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | / | | |
| Standard or | 52% | 14% | 49% | 56% | - | 73% | - | 70% | 41% | 47% | 21% | 42% | 51% | 53% | | |
| Above | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % at | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Masters GL | 26% | 0% | 24% | 31% | _ | 59% | _ | 40% | 19% | 27% | 7% | 16% | 26% | 27% | | |
| Standard | _0,0 | J / U | -1/0 | 21/0 | | 2770 | | 10/0 | - / / 0 | _,,, | . , 🗸 | 10/0 | _0,0 | _,,, | | |
| Lee Buice Eleme | entary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Cami | ous #133 |
| Generated by Pla | | g.com | | | | | | 15 of 7 | 3 | | | | | August 2 | 4, 2020 1 | |
| , | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | - | |

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Econ Disadv | EL (Current & Monitored)+ | Ed | Ed | Continue- ously Enrolled | Commuc- | | Total Evaluated |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|----------|---|----------------------------|
| Total | 911 | 14 | 587 | 278 | - | 22 | - | 10 | 358 | 30 | 107 | 19 | 644 | 267 | | |
| Tests Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Indicators | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 8 |
| School Quality Target | y Status 47% | 31% | 41% | 58% | 42% | 76% | 30% | 53% | 30% | 30% | 27% | 43% | 50% | 31% | | |
| Target | 4770 | 31/0 | 71/0 | 3070 | 42/0 | 7070 | 37/0 | 3370 | 37/0 | 3070 | 2770 | 45/0 | 3070 | 31/0 | | |
| Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % Students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| meeting | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| meeting | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Participation Target | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELA/Reading % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Participation | 99% | 100% | 99% | 100% | - | 100% | - | 100% | 99% | 100% | 98% | 100% | 99% | 100% | | |
| # | 341 | 5 | 217 | 106 | _ | 8 | _ | 5 | 134 | 11 | 41 | 8 | 242 | 99 | | |
| Participants Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tests | 343 | 5 | 219 | 106 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 136 | 11 | 42 | 8 | 244 | 99 | | |
| Mathematics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % | 1000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | | 1000/ | | 1000/ | 000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | 1000/ | | |
| Participation | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | - | 100% | - | 100% | ソソ 70 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | |
| Lee Buice Eleme Generated by Pla | | g.com | | | | | | 16 of 7 | 3 | | | | | August ? | | Campus #133 20 11:28 PM |

| | All Student | African s American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Econ Disadv | EL (Current & Monitored)+ | | | | Non- Continue- ously Enrolled | Total Met | l Total Evaluated |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----|---|-----|--|--------------|----------------------|
| # Participants | 342 | 5 | 218 | 106 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 135 | 11 | 42 | 8 | 243 | 99 | | |
| Total Tests | 343 | 5 | 219 | 106 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 136 | 11 | 42 | 8 | 244 | 99 | | |

Buice missed targets in the following achievement areas: special ed reading, white, eco dis, and special ed math

Buice met all targets in growth and student success

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Bdw3mfNtnWcTEqldx9mQd-siTjWTYDLKs8HHQfIioDs/edit?usp=sharing

| 2018 Accountability Report Card | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|----|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| | What I | MIGHT it lo | ok like for an | Elementa | ry School? | | | | | | |
| Enter Name of Campus | Buice | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campus Type | Elemen | tary School | | | | | | | | | |
| Enter % EcoDis - Fall 2017 Snapshot | 4: | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Raw Score | Enter Scale Score* | Word Rating | Letter Grade [What If?] | Overall Grade Components | | Weight | Total | | | |
| Domain I - Student Achievement | 48 | 76 | Met Std | С | | | | | | | |
| Domain II - School Progress [Overall Scale Score is the BETTER of Part A or Part B] | | 66 | Met Std | D | Best Scale Score: Domain I, Domain II-Part A | 76 | 70% | 53.2 | | | |
| Part A - Academic Growth | 64 | 60 | Met Std | D | or Domain II-Part B | | | | | | |
| Part B - Relative Performance | 48 | 66 | Met Std | D | | | | | | | |
| Domain III - Closing the Gaps | 40 | 66 | Met Std | D | Domain III Scale Score | 66 | 30% | 19.8 | | | |
| cademic Achievement (%age of Indicators Met) | 30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ı | | | | | | | | | | |

| Academic Growth (%age of Indicators Met) | 50 | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| EL Proficiency (%age of Indicators Met) | | | | |
| Student Success (%age of Indicators Met) | 60 | | | |
| | | | Overall Score | 73 |
| * To find the Scale Score applicable to e and select Accountability Rating | | • | Overall Word Rating | Met Std |
| the the second | | | | |
| https://tea.texas.gov/20 |)18scalingr | esources.aspx | Overall Letter Grade [what If?] | С |
| https://tea.texas.gov/20 |)18scalingr | esources.aspx | Overall Letter Grade [what If?] | С |

2020 Release report card

Student Achievement Strengths

We were successful in overall student growth and saw large improvements in all 5th grade content areas.

A distinction was earned in student growth and in Science.

82% of all tests were passed by Buice students- the highest in school history. Buice is averaging a 5% increase every year.

We showed an increase in both meets and masters percentages with 51% reaching meets status, and 26% reaching masters status.

We showed growth in domain I from STAAR 2019 to our district benchmark in 2020 by going from a 72 to a 76.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Buice missed four achievement targets in Domain 3- special ed reading, white math, economically disadvantaged math, and special ed math. **Root Cause:** Campus is in need of continued training in the rigor/relevance framework.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Buice scored a "B" in overall accountability **Root Cause:** Lack of systemic personalized professional development opportunities.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 59% of kindergarten and first grade students were reading at the "tier 1 level" in March 2020 as measured by istation. **Root Cause:** Insufficient exposure to grade level text, and school closure for the last 2 months of school.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Students are not meeting the campus target of 45% Mastering grade level assessments. **Root Cause:** Lack of training on how to differentiate to the highest learner.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): White students at Buice are drastically under-performing other white students across the state of Texas. They fell 10 points below the target in math **Root Cause:** White students are typically our highest achieving population. We need to move our focus from percent passing to percent meets and masters

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Special education students are not meeting achievement targets in Domain 3 in any area. **Root Cause:** Campus is in need of continued training in rigor/relevance, as well as differentiation to all level learners.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

We take great pride in our climate and culture for students, staff, and parents. We have a lower turnover rate, compared with other schools within our community. We consistently promote celebration, one of our core beliefs, through morning assembly celebrating students and staff members. We have campus wide-expectations through CHAMPS/PBIS.

Buice will implement best practices adopted by ECISD and will be devoted to best practices to create lifelong learners both in and outside of the classroom. We are focused on individual student growth and put the needs of students first. Our staff is willing to do "whatever it takes" to help our students be successful and willingly hold after school tutorials to help support this growth.

Mission: To excite and inspire curiosity through literacy and innovative learning

Vision: Creating a community of unlimited opportunities for all.

Values:

Innovate.

Collaborate.

Celebrate.

There were 76 discipline referrals at the campus in 2019-2020. 90% of them came from PE, recess, and the school bus.

STAFF EXPECTATIONS

- 1. Uplift those around you.
- 2. Take risks- this is new for all of us.
- 3. Realize you are part of a revolution- contribute.
- 4. See the potential in every child.

- 5. Create "magic."
- 6. Teach to the brightest student and hold all kids to that expectation. If a child learns differently, do not lower your expectations. Rather, teach them differently.
- 7. Choose to be happy.
- 8. Be consistent with consequences.
- 9. Pursue a bond with every child.
- 10. Maintain professional dress.
- 11. Put laughter in our building and enjoy the company of one another.
- 12. Accept criticism and learn from it.
- 13. Never threaten a student with "I'm going to tell Ms. Rickman/Ms. Shefchik" it takes away your authority.
- 14. Keep your promises to your students. Find a way to make it happen.
- 15. Inspire work ethic in our learners.
- 16. Show respect in meetings. Do not open wrappers, dominate the conversation, or use your phone. Track the speaker in the same way we expect your learners to.
- 17. Greet everyone as if they are old friends.
- 18. Stand up to greet guests.
- 19. Take guests where they need to go, don't just tell them.
- 20. Memorize the names of your students and their parents.
- 21. Defend the honor of our staff and students.
- 22. Pick up things that do not belong and encourage students to do the same.
- 23. Find the time to do more than is what is expected of you.
- 24. Answer calls, texts, and emails ASAP.
- 25. Offer to help others with their assignments, especially when you can see someone is overwhelmed.
- 26. Communicate with parents early and often.
- 27. TRY before you complain.
- 28. Embrace change and uncharted territory.
- 29. Be on time for all duties.
- 30. Complete all paperwork on time. If you need help, ask for it.
- 31. Keep students first. We have dedicated our lives to this profession and we are trusted by our community with their most precious resource...their children.

- 1. Smile and be happy
- 2. Create energy in the classroom. Use your hands and eye contact to your advantage.
- 3. Create a sense of urgency and maximize time on task
- 4. Be excited to be there and show students the passion you have for teaching and learning.
- 5. Expect your students to track the speaker and nod when appropriate.
- 6. Encourage students to cheer for one another.
- 7. Ask "how" and "why" often.
- 8. Incorporate movement (even if it is only for 5 seconds) at least every 5-10 minutes.
- 9. Over plan your lessons so you never run out of activities.
- 10. When a student doesn't know an answer, do not simply call on another student.
- 11. Always have your students in view.
- 12. Try to incorporate music.
- 13. Try to incorporate technology.
- 14. Show examples of what excellence looks like- the more familiar a student is with the expectation, the more likely they are to reach it.
- 15. Never lower your standards.
- 16. Teach students to love reading and challenge yourself to become familiar with the books they enjoy.
- 17. Actively participate in Bison Book Time and help make Buice become a community of readers.
- 18. Implement CHAMPS with fidelity.
- 19. Prepare lessons that inspire students to be curious.
- 20. Collaborate with your colleagues.
- 21. Work with your team to create lessons that keep students up at night anticipating a great day at school.

School Culture and Climate Strengths

Buice averages around 70% parent participation at major school events. We have over 1,750 followers on social media. We had over 85% of our parents register online within the first week of registration this summer. We have a large VIPS program with more than 20 VIPS who were actively engaged on campus weekly before closure in March.

All of the Panorama survey results showed an increase in favorable responses since the last survey in 2017.

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

| Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Campus had 76 referrals in 2019-2020 Root Cause: Lack of CHAMPS/PBIS implementation on the bus, in PE and on the playground. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

Hiring HQ teachers is challenging and could not be achieved in 2015 and 2019. In 2016 and 2017, HQ status was achieved through recruitment at the campus level including: job fairs, college board postings, regional center postings, and social media.

In 2019, the campus experienced a 25% turn over rate.

Exit surveys point to lack of available and affordable housing options in this community.

In 2020, the campus experienced a 12% turnover rate. The exit surveys pointed to job promotions within the district.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1QcH9brfuJHdbaSsQE nSFexB 1AEk8ZfIQl-8uoDd8U/edit?usp=sharing

| EMPLOYEES | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Administrators | Teachers | Instructional | | | | | | | | |
| | (Principals/Asst. Prin) | | Paraprofessionals (Aides) | | | | | | | | |
| 14/15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15/16 | 1 | 37 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 16/17 | 2 | 38 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 17/18 | 2 | 40 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 18/19 | 2 | 40 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 19/20 | 2 | 41 | 5 | | | | | | | | |

| EMPLOYEE RETENTION PERCENTAGES | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| % Remained From | Administrators | Teachers | Instructional | | | | | | | | |
| Year To Year | (Principals/Asst. Prin) | | Paraprofessionals (Aides) | | | | | | | | |
| 14/15 to 15/16 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15/16 to 16/17 | 100.00% | 78.38% | 100.00% | | | | | | | | |
| 16/17 to 17/18 | 100.00% | 65.79% | 75.00% | | | | | | | | |
| 17/18 to 18/19 | 100.00% | 75.00% | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| 18/19 to 19/20 | 50.00% | 85.00% | 40.00% | | | | | | | | |

| TEACHER EXPERIENCE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Total Professional | Percent with | Percent with | Percent with | Percent with | Percent of Teachers | | | | | | |
| Any District | 0 Years | 1-5 Years | 6 -10 Years | > 10 Years | W/Masters Degree | | | | | | |
| 14/15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15/16 | 8.11% | 35.14% | 10.81% | 45.95% | 21.62% | | | | | | |
| 16/17 | 0.00% | 47.37% | 7.89% | 44.74% | 23.68% | | | | | | |
| 17/18 | 0.00% | 40.00% | 22.50% | 37.50% | 20.00% | | | | | | |
| 18/19 | 0.00% | 30.00% | 22.50% | 47.50% | 20.00% | | | | | | |
| 19/20 | 2.44% | 26.83% | 24.39% | 46.34% | 17.07% | | | | | | |

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

We have only 3 first year teachers going into the 2020-2021 school year. We are still carrying 2 vacancies, (PE and 4th grade)

Our retention rate is up from previous years. We were at 75% in 2019 and are up to 88% in 2020.

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

^{*} Data for each school year is from the Fall PEIMS Data

| Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teacher retention continues to be teacher shortage/ teachers taking promotions and leaving the classroom | | Root Cause: lack of affordable housing/ |
|--|----------|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Lee Buice Elementary | 26 of 73 | Campus #133 |

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

TEKS Resource System has been our curriculum for 5 years. Buice is fully implementing this curriculum in all subject areas except PE and music. We have also implemented HMH ELAR for the last year in our curriculum for ELAR in all grade levels. In addition, tutoring, balanced literacy, guided math, dyslexia interventions, SPED services, and iStation, and Imagine math are used. Beginning the next school year, we will be using Imagine Reading and Math. Supplemental materials as well as new textbooks are provided to teachers as resources to use along with the scope and sequence are provided. Technology in each classroom makes it possible for our students to utilize these programs and they are provided with the opportunity for hands on learning. In the coming year, all students will have a device checked out to them to use at school and at home. Classroom teachers, tutors, special area teachers, SPED teachers, and the dyslexia teacher support the programs and interventions allotted to provide our students with the best education possible. STAAR, Cogat, Nagleri, released tests, Imagine math/reading, and unit assessments allow teachers to utilize data to pinpoint interventions that need to be used for individual students. 4th-5th grade students are taught AVID strategies that will carry on to Middle School. GT students are served by an on campus GT teacher.

Daily Bison Book Time to enforce self selected independent reading for our learners.

Buice will ensure that all students are receiving the tutoring and intervention needed to make growth. K- 5th grade teachers are using Imagine math and reading and Study Island to help close the achievement gap. PLC's occur each week so teachers can look at data and discuss best practices. After school clubs are set up to provide enrichment to our students.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

Unit assessments are 100% aligned to STAAR.

Interventions and tutorials are foundational to our school. We are running small group interventions nearly all day to ensure targeted intervention to ALL students is provided.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teacher PD is minimal Root Cause: Not enough funding available

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Campus did not meet targets/expectations in certain sub populations. (special education students in math and reading, white students in math) Root Cause: Lack of funding for PD

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

70% parental involvement is averaged on the campus

Campus calendar is set at the start of the year so families can protect school events in their schedule.

PTA has grown from 300-500 members

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1o479s2_Tz_-VHSFv7fNbF1UQ3wjWiHz1i3yJMrTdmo8/edit?usp=sharing

Dr. Lee Buice Elementary

2020-2021 School Calendar

August

- 10th-Meet the teacher
 5:00- A-M
 5:45- N-Z
- 12th- First day of school
- 14th- all beginning of year student paperwork due

September

- 7th Labor Day- no school
- 15th- Dot Day

October

- 1st- Open House
- 2nd- National Custodial Workers Day
- 4th- Dyslexia Awareness (wear red)
- 12th No school for students (Columbus Day)
- 26th-30th- Red Ribbon Week

<u>December</u>

- 17th- Class party day
- 17th Pajama day (\$2)
- 18th- No school for students
- 21st-Jan 1th- Christmas break (no school for students)

January

- 4th- First day back for students
- 18th- MLK Day- No school for students
- 15th- Awards

February

- 10th and 11th Valentine gram fundraiser sale
 - 11th- Valentine's Dance (3rd-5th) *Subject to change or cancelation
- 12th- Valentine Party day
- 15th- Bad Weather Day/COVID-19 make-up day
- 23rd Positive Post-It Day

November March

- 1st- PTA-National Bison Day
- 11th-15th- Book Fair (online) Dates TBD
- 13th- National Pickle Day! (Bring \$1 for a pickle at lunch)
- 23rd -24th- COVID-19 make-up days
- 25th- 27th- Thanksgiving break
- 2nd Happy Birthday Dr. Seuss! 8th- 12th- Spring Break (no school)
- 20th- Downs Syndrome Awareness (wear fun socks)
- 30th- April 3td- Book Fair- Online (DATES TBA)

April

- Book Fair- DATES TBA
- 1st- Autism awareness (wear blue)
- 2nd-Good Friday No school
- 6th- 9th STAAR testing window
- DEAR Day/Jungle Patrol-
- dates TBD

 21st Administrative Professionals
- 23rd -Bad Weather Day/COVID- 19 make-up day

May

- 7th Lunch Hero Appreciation
- 3rd- 7th Teacher Appreciation Week
- 4th-14th- STAAR window
- 12th- Nurses Day
- 17th- Talent show (virtual?)
- 21st- field day
- 24th- field day
- 25th- field day
- 26th Class party day/Bison Bonanza
- 27th- Awards
- 27th- Last day of school

All dates/activities subject to change due to COVID-19 restrictions

Innovate. Collaborate. Celebrate.

Dr. Lee Buice Elementary School

Compact- 2019/2020

Ector County ISD recognizes that our students must possess many skills to be successful leaders of tomorrow. Our citizens must be innovative problem solvers, self-reliant, and literate. Additionally, we recognize the need for us all to have a mutual understanding and respect for one another and our differences in ensuring that our students maximize their potential. In accordance with PL 107-110 Section 1116 (d), this compact outlines how parents/families, school staff and students share responsibility for improved student academic achievement. Furthermore, the actions outlined in this compact address how the school, parents/ families, & students will build & develop a partnership to achieve academic success toward our state's high academic standards.

ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN BY THE STUDENT: I will do my best to: Attend class daily, on time and be prepared to learn Pay attention during class Complete work on time Listen to and follow directions Turn in all assignments Come to class with needed materials Apply skills already learned Participate in class discussions Use assignment sheet Use time productively Ask questions to make sure I understand Practice math facts 15 minutes a day Work cooperatively with others Read 15-20 minutes a day

| Monitor my grades |
|--|
| Follow classroom & school rules & expectations |
| Study for tests |
| Put forth my best effort |
| |
| ther: |
| tudent Signature: |
| |
| CTIONS TO BE TAKEN BY THE TEACHER: will do my best to: |
| Provide a high quality curriculum that ensures student success to meet the state's academic achievement standards (PL107-110 Section 1118 (d)(1)) Provide a safe and caring learning environment (PL 107-110 Section 1118 (d)(1)) |
| Communicate with students and parents regarding the student's progress on a regular basis (PL 107-110 Section 1118 (d)(2)) |
| Provide suggestions with ways parents can help their child at home (PL 107-110 Section 1118 (d)(2)) |
| Conference with each parent annually in elementary schools to discuss this compact as it relates to student's achievement: (PL 107-110 Section 1118 |
| l)(2)(B) Provide support when a student is struggling through using: |
| different instructional strategies to meet child's learning needs |
| individualized lessons when needed |
| computer time for skills development |
| supplementary instructional materials |
| tutoring &/or small group learning opportunities |
| Provide progress reports at the following intervals to parents: 3 weeks |

| Be accessible to parents to discuss child's progress during teacher conference hours as established, through email correspondence or phone conference at established times. |
|---|
| Other: |
| Γeacher's Signature: |
| ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN BY THE PARENT: (PL 107-110 Section 1118 (d)(1)) will do my best to: |
| Monitor my child's attendance |
| Ensure my child has completed homework Provide study area and study time |
| Provide homework assistance Monitor TV and phone use |
| Provide positive reinforcement Attend parent/teacher conferences |
| Encourage good attendance |
| Help your student grow to be of good moral character Have positive daily communication with child |
| Volunteer at child's school through VIP's, PTA, etc. |
| Provide set bedtime for adequate sleep |
| Have my child at school on time |
| Communicate concerns with teacher as needed |
| Monitor my child's academic performance |
| |

| Practice math facts with child daily |
|--|
| Read with my child daily Myself or a loved one will eat lunch with my child at school |
| Check assignment sheet daily |
| Participate in classroom activities as agreed by teacher and parent |
| |
| Other: |
| Parent Signature: |
| |
| THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMITMENT TO OUR PARTNERSHIP |
| |
| Ector County ISD does not discriminate on the basis of gender, age, race, nationality, religion, disability, socioeconomic standing or non-proficiency in English language skills in providing educational services for students' benefits. |
| |
| |
| |
| Parent and Community Engagement Strengths |
| There are multiple opportunities for family/student participation. |
| Families responded with a 98% favorable response toward campus communication. The school utilizes Blackboard (text, emails, and phone calls) as well as multiple social media accounts and memos to actively communicate with parents. The school utilizes the Buice facebook page to communicate as well and has over 1.750 followers at this time. |

| Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parent meetings and parent pactively involved with completing school work on a regular basis. | participation with student virtual work Root Cause: There is a need for mor | reflect that about 30% of our parent re specific training on how to work w | s are not with their child. |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Lee Buice Elementary | 35 of 73 | | Campus #133 |

School Context and Organization

School Context and Organization Summary

The staff at Buice Elementary works together to meet the needs of every student on our campus. The campus supports teachers by providing professional development and resources that we can afford. Teachers are involved in campus decision making through committees, PLC meetings and faculty meetings. Our CIT is active and utilized to make campus decisions in all areas including culture activities, clubs, Bison Book Time etc. We also create a schedule so that tutoring can take place Mon-Thursday in every grade level. All teachers are involved in creating intervention plans for students in their grade level.

The school is composed of one Principal, one Assistant Principal, one Library Media Specialist, and one counselor that works on the school's leadership team.

PLC Schedule

| 8:00-8:45 | 5th grade |
|-------------|--------------|
| 8:50-9:35 | 3rd grade |
| 9:40-10:25 | 4th grade |
| 10:30-11:15 | 2nd grade |
| 10:30-11:15 | 2nd grade |
| 1:00-1:45 | Kindergarten |
| 1:50-2:35 | 1st grade |

| 8: | Λ | ገ_ | 8 | ٠4 | .5 |
|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|
| () | ,,, | ,,- | () | _ | ٠., |

5th grade

School Context and Organization Strengths

There is a clear organization chart and hierarchy for campus support. There is an extreme focus on individual student growth through tutoring 4 days per week, RTI, student clubs.

Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parent meetings reflect that about 30% of our parents are not actively involved with completing school work on a regular basis during face-to-face learning. We had about 10% of parents not engaged during virtual learning during closure in the spring. **Root Cause:** This population of families may need more specific training on how to work with their child at home.

Problem Statement 2: We have a large staff and student population and need more admin/leadership team members for DDI and observation feedback. **Root Cause:** No Instructional specialist or reading coach on campus

Technology

Technology Summary

All classrooms are outfitted with an interactive projector, document camera, and access to a class set of laptops/iPads to be shared among the grade level. The campus also has a computer lab. Teachers use technology (as a whole) at the Augmentation and Modification levels of the SAMR model. Our 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students use Google Classroom as the primary tool to facilitate learning. As a campus, it is very common to see students as creators rather than consumers of technology and information.

Beginning the 20-21 school year, all students will have a device checked out to them. K-2 teachers will be using SeeSaw as a virtual platform, 3-5 teachers will use Google Classroom as a virtual platform. Teachers and students will be working in a mixed environment of virtual and face-to-face learning. Students and teachers will have the knowledge and skills to go from face-to-face teaching and learning, to virtual teaching and learning at a moment's notice. Teachers are being provided PD to get them ready to use these and other virtual platforms daily in their instructional practice. We have about 30% of our students learning completely remotely. We are waiting to get the rest of our devices so that we can be at 1:1 for all. We have our library media specialist leading our device check-out, assistance with technology resources and digital literacy.

Technology Strengths

Teachers are exploring different technological avenues to improve learning on a daily basis. Students will have one-to-one technology this year. Students and teachers are working to be both face-to-face and virtual teachers and learners!

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers and students need additional training on using virtual platforms due to the COVID crisis. **Root Cause:** Lack of time to prepare and train.

Problem Statement 2: We have a need for more devices and for to get all of our areas set up with wifi so that we can utilize all of our spaces for student learning. **Root Cause:** No wifi in portables at this time

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Buice missed four achievement targets in Domain 3- special ed reading, white math, economically disadvantaged math, and special ed math.

Root Cause 1: Campus is in need of continued training in the rigor/relevance framework.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: Buice scored a "B" in overall accountability

Root Cause 2: Lack of systemic personalized professional development opportunities.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: 59% of kindergarten and first grade students were reading at the "tier 1 level" in March 2020 as measured by istation.

Root Cause 3: Insufficient exposure to grade level text, and school closure for the last 2 months of school.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 4: Students are not meeting the campus target of 45% Mastering grade level assessments.

Root Cause 4: Lack of training on how to differentiate to the highest learner.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 5: White students at Buice are drastically under-performing other white students across the state of Texas. They fell 10 points below the target in math

Root Cause 5: White students are typically our highest achieving population. We need to move our focus from percent passing to percent meets and masters

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 6: Special education students are not meeting achievement targets in Domain 3 in any area.

Root Cause 6: Campus is in need of continued training in rigor/relevance, as well as differentiation to all level learners.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 7: Campus had 76 referrals in 2019-2020

Root Cause 7: Lack of CHAMPS/PBIS implementation on the bus, in PE and on the playground.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 8: Teacher retention continues to be a struggle (88% retention in 2019-2020)

Root Cause 8: lack of affordable housing/ teacher shortage/ teachers taking promotions and leaving the classroom

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 9: Teacher PD is minimal **Root Cause 9**: Not enough funding available

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 10: Campus did not meet targets/expectations in certain sub populations. (special education students in math and reading, white students in math)

Root Cause 10: Lack of funding for PD

Problem Statement 10 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 11: Parent meetings and parent participation with student virtual work reflect that about 30% of our parents are not actively involved

with completing school work on a regular basis.

Root Cause 11: There is a need for more specific training on how to work with their child.

Problem Statement 11 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 12: Parent meetings reflect that about 30% of our parents are not actively involved with completing school work on a regular basis during face-to-face learning. We had about 10% of parents not engaged during virtual learning during closure in the spring.

Root Cause 12: This population of families may need more specific training on how to work with their child at home.

Problem Statement 12 Areas: School Context and Organization

Problem Statement 13: Teachers and students need additional training on using virtual platforms due to the COVID crisis.

Root Cause 13: Lack of time to prepare and train.

Problem Statement 13 Areas: Technology

Goals

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Buice Elementary will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 1: Buice student attendance rate will increase by 1% from March 2020 to May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: District/campus attendance reports

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Buice will provide individualized support for students that includes interventions and rewards, as well as, consequences to help improve attendance. **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in attendance rates, and fewer contracts for attendance will be needed. Oct Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher/counselor/admin Jan Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 **Problem Statements:** None **Funding Sources: TEA Priorities:** None Mar None **ESF Levers:** None Summative May Strategy 2: Attendance contracts will be done on all students reaching the 90% or less threshold on absences. (students learning remotely will still be counted as present) **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in attendance rates and fewer contracts for attendance will be needed, especially since students can still be in attendance while learning remotely Oct **Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Counselor/attendance clerk/teacher admin Jan Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 **Problem Statements:** None Mar **TEA Priorities:** None **Funding Sources: Summative** None **ESF Levers:** None May

Strategy 3: Attendance incentive will continue this year. Award for best attendance rate will be given every two weeks in k-2 and 3-5. The class with the highest attendance rate will get to have a sign on their door with the Buice attendance hero on it and will be posted on the website/social media page.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improved attendance | ce | | | Formative |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: counselor/admin | | | Oct | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Pro | blem Statements: None | | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Fur | iding Sources: | | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | Nor | ne | | Summative |
| | | | | May |
| % No Progress 100% Acc | omplished | → Continue/Modify | X Discontinue | |

Performance Objective 2: Provide the environment conducive to all educational needs in conjunction with the development of a Long-Range Facilities Plan that will move the District forward in the future.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student culture and academic success

| rategy 1: Implement free feeding to all e | lementary students. | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: None | | | | Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: district/campus administration | | | Oct | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: None ESF Levers: None | | | Jan | |
| | | Funding Sources: | | Mar |
| | | None | | Summative |
| | | | | May |
| No Progress | Accomplished | Continue/Modify | X Discontinue | |

Performance Objective 3: Buice Elementary School will embed technology for anytime, anywhere teaching and learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: inventory of student technology and check out for those learning remotely

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Use technology resources that will enable students, teachers and leaders to implement and monitor personalized learning for all.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to continue learning from the classroom, or remotely at any time or place.

Formative

| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration/tea | nchers | Oct |
|--|---|----------|
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: | | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Technology 1 | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | Funding Sources: SeeSaw premium package Title One School-wide \$1,800 | Summativ |
| | | May |
| % No Progress (100%) A | ccomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue | |

Problem Statement 1: Teachers and students need additional training on using virtual platforms due to the COVID crisis. **Root Cause:** Lack of time to prepare and train.

Performance Objective 4: Buice Elementary school will develop the adult collective understanding and shared vision of Social Emotional Learning (SEL) that creates systemic change where SEL can thrive.

Evaluation Data Sources: students will be successful and healthy including social and emotional needs

| Strategy 1: Staff will engage in professional lear | ning about SEL research and practice. | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who | are healthy socially, emotionally, as well as academically succ | cessful Formative | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: counselor/adm | Staff Responsible for Monitoring: counselor/admin/teacher | | | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 | Problem Statements: | Jan | | |
| TEA Priorities: None | School Culture and Climate 1 | Mar | | |
| ESF Levers: None | Funding Sources: None | Summative | | |
| | | May | | |
| % No Progress | Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Dis | continue | | |
| | School Culture and Climate | | | |
| Problem Statement 1: Campus had 76 referrals in 2019-2020 | Root Cause: Lack of CHAMPS/PBIS implementation on the bus, in PE | and on the playground. | | |

Performance Objective 5: Buice Elementary will provide a safe and supportive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: training sign-ins, training documents, documentation of counseling lessons

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Awareness training for staff, students and parents will be provided in the area of sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children. All new staff will be trained during required new employee training on Darkness to Light, /Stewards of Child prevention program. Counselors will train all staff during on-campus professional development on prevention and reporting requirements. Required child abuse awareness posters for students will be displayed on all campuses.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff will be prepared to address all student needs in this area, and will know the protocol and procedures for reporting and referring. | | Formative Oct |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor/teach | teachers/admin | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: None | |
| TEA Priorities: None | | |
| ESF Levers: None | | |
| trategy 2. All School and SAS counselors will b | be trained yearly in ECISD crisis response procedures. All campus staff wi | May Il be trained |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Awareness of | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Awareness of staff on procedures and processes for identifying and intervening in crisis situations | |
|--|--|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers/counselor/admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | May |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: School-wide | implementation of CHAMPS and fewer discipline issues/referrals | Formative |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers/admir | 1 | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summativ |
| | | May |
| rategy 4: Safety drills will be done periodically | y and any issues will be reviewed and fixed. | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff and stu- | dents will be prepared in the event of an emergency. | Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summativ |
| | | May |
| rategy 5: All staff will be trained in the emerge ckdown, lock out and fire or weather hazard. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff and stu- | ency response program SRP in order to be prepared to react in any edents will be prepared for any emergency situation | emergency including Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | dents will be prepared for any emergency situation. | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| | None | |
| ESE Levers: None | | Summativ |
| ESF Levers: None | | May |

Performance Objective 6: Buice Elementary will establish and maintain strong partnerships with parents, the community, businesses, and local partnerships

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased co | emmunity voice/input on campus decisions | Formative |
|---|---|---|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: None | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.2 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | 7.7 |
| • | milies and community members to follow our Facebook page to and our goal is to reach 2,000 likes by the end of the school year. | |
| ore involved. We currently have 1,744 likes, ar | , | provide input and be |
| ore involved. We currently have 1,744 likes, ar | nd our goal is to reach 2,000 likes by the end of the school year. | provide input and be |
| ore involved. We currently have 1,744 likes, ar Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More positive | nd our goal is to reach 2,000 likes by the end of the school year. | provide input and be Formative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More positive Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | re involvement from families and community members. Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: | provide input and be Formative Oct |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More positive Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.2 | nd our goal is to reach 2,000 likes by the end of the school year. ve involvement from families and community members. Problem Statements: None | provide input and be Formative Oct Jan |

Strategy 3: Teachers will have at least two parent/teachers conferences either by phone/zoom or in person that will include parent/school involvement, as well as student achievement specific to each individual student. Teachers will utilize a student progress folder throughout the year.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More po | sitive involvement from families | Formative |
|--|---|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teachers | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1 | Problem Statements: | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Parent and Community Engagement 1 School Context and Organization 1 | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | Funding Sources: | Summative |
| | Title One School-wide \$500 | May |
| % No Progress | Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | |

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: Parent meetings and parent participation with student virtual work reflect that about 30% of our parents are not actively involved with completing school work on a regular basis. **Root Cause:** There is a need for more specific training on how to work with their child.

School Context and Organization

Problem Statement 1: Parent meetings reflect that about 30% of our parents are not actively involved with completing school work on a regular basis during face-to-face learning. We had about 10% of parents not engaged during virtual learning during closure in the spring. **Root Cause:** This population of families may need more specific training on how to work with their child at home.

Goal 2: Invest in Talent: Buice Elementary will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today.

Performance Objective 1: The campus teacher turnover rate will decrease from 12% to 5% in 2020-2021

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR

System Data Exit survey data

Summative Evaluation: None

| Strategy 1: Utilize culture building strategies such as celebrating teacher successes often using staff brags on newsletter and social |
|---|
| media, having birthday luncheons monthly, and recognizing teacher successes. |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improved teacher retention | | Formative |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/sunshine committee | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | May |

Strategy 2: Promote and monitor the school wide CHAMPS implementation to ensure good management and positive classroom cultures, which will in turn, increase teacher retention.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improved teacher retention | Formative |
|--|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements: | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals School Culture and Climate 1 Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1 | Mar |
| ECE Lavana, Lavan 2: Dagitiva Caha al Cultura Lavan 5: | Summative |
| None None | May |

Strategy 3: Campus instructional leaders conduct observation feedback coaching meetings within 48 hours of an observation and include high-leverage, bite-sized, and actionable feedback with opportunities to practice. **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This will lead to better instruction and more teacher support, which will improve teacher retention. Oct **Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Admin Jan Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 **Problem Statements:** None Mar **TEA Priorities:** Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, **Funding Sources: Summative** Build a foundation of reading and math None May **ESF Levers:** Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction % No Progress 100% Accomplished Continue/Modify **X** Discontinue **School Culture and Climate**

Problem Statement 1: Campus had 76 referrals in 2019-2020 Root Cause: Lack of CHAMPS/PBIS implementation on the bus, in PE and on the playground.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 1: Teacher retention continues to be a struggle (88% retention in 2019-2020) **Root Cause:** lack of affordable housing/ teacher shortage/ teachers taking promotions and leaving the classroom

Performance Objective 2: In 2020-21, Buice Elementary School will offer a job-embedded, personalized professional learning system for teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: documentation of walk-throughs, observation feedback meetings, and DDI

| | and teacher support as well as collaboration between teachers and | Formative |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| administrators and teachers | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Funding Sources: | Summative |
| ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | May |
| Instruction ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven ins | struction collaboration meetings with their grade level teams t | wice per |
| Instruction | | |
| Instruction ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven insulth. | | |
| Instruction ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven instruction Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improvement in instruction | | Formative |
| Instruction ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven insorth. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improvement in instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, | and learning in the classroom Problem Statements: Technology 1 | Formative |
| ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven insinth. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improvement in instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | and learning in the classroom Problem Statements: Technology 1 Funding Sources: | Formative Oct Jan Mar |
| Instruction ategy 2: Each grade level will participate in data driven insorth. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improvement in instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, | and learning in the classroom Problem Statements: Technology 1 | Formative Oct Jan |

Goal 3: Learning Journey: Buice Elementary will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. ECISD will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students reading on grade level in grades K-5 will increase 4% from the BOY MAP to EOY MAP assessment.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP assessment reports

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students wi | ll reach grade level pe | otential in reading and math on | MAP testing. | Formative |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/adm | nin | | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Pro | blem Statements: | | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None Student Achievement 3 | | Mar | | |
| ESF Levers: None | F Levers: None Funding Sources: None | | Summativ | |
| | | | | May |
| % No Progress | Accomplished | Continue/Modify | X Discontinue | |
| | Student A | Achievement | | |

Performance Objective 2: Students achieving the Meets Standard on state assessments will increase from 51% to 55% across all tested grade levels and content areas by May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Buice will use formal and informal assessments to identify students who are performing at the approaches level. Using that data we will create small groups to focus on the needs of those students helping them to meet grade level.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will demonstrate a 53% meets rate at the 2021 benchmark | | Formative |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: The progress of the students will be monitored by unit assessments, released STAAR tests | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | None | Summative |
| Effective instruction | | May |

Strategy 2: Day tutors will be utilized to pull groups of students based on achievement to increase performance from approaches to meets status.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will demonstrate a 53% Meets rate at the 2020 benchmark. | | Formative |
|--|---|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Small group tutors will n | nonitor progress along with assessment data. | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 | Problem Statements: | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Student Achievement 1, 2 | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Funding Sources: Title One School-wide \$10,500 | Summative |
| | | May |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will see improved scores on the January district benchmark for this sub population | | Formative |
|--|--|------------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: | | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | of reading and math Student Achievement 1, 5 | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2 | Summative |
| | Funding Sources: None | May |
| grades. | utilized for both classroom and small group targeted interventions | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improved performance | on the January benchmark in all sub-populations in math and reading. | Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Student Achievement 2, 5 | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | Funding Sources: Title One School-wide \$1,750 | |
| | Title One School-wide \$1,750 | May |
| and science tests in order to increase the number of studen | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: improved performance | on STAAR meets and masters percentages | Formative |
| | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: | Jan |
| | Student Achievement 2, 4, 5 | Jan Mar |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | | |

| Strategy 6: Guided reading and guided math will be utilized | d in all K-5 classrooms | 1_ |
|--|---|------------|
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased knowledge in a | reading and math in all grade levels | Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin/walk throughs | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: | None | Summative |
| Effective Instruction | | May |
| Strategy 7: Campus instructional leaders use normed tools progress over time. | and processes to conduct observations, capture trends, and track | student |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve targeted instruc- | tion in intervention groups, and as a result, student achievement on all | Formative |
| benchmark assessments | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Student Achievement 6 | Summative |
| ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Nama | May |
| No Progress Accompli | shed Continue/Modify Discontinue | |
| | udent Achievement | |
| Problem Statement 1: Buice missed four achievement targets in Domain 3-Cause: Campus is in need of continued training in the rigor/relevance framework. | special ed reading, white math, economically disadvantaged math, and special ed movork. | ath. Root |
| Problem Statement 2: Buice scored a "B" in overall accountability Root Ca | | |
| highest learner. | Sastering grade level assessments. Root Cause: Lack of training on how to differen | |
| Cause: White students are typically our highest achieving population. We ne | | |
| Problem Statement 6: Special education students are not meeting achievement rigor/relevance, as well as differentiation to all level learners. | ent targets in Domain 3 in any area. Root Cause: Campus is in need of continued to | raining in |
| Curriculum | , Instruction, and Assessment | |

Problem Statement 2: Campus did not meet targets/expectations in certain sub populations. (special education students in math and reading, white students in math) Root Cause: Lack of funding for PD

Performance Objective 3: Students achieving the Masters Standard on state assessments will increase from 26% to 30% across all tested grade levels and content areas by May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Buice will use formal and informal assessments to identify students who are performing at the "meets" grade level. Using that data we will create small groups intended to enrich and accelerate the growth of those students leading them to master the grade level content.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A higher percentage of students mastering on the benchmark exams will be the result. | | Formative |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teachers | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | of reading and math Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, | None | Summativ |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | 3.7 |
| ategy 2: Increase white performance by 15 points in the a | | |
| ategy 2: Increase white performance by 15 points in the a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Domain 3 | | |
| | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Domain 3 | | . Formative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Domain 3 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | 3 | Formative Oct |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Domain 3 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: | Formative Oct |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A higher number of students will score in the masters status range on the benchmark assessments | | Formative |
|--|--|------------------------|
| in the fall and the district benchmark in January. | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: None | | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Summative |
| ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | None | May |
| and science tests in order to increase the number of student | | h, reading, Formative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased percentages o | of meets and masters status on STAAR | _ |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | None | Summative |
| | | May |
| Strategy 5: Guided reading and guided math will be utilize | ed in K-5 | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase student knowled | edge in the areas of math and reading in all grade levels. | Formative |
| | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin/walkthroughs | | - |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin/walkthroughs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| | Funding Sources: | Jan Mar |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | | _ |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This practice will lead to be | etter instruction, and in turn, higher rates of achievement on all | Formative |
|--|--|----------------|
| assessments. | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teachers | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | None | Summati May |
| No Progress Accomplished | ed — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | • |
| Stud | ent Achievement | |

Performance Objective 4: Students achieving the Meets Standard on state assessments in Math will increase in each tested grade level by May 2021.

3rd Grade Math from 36% to 40% 4th Grade Math from 46% to 52% 5th Grade Math from 55% to 60%

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 State Accountability

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: We will utilize student assessment data to form tutoring/intervention groups for students to grow to meets status in math by using teacher tutoring, day tutors, and after school tutoring.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase on meets percentages in each grade level for math on benchmark assessments, and the | | Formative |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| January released test. | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: None | | Summative |
| Est Bevers, from | | May |

Strategy 2: Forde and Ferrier and Think-Up (Mentoring Minds) books will be utilized for both classroom and small group targeted interventions in 3rd-5th grades.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on 3rd-5th math benchmark assessments | | Formative |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | May |
| | | |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase | d percentage of students reaching meets and masters status on all STAAR tests. | Formative |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | May |
| | mber of students reaching meets status on the math benchmark assessments | Formative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase nur | mber of students reaching meets status on the math benchmark assessments | Formative |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/adm | nin | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| | None | C 4: |
| ESF Levers: None | | Summative |
| ESF Levers: None | | Summative May |
| ESF Levers: None trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g | grade levels. | |
| trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g | grade levels. erformance and student knowledge in math in all grade levels | |
| trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g | erformance and student knowledge in math in all grade levels | May |
| trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased pe | erformance and student knowledge in math in all grade levels | May Formative |
| trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased pe Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin/walkth | erformance and student knowledge in math in all grade levels aroughs Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: | May Formative Oct |
| trategy 5: Guided math will be utilized in all g Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased pe Staff Responsible for Monitoring: admin/walkth Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | erformance and student knowledge in math in all grade levels aroughs Problem Statements: None | May Formative Oct Jan |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in knowledge and retention in math for all students K-5. | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Jan | |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summativ | |
| | | May | |
| trategy 7: Teachers in grades 2-5 will utilize the | Reflex math computer program. | | |
| | th fact fluency for all students K-5, which will in turn increase performance on | Formative | |
| benchmark math assessments and released tests. | | Oct | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Mar | |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Summative | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | May | |
| trategy 8: Teachers will participate in PLC's week | kly, which will include data studies and strategies for data driven instruction | n. | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Consistent, dat | a driven instruction in classrooms and in tutoring, resulting in an increase in scores | Formative | |
| on benchmark assessments. | | Oct | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Mar | |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative May | |
| | | | |

Performance Objective 5: Students achieving the Meets Standard on state assessments in Science will increase by 5% by May 2021. 5th Science from 66% to 70%.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 State Accountability

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Students will go to Saturday school for 2 to 3 Saturdays in the spring in order to get intervention for STAAR math, reading, and science tests in order to increase the number of students reaching meets and masters status.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased percentage of students reaching meets and masters on benchmark assessments and | | Formative |
|---|--|-----------|
| STAAR. | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| Dot Develor fone | | May |
| Strategy 2: Buice will use formal and informal as | sessments to identify students who are performing at the "approaches" grad | e level. |

Strategy 2: Buice will use formal and informal assessments to identify students who are performing at the "approaches" grade level. Using that data we will create small groups intended to enrich and accelerate the growth of those students leading them to perform at "meets status" on the grade level content.

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on the benchmark assessments and STAAR test | | Formative |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: None | | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, | None | Summative |
| Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers | | May |
| No Progress Accomplish | ned Continue/Modify X Discontinue | |

Performance Objective 6: Buice retention rates will be 0.1% below state average in all grade levels K-5 by 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: District PEIMS Retention Report

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: students will close gaps and perform on grade level Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/Admin | | Formative |
|---|--|--|
| | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summativ |
| | | |
| ategy 2: Tutoring will be in place in every grade level M | Monday-Thursday for a minimum of 30 minutes i | n small group settings |
| ategy 2: Tutoring will be in place in every grade level No Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students who | | n small group settings Formativ |
| | | n small group settings |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students who | | n small group settings Formativ |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students who Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: | n small group settings Formative Oct |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students who Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | are on grade level in math and reading Problem Statements: None | n small group settings Formativ Oct Jan |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in overall achievement in reading and math | | Formative | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 | Problem Statements: None | Jan | |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summative | |
| | | 3.4 | |
| owledge and performance in reading and math. | I from September to May. Students will utilize Study Island to improve the | | |
| owledge and performance in reading and math. | I from September to May. Students will utilize Study Island to improve the close achievement gaps and will grow to be on grade level, and therefore will have | Formative | |
| owledge and performance in reading and math. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will c | | Formative Oct | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will c fewer students who need to be retatined. | | Formative Oct Jan | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will c fewer students who need to be retatined. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | close achievement gaps and will grow to be on grade level, and therefore will have | Formative Oct Jan Mar | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will c fewer students who need to be retatined. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Formative Oct Jan | |

Performance Objective 7: English Learners achieving Advanced and Advanced High Composite Level scores will increase by 5 percentage points for the academic year 2020-2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS ratings 2021

| trategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance by EL's, specifically on TELPAS. (2 teachers will obtain certification in | | Formative |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| 2021.) | | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Jan Mar |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | |
| TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | |
| ESF Levers: None | None | Summativ |
| | | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: TELPAS pe | rformance will increase. | Formativ |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teache | ers | Oct |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teache Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | |
| | | Oct |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Oct Jan |

Performance Objective 8: Students achieving the Meets Standard on the state assessments in Reading and Writing will increase by May 2021.

3rd Grade Reading from 43% to 48%.

4th Grade Reading from 51% to 58%.

5th Grade Reading from 61% to 65%.

4th Grade Writing from 45% to 50%.

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability

| reading. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teachers Problem Statements: None | Oct Jan |
|--|--------------------------|
| | Jan |
| Tido I Cabachuida Flamentes Nana | |
| Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| TEA Priorities: None Funding Sources: | Summativ |
| ESF Levers: None | May |
| trategy 2: Campus will create several book clubs to enhance small group conversation about a varibrarian will all run groups from September to May | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased reading knowledge and performance on benchmark assessment | illelits and STAAK test. |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased reading knowledge and performance on benchmark assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: None | Oct |
| | ments and STAAR test. |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: None | Oct |

| ı | rategy 3: Students will use the green screen to create digita dience. | l book commercials and make book recommendations to an auth | nentic |
|---|---|---|-------------|
| | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create a love of reading an | d increased reading knowledge in students resulting in higher | Formative |
| | performance on assessments. | | Oct |
| | Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Library media specialist | | Jan |
| | Title I Schoolwide Elements: None | Problem Statements: None | Mar |
| | TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Summative |
| | ESF Levers: None | None | May |
| ı | rategy 4: Forde and Ferrier and Think-Up (Mentoring Mind terventions in 3rd-5th grades. | ds) books will be utilized for both classroom and small group tar | geted |
| | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on b | benchmark assessments and released tests. | Formative |
| | Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| | Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| | TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| | ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | | May |
| ı | rategy 5: Students will go to Saturday school for 2 to 3 Saturday school for | urdays in the spring in order to get intervention for STAAR matheaching meets and masters status. | h, reading, |
| | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on a | all STAAR tests | Formative |
| | Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin | | Oct |
| | Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 | Problem Statements: None | Jan |
| | TEA Priorities: None | Funding Sources: | Mar |
| | ESF Levers: None | None | Summative |
| | | | May |
| | | | 1 |

Strategy 6: Daily use of Bison Book Time for choice reading by students and teachers, including book logging and book conferences between students and teachers. **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student interest in reading resulting in an increase in performance on reading/ELA benchmark scores Oct Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin/teachers Jan **Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements:** None Mar **TEA Priorities:** Build a foundation of reading and math **Funding Sources: Summative** None **ESF Levers:** None May Accomplished Continue/Modify % No Progress Discontinue

Campus Funding Summary

| Title One School-wide | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | SeeSaw premium package | | \$1,800.00 |
| 1 | 6 | 3 | | | \$500.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | | | \$10,500.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | | | \$1,750.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 5 | | | \$2,000.00 |
| 3 | 6 | 4 | | | \$1,100.00 |
| | Sub-Total Sub-Total | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$17,650.00 |

Addendums