

The background of the slide is a spiral-bound notebook with a light brown, textured paper cover and a dark brown border. The spiral binding is on the left side. The text is centered on the page.

Review of Legislative Budget Cuts from 2008-2009 to the Present

Scott Little, Chief Financial Officer

October 2, 2012

History of Base Support Level

Requirements of Proposition 301

- For first 5 years – 2% Inflation Increase
- For FY 2006-07 and each year thereafter, the Legislature is required to increase the Base Level or other RCL components by the lesser of 2% or the price deflator.

History of Base Support Level

<u>Year</u>	<u>Actual Rate</u> *	<u>Funding Inc.</u> **	<u>Variation</u>
2001-02	2.2	2.0	<0.2>
2002-03	2.4	2.0	<0.4>
2003-04	1.7	2.0	0.3
2004-05	2.9	2.0	<0.9>
2005-06	2.2	3.2***	1.0
2006-07	3.2	4.4****	1.2
2007-08	3.2	2.98*****	<0.22>
2008-09	2.7	2.0	<0.7>

* Percentage growth in the GNP price deflator

** Percentage increase in the base level funding factor as provided in A.R.S. 15-901

*** Includes an additional 1.2% for retirement/health insurance

**** Includes an additional 2.4% for retirement/health insurance and increased salary for non-administrative personnel

***** Includes 0.98% for increased salary for non-admin. personnel

History of Base Support Level

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Base Support Level</u>
2007-2008	\$3,226.88
2008-2009	\$3,291.42
2008-2009 after cut	\$3,203.65
2009-2010	\$3,267.72
2010-2011	\$3,267.72
2010-2011 (w/o Prop 100)	\$3,202.36
2011-2012	\$3,267.72
2012-2013	\$3,267.72

2008-2009

The 2008-2009 Arizona legislative sessions began with stark predictions for the impact which the current recession would have upon the state's finances. These predictions were followed in late January 2009 with legislation (SB 1006) for implementing budget reductions in the current fiscal year (2008-2009). For our statewide system of K-12 public education, a reduction of approximately \$133,000,000 in funding was made.

2008-2009

Through formulas applied by the Arizona Department of Education, Amphitheater's specific portions of the \$133 million cuts were the following:

M&O Budget	\$1,769,748
Soft Capital	\$ 364,276
Building Renewal *	<u>\$2,180,897</u>
Total 2008-2009	\$4,314,921

*funding was eliminated prior to mid-year reduction

2009-2010

Career Ladder Reduction of 0.5%	\$ 340,416
80% cut to Soft Capital	\$2,686,862
Building Renewal	<u>\$2,180,897</u>
Total	\$5,208,175

2010-2011

Career Ladder Reduction of 0.5%	\$ 325,408
Soft Capital Reduction	\$2,827,568
All Day K funding loss	\$2,629,290
Senate Bill 1612	\$1,652,794
Building Renewal	<u>\$2,180,897</u>
Total 2010-2011	\$9,615,957

This does not include the loss of revenues to the Classroom Site Fund.

2011-2012

Career Ladder Reduction of 0.5%	\$ 320,000
Soft Capital Reduction	\$3,098,355
All Day K funding loss	\$2,629,290
Unrestricted Capital Reduction	\$1,708,623
<u>Building Renewal</u>	<u>\$2,180,897</u>
Total 2011-2012	\$9,937,165

This does not include the loss of revenues to the Classroom Site Fund.

2012-2013

Career Ladder Reduction of 0.5%	\$ 318,000
Soft Capital Reduction	\$1,694,144
All Day K funding loss	\$2,629,290
Unrestricted Capital Reduction	\$ 803,238
<u>Building Renewal</u>	<u>\$2,180,897</u>
Total 2011-2012	\$7,625,569

Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

On September 4, 2012, a report was released detailing the cuts to public education since the beginning of the recession.

<http://www.cbpp.org/files/9-4-12sfp.pdf>

Contained in this report was the following information:

Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

- Current spending per pupil in Arizona was \$7,848.
- This level of spending ranked Arizona at 48th. Arizona's current spending was \$2,767 below the U.S. average of \$10,615.
- Spending for Arizona was 73.9% of the U.S. Average.

Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

- Arizona's spending on administration was \$420 per pupil.
- Arizona's spending on administration was \$357 below the U.S. average of \$774.
- Arizona's spending on administration was 54.3% of the U.S. average. Arizona had the lowest per pupil spending on administration in the country.