

Evaluation of the Superintendent

The superintendent's job performance will be evaluated formally at least annually. shall be customized based on collaborative efforts and include the education leadership-administrator standards¹ adopted by the State Board of Education.

The standards include:

1. Visionary leadership;
2. Instructional improvement;
3. Effective management;
4. Inclusive practice;
5. Ethical leadership;
6. Socio-political context.

Additional criteria for the evaluation, if any, will be developed at a public Board meeting prior to conducting the evaluation. The superintendent will be notified of the additional criteria prior to the evaluation.

Evaluations must attempt to:

1. Strengthen the knowledge, skills, disposition and administrative practices of administrators;
2. Refine the support, assistance and professional growth opportunities offered to an administrator, based on the individual needs of the administrator and the needs of the schools and district;
3. Allow the administrator to establish a set of administrative practices and student learning objectives that are based on the individual circumstances of the administrator;
4. Establish a formative growth process for each administrator that supports professional learning and collaboration with other administrators; and
5. Use evaluation methods and professional development, support and other activities that are based on curricular standards and are targeted to the needs of the administrator.

¹These standards are aligned with the Interstate School Leaders Licensure Consortium (ISLLC) and the Educational Leadership Constituent Council (ELCC) standards for Education Leadership.

The Board's discussion and conferences with and about the superintendent and his/her performance will be in executive session, unless the superintendent requests an open session. However, such an executive session will not include a general evaluation of any district goal, objective or operation. Results of the evaluation will be written and placed in the superintendent's personnel file.

~~Any time the superintendent's performance is deemed to be unsatisfactory, the superintendent will be notified in writing of specific areas to be remedied and will be given an opportunity to correct the problem(s). If performance continues to be unsatisfactory, the Board may dismiss the superintendent pursuant to Board policy, the employment contract with the superintendent and state law and rules.~~

At the Board's discretion, it may notify the superintendent in writing of specific areas to be remedied, and the superintendent may be given an opportunity to correct the problem(s). Where the Board provided written notice pursuant to the prior sentence, if the Board determines the superintendent's performance remains unsatisfactory, the Board may dismiss or non-renew the superintendent pursuant to Board policy, the superintendent's employment contract and state law and rules. In those situations, where the superintendent's employment contract includes an evaluation, dismissal or non-renewal provision, it shall take precedent over this policy.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

[ORS 192.660\(2\), \(8\)](#)

[ORS 332.505](#)

[ORS 342.513](#)

[ORS 342.815](#)

[ORS 332.107](#)

[OAR 581-022-1720](#)

[OAR 581-022-1723](#)

[OAR 581-022-1725](#)

Hanson v. Culver Sch. Dist. (FDAB 1975)

~~Cross Reference(s):~~

~~BDC—Executive Sessions~~

~~CB—Superintendent~~

~~CBA—Qualifications and Duties of the Superintendent~~