	AGENDA ITEM
BOARD OF TRUSTEES AGENDA	
Workshop X Regular	Special
(A) X Report Only	nition
Presenter(s): SAMUEL MIJARES, ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT GILBERTO SANCHEZ, BILINGUAL/FINE ARTS/GT D NORMA SERNA, SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT DIRECTO	IRECTOR
Briefly describe the subject of the report or recognition presentation.	
SPRING 2013 TELPAS RESULTS	
(B) Action Item	
Presenter(s):	
Briefly describe the subject of the report or recognition presentation.	
(C) Funding Source: Identify the course of funds if any are required	
(D) Clarification: Explain any question or issues that might be raised regarding t	his item.
THIS REPORT REFELCTS TELPAS PROGRESS AND ATTAINMENT OBJECTIVES MEASURABLE ACHIEVEMENT OBJECTIVES (AMAO's). AMAO I RELATES TO <u>PROGRESS</u> IN LEARNING THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE, AMAO II RELATES TO <u>A</u> ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY. FOR AMAO III THE SYSTEM SAFEGUAR MEASURE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL) ACADEMIC PERFORMANC MATH FOR AMAO III.	THE LEP STUDENTS TTAINMENT OF DS WERE USED TO



MEMORANDUM

то:	Gilberto Gonzalez, Superintendent
FROM:	Gilberto Sanchez, Bilingual/Fine Arts Director Norma Serna, School Improvement Director/Grant Writer
SUBJECT:	Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Spring 2013
DATE:	January 22, 2014

Under the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 all Local Education Agencies (LEAs) that receive Title III funding for English language acquisition programs are held accountable for their Limited English Proficient (LEP) students achievement in learning the English language. Each year all LEP students are assessed in <u>listening</u>, <u>speaking</u>, <u>reading</u> and <u>writing</u> using the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS). The achievement of Title III funded LEA's LEP students population then is measured against the state achievement standards known as the Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAO's). The AMAO's include indicators for measuring progress in learning English (AMAO I), the attainment of advanced high in language proficiency (AMAO II) and meeting of the system safeguards in reading and math (AMAO III). Federal standards also require that AMAO's standards increase in the assessment used to include LEP student achievement over the years.

If you have any questions, please advise.

APPROVED: Samuel Mijarès

Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction

1420 Eidson Road • Eagle Pass, Texas 78852 • Tel (830) 773-5181 • www.eaglepassisd.net

Marked for Excellence

C O N F I D E N T I A L Texas Education Agency 2013 Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOS) District Report

County-District Number: 159901 District Name: EAGLE PASS ISD Region: 20

2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 Amao Standard District Rate Numerator Denominator Amao (%) (%) (count) (count) status	2013 Amao Standard (%)	2013 DISTRICT RATE (%)	2013 NUMERATOR (COUNT)	2013 Denominator (count)	2013 Anao Status
AMAO 1: LEP STUDENT PROGRESS (ALL LEP)	50	60.6	PROGRESSED 2,139	<u>1ESTED</u> 3,529	Wet
AMAO 2: LEP STUDENT ATTAINMENT (YEAR 1-4 LEP)	P) 15	34.1	<u>ATTAINED</u> 1,050	<u>1ESTED</u> 3,075	Wet
AMAO 2: LEP STUDENT ATTAINMENT (YEAR 5+ LEP)) 28	49.1	<u>ATTAINED</u> 683	<u>1657ED</u> 1,391	Wet
AMAO 3: ELL ACCOUNTABILITY (SYSTEM SAFEGUARDS)	(sa				Not Met

•SNA notation indicates a result assigned through small numbers analysis.
••SSA notation indicates a consortium-level result assigned, as permitted for LEAs in a Shared Service Arrangement(SSA).
••Scaled information regarding AMAO determinations may be found in the 2013 Guide to the Annual Measurable
Achievement Objectives, which may be accessed from the Title III section of the TEA ELL web portal at www.elltx.org.

۰.

Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS)

Summary Report All Students

Spring 2013

Passing Standard AMAO 2: 14.5% (Yr. 1-4 LEP)

Passing Standard AMAO 1: 49.5% (All LEP, Gr. K-12)

28% (Yr. 5 + LEP)

(Attainment Objective)

(Progress Objective)

	Grade Clusters	-	PAS ores	TELPAS Composite Rating					re	Yearly Progress in TELPAS Composite Rating Students Assessed in both 2012 & 2013 (Proficiency levels gained)								
Spring 2013	Campus (All Students)	of Students Rated	Comprehension Score	Students Rated	В	I	A	АН	Average Composite Score	# Matched Students	Students who progressed one proficiency level from 2012 to 2013		Students who progressed two proficiency levels from 2012 to 2013		Students who progressed three proficiency levels from 2012 to 2013		Students who progressed at least one proficiency level from 2012 to 2013	
	Can	0#	Average	#	Percent at Each Rating			#	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Grades	District	2,357	2.9	2,355	21%	22%	26%	31%	2.7	1,500	715	48%	138	9%	13	1%	866	58%
K-2	STATE		2.4		35%	26%	21%	18%	2.2			44%	14	14%		2%		61%
Grades	District	2,346	3.3	2,346	8%	16%	31%	46%	3.2	2,050	1,194	58%	87	4%	3	0%	1,284	63%
3-12	STATE		3.3		6%	15%	26%	53%	3.2			63%		3%		0%	to a local de	66%

Average Comprehension Score: 1.0-1.5 Beginning 1.6-2.5 Intermediate 2.6-3.5 Advanced 3.5-4.0 Advanced High

B- Beginning I - Intermediate A - Advanced AH - Advanced High