

Board Meeting: October 13, 2025

Title: 2025-2027 Data Metrics Plan Board Update

Type: Discussion

Presenter(s): Jody De St. Hubert, Director of Teaching & Learning; and Greg Guswiler, Teaching & Learning Data Programming Analyst and Coordinator

Description: The Edina Public Schools 2025–2027 Data Metrics Plan represents the next phase of the district's Comprehensive Assessment System. This system guides how data are collected, analyzed, and applied to align district initiatives with the 2020–2030 Strategic Plan and to drive continuous system-level improvement. Through the creation and implementation of the Data Metrics Plan, Edina Public Schools continues its commitment to each and every student.

The 2025–2027 two-year plan builds upon the 2023–2025 framework. The 2025–2027 goals are the goals articulated in the 2023–2025 Data Metrics Plan. In order to attain each two year goal, teams will work together to create implementation plans from the responses outlined in the "Where Are We Going" section in the 2023–2025 plan for each focus area. An update on the progress towards spring 2027 goals will be provided in the fall of 2026.

Recommendation: To provide an overview of the 2025–2027 Data Metrics Plan for discussion and feedback.

Desired Outcomes for the Board: Review the spring 2025 baseline data and proposed 2027 goals, provide feedback on the alignment, clarity, and ambition of the Data Metric goals, and discuss implications for future planning, resource alignment, and instructional priorities.

Edina Public School District 2025-27 Data Metrics Plan Executive Summary



Overview

The Edina Public Schools 2025–2027 Data Metrics Plan serves as the district's guiding framework for measuring, interpreting, and using data to drive continuous improvement. It defines systemwide performance expectations, aligns directly with the Strategic Plan, and supports a culture of data-informed decision making. By examining system-level data, the district identifies areas of strength, recognizes opportunities for improvement, and directs resources toward strategies that have the greatest impact on student success and well-being.

Focus Areas

The 2025–2027 Data Metrics Plan centers on six key focus areas:

- English Language Arts
- Mathematics
- Science
- Social and Emotional Learning
- Unique Learners (Extended and Accelerated Learning, Special Education, and English Learners)
- College and Career Readiness

For each focus area, the plan includes:

- A rationale explaining its importance and connection to district priorities.
- The data elements used to monitor progress.
- The goals to be achieved by spring 2027.

Alignment and Intent

The 2025–2027 plan builds on the foundation of the 2023–2025 Data Metrics Plan, ensuring continuity while deepening coherence across initiatives. Goals are ambitious yet attainable, generally targeting 3-6% growth over two years, representing steady, sustainable improvement in a high-performing district.

By linking longitudinal data from the 2023–2025 cycle with the new 2025–2027 goals, Edina Public Schools continues to strengthen a reliable and transparent data culture that informs strategic decisions and fosters collective accountability.

Findings from each focus area outlined in the 2023-2025 plan overview sections, together with the detailed spring 2025 data in this report, will inform the implementation plans that outline action steps being created in order to reach the outlined goals. An update on the progress towards spring 2027 goals will be provided in the fall of 2026 ensuring a strong connection between analysis and improvement.

Commitment to Excellence

Through intentional focus on these six key areas, Edina Public Schools continues its commitment to continuous improvement and educational excellence. The district's goal is not only to sustain high levels of achievement but also to ensure that every learner experiences growth, feels a strong sense of belonging, and graduates prepared to thrive as a Well-Rounded Edina Graduate.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Overview	1
Focus Areas	1
The 2025–2027 Data Metrics Plan centers on six key focus areas:	1
Alignment and Intent	1
Commitment to Excellence	2
Summary Statement	
Literacy	2
English Language Arts (ELA): Overall Proficiency & Gap Reduction	2
English Language Arts (ELA): Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data)	5
English Language Arts (ELA): Growth Metrics	- 12
English Language Arts (ELA): Universal Screening Aggressive Growth For Students Starting Below Benchmark in the Fall	_
Mathematics	18
Mathematics: Overall Proficiency & Gap Reduction	- 18
Mathematics: Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data)	- 21
Mathematics - Universal Screening Growth Metrics	- 28
Mathematics: Universal Screening Aggressive Growth For Students Starting Below Benchmark in the Fall	
Mathematics - Algebra Completion & Proficiency	- 34
Science	
Science - Statewide Assessment Proficiency	37
Social Emotional Learning (SEL)	40
Social Emotional Learning (SEL): Supporting Whole-Child Development	40
SEL: Participation in Extra Curricular and/or Co-Curricular Activities	- 43
Unique Learners	- 46
Acceleration and Advanced Classes Within the Talent Development Framework	- 46
Special Education Learners	- 52
English Language Learners	- 55
ACCESS for ELLs Reading and Writing Domain Growth	58
College and Career Ready	61
Graduation Rates	- 61
Edina High School College and Career Readiness Core Indicators:	- 64
Edina High School College and Career Expanded Opportunities:	- 71
College Persistence:	- 73
Edina High School Consistent Attendance	- 75
Appendix	- 78

Literacy



English Language Arts (ELA): Overall Proficiency & Gap Reduction

Rationale

Strong literacy skills are the foundation for all higher-level learning. Measuring overall proficiency and reducing achievement gaps ensures all learners gain the skills they need for future success. The Minnesota READ Act underscores the importance of early literacy, making universal screening data especially critical. Monitoring subgroup performance highlights where targeted support is needed to help every student excel.


Data Elements


- Spring FastBridge earlyReading Risk Level (K-1)
- Spring CBMr Risk Level (Grade 2)
- Spring aReading Risk Level (Grades 3–9)
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of EX, lowRisk
 - Students are classified as Not Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
 - Students without a risk level are omitted.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in grades K–9 who demonstrate reading proficiency on the spring literacy universal screening assessment will increase from 77% to 80%. In addition, the gap in proficiency between the highest and lowest-performing student subgroups will decrease by at least 2 percentage points.

English Language Arts (ELA): Overall Universal Screener Fastbridge Proficiency Data Charts and Graphs










Spring ELA Literacy Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficient

English Language Arts (ELA): Overall Proficiency Data Charts and Graphs Cont.



Spring ELA Literacy Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficient

English Language Arts (ELA): Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data)

Rationale

Looking at more than one source of data gives a fuller and more accurate picture of how students are doing in reading and writing. Using multiple data points helps confirm mastery, highlights where results may differ between assessments, and ensures instruction is adjusted to meet the needs of all students.

Data Elements

- Reading Universal Fastbridge Screener
 - Spring aReading Risk Level (Grades 3–8)
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of EX, lowRisk
 - Students are classified as Not Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
 - Students without a risk level are omitted.
 - o 10th Grade Students are omitted as they do not have all 3 data elements.
- End-of-the year ELA course grade
 - Elementary students with a score of 3 or 4 on 80% of assessed ELA standards as reported on the students report card.
 - Secondary students with a score of B or better
- MCA Reading calculated together as one data point
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have an achievement level or Exceeds or Meets on the MCA Reading Assessment from the prior year.
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have an achievement level or Partially Meets or Does Not Meet on the MCA Reading Assessment from the prior year.
- Students in Grades K-2 and 9th Grade are omitted as they do not have all three data elements.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in grades 3–8 who show proficiency in English Language Arts will increase from 78% to 81%. Students will be considered proficient if they meet at least two of the three measures:

- Reading FastBridge Universal Screener (spring)
- MCA Reading assessment
- Classroom proficiency (end-of-year ELA grades)

Progress will be monitored across all student subgroups.

English Language Arts (ELA): Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data) Charts and Graphs



Proficient in 2 of 3 Data Elements

25%

Not Proficient in 2 of 3 Data Elements

50%

Goal 81.009

75%

Student demographic groups where the enrolled number of students is less than 20 have been excluded due to the small number making students identifiable.

0%

25%

100%

Goal 81.00


75%

100%

50%

² of 3 Data Elements Proficiecy


English Language Arts (ELA): Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data) Charts and Graphs Cont.



² of 3 Data Elements Proficiecy

Proficient in 2 of 3 Data Elements Not Proficient in 2 of 3 Data Elements


English Language Arts (ELA): MCA Reading Assessment Charts and Graphs - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



MCA Reading Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficient


English Language Arts (ELA): MCA Reading Assessment Charts and Graphs Cont. - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



MCA Reading Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficient


English Language Arts (ELA): End-of-the-Year ELA Grades Charts and Graphs - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



LA Course Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficent

English Language Arts (ELA): End-of-the-Year ELA Grades Charts and Graphs Cont. - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



LA Course Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficent

English Language Arts (ELA): Growth Metrics

Rationale

Looking at student growth, not just overall proficiency, shows whether students are making progress from where they started. This helps us understand the effectiveness of Tier 1 (core) instruction and ensures that all students, whether already proficient or still catching up, are supported in making meaningful gains.


Data Elements


- FASTBridge earlyReading (K-1), Growth level Fall and Spring by Start Score
- FASTBridge CBMr (Grade 2) Growth Level Fall to Spring by Start Score
- FASTBridge aReading (Grades 3–9), Growth Level Fall to Spring by Start Score
- Growth Levels are defined by below:
 - Aggressive or Typical Growth students in this category have a National Percentile greater than or equal to the 40th Percentile Nationally.
 - Not Aggressive or Typical Growth students in this category have a National Percentile less than the 40th Percentile Nationally.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027, the percentage of K–9 students achieving Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring on the universal reading screener will increase by 3 percentage points, from 59% to 62%. This goal focuses on ensuring students at all starting levels are making steady progress. Aggressive Growth is defined as 75–99th percentile, Typical Growth as 40–75th percentile. This growth will be monitored for equitable distribution across all student subgroups.

English Language Arts (ELA:) FastBridge Universal Screening Growth Metrics Charts and Graphs










Fall to Spring ELA Growth Level by Start Score

Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring Not Aggressive or Typical Growth

English Language Arts (ELA): FastBridge Growth Metrics Charts and Graphs Cont.



Fall to Spring ELA Growth Level by Start Score

Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring Not Aggressive or Typical Growth

English Language Arts (ELA): Universal Screening Aggressive Growth For Students Starting Below Benchmark in the Fall

Rationale

Measuring growth is especially important for students who begin the year below grade-level expectations. Tracking their progress shows whether instruction and support systems (such as MN MTSS) are helping them catch up and ensures equity by recognizing growth across all student subgroups.


Data Elements

- FASTBridge earlyReading (K–1), Fall Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
- FASTBridge earlyReading (K–1), Fall to Spring Growth Level of Aggressive Growth. Aggressive growth are students who are at or above the 75th Percentile Fall to Spring by Start Score.
- FASTBridge CBMr (Grade 2), Fall Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk
- FASTBridge CBMr (Grade 2), Fall to Spring Growth Level of Aggressive Growth. Aggressive growth are students who are at or above the 75th Percentile Fall to Spring by Start Score.
- FASTBridge aReading (Grades 3–9), Fall Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
- FASTBridge aReading (Grades 3–9), Fall to Spring Growth Level of Aggressive Growth. Aggressive growth are students who are at or above the 75th Percentile Fall to Spring by Start Score.
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.

2025-2027 Goals

By Spring 2027 (starting Fall 2025), the percentage of K–9 students who begin the year below benchmark and achieve "Aggressive Growth" on the universal reading screener will increase by 4 percentage points, from 21% to 25%.

English Language Arts (ELA) Universal Screening Aggressive Growth by Students Below Benchmark in the Fall Charts



Fall to Spring ELA Aggressive Growth Level by Start Score

Aggressive Growth Growth Level Other Than Aggressive

50%

75%

25%

Student demographic groups where the enrolled number of students is less than 20 have been excluded due to the small number making students identifiable.

100%

0%


25%

50%

75%

100%

English Language Arts (ELA) Universal Screening Aggressive Growth by Students Below Benchmark in the Fall Charts Cont.



Fall to Spring ELA Aggressive Growth Level by Start Score

■ Aggressive Growth □ Growth Level Other Than Aggressive

Mathematics



Mathematics: Overall Proficiency & Gap Reduction

Rationale

Strong math skills are essential for every student. Fluency with foundational numeracy opens the door to critical thinking and problem-solving. Measuring overall proficiency and reducing achievement gaps ensures all learners gain the math skills they need for future success. Monitoring subgroup performance highlights where targeted support is needed to help every Edina student excel.


Data Elements

- Spring FastBridge earlyMath Risk Level (K–1)
- Spring aReading Risk Level (Grades 2–9)
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of EX, lowRisk
 - Students are classified as Not Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
 - Students without a risk level are omitted.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027, the percentage of K–8 students demonstrating math proficiency will increase by 2 percentage points, from 83% to 85%. At the same time, no student subgroup's proficiency will fall more than 10 points below the district average.

Math: Overall Proficiency Data Charts and Graphs



Spring Math Universal Screening by Grade Band by Student Grade								
Grade Band	Student Grade	Spring Math Universal Screening Proficiency						
Elementary (K-5)	KG	91.60%	491 Ss		Goal 85.00%			
	01	85.88%	511 Ss					
	02	88.45%	559 Ss					
	03	81.13%	533 Ss					
	04	82.14%	529 Ss					
	05	81.14%	525 Ss					
Middle School (6-8)	06	83.98%	540 Ss					
	07	81.80%	526 Ss					
	08	76.50%	524 Ss		Goal 85.00%			
		0%	25%	50%	75%	100%		






Spring Math Universal Screening Proficiency

Proficient ■ Not Proficient

Math: Overall Proficiency Data Charts and Graphs Cont



Spring Math Universal Screening Proficiency

■ Proficient
■ Not Proficient

Mathematics: Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data)

Rationale

Looking at more than one measure of student learning gives a fuller and more accurate picture of math proficiency. Using multiple data points helps confirm mastery, highlights where results may differ between assessments, and ensures instruction is adjusted to meet the needs of all students.

Data Elements

- Math Universal Fastbridge Screener Proficiency on Spring aMath Risk Level (Grades 3–8)
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of EX, lowRisk
 - Students are classified as Not Proficient when they have a Spring FastBridge Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
 - Students without a risk level are omitted.
- End-of-the year Math course grade
 - Elementary students with a score of 3 or 4 on 80% of assessed Math standards as reported on the students report card.
 - Secondary students with a score of B or better
- MCA Math Assessment Proficiency
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have an achievement level or Exceeds or Meets on the MCA Reading Assessment from the prior year.
 - Students are classified as Proficient when they have an achievement level or Partially Meets or Does Not Meet on the MCA Reading Assessment from the prior year.
- Students in Grades K-2 and 11th Grade are omitted as they do not have all three data elements.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in grades 3–8 who show proficiency in Math will increase from 80% to 82%. Students will be considered proficient if they meet at least two of the three measures:

- Math FastBridge Universal Screener (spring)
- MCA Math assessment
- Classroom proficiency (end-of-year Math grades)

Progress will be monitored across all student subgroups.


Math: Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data) Charts and Graphs



Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements

[■] Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements
■ Not Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements


Math: Multiple Measures of Proficiency (Triangulated Data) Charts and Graphs Cont.





Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements


Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements Not Proficient in 2 of 3 Math Data Elements

Math: MCA Math Assessment Charts and Graphs - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components










MCA Math Proficiency

■ Proficient
■ Not Proficient


Math: MCA Math Assessments Charts and Graphs - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



MCA Math Proficiency

Proficient ■ Not Proficient


Math: End-of-the-Year Math Grades Proficiency Charts and Graphs - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



Math Course Proficiency

■ Proficient Not Proficent

Math: End-of-the-Year Math Grades Proficiency Charts and Graphs Cont. - 1 of 3 Triangulated Components



Math Course Proficiency

■ Proficient
■ Not Proficent

Mathematics - Universal Screening Growth Metrics

Rationale

Looking at student growth, not just overall proficiency, shows whether students are making progress from where they started. This helps us understand the effectiveness of Tier 1 (core) instruction and ensures that all students, whether already proficient or still catching up, are supported in making meaningful gains.


Data Elements


- FASTBridge earlyMath (K-1), Growth level Fall and Spring by Start Score
- FASTBridge aMath (Grades 2-9), Growth Level Fall to Spring by Start Score
- Growth Levels are defined by below:
 - Aggressive or Typical Growth students in this category have a National Percentile greater than or equal to the 40th Percentile Nationally.
 - Not Aggressive or Typical Growth students in this category have a National Percentile less than the 40th Percentile Nationally.
- Student demographic data


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027, the percentage of K–9 students meeting or exceeding their expected growth on the universal reading screener will increase by 3 percentage points, from 63% to 66%. This goal focuses on ensuring students at all starting levels are making steady progress. Aggressive Growth is defined as 75–99th percentile, Typical Growth as 40–75th percentile. This growth will be monitored for equitable distribution across all student subgroups.

Mathematics: FastBridge Universal Screening Growth Metrics Charts and Graphs










Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring

[■] Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring
■ Not Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring

Mathematics: FastBridge Universal Screening Growth Metrics Charts and Graphs



Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring

Section 504 Student

25%

50%

58.28% | 88 Ss

Student demographic groups where the enrolled number of students is less than 20 have been excluded due to the small number making students identifiable.

100%

Goal 66.00%

75%

FRPM Student

52.22% | 165 Ss

25%

50%

0%

Goal 66.00%

100%

75%

Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring
Not Aggressive or Typical Growth Fall to Spring

Mathematics: Universal Screening Aggressive Growth For Students Starting Below Benchmark in the Fall

Rationale

Measuring growth is especially important for students who begin the year below grade-level expectations. Tracking their progress shows whether instruction and support systems (such as MN MTSS) are helping them catch up and ensures equity by recognizing growth across all student subgroups.


Data Elements


- FASTBridge earlyMath (K–1), Fall Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
- FASTBridge earlyMath (K-1), Fall to Spring Growth Level of Aggressive Growth. Aggressive growth are students who are at or above the 75th Percentile Fall to Spring by Start Score.
- FASTBridge aMath (Grades 2–8), Fall Risk Level of someRisk or highRisk.
- FASTBridge aReading (Grades 2-8), Fall to Spring Growth Level of Aggressive Growth. Aggressive growth are students who are at or above the 75th Percentile Fall to Spring by Start Score.
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027 (starting Fall 2025), the percentage of K–8 students who begin the year below benchmark and achieve "Aggressive Growth" on the universal math screener will increase by 3 percentage points, from 33% to 36%.

Mathematics Aggressive Growth Metrics for Students Below Benchmark in the Fall Charts and Graphs












Fall to Spring Math Aggressive Growth Level by Start Score

■ Aggressive Growth □ Growth Level Other than Aggressive

Mathematics Aggressive Growth Metrics for Students Below Benchmark in the Fall Charts and Graphs







Fall to Spring Math Aggressive Growth Level by Start Score

Aggressive Growth Growth Level Other than Aggressive

Mathematics - Algebra Completion & Proficiency

Rationale

Successful completion of Algebra by 8th grade is a strong predictor of future success in higher-level mathematics and STEM fields. This goal ensures that Tier 1 math instruction effectively prepares students for rigorous secondary mathematics, promoting equitable access to advanced coursework and fostering foundational algebraic understanding for all students.


Data Elements

- Final course grades in Algebra (specifically 'B' or better) for 8th-grade students.
- Student enrollment data for Algebra courses in 8th grade
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis

2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027 school year the percentage of 8th-grade students successfully completing Algebra with a letter grade of 'B' or better shall increase by percentage points from a Spring 2025 baseline of 80.24% to **83%**. This improvement will be monitored for equitable outcomes across all student subgroups.

Math Data Metrics - Algebra Completion & Proficiency Charts and Graphs



35 | Table of Contents

Math Data Metrics - Algebra Completion & Proficiency Charts and Graphs Cont.



Algebra Completion Status

Succesful Completion of Algebra by 8th Grade Did Not Successfully Complete Algebra by 8th Grade

Science



Science - Statewide Assessment Proficiency

Rationale

Performance on statewide science assessments provides an external validation of the effectiveness of Tier 1 science instruction and its alignment with state standards. These assessments are a critical measure for ensuring that all students are developing the knowledge and skills necessary for future science learning, while also helping the district identify and address systemic disparities in achievement across student groups. It is important to note that the statewide transition to a new science assessment this year resulted in a significant drop in scores, both locally and across Minnesota. Such decreases are a common occurrence when new standards are introduced and assessed for the first time, as instruction and curriculum adjust to the revised expectations. Over time, as teaching practices and student learning align more closely with the updated standards, performance is expected to stabilize and improve.


Data Elements


- MCA Science assessment scores (Grades 5, 8, HS Students taking Biology).
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027 school year, the percentage of students in grades 5, 8, and EHS Biology demonstrating proficiency on the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments (MCA) Science assessment shall increase by 10 percentage points from 47% to 57%. This improvement will be monitored for equitable outcomes across all student subgroups.

Science Data Metrics Charts and Graphs












MCA IV / Alternate Science Proficiency ■ Not Proficient Proficient

Science Data Metrics Charts and Graphs Cont.







MCA IV / Alternate Science Proficiency
Proficient
Not Proficient

Social Emotional Learning (SEL)



Social Emotional Learning (SEL): Supporting Whole-Child Development

Rationale

Social and Emotional Learning is essential for student well-being, engagement, and academic success. Measuring SEL shows how students are building skills like self-awareness, self-management, and relationship-building, ensuring they are supported both academically and emotionally. Students with strong SEL skills are more resilient, adaptable, and able to form healthy relationships.

Data Elements

Panorama Survey


2025-2027 Goals


By the Spring of 2027, the percentage of 3-12 students reporting growth in the Panorama competencies engagement and belonging will increase by 3 percentage points from the spring 2025 baseline:

- Grades 3-5 engagement will increase from 60% to 63%
- Grades 3-5 belonging will increase from 76% to 79%
- Grades 612 engagement will increase from 29% to 32%
- Grades 6-12 belonging will increase from 59% to 62%


Concurrently, all student subgroups are expected to demonstrate an increase of at least 1.5 percentage points.


Social Emotional Learning (SEL): Supporting Whole-Child Development Charts and Graphs






Social Emotional Learning (SEL): Supporting Whole-Child **Development by Student Grade Band**





Percent Favorable

Social Emotional Learning (SEL): Supporting Whole-Child Development 6-12 Engagement Charts and Graphs Cont.



SEL: Participation in Extra Curricular and/or Co-Curricular Activities

Rationale

Involvement in extracurricular and co-curricular activities further strengthens belonging and connection by providing opportunities to engage with peers and trusted adults in the Edina community. Extracurricular activities extend beyond the classroom based on student interests, while co-curricular activities are directly connected to and reinforce academic learning.


Data Elements


- Program participation data from Edina High School and Edina Community Education.
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.


2025-2027 Goals


By the Spring 2027 school year the percentage of students in grades 6-12 who participate in at least one cocurricular or extracurricular activity shall increase by 5 percentage points from 53% to 57%. This improvement will be monitored for equitable outcomes across all student subgroups.

Students Participating in Co / Extra Curricular Activities Charts and Graphs












Extra / Co-Curricular Participation Status

■ Participating In Extra / Co-Curricular
□ Not Participating In Extra / Co-Curricular

Students Participating in Co / Extra Curricular Activities Charts and Graphs Cont.







Extra / Co-Curricular Participation Status

■ Participating In Extra / Co-Curricular
□ Not Participating In Extra / Co-Curricular

Unique Learners



Acceleration and Advanced Classes Within the Talent Development Framework

Rationale

Measuring the growth and mastery of students in Talent Development and advanced coursework ensures the district is effectively nurturing the abilities of high-potential learners. Accelerated and advanced courses provide rigorous, fast-paced, or in-depth learning experiences that prepare students for future academic success, including college-level expectations within the Edina Talent Development Framework. These opportunities are essential for challenging students who demonstrate advanced reasoning skills, while also ensuring equitable access and support so that each and every learner can discover their possibilities and thrive. Tracking participation and success in these courses helps the district evaluate both the effectiveness of programming and the extent to which students are being supported to reach their optimal growth.

In tracking participation it is important to recognize that participation rates will naturally differ across grade levels. At the elementary level, students are still undergoing significant developmental changes, and the entire Talent Development Framework is intentionally used to explore the best instructional matches for each learner. Because of this, participation numbers at this stage are and should be lower. When this foundation is implemented thoughtfully, students gain the confidence, skills, and awareness of their strengths that prepare them for more formalized acceleration. As students move into middle school and high school, where they begin to self-select into advanced and accelerated courses, participation rates increase. This progression demonstrates the effectiveness of elementary programming.

Data Elements

- End-of-the year ELA grade (4-12) for students in Accelerated and/or Advance ELA Classes.
- End-of-the year Math grades for 3-12 students in Accelerated and/or Advance Math Classes.
- Student participation rates in Talent Development programs.
- Student demographic data.

2025-2027 Goals

Participation Goal

By Spring 2027, Elementary participation in Accelerated classes will remain in the 22 to 25% range with Middle School participation in Accelerated and Advance classes increasing to 55% and High School participation in Accelerated and Advance classes increasing to 60% range.

Performance Goal English Language Arts

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in advanced ELA courses in grades 4–8 who demonstrate literacy performance success measured by end of the year advanced course grades will increase from 87% to 90%.


Performance Goal Math

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in advanced math courses in grades 3–8 who demonstrate math performance success measured by end of the year advanced course grades will increase from 90% to 93%.


^{*}This goal will be monitored for equitable outcomes across all student subgroups.


^{*}This goal will also be reviewed during the 2025-26 review of Elementary Acceleration.

TD Participation



TD Program Parti	cipation by	/ Grade	Band by	Student	Grade			
Grade Band	Student Grade	Participation in TD Acceleration						
Elementary (K-5)	03	10.60%	71 Goal 2	25.00%				
	04	24.74%	164 Ss					
	05	30.49%	200 Ss					
Middle School (6-8)	06	45.14%	302 Ss	G	oal 55.00%			
	07	43.16%	287 Ss					
	08	59.68%	413 Ss					
High School (9-12)	09	35.82%	250 Ss		Goal 60.00%			
	10	58.42%	413 Ss					
	11	66.20%	472 Ss					
	12	61.00%	413 Ss					
		0%	25%	50%	75%	100%		







TD Course Participation


Particiting in TD Programming Not Participating in TD Programming

TD Participation Cont.




TD Program Parti	cipation by Gr	ade Band	by Stud	dent E	L Status		
Grade Band	Student EL Status	Participation in TD Acceleration					
Elementary (K-5)	Non EL Student	23.67% 4	Goal 25.0	00%			
	EL Monitor	21.69% 1	Ss				
	EL Student						
Middle School (6-8)	Non EL Student	52.56% 92	23 Ss	G	oal 55.00%		
	Prior EL	69.09% 3	8 Ss				
	EL Monitor	27.19% 3	1 Ss				
	EL Student	9.57% 9.5	is				
High School (9-12)	Non EL Student	59.30% 1,	406 Ss		Goal 60.00%	6	
	Prior EL	50.99% 10	03 Ss				
	EL Monitor	36.00% 2	7 Ss				
	EL Student	8.28% 12	Ss				
		0% 2	5%	50%	75%	100%	





Particiting in TD Programming Not Participating in TD Programming


TD Program Participation in Accelerated Courses Charts and Graphs



TD Course Proficiency

Proficient Not Proficient

TD Program Participation in Accelerated Courses Charts and Graphs Cont.



TD Course Proficiency

[■] Proficient
■ Not Proficient

Special Education Learners

Rationale

This goal is critical for ensuring that Tier 1 instruction, in conjunction with individualized supports, is effectively addressing the unique learning needs of students with disabilities. Measuring progress on IEP goals directly reflects the district's commitment to providing a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE). It ensures that these students are making meaningful academic and functional gains within the general education environment and that success extends to classroom, state, and national assessments.


Data Elements

- IEP goal attainment records.
- Students are classified as Making Adequate Progress or Meeting Goals if they make Adequate Progress or Meet 80% of their Academic Goals.
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis (to ensure comprehensive reporting on this subgroup).


2025-2027 Goals


By the Spring of 2027, maintain the percentage of K-12 students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) who are meeting and/or making adequate progress toward their IEP goals at or above 97.48%.

Special Education Learners Data Metrics Charts and Graphs



Progress To Student Gra		eeting A	Academic G	Goals by Gra	de Band by	,
Grade Band	Student	Pr	ogress Towar	d Meeting Speci	ial Education G	oals
Early Learning .	. ECSE	95.58%	108 Ss			
Elementary	KG	99.03%	102 Ss			
(K-5)	01	99.04%	103 Ss			
	02	100.00%	6 106 Ss			
	03	100.00%	6 115 Ss			
	04	99.06%	105 Ss			
	05	98.95%	94 Ss			
Middle School (6-8)	06	99.03%	102 Ss]
	07	95.00%	76 Ss			
	08	100.00%	6 68 Ss			
High School (9-12)	09	90.41%	66 Ss			
	10	91.55%	65 Ss			
	11	98.67%	74 Ss			
	12	92.98%	53 Ss			
		0%	25%	50%	75%	100%





Meeting or Making Adequate Progress Toward IEP Goals

■ Making Adequate Progress or Meeting Goals
■ Not Making Adequate Progress or Meeting Goals

Special Education Learners Data Metrics Charts and Graphs Cont.

	Toward Meeti tudent Race	ing Academi	c Goals	by Grade	e Band b	У	Progress T Student EL	oward Meetin Status	g Acad	demic Goa	ls by Grad	de Band by	Student		
	Student Race	Progress To	ward Meeti	ng Special	Education (Goals	Grade Band	Student EL Status	Pr	ogress Towar	d Meeting Sp	ecial Educatio	n Goals		
Early Learning	Asian	85.71% 6 Ss					Early Learni	Non EL Student	95.2	4% 100 Ss					
Center (ELC)	Black or African American	100.00% 14 S	s				Elementary	Non EL Student	99.6	3% 539 Ss					
(220)	Hispanic/Latino	100.00% 14 S	s				Middle School	EL Monitor	100.	00% 9 Ss					
	Two or More Races	100.00% 8 Ss	;					EL Student	97.4	4% 76 Ss					
	White	94.29% 66 Ss						Non EL Student		0% 206 Ss					
							Prior EL	100.00% 3 Ss							
Elementary (K-5)	Asian	94.74% 36 Ss					EL Monitor	90.9	90.91% 10 Ss						
(1.0)	Black or African American	100.00% 53 S	s					EL Student	100.	00% 26 Ss					
	Hispanic/Latino	100.00% 59 S	s				High School (9-12)	Non EL Student	92.5	4% 211 Ss					
	Two or More Races	100.00% 49 S	is				(3-12)	Prior EL EL Monitor		00% 10 Ss 00% 10 Ss					
	White	99.53% 427 S	s					EL Student		0% 26 Ss					
Middle	Asian	93.33% 14 Ss							0%	25%	50%	6 759	% 100%		
School (6-8)	Black or African American	95.45% 21 Ss	;				Drogress T	award Mastin		damia Caa	la bu Crae	la Dand hu	Ctudont		
	Hispanic/Latino	100.00% 29 S	00.00% 29 Ss					Progress Toward Meeting Academic Goals by Grade Band by Student Student FRPM Status							
	Two or More Races	100.00% 20 S	s				Grade Band	Student FRPM	1 St	Progress Tov	ward Meeting	Special Educa	ition Goals		
	White	98.16% 160 S	3.16% 160 Ss			Early Learning Center (ELC)	Non FRPM Student 95.18% 79 Ss								
High School	Asian	100.00% 18 S	is					FRPM Studen		5.67% 29 Ss					
(9-12)			•				Elementary (K-5)	Non FRPM St							
	American	86.67% 39 Ss				(1.5)	FRPM Studen	t 10	0.00% 122	Ss					
	Hispanic/Latino	97.14% 34 Ss	7.14% 34 Ss				Middle School (6-8)	Non FRPM St	udent 98	3.36% 180 S	S				
	Two or More Races	94.74% 18 Ss	18 Ss			FRPM Studen			7.06% 66 Ss J.79% 182 S						
	White	93.67% 148 S	s				High School (9-12)	Non FRPM Studen		.79% 182 S).48% 76 Ss					
		0% 20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		T IN WI Studen	0%				% 100%		

Meeting or Making Adequate Progress Toward IEP Goals

[■] Making Adequate Progress or Meeting Goals ■ Not Making Adequate Progress or Meeting Goals

English Language Learners

Rationale

Ensuring that English Language Learners are making consistent progress in acquiring English proficiency is paramount for their academic success and full participation in the general education curriculum. This goal aligns with federal (ESSA) and state requirements, emphasizing the district's responsibility to support ELLs in developing the language skills necessary to access content and achieve academic standards.


Data Elements


- Minnesota Department of Education (MDE) provided growth metrics for ELLs (as per ESSA requirements).
 - A path to proficiency will be plotted for each student based on their initial ACCESS composite score and the grade they were in when they received that score. In general, older students and students with lower composite scores will have more time to achieve English language proficiency, while younger students and students with higher composite scores will be expected to achieve English language proficiency more quickly." https://education.mn.gov/MDE/dse/ESSA/Imp/MDE073106
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027 the percentage of English Language Learners demonstrating growth towards their individualized language proficiency goals shall increase by 3 percentage points from a Spring 2025 baseline of 40% to 43%. This growth will be measured by utilizing the growth metrics provided to Independent School Districts (ISD) by the Minnesota Department of Education, in alignment with the Federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

English Language Learners (ELLs) Data Metrics Charts and Graphs










EL Growth Target Status


Growth Target Met Growth Target Not Met

English Language Learners (ELLs) Data Metrics Charts and Graphs Cont.



This Section Intentionally Left Blank

EL Student Growth as Defined by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE) by Grade Band by Student Special Education / 504 Status Growth Target Status Student Special Grade Band Education / 504 Status Elementary Gen Ed Student 67.79% | 101Ss Goal 43.00% (K-5)Special Ed Student 43.28% | 29Ss Section 504 Student Middle Gen Ed Student 27.27% | 12Ss School (6-8) Special Ed Student 8.00 Section 504 Student High School Gen Ed Student 21.35% | 19 (9-12)Special Ed Student Section 504 Student Goal 43.00% 0% 25% 50% 75% 100%



EL Growth Target Status

Growth Target Not Met Growth Target Met

ACCESS for ELLs Reading and Writing Domain Growth

Rationale

Research in language acquisition shows that listening and speaking skills typically develop first for English Language Learners, while reading and writing domains are the areas that hold many students back from exiting EL services and achieving English proficiency as defined by WIDA ACCESS. Data consistently shows reading and writing domains remain the most challenging areas for student growth across all grade levels.


Data Elements


- WIDA ACCESS sub-domain scores of reading and writing
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.


2025-2027 Goals


By Spring 2027 the percentage of English Language Learners (ELL) demonstrating positive growth from the prior year on the Reading and Writing domains of the ACCESS for ELLs assessment will increase 2% points from 83% to 85%.

ACCESS for ELLs Reading and Writing Domain Growth from the Prior Year Charts and Graphs











ACCESS Domain Growth from Prior Year Status


Postive Growth on ACCESS Domains from Prior Year Negative or No Growth on ACCESS Domains from Prior ..

ACCESS for ELLs Reading and Writing Domain Growth from the Prior Year Charts and Graphs Cont.



This Section Intentionally Left Blanks





ACCESS Domain Growth from Prior Year Status

■ Postive Growth on ACCESS Domains from Prior Year
■ Negative or No Growth on ACCESS Domains from Prior ...

College and Career Ready



Graduation Rates

Rationale

High school graduation is a critical indicator of student success and preparedness for post-secondary education or careers. Monitoring graduation rates, especially for all student subgroups, is essential for ensuring equitable outcomes and identifying systemic barriers that may prevent certain student populations from completing their high school education. This goal reflects the district's commitment to supporting every student through their academic journey to successful completion.


Data Elements

- Minnesota Department of Education (MDE) official four-year graduation rate data (overall and by subgroup).
- Student demographic data for subgroup analysis.
- Dropout rates (for contextual analysis).
- Credit attainment data.
- Attendance data (for contextual analysis).


2025-2027 Goals

By Spring 2027, the district's overall four-year graduation rate shall increase from 95.56% to 98%. This improvement will be driven by a focus on increasing graduation rates for all student subgroups, with a particular emphasis on reducing disparities such that the graduation rate for any student subgroup is no more than 10 percentage points below the overall district rate.

Each and Every Student is Career or College Ready Graduation Rates Data Metrics Charts and Graphs



Each and Every Student is Career or College Ready Graduation Rates Data Metrics Charts and Graphs Cont.



Student Graduation Status

■ Graduate
■ Student Continuting to work toward Graduation

Edina High School College and Career Readiness Core Indicators:

Rationale

College and career readiness is a vital measure of student preparedness for success beyond high school, whether in post-secondary education, technical training, or the workforce. Tracking key indicators such as completion of rigorous coursework, ACT scores, Bilingual Seal attainment and more provides a comprehensive picture of student achievement and readiness. These measures highlight both academic proficiency and essential skill readiness such as problem-solving, communication, and global competency all aligning with the Portrait of a Well-Rounded Edina Graduate. Monitoring readiness across all student subgroups ensures that every learner has equitable access to opportunities that build strong foundations for their future. This goal reflects the district's commitment to preparing each and every student to thrive in their chosen path after graduating from Edina High School.

Data Elements

- Successful Completion of Key Coursework measured by end of year course grades in:
 - Biology
 - Develops scientific literacy, inquiry skills, and evidence-based reasoning.
 - U.S. Literature and Composition
 Strengthens reading comprehension, analytical writing, and communication required in all disciplines.
 - Pre-Calculus
 - Builds problem-solving, logic, and persistence; strongest predictor of college completion
 - o U.S. History
 - Cultivates critical thinking, civic awareness, and the ability to evaluate sources and arguments.
- Successful Application of Key Coursework measured by ACT Performance: Benchmark indicators in English, Math, Reading, and Science
- **Multilingual Proficiency measured by Bilingual Seal Results**: Recognition of multilingual proficiency, global competency, and cognitive flexibility

2025-2027 Goals

Key Coursework Proficiency: End of year course grades

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in Biology who demonstrate proficiency in science measured by the end of the year Biology course grade will increase from 78.79% to 80%.

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in Pre-Calculus who demonstrate proficiency in advanced mathematics measured by the end of the year Pre-Calculus course grade will increase from 65.62% to 68.62%.

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in U.S. Literature and Composition who demonstrate proficiency in literacy measured by the end of the year U.S. Literature and Composition course grade will increase from 79.51% to 81.51%.

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students in U.S. History who demonstrate proficiency in Social Studies measured by the end of the year U.S. History course grade will increase from 78.75% to 80%.


Key Coursework Application: ACT Performance

By Spring 2027, the percentage of students with an ACT composite score of 22 or greater will increase from 72.45% to 75%.


Multilingual Proficiency: Bilingual Seal Attainment

By the Spring of 2027, the number of 12th grade students achieving a Bilingual Seal will increase from 78.75% to 80%.


Career and College Ready Course Work Proficiency Charts and Graphs



Career and College Ready Course Work Proficiency Charts and Graphs Cont.




ACT Composite Score of 22 or Better Charts and Graphs



ACT Composite Score Status

ACT Composite Score 22 or Greater ACT Composite Score Not 22 or Greater


ACT Composite Score of 22 or Better Charts and Graphs




ACT Composite Score Status

ACT Composite Score 22 or Greater ACT Composite Score Not 22 or Greater

Bilingual Seals Charts and Graphs



Bilingual Seals Charts and Graphs Cont.



■ Earned Bilingual Seal □ Did Not Earn Bilingual Seal

Edina High School College and Career Expanded Opportunities:

Rationale

In addition to core measures of college and career readiness, students also benefit from pathways that provide different access to advanced learning opportunities and real-world experiences, as well as opportunities for academic distinction. Research shows that participation in PSEO, Dual Enrollment, internships, and recognition programs such as National Merit strengthens both college and career readiness. These pathways increase postsecondary persistence, build technical and professional skills, and open doors to diverse opportunities after high school. Together, they reflect the district's commitment to providing equitable access to rigorous, real-world, and high-impact learning experiences for all students.

Data Elements

- PSEO & Dual Enrollment Early access to college-level coursework and credit.
 - Post Secondary Enrollment Options
 - Participating Colleges / Universities Normandale Community College, Minneapolis College, UofM Twin Cities & Crookston, North Hennepin Community College, St. Paul College, Hennepin Tech
 - University Writing: College in the Schools
 - University of Minnesota
 - Introduction to Literature: College in the Schools
 - o College Algebra
 - Bemidji State
- Internships/Youth Skills Training Grant- Applied experiences that build career skills and engagement.
- National Merit Scholars Recognition of academic excellence and pathways to selective opportunities.

2025-2027 Goals

PSEO and Dual Enrollment

By spring 2027, participation in Postsecondary Enrollment Options (PSEO) and Dual Enrollment programs will be strengthened through intentional outreach, guidance, and support for students who would most benefit from access to these pathways. The focus will not be solely on increasing total participation, but on ensuring that all students are aware of, prepared for, and supported in pursuing these opportunities when they align with their goals and readiness. Targeted growth areas and action steps for PSEO and Dual Enrollment will be refined during the Edina High School review and development of the co-designed Bold Roadmap for the Future. More specific participation goals will be established once 2026 data provide a verified baseline

Internships/Work-Based Learning

By spring 2027, the district will implement a consistent system for tracking internship and work-based learning participation and increase the number of students engaged in these experiences. Initial growth will be measured against a verified baseline established in 2025–26.

National Merit Scholars

By the spring of 2027, the number of Edina High School students recognized by the National Merit Scholarship Program will increase across all levels of distinction. From the 2025 baseline, participation goals include increasing the number of Commended Students from 22 to 27, Semifinalists from 8 to 10, and Finalists from 8 to 10. The focus will be on maintaining academic excellence, expanding opportunities for students to engage in rigorous coursework and test preparation, and ensuring that high-achieving learners from all backgrounds are encouraged and supported in pursuing recognition.

Edina High School College and Career Expanded Opportunities Data Table

Career and College Ready Other Areas of Excellence

PSEO Participation by Term

National Merit Scholars

		Sem	ester
Grade Band	School Year	S1	S2
High School (9-12)	2024-2025	116	110

Grade Band	School Year	National Merit Category	
		Commended	22
High School (9-12)	2024-2025	Semi Finialists	8
		Finalists	8

Number of Students Dual Enrolled

Number of Students Participating in Internships / Apprenticeships

Grade Band	School Year		Grade Band
High School (9-12)	2024-2025	272	High School (9-12)

College Persistence:

Rationale

The Statewide Longitudinal Education Data System (SLEDS) provides valuable insights into how well Minnesota students are prepared for, transition to, and persist in postsecondary education and the workforce. By linking data from high school, college, and employment records, SLEDS helps districts like Edina understand long-term trends in college enrollment, persistence, completion, and career outcomes. Monitoring SLEDS data allows the district to evaluate the effectiveness of college and career readiness initiatives, identify opportunity gaps among student groups, and align supports to ensure every graduate has the knowledge, skills, and experiences necessary to succeed after high school.


Data Element

• 2022 MN SLEDS Data

2025-2027 Goal

By spring 2027, Edina High School graduates will demonstrate growth in **college persistence** rates as measured by the **Statewide Longitudinal Education Data System (SLEDS)**. Using the 2022 cohort as a baseline, the district will focus on supporting students in successfully transitioning to postsecondary education and remaining enrolled beyond their first year. Specific growth targets will be established once verified 2024 SLEDS data are available.

Percent of HS Graduates Starting College and Persisting or Graduating as of:



		Edina Public School
Туре	Statewide	District
2nd Academic Year	35,724 (84%)	539 (95%)
3rd Academic Year	27,653 (65%)	495 (87%)
Students Enrolled Year 1	42,729	566

Data Source MN SLEDS 2022:

https://sleds.mn.gov/#stayingInAndCompletingCollege/orgId--027301000 groupType--district ECODEVREGION--FOC NONE completingCollegeCOHORTID--2022 DISABILITY TYPE--FOC NONE p--1

Edina High School Consistent Attendance

Rationale

Consistent attendance is paramount to ensure that students are immersed in the instruction and additional support when necessary to demonstrate mastery of the MN State Standards. Students with consistent attendance succeed academically, are more likely to graduate and be better prepared for post secondary (college/career) experiences. The Minnesota Department of education deems consistent attendance as a key indicator of student engagement and overall school success. Chronic absenteeism, defined as missing 10% or more of instructional days, has been shown to negatively impact student achievement, social-emotional development, and long-term outcomes. When students are present, they build stronger connections with peers and teachers, receive rigorous and timely interventions, and develop the habits of responsibility and perseverance that will serve them well beyond their K-12 experience.

Data Elements

Definition: When student percent in attendance is greater than or equal to 90% they are classified as being Consistently in Attendance. Students whose attendance is less than 90% are classified as being Not Consistently in Attendance.

• Calculation Note:


- o In **elementary**, attendance is recorded as a student present for the entire instructional day.
- o In **secondary**, attendance is measured by class period rather than by full day. A student's overall attendance rate reflects the percentage of class periods attended across all courses.
- o This data specifically reflects Edina High School attendance. K-12 attendance data can be viewed in the Appendix.


2025-2027 Goals

By Spring 2027, Edina High School will increase the percentage of students who consistently attend school 90% of the time from 76.95% in 2025 to 82%.


^{*}This method provides a more precise measure of engagement in each instructional setting and accounts for the complexity of individualized secondary schedules.

High School Consistent Attendance Charts and Graphs










Consistent Attendance Status

Consistently In Attendance

■ Not Consistently In Attendance

High School Consistent Attendance Charts and Graphs Cont.



Student demographic groups where the enrolled number of students is less than 20 have been excluded due to the small number making students identifiable.

Not Consistently In Attendance

Consistently In Attendance

Appendix

Appendix A: Glossary

<u>Universal Screener</u>: A brief, standardized assessment that is administered to all students to evaluate the efficacy of core programming and to identify those students who may be at risk for poor learning or social, emotional, and behavioral outcomes.

<u>FASTBridge</u>: A universal screener that identifies each students' academic performance level using risk benchmarks and national norms, provides growth rates and growth norms to assess progress toward end of year goals, and indicates the concepts and skills that are above, below, and within the students instructional range (also provides some diagnostic information). FASTBridge data also offers proven recommendations for response to the students needs specifically in literacy, and the ability to gather data in the format of progress monitoring how students are responding (growing or not) to the proven recommendations.

It is also important to note that the READ Act requires mandatory screening of every child in kindergarten, first, second, and third grade, including multilingual learners and students receiving special education services, using a screening tool approved by the Department of Education. Edina is using FASTBridge for this screening. It is completed three times per year to measure foundational reading skills, including phonemic awareness, phonics, decoding, fluency, oral language. A specific subset of the FASTBridge assessment suite screens for characteristics of dyslexia.

<u>Capti ReadBasix:</u> The Minnesota READ Act requires students in grades 4 and above, who are not reading at grade level, to be assessed for reading difficulties, including characteristics of dyslexia, using a tool approved by the Department of Education. This requirement includes multilingual learners and students receiving special education services. Capti is the approved diagnostic tool based on the Science of Reading framework. It screens, diagnoses, and monitors foundational reading skills in adolescents. Edina winter of 2025 Edina will utilize this assessment and collaborate on the response plans to support student results.

<u>Proficiency</u>: Meeting a defined benchmark on an assessment that places a student in a category of low risk of not meeting expectations or demonstrates that student has met benchmarks for standards. Statisticians determine proficiency of an assessment using measurement systems that align assessment research.

<u>Growth</u>: The rate of learning improvement from one assessment window to the next. This is generally norm referenced and dependent upon a national distribution of scores.

<u>Typical Growth</u>: Growth that is between the 40th and 75th percentile. This means that the student is growing at a rate that is average to moderately above average.

<u>Aggressive Growth</u>: Growth that is measured at or above the 75th percentile or growing faster than 75% of other test takers. (Fastbridge assessments)

<u>Talent Development</u>: Talent Development encompasses all of the classes, support structures, and instruction that are designed to identify a child's strengths early on in their education, so they can turn

their abilities and interests into high levels of achievement. In this report Accelerated and Advanced classes are the focus. These are classes that a select group of students take.

<u>Multilingual Learner</u>: A student whose home language is a language other than English and who is working towards meeting proficiency in listening, speaking, reading and writing in English.

<u>Statewide Longitudinal Education Data System (SLEDS)</u>: <u>Minnesota Statewide Longitudinal Education Data System (</u>SLEDS) matches student data from pre-kindergarten through completion of post-secondary education and into the workforce.

<u>Panorama</u>: Resource to help educators understand student, teacher, and staff perceptions of Social and Emotional Learning skills. This measurement of mindsets, behaviors, and attitudes can be strongly related to success in school and beyond the classroom. Panorama also provides support and tools to respond to the measurements collected. For example the <u>Panorama Playbook</u> is a professional learning library with hundreds of instructional resources and interventions.


<u>Professional Learning Community</u> (PLC): "An ongoing process in which educators work collaboratively in recurring cycles of collective inquiry and action research to achieve better results for the students they serve." (DuFour, DuFour, & Eaker, 2002)

<u>LETRS</u>: (Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling): A training course developed by Louisa Moats and Carol Tolman, both literacy experts and consultants in the Science of Reading/Structured Literacy. LETRS instructs teachers in what literacy skills need to be taught, why, and how to teach them in an explicit, systematic and direct way in alignment with the Science of Reading/Structured Literacy. LETRS professional development takes 144 hours on average to complete and guides learners in both whole group and independent learning sessions to deepen structured literacy knowledge in all 5 pillars of reading: Phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.


<u>A.S.P.I.R.E.</u>: Based on the science of reading/structured literacy, Aspire is a professional learning solution designed to meet the needs of all educators teaching students in grades 4–8. Aspire trains all educators to weave literacy skills and strategies into their instruction so they can support learners to read, comprehend, and articulate their ideas across various subjects. It is a flexible, self-paced, digital solution that empowers all educators to accelerate literacy skills among students in grades 4–8.


<u>IXL</u>: Online personalized learning platform. Edina systematically uses IXL in math in elementary and middle school as a diagnostic assessment and a tool to respond to diagnostic information with individualized instructional matches.

Appendix B: K-12 Attendance Charts and Graphs



Student Percent In Attendance by Grade Band by Student Grade			
Grade Band	Student Grade	0.00%	100.00%
Elementary	KG	94.94% Percent In Attendance	
(K-5)	01	95.30% Percent In Attendance	
	02	95.24% Percent In Attendance	
	03	95.20% Percent In Attendance	
	04	94.58% Percent In Attendance	
	05	95.18% Percent In Attendance	
Middle	06	94.61% Percent In Attendance	
School (6-8)	07	94.07% Percent In Attendance	
	08	95.38% Percent In Attendance	
High School	09	93.51% Percent In Attendance	
(9-12)	10	92.00% Percent In Attendance	
	11	92.44% Percent In Attendance	
	12	91.28% Percent In Attendance	





Measure Names

Percent In Attendance Percent Absent

Appendix B: K-12 Attendance Charts and Graphs Cont.

Student P	ercent In Attend	ance by Grade Band by Student Ra	ce	Student P	er
Grade Band	Student Race	Student Percent In Attendance 0.00%	100.00%		S
Elementary	Asian	95.39% Percent In Attendance		Grade Band	S
(K-5)	Black or African A	94.15% Percent In Attendance		Elementary	N
	Hispanic/Latino	93.48% Percent In Attendance		(K-5)	Е
	Two or More Races	94.85% Percent In Attendance			Ε
	White	95.34% Percent In Attendance		Middle.	
Middle	Asian	95.76% Percent In Attendance		Middle School (6-8)	N
School (6-8)	Black or African A	94.15% Percent In Attendance			Р
	Hispanic/Latino	93.56% Percent In Attendance			Ε
	Two or More Races	94.57% Percent In Attendance			Ε
	White	94.91% Percent In Attendance		High School	N
High School	Asian	94.56% Percent In Attendance		High School (9-12)	
(9-12)	Black or African A	90.37% Percent In Attendance		(= ==,	Р
	Hispanic/Latino	91.96% Percent In Attendance			Ε
	Two or More Races	91.81% Percent In Attendance			Е
	White	92.60% Percent In Attendance			_

Student Percent In Attendance by Grade Band by Student EL Status				
Grade Band	Student EL Status	Student Percent In Attendance 0.00%	100.00%	
Elementary (K-5)	Non EL Student EL Monitor EL Student	95.17% Percent In Attendance 95.05% Percent In Attendance 94.05% Percent In Attendance		
Middle School (6-8)		94.81% Percent In Attendance 95.10% Percent In Attendance 94.70% Percent In Attendance 93.87% Percent In Attendance		
High School (9-12)	Non EL Student Prior EL EL Monitor EL Student	92.37% Percent In Attendance 92.74% Percent In Attendance 92.25% Percent In Attendance 90.67% Percent In Attendance		

Student Percent In Attendance by Grade Band by Student Special Education / 504 Status Student Percent In Attendance Student Special 0.00% Grade Band Education / 504 Status Gen Ed Student Elementary 95.19% Percent In Attendance

100.00% (K-5)94.65% Percent In Attendance Special Ed Student Section 504 Student 94.60% Percent In Attendance Gen Ed Student Middle 94.94% Percent In Attendance School (6-8) Special Ed Student 93.95% Percent In Attendance Section 504 Student 94.33% Percent In Attendance High School Gen Ed Student 92.81% Percent In Attendance (9-12)Special Ed Student 89.95% Percent In Attendance 91.31% Percent In Attendance Section 504 Student

Student Percent In Attendance by Grade Band by Student FRPM Status				
Grade Band	Student FRPM Status	Student Percent In Attendance 0.00%	100.00%	
Elementary (K-5)	Non FRPM Student	95.37% Percent In Attendance		
(K-5)	FRPM Student	93.38% Percent In Attendance		
Middle	Non FRPM Student	95.07% Percent In Attendance		
School (6-8)	FRPM Student	93.29% Percent In Attendance		
	Non FRPM Student	92.79% Percent In Attendance		
(9-12)	FRPM Student	90.44% Percent In Attendance		

Measure Names

Percent In Attendance Percent Absent

Appendix C: Demographic Summary

Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student Race

		Student Race	
	<u> </u>	Asian	7.72%
	(K-!	Black or African American	7.14%
	ary	Hispanic/Latino	7.67%
	ent	Two or More Races	8.29%
	Elementary (K-5)	White	69.18%
	E	Total	100.00%
	-8)	Asian	7.32%
ы	1 (6	Black or African American	8.16%
Grade Band	Middle School (6-8)	Hispanic/Latino	8.07%
rade	Sc	Two or More Races	6.38%
Ð	ddle	White	70.06%
	M	Total	100.00%
	2)	Asian	8.06%
	(9-1	Black or African American	14.15%
	ool	Hispanic/Latino	7.92%
	Sch	Two or More Races	6.52%
	High School (9-12)	White	63.35%
	Η	Total	100.00%

Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student Special Educatoin / 504 Status

		Student SPED / 504 Student Status	
	ary	Gen Ed Student	79.08%
	menta (K-5)	Special Ed Student	17.23%
	Elementary (K-5)	Section 504 Student	3.69%
		Total	100.00%
pu	Middle School (6-8)	Gen Ed Student	79.17%
Grade Band	idle 1 (6	Special Ed Student	13.08%
ade	Mic	Section 504 Student	7.75%
Ġ	Sc	Total	100.00%
	ool	Gen Ed Student	75.89%
	h Sch (9-12)	Special Ed Student	10.09%
	High School (9-12)	Section 504 Student	14.03%
	H	Total	100.00%

Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student **FRPM Status**

Grade Band	Student FRPM Status	
Elementary	Non FRPM Student	85.20%
(K-5)	FRPM Student	14.80%
	Total	100.00%
Middle School	Non FRPM Student	82.38%
(6-8)	FRPM Student	17.62%
	Total	100.00%
High School	Non FRPM Student	80.04%
(9-12)	FRPM Student	19.96%
	Total	100.00%

Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student Grade

		Student Grade	
		KG	15.19%
	Elementary (K-5)	01	15.90%
	y (K	02	16.89%
	ntar	03	17.52%
	meı	04	17.34%
	Ele	05	17.15%
pd		Total	100.00%
8	-8	06	33.02%
ade.	Grade Band Middle School (6-8)	07	32.82%
Ğ	Mic	08	34.16%
	Sc	Total	100.00%
	_	09	24.97%
	hoo (i	10	25.30%
	Sc)	11	25.51%
	High School (9-12)	12	24.22%
		Total	100.00%


Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student Gender

Grade Band	Student Gender	
Elementary	Female	47.88%
(K-5)	Male	52.12%
	Total	100.00%
Middle School	Female	49.90%
(6-8)	Male	50.10%
	Total	100.00%
High School	Female	49.09%
(9-12)	Male	50.91%
	Total	100.00%

Demographic Summary by Grade Band by Student **EL Status**

		Student EL Status	
	Elementary (K-5)	Non EL Student	89.31%
		EL Monitor	2.60%
		EL Student	8.09%
		Total	100.00%
	Middle School (6-8)	Non EL Student	86.97%
pu		Prior EL	2.72%
Grade Band		EL Monitor	5.65%
		EL Student	4.66%
		Total	100.00%
	High School (9-12)	Non EL Student	84.89%
		Prior EL	7.23%
		EL Monitor	2.69%
		EL Student	5.19%
		Total	100.00%


Appendix D: K-2 ICCCAR Standard Proficiency Charts and Graphs



ICCCAR Proficency Status

Proficent Not Proficent

Appendix D: K-2 ICCCAR Standard Proficiency Charts and Graphs Cont.



ICCCAR Proficency Status

Appendix E: Career/College Ready Research

The following national studies and frameworks represent some of the most widely recognized research on college and career readiness. Together, they highlight the importance of rigorous coursework, benchmark assessments, and key skill areas as strong predictors of post-secondary success.

Sources

- Adelman, C. (2006). The Toolbox Revisited: Paths to degree completion from high school through college. U.S. Department of Education.
 - → Completing advanced math courses (beyond Algebra II) is the strongest curriculum factor predicting college completion.
- ACT. (2023). The Condition of College & Career Readiness 2023. ACT, Inc.
 - → College readiness benchmarks in English, math, reading, and science align with first-year college success.
 - National Center for Education Statistics. (2017). High school course-taking and college readiness: 2017 update. U.S. Department of Education.
 - → Rigorous course sequences in math, science, and English increase college enrollment and persistence.
 - Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2019). Framework for 21st century learning. Battelle for Kids.
 - → Identifies critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and global awareness as essential readiness skills.

Together the following research highlights how these opportunities extend readiness, promote equity of access, and celebrate student distinction within our community.

Sources

- An, B. P. (2013). The impact of dual enrollment on college degree attainment. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis.
 - \rightarrow Dual enrollment significantly increases college enrollment and degree attainment, especially for underrepresented students.
- Advance CTE & Association for Career and Technical Education. (2018). Work-based learning in high school: Findings from a national survey. **
 - \rightarrow Work-based learning (e.g., internships) builds career readiness, applied skills, and student engagement.
- National Merit Scholarship Corporation. (2022). Annual Report. **
 - → National Merit recognition highlights high academic achievement and expands opportunities for selective college admissions and scholarships.

Appendix F: Program Included in Edina High School and Edina Community Education Data

- Advanced Archery: Gr. 5-12,
- Alpine Ski Boys,
- Alpine Ski Girls,
- Archery 101: Gr. 5-12,
- Architecture, Construction, Engineering (ACE) club,
- Art Club,
- Badminton Club (Valley View): Gr. 6-8,
- Badminton Club: South View Gr. 6-8.
- Badminton Club: Valley View Gr. 6-8,
- Badminton,
- Baseball,
- Basketball Boys,
- Basketball Girls,
- Basketball Club (Valley View): Gr. 6-8,
- Black Student Union,
- Business Club,
- Ceramics Club,
- Cheer Tryouts 2025-26,
- Cheerleading,
- Chess Club: South View Gr. 6-8,
- Chess Club: Valley View Gr. 6-8,
- Chinese Club,
- Civics Club,
- Club Archery: Gr. 5-12,
- Coed Afterschool Basketball Club: SVMS & VVMS Gr. 6-8,
- Competition Dance,
- Competitive Diving Mondays Apr-May,
- Competitive Diving Mondays Jan-Mar,
- Competitive Diving Mondays,
- Competitive Diving Thursdays Apr-May,
- Competitive Diving Wednesdays Apr-May,
- Competitive Diving Wednesdays Jan-Mar,
- Criminal Justice Club,
- Cross Country Boys,
- Cross Country Girls,
- Cross Country Running Club: South View & Valley View:

- Gr. 6,
- Crumbl Club,
- Debate,
- DECA,
- Donation Club,
- Driver's Ed: Classroom & Behind-the-Wheel: Gr. 9-12,
- Dungeon Adventures: South View Gr. 6-8,
- Dungeon Adventures: Valley View Gr. 6-8,
- Dungeons & Dragons Club,
- Dungeons Adventures Gr. 6-8 (South View),
- Dungeons Adventures Gr. 6-8 (Valley View),
- E Sports,
- Edina Gymnastics Session I: Ages 8-16,
- Edina Junior High Competition Cheer Team: Gr. 6-9,
- Edina Winter Open Chess Tournament Gr. 1-12,
- Edina Women in STEM,
- EHS Film Club,
- EHS Thespian Club,
- Etiquette, Manners, Social Skills, Oh My!: Gr 1-6,
- FAST- Functional Athletic Speed Training: Gr. 6-8,
- FAST-Functional Athletic Speed Training Session I: Valley View Gr. 6-8,
- Fishing,
- Football,
- Game Club,
- GiGi's Playhouse Down Syndrome Achievement Center Volunteer Club,
- Girls Book Club,
- Girls Workout Club,
- Golf Boys,
- Golf Girls,
- Gymnastics,
- Hip Hop Dance,
- Hockey Boys,
- Hockey Girls,
- Hockey Cheer Tryouts,
- Hornettes,
- HOSA,

- In Person: Morning Math Team: South View: Gr. 6-8,
- In Person: Morning Math Team: Valley View: Gr. 6-8,
- Intermediate Diving Wednesdays Apr-May,
- Intermediate Diving Wednesdays,
- Investments Club,
- Jazz Band,
- Jewish Student Union (JSU),
- Knowledge Bowl,
- Kung Fu Wu Shu for Self-Defense and Fitness: 3rd Purple – Black Belt: Ages 5 – Adult,
- Kung Fu Wu Shu for Self-Defense and Fitness: Green – 2nd Purple Belt: Ages 5 – Adult,
- Kung Fu Wu Shu for Self-Defense and Fitness: Instruments & Sparring: Ages 8 - Adult,
- Kung Fu- Wu Shu for Self-Defense and Fitness: 3rd Purple - Black Belt: Ages 5 -Adult,
- Kung Fu- Wu Shu for Self-Defense and Fitness: White - Orange Belt: Ages 5 -Adult,
- Lacrosse Boys,
- Lacrosse Girls,
- Learn to Dive Mondays,
- Letters for Aurora,
- Letters of Love,
- Level I/Pre-Teen Ballet,
- Level I/Pre-Teen Ballet: Ages 8-13yrs,
- Math Team,
- Middle School Dance Club: South View & Valley View Gr.
 6.
- Mikkonen Music Spring Piano, Guitar & Ukulele Lessons: Pick Your Number,
- Mock Trial,
- Model UN,
- Non-School Day Career Exploration: Arts and

- Tourism,
- Non-School Day: Career Explorations Gr. 6-8: Government,
- Non-School Day: Career Explorations Gr. 7-8 Environmental Science,
- Nordic Ski Boys,
- Nordic Ski Girls,
- One Act,
- Online Stock Market, Personal Finance, & Business Club: Gr. 6-12,
- Origami Club,
- Our Minds Matter,
- Pokemon Kanto Journey 1: Normandale Gr. 1-8,
- Political Science Club,
- Private Voice or Piano Lessons: Ages 7+,
- Project Blush,
- Queer Student Union (Formerly: Gender & Sexuality Alliance),
- Quiz Bowl,
- ROBOTICS-FIRST

- ROBOTICS COMP. (FRC),
- ROBOTICS- FIRST TECH CHALLENGE (FTC),
- Soccer Boys,
- Soccer Girls,
- Softball,
- South Asian Society,
- South View Chess Club Gr. 6-8.
- South View Middle School Boys Track & Field: Gr. 6-8,
- South View Middle School Girls Track & Field: Gr. 6-8,
- South View Middle School Wrestling Team: Gr. 6-8,
- Speech,
- Spike Ball Club,
- Spring Chess Tournament: Gr. K-12,
- Strategy Game Club Gr. 6-8 (SouthView),
- Strategy Game Club Gr. 6-8 (Valley View),
- Strategy Game Club: South View Gr 6-8,
- Strategy Game Club: Valley


- View Gr 6-8,
- Swim & Dive Boys,
- Swim & Dive Girls,
- Synchro Swim,
- Team Manager Spring,
- Team Manager,
- Technovation Girls,
- Teens For A Greener Tomorrow,
- Tennis Boys,
- Tennis Girls,
- Theater,
- Track & Field Boys,
- Track & Field Girls,
- Valley View Middle School Boys Track & Field: Gr. 6-8,
- Valley View Middle School Girls Track & Field: Gr. 6-8,
- Valley View Middle School Wrestling Team: Gr. 6-8,
- Volleyball Youth Fall League: Gr. 1-6,
- Volleyball,
- World Quest,
- Wrestling,

Appendix G: District Wide MCA Assessment Performance District Comparison

District Name

Edina Public School District Minnetonka Public School District Wayzata Public School District

All Students Math, Reading and Science by Subject



Appendix H: High School MCA Assessment Performance District Comparison

District Name

Edina Public School District

Minnetonka Public School District

Wayzata Public School District

HS Students Math, Reading and Science by Subject

			Grade							
		District Name	10		11			HS		
		Edina Public School District			73.47% Proficient					
	24-25	Minnetonka Public School District			61.61% Proficient					
	2,	Wayzata Public School District			80.17% Proficient					
		Edina Public School District			70.00% Proficient					
_	23-24	Minnetonka Public School District			63.04% Proficient					
	2	Wayzata Public School District			78.23% Proficient					
	-	Edina Public School District			62.75% Proficient					
ΑĪ	2-23	Minnetonka Public School District			69.31% Proficient					
MA	22	Wayzata Public School District			77.87% Proficient					
		Edina Public School District			59.85% Proficient					
	1-22	Minnetonka Public School District			66.44% Proficient					
	21	Wayzata Public School District			78.21% Proficient					
		Edina Public School District			56.54% Proficient					
	-21	Minnetonka Public School District			68.41% Proficient					
	20-	Wayzata Public School District			76.87% Proficient					
_		Edina Public School District	86.21% Proficien	t						
	24-25	Minnetonka Public School District								
	24	Wayzata Public School District	78.70% Proficient	_						
		Edina Public School District	88.65% Proficien	nt						
_	23-24	Minnetonka Public School District								
ea	23	Wayzata Public School District	80.37% Proficient							
Subject / Data Year Reading		Edina Public School District	85.42% Proficient							
Da	-23	Minnetonka Public School District								
ct / Date Reading	22	Wayzata Public School District	82.46% Proficient							
ojec		Edina Public School District	71.85% Proficient	_						
3	21-22	Minnetonka Public School District								
	21	Wayzata Public School District	81.01% Proficient							
		Edina Public School District	76.03% Proficient							
	20-21	Minnetonka Public School District		-						
	20	Wayzata Public School District	84.01% Proficient							
_		Edina Public School District	04.0170110101010				66.79% Pro	ficient		
	4-25	Minnetonka Public School District					56.08% Profic			
	24	Wayzata Public School District					62.25% Prof			
		Edina Public School District					_	Proficient	_	
	23-24	Minnetonka Public School District					77.41% P		_	
	23	Wayzata Public School District					67.87% Pro			
40		Edina Public School District						Proficient	_	
) DC6	.23	Minnetonka Public School District					72.81% Pr			
Science	22.	Wayzata Public School District					67.53% Pro			
,		Edina Public School District						Proficient	_	
	-22	Minnetonka Public School District					78.85% P		_	
	21-22	Wayzata Public School District					70.38% Pro			
		Edina Public School District					82.08% F			
	.21	Minnetonka Public School District					77.83% P			
	20-	Wayzata Public School District					68.19% Pro		•	
		vvayzata Fublic School District						-		
			0 25 50	75 100				50 7		
			District Proficiency Pe	ercentage	District Proficiency Perce	entage	District Profi	ciency Perc	entage	