

NAVARRO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Subject: **Consider and take possible action to approve the construction delivery method for the New Elementary School Project.**

Date: July 15, 2024

Administrator Responsible/Position: Mandy Epley, Superintendent of Schools

A. Purpose of Agenda Item:

- Information Only Action Needed Receive Input

B. Authority for This Action:

- Local Policy Law or Rule N/A

C. Priority, Goal, or Need Addressed:

- Strategic Plan District/Campus Improvement Plan Other

Priorities

- Priority 1:** Recruiting, Hiring, Coaching, and Retaining High Quality Teachers and Staff to Support Student Outcomes.
- Priority 2:** Maximizing Academic Performance.
- Priority 3:** Maximizing Co-Curricular and Extra-Curricular Opportunities, Performance, and Engagement.
- Priority 4:** Planning, Preparing, and Maintaining Facilities and Environments for Learning.
- Priority 5:** Obtaining and Maintaining Top Rated District Recognition

Board Goals for 2023-2028

- Goal 1*:** The percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 49% to 60% by June 2024, 65% for 2024-2025, 70% for 2025-2026, 75% for 2026-2027, 80% for 2027-2028. **(HB3 Required Goal)**
- Goal 2*:** Increased overall student performance in mathematics to 85% Meets Standard by 2028. The percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 53% to 65% by June 2024, 70% for 2024-2025, 75% for 2025-2026, 80% for 2026-2027, 85% for 2027-2028. **(HB3 Required Goal)**
- Goal 3*:** The percentage of graduates that meet the criteria for CCMR will increase from 72% to 88% by August 2024 and increase to 95% by 2028. **(HB3 Required Goal)**

D.

Summary:

Administration requests that the Board approve Construction Manager At Risk (CMAR) one-step as the construction delivery method for the New Elementary School Project.

Background Information:

The Board established the use of Competitive Sealed Proposals as the default delivery method for construction projects. Other methods available must be approved by the Board on individual projects as they arise.

Board policy and legal requirements specify the methods to be used to by Districts for Construction projects. The methods provided by Government Code Chapter 2269 for construction services. *Education Code 44.031(a)(5)* are as follows:

- a. Competitive bidding. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. C* [See CVA]
- b. Competitive sealed proposals. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. D* [See CVB]
- c. Construction manager-agent method. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. E* [See CVC]
- d. Construction manager-at-risk method. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. F* [See CVD]
- e. Design-build method. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. G* [See CVE]
- f. Job order contracting. *Gov't Code 2269 Subch. I* [See CVF]

For the construction of the new elementary school it is believed that the CMAR method will provide the best value to the district. This method provides the advantages including but not limited to:

- Reduce potential overall risk to the district as the contract will outline a Guaranteed Maximum Price
- They can work/coordinate with the Architect during the design phase to avoid potential construction issues later
- Potential for faster completion
- Cost control
- Improved quality
- Early contractor involvement

For these reasons we recommend the use of the CMAR method of construction for the new Elementary School.

E. Comments Received:

LT

DEIC

Other

All agenda items are reviewed by the Superintendent's Leadership Team.

Administrative Recommendation:

Administration recommends that the Board approve

F.

Construction Manager At Risk (CMAR) one-step as the construction delivery method for the New Elementary School Project.

G. Fiscal Impact and Cost:

Budget

Bond

Amount: Bond Funds.

Grant/Special Funds

Other

H.

Exhibits: _____

I.

Action:

I move to approve/disapprove/postpone the Board approval of Construction Manager At Risk (CMAR) one-step as the construction delivery method for the New Elementary School Project.

Motion by: _____

second by: _____

FOR: R. Rehfeld, D. Gilliam, L. Gosch, D. Reinhard, M. Sartain, C. Scheib

AGAINST: R. Rehfeld, D. Gilliam, L. Gosch, D. Reinhard, M. Sartain, C. Scheib

MOTION CARRIED/DENIED/POSTPONED