

BROWNSVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Annual Financial Management Report





Presented by
Ms. Mary D. Garza
Interim Chief Financial Officer

November 5, 2025

School FIRST

"SUPERIOR ACHIEVEMENT"

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

Determination of Rating:

If the district fails any of the critical indicators 1, 2, 3 or 4 then the district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.

Determine the rating by the applicable number of points	
A = Superior Achievement	90-100
B = Above Standard Achievement	80-89
C = Meets Standard Achievement	70-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<70

Ceiling Indicators:

The district must meet the criteria for any of the following **ceiling indicators** 4, 5, 6, 16, 17, 20 or 21. If so, the district applicable maximum points and rating as disclosed below:

Determination of rating based on meeting ceiling criteria	Maximum Points	Maximum Rating
Indicator 4 (Timely Payments) - School district was issued a warrant hold.	95	A = Superior Achievement
Indicator 5 (Total Net Position) - Negative total net position and do not have 7% or more or 1.000 or more increase in growth in students in membership over 5 years.	79	C = Meets Standard Achievement
Indicator 6 (Average Change in Fund Balance) - Response to indicator is No.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 16 (PEIMS to AFR) - Response to indicator is No.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 17 (Material Weaknesses) - Response to indicator is No.	79	C = Meets Standard Achievement
Indicator 20 (Property Values and Tax Discussion) - Response to indicator is No.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 21 (FSP Repayment Plan) - Response to indicator is Yes.	70	C = Meets Standard Achievement

Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?

Response: The Annual Financial and Compliance Report was submitted to T.E.A. on November 22, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed



Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)

Response: The school district received an "unmodified opinion" on the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed

Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)

Response: The school district had no disclosures in the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report and/or other sources of information concerning default on bonded indebtedness obligations.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed

Indicator #4 (Ceiling Indicator)

Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?

Response: All payroll payment obligations to TRS, TWC and IRS were made for fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed

Indicator #5 (Ceiling Indicator)

Was the total net position in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position (net of accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds, net pension liability, and other postemployment benefits) greater than zero?

Response: The school district's total net position was \$587,541,687.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed

Indicator #6 (Ceiling Indicator)

Was the average change in (assigned and unassigned) fund balances over 3 years less than a 25 percent decrease or did the current year's assigned and unassigned fund balances exceed 75 days of operational expenditures?

Response: The average change in assigned and unassigned fund balances over 3 years was 6.38%.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
Passed	Passed



Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)?

Response: The number of days of cash on hand was 147.4403.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points

Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?

Response: The assets to liabilities ratio was 3.9739.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points

Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?

Response: Total revenues were \$472,738,497 and total expenditures were \$481,687,568 (excluding the facilities and construction expenditures of \$14,560,205). The school district had more than 60 days cash on hand.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points

This indicator is not being scored

Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? If the school district's increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district automatically passes this indicator.

Response: The long-term liabilities to assets ratio was 4.74% (below the target of 60% to support long-term solvency).

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points



What is the correlation between future debt requirements and the district's assessed property value?

Response: The debt per \$100 of assessed property was 0.3479.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points	

Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?

Response: The administrative cost ratio was 5.55% (less than the threshold ratio of 8.55%).

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024		
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points		

Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years? (If the student enrollment did no decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.

Response: The district had a decline of students to staff ratio of 0.21% (less than the 15% threshold).

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points	

Was the school district's ADA within the allotted range of the district's range of the district's biennial pupil projection(s) submitted to TEA?

Response: The school district's ADA range was 4.24%.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points	

Indicator #16 (Ceiling Indicator)

Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?

Response: The school district had a .0% variance in data reported to PEIMS at June 30, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
Passed	Passed	



Indicator #17 (Ceiling Indicator)

Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds and free from substantial doubt about the school district's ability to continue as a going concern? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)

Response: The school district had no material weaknesses at June 30, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
Passed	Passed	

Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)

Response: The school district had no material weaknesses at June 30, 2024.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
10 of 10 points	10 of 10 points	

Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statutes, laws and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year end?

Response: The school district has posted all required financial information on its website.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
5 of 5 points	5 of 5 points	

Indicator #20 (Ceiling Indicator)

Did the school district's administration and school board members discuss any changes and/or impact to local, state, and federal funding at a board meeting within 120 days before the district adopted its budget?

Response: The school district's school board members discussed the property values within the 120 days before the district adopted budget.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
Passed	Passed	

Indicator #21 (Ceiling Indicator)

Did the school district receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds because of a financial hardship?

Response: The school district did not receive an adjusted repayment plan.

Rating FY 2022-2023	Rating FY 2023-2024	
Passed	Passed	



School FIRST

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

Grand total score

2023-2024 Ratings	2024-2025 Ratings	
Based on School Year 2022-2023	Based on School Year 2023-2024	
100 points of 100 points	100 points of 100 points	

INDICATOR #7 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=90	<90 >=75	<75 >=60	<60 >=45	<45 >=30	<30

INDICATOR #8 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=3.00	<3.00 >=2.50	<2.50 >=2.00	<2.00 >=1.50	<1.50 >=1.00	<1.00

INDICATOR #9 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	0
>=0%	<0%

INDICATOR #11 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
<=0.60	>0.60 <=0.70	>0.70 <=0.80	>0.80 <=0.90	>0.90 <=1.00	>1.00

INDICATOR #12 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
<= 4	> 4 <= 7	> 7 <= 10	> 10 <= 11.5	> 11.5 <= 13.5	> 13.5

INDICATOR #13 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

ADA Size	10	8	6	4	2	0
10,000 AND ABOVE	<= 0.0855	> 0.0855 <= 0.1105	> 0.1105 <= 0.1355	> 0.1355 <= 0.1605	> 0.1605 <= 0.1855	> 0.1855
5,000 TO 9,999	<= 0.1000	> 0.1000 <= 0.1250	> 0.1250 <= 0.1500	> 0.1500 <= 0.1750	> 0.1750 <= 0.2000	> 0.2000
1,000 TO 4,999	<= 0.1151	> 0.1151 <= 0.1401	> 0.1401 <= 0.1651	> 0.1651 <= 0.1901	> 0.1901 <= 0.2151	> 0.2151
500 TO 999	<= 0.1311	> 0.1311 <= 0.1561	> 0.1561 <= 0.1811	> 0.1811 <= 0.2061	> 0.2061 <= 0.2311	> 0.2311
LESS THAN 500	<= 0.2404	> 0.2404 <= 0.2654	> 0.2654 <= 0.2904	> 0.2904 <= 0.3154	> 0.3154 <= 0.3404	> 0.3404
SPARSE	<= 0.3364	> 0.3364 <= 0.3614	> 0.3614 <= 0.3864	> 0.3864 <= 0.4114	> 0.4114 <= 0.4364	> 0.4364

INDICATOR #14 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	0
YES	NO

INDICATOR #15 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

ADA SIZE	5	0
10,000 AND ABOVE	<= 0.07	> 0.07
5,000 TO 9,999	<= 0.10	> 0.10
1,000 TO 4,999	<= 0.20	> 0.20
500 TO 999	<= 0.25	> 0.25
LESS THAN 500	<= 0.30	> 0.30
SPARSE	<= 0.35	> 0.35

INDICATOR #18 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	0
YES	NO

INDICATOR #19 - DETERMINATION OF POINTS

5	0
YES	NO

Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing, Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1001(q)

DISCLOSURE #1

Superintendent's Current Employment Contract

A copy of the superintendent's current employment contract will be posted in the Finance Department website along with the School FIRST Annual Financial Accountability Management Report.

SUPERINTENDENT & BOARD MEMBERS REIMBURSEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024

Name	Lodging	Meals	Motor Fuel	Other	Transportation
Dr. Rene Gutierrez	\$ 1,070.96	\$ 241.50	\$ 153.98	\$ 887.77	\$ 1,251.23
Dr. Jesus H. Chavez	623.33	22.63	-	350.00	213.23
Jessica G. Gonzalez	2,363.20	460.00	-	2,255.00	1,174.65
Daneilla Lopez Valdez	1,093.37	-	-	1,370.00	222.96
Denise Garza	1,351.49	-	-	1,805.00	838.58
Carlos A. Elizondo	-	-	-	350.00	-
Frank Ortiz	-	_	-	350.00	-
Minerva M. Peña	-	-	-	-	-
Eddie Garcia	2,363.20	425.00	-	835.00	1,201.95
Tim Ramirez	-	_	-	100.00	-
Marisa Leal	1,002.88	55.00	-	-	527.50
Total	\$9,868.43	\$1,204.13	\$ 153.98	\$8,302.77	\$ 5,430.10

OUTSIDE COMPENSATION AND/OR FEES RECEIVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING AND/OR OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES IN FISCAL YEAR 2024

Name(s) of Entity(ies)	Amount
Dr. Jesus H. Chavez	\$ -
Total	\$ -

GIFTS RECEIVED FROM EXECUTIVE OFFICER & BOARD MEMBERS IN FISCAL YEAR 2024

Name	Report	ed Amount
Dr. Jesus H. Chavez	\$	-
Jessica G. Gonzalez		-
Daniella Lopez Valdez		-
Denise Garza		-
Carlos A. Elizondo		-
Frank Ortiz		-
Minerva M. Peña		-
Eddie Garcia		*
Tim Ramirez		-
Marisa Leal		
Total	\$	-

^{*} Information not available

BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT & BOARD MEMBERS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024

\$	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
*	
	-
	-
\$ 	-
\$	*

^{*} Information not available

Conclusion of Presentation



Questions/Comments

