Red Wing School District #256

Restrictive Procedures Plan




Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
A. Definitions		3
B. Staff Training	 Requirements and Activities 	4
C. Restrictive Pr	ocedures and Seclusion	6
D. Prohibited Pr	ocedures	9
E. Documentation	on of Physical Holding and/or Seclusion	9
F. Documentation	on of Post-use Staff Debriefing Meeting	10
G. Documentation	on for an Individualized Education Plan	11
H. Building Over	sight Committee	11
I. Emergency Si	tuations – Use of Restrictive Procedures	12
J. Positive Beha	vior Interventions and Supports	12

<u>Appendices</u>

	<u>Page</u>
Site Trainings	Α
Restrictive Procedures Training Attendance	В
Fire Marshal Inspection	С
Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding Form	D
Restrictive Procedures Seclusion Form	Е
Staff Debriefing Meeting Form	F
Building Oversight Committee Members	G
Building Oversight Committee Review Form	Н
Building Oversight Committee Meeting Log	I
Annual Summary of Use of Restrictive Procedures	J

Red Wing School District #256 Restrictive Procedures Plan

In accordance with Minnesota Statute 125A.0941 and 125A.0942, Subd. 1, every school district is required to develop and make public a plan that discloses its use of restrictive procedures. Restrictive Procedure means the use of physical holding or seclusion in an emergency. The plan specifically outlines the list of restrictive procedures the school intends to use; how the school will monitor and review the use of restrictive procedures, including post use debriefings and convening an oversight committee; and a written description and documentation of the training and staff that have completed the training. This plan is available upon request.

The Red Wing School District #256 uses restrictive procedures only in response to behavior(s) that constitutes an emergency, even if written into a child's Individual Education Plan (IEP) or Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP).

A. Definitions

The following terms are defined as:

- 1. "Emergency" means a situation where immediate intervention is needed to protect a child or other individual from physical injury. Emergency does not mean circumstances such as: a child who does not respond to a task or request and instead places his or her head on a desk or hides under a desk or table; a child who does not respond to a staff person's request unless failing to respond would result in physical injury to the child or other individual; or an emergency incident has already occurred and no threat of physical injury currently exists.
- 2. "Physical holding" means physical intervention intended to hold a child immobile or limit a child's movement and where body contact is the only source of physical restraint, and where immobilization is used to effectively gain control of a child in order to protect a child or other individual from physical injury. The term physical holding does not mean physical contact that:
 - a. helps a child respond to a task;
 - b. assists a child without restricting the child's movement;
 - c. is needed to administer an authorized health related service or procedure; or
 - d. is needed to physically escort a child when the child does not resist or the child's resistance is minimal.
- 3. "Positive behavioral interventions and supports" means interventions and strategies to improve the school environment and teach children the skills to behave appropriately.
- "Restrictive Procedure" means the use of physical holding or seclusion in an emergency. Restrictive procedures must not be used to punish or otherwise discipline a child.
- 5. "Seclusion" means confining a child alone in a room from which egress is barred. Egress may be barred by an adult locking or closing the door in the room or

preventing the child from leaving the room. Removing a child from an activity to a location where the child cannot participate in or observe the activity is not seclusion.

B. Staff Training - Requirements and Activities

Requirements

Personnel development activities will be provided to district staff and contracted personnel who have routine contact with students and who may use restrictive procedures in the following areas described below.

Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will complete training in the use of positive approaches as well as restrictive procedures. All staff that use restrictive procedures in the Red Wing School District are trained in CPI procedures. At the first sight of anxiety in a student you will need to become supportive (an empathetic, nonjudgmental approach attempting to alleviate anxiety). Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will complete training in the communicative intent of behaviors including the following:

- 1. Questioning Questioning authority and attempting to draw staff into power struggles.
- 2. Refusal Noncompliance / slight loss of rationalization.
- 3. Release Acting out or emotional outburst.
- 4. Intimidation Verbal or nonverbal threatening.
- 5. Tension Reduction Drop in energy after crisis situation.

Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will complete training in the following relationship building strategies:

- 1. Building relationships with students when they are doing well.
- 2. Re-establishing relationships after students come back from a crisis. Re-establishing rapport.
- 3. Provide students personal space.
- 4. Use appropriate nonverbal and paraverbal communication (tone, volume and cadence) when establishing relationships with students.

Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will complete training in the following alternatives to restrictive procedures, including techniques to identify events and environmental factors that may escalate behavior:

1. Recognizing anxiety.

- 2. Recognizing nonverbal behavior.
- 3. Giving students time and space to release.

Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will complete training in the following de-escalation methods:

- 1. Time and space
- 2. Someone to talk with
- 3. Walk/Exercise/Movement

Staff who use restrictive procedures will implement the following standards for use:

- 1. Only as a last resort when a person is a danger to self or others.
- 2. Always maintaining the care, welfare, safety and Security of all.

Staff who design and use behavioral interventions will follow the Red Wing School District #256 Crisis Plan in an emergency situation. Staff will also recognize that the physiological and psychological impact of physical holding and seclusion is different for all students. Staff must analyze, be aware of, and respond to this impact. Everyone being restrained should be considered "at risk". Interventions will be monitored for physical and psychological distress including the symptoms of and interventions that may cause potential asphyxia when physical holding is used.

Training records will identify the content of the training, attendees, and training dates. Goodhue County Education District #6051 will compile a list of all Crisis Prevention Institute (CPI) trainings and forward attendance records to the district on a quarterly basis. The district will maintain records of additional trainings provided within the district. Records of all trainings will be maintained at each building site. See Appendix A and B for Site Trainings and Attendance Forms, respectively.

The following employee job classifications are authorized and certified to use restrictive procedures.

- Licensed special education teacher
- School Social Worker
- School Psychologist
- Behavior analyst certified by the National Behavior Analyst Certification Board
- A person with a master's degree in behavior analysis
- Other licensed education professional
- Highly qualified education paraprofessional
- Mental Health professional

C. Restrictive Procedures or Seclusion

(a) Physical holding or seclusion may be used only in an emergency. A school that uses physical holding or seclusion shall meet the following requirements:

- (1) physical holding or seclusion is the least intrusive intervention that effectively responds to the emergency;
- (2) physical holding or seclusion is not used to discipline a noncompliant child;
- (3) physical holding or seclusion ends when the threat of harm ends and the staff determines the child can safely return to the classroom or activity;
- (4) staff directly observes the child while physical holding or seclusion is being used;
- (5) each time physical holding or seclusion is used, the staff person who implements or oversees the physical holding or seclusion documents, as soon as possible after the incident concludes, the following information:
 - (i) a description of the incident that led to the physical holding or seclusion;
 - (ii) why a less restrictive measure failed or was determined by staff to be inappropriate or impractical;
 - (iii) the time the physical holding or seclusion began and the time the child was released; and
 - (iv) a brief record of the child's behavioral and physical status;
- (6) the room used for seclusion must:
 - (i) be at least six feet by five feet;
 - (ii) be well lit, well ventilated, adequately heated, and clean;
 - (iii) have a window that allows staff to directly observe a child in seclusion;
 - (iv) have tamperproof fixtures, electrical switches located immediately outside the door, and secure ceilings;
 - (v) have doors that open out and are unlocked, locked with keyless locks that have immediate release mechanisms, or locked with locks that have

immediate release mechanisms connected with a fire and emergency system; and

- (vi) not contain objects that a child may use to injure the child or others; and
- (7) before using a room for seclusion, a school must:
 - (i) receive written notice from local authorities that the room and the locking mechanisms comply with the applicable building, fire, and safety codes; and
 - (ii) register the room with the commissioner, who may view that room.

Physical Holdings

All buildings in the Red Wing School District #256 intend to use the following types of physical holding when trained in CPI: Children's Control, Team Control, Transport Position, Interim Control. Additional training and monitoring by a qualified CPI Instructor will be provided to staff using these procedures.

Seclusion

The Red Wing School District #256 does not intend to use any locked time out rooms for seclusion.

Notification To Parents

A school shall make:

- Reasonable efforts to notify the parent on the same day a restrictive procedure is used; or
- If unable to provide same-day notice, notice is sent within two days by:
 - Written means;
 - Electronic means; or
 - As otherwise indicated by the parent in the child's IEP or BIP

Reporting Requirements for Using Restrictive Procedures

Goodhue County Education District must report summary data to MDE by July 1st of the current school year, on districts' use of restrictive procedures during that school year, including data on:

- The number of incidents involving restrictive procedures;
- The total number of students on which restrictive procedures were used;
- The number of resulting injuries;
- Relevant demographic data on the students and school; and
- Other relevant data collected by the district.

Within 24 hours after a student with a disability suffers death or serious injury, the Goodhue County Education District must notify the Office of the Ombudsman of the death or serious injury. Reports of death or serious injury may be done by faxing a completed form to the Office of the Ombudsman.

Reporting Requirement – Serious Injury

"Serious Injury" means:

- Fractures;
- Dislocations;
- Evidence of internal injuries;
- Head injuries with loss of consciousness;
- Lacerations involving injuries to tendons or organs and those for which complications are present;
- Extensive second-degree or third-degree burns, and other burns for which complications are present;
- Extensive second-degree or third-degree frostbite, and others for which complications are present;
- Irreversible mobility or avulsion of teeth;
- Injuries to the eyeball;
- Ingestions of foreign substances and objects that are harmful;
- Near drowning;
- Heat exhaustion or sunstroke;
- And all other injuries considered serious by a physician*

Additionally, the Office of the Ombudsman asks that instances of self-injurious behaviors (SIB) or suicide attempts be reported to the Office when the injury results in hospitalization of the student or the need for medical treatment.

*further defined by the Office of the Ombudsman to include complications of a previous injury, complications of medical treatment, and other.

D. Prohibited Procedures

The Red Wing School District will never use the following prohibited procedures on a child:

- 1. Corporal punishment which includes conduct involving: (a) hitting or spanking a person with or without an object; or (b) unreasonable physical force that causes bodily harm or substantial emotional harm;
- 2. Requiring a child to assume and maintain a specified physical position, activity, or posture that induces physical pain;
- 3. Presenting an intense sound, light, or other sensory stimuli using smell, taste, substance, or spray as punishment;
- 4. Denying or restricting a child's access to equipment and devices such as walkers, wheelchairs, hearing aids, and communication boards that facilitate a child's functioning, except when temporarily removing the equipment or device is needed to prevent injury to the child or others or serious damage to the equipment or device, in which case the equipment or device shall be returned to the child as soon as possible;
- 5. Interacting with a child in a manner that constitutes sexual abuse, neglect, or physical abuse under section 626.556 (reporting of maltreatment of minors);
- 6. Totally or partially restricting a student's senses as punishment;
- 7. Withholding regularly scheduled meals or water;
- 8. Denying the child access to bathroom facilities; and
- 9. Physical holding that restricts or impairs a child's ability to breathe, restricts or impairs a child's ability to communicate distress, places pressure or weight on a child's head, throat, neck, chest, lungs, sternum, diaphragm, back, or abdomen, or results in straddling a child's torso.
- 10. Prone Restraint

E. Documentation of Physical Holding and/or Seclusion

By February 1, 2015, and annually thereafter, stakeholders may, as necessary, recommend to the commissioner specific and measurable implementation and outcome goals for reducing the use of restrictive procedures and the commissioner must submit to the legislature a report on districts' progress in reducing the use of restrictive procedures that recommends how to further reduce these procedures and eliminate the use of seclusion. The statewide plan includes the following components: measurable goals; the resources, training, technical assistance, mental health services, and collaborative efforts needed to significantly reduce districts' use of seclusion; and recommendations to clarify and improve the law governing districts' use of restrictive procedures. The commissioner must consult with interested stakeholders when preparing the report, including representatives of advocacy organizations, special education directors, teachers, paraprofessionals, intermediate school districts, school boards, day treatment providers, county social services, state human services department staff, mental health professionals, and autism experts. Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, in a form and manner determined by the commissioner, districts must report data quarterly to the department by January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15 about individual students who have been secluded. By July 15 each year, districts must report summary data on their use of restrictive procedures to the department for the prior school year, July 1 through June 30, in a form and manner determined by the commissioner. The summary data must include information about the use of restrictive procedures, including use of reasonable force under section 121A.582.

The use of restrictive procedures in emergency situations will be documented through the use of the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding Form (see Appendix D), Restrictive Procedures Seclusion Form (see Appendix E), and the Staff Debriefing Meeting Form (see Appendix F).

F. <u>Documentation of Post-use Staff Debriefing Meeting</u>

Each time physical holding or seclusion is used the staff person who implemented or oversaw the physical holding or seclusion shall conduct a post-use debriefing with involved staff within 2 school days of the incident after the restrictive procedure concludes. There will be at least one staff member attending the debriefing meeting who was not involved in the incident and has knowledge of behaviors. A copy of the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding form (see Appendix D) and/or the Restrictive Procedures Seclusion form (see Appendix E) and the Staff Debriefing Meeting form (see Appendix F) will be sent to: the child's case manager, the building principal, the Goodhue County Education District Director, and a copy placed in the child's due process file. The Goodhue County Education District Director will keep a comprehensive file of all restrictive procedure forms to be used by the Building Oversight Committee (see Appendix G for list of committee members).

If the post-use debriefing meeting reveals that the use of physical holding or seclusion was not used appropriately, the Building Oversight Committee will convene immediately to ensure corrective action is taken. The Building Oversight Committee will review and evaluate the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding form (see Appendix D), the Restrictive Procedures Seclusion form (see Appendix E), and the Staff Debriefing Meeting form (Appendix F) to determine and recommend training needs.

G. Documentation for an Individual Education Plan (IEP)

The use of restrictive procedures in response to an emergency may be documented in the child's IEP or a behavior intervention plan (BIP) attached to the IEP. Reviews will be conducted in accordance with MN Statute which requires the district will hold a meeting of the IEP team conduct or review a functional behavioral analysis, review data, consider developing additional or revised positive behavioral interventions and supports, consider actions to reduce the use of restrictive procedures, and modify the IEP or BIP as appropriate. The district must hold the meeting; within ten calendar days after district staff use restrictive procedures on two separate school days within 30 calendar days or a pattern of use emerges and the child's IEP or BIP does not provide for using restrictive procedures in an emergency; or at the request of a parent or the district after restrictive procedures are used. The district must review use of restrictive procedures at a child's annual IEP meeting when the child's IEP provides for using restrictive procedures in an emergency. If the IEP team determines that existing interventions and supports are ineffective in reducing the use of restrictive procedures or the district uses restrictive procedures on a child on ten or more school days during the same school year, the team, as appropriate, either must consult with other professionals working with the child; consult with experts in behavior analysis, mental health, communication, or autism; consult with culturally competent professionals; review existing evaluations, resources, and successful strategies; or consider whether to reevaluate the child. At the meeting the team will review any known medical or psychological limitations, including any medical information the parent provides voluntarily, that contraindicate the use of a restrictive procedure, consider whether to prohibit that restrictive procedure, and document any prohibition in the IEP or BIP.

Record retention will be in accordance with district policies on student records.

H. Building Oversight Committees

The Building Oversight Committee will meet quarterly to review data provided in the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding form (see Appendix D), Restrictive Procedures Seclusion form (Appendix E), and Staff Debriefing Meeting form (see Appendix F). The Committee will complete the Building Oversight Committee Review Form (see Appendix H). The Building Oversight Committee will also complete the Annual Summary of Use of Restrictive Procedures from (see Appendix J). The Building Oversight Committee will make recommendations in regards to the District's Restrictive Procedures Plan and, if

necessary, indicate training needs and establish a plan for addressing Committee recommendations.

If a post-use debriefing meeting reveals that the use of physical holding or seclusion was not used appropriately, the Building Oversight Committee will <u>convene immediately</u> to ensure corrective action is taken. The Building Oversight Committee will review and evaluate the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding form (see Appendix D), Restrictive Procedures Seclusion form (see Appendix E), and the staff Debriefing Meeting form (see Appendix F) to determine and recommend training needs.

I. Emergency Situations – Use of Restrictive Procedures

The Red Wing School District #256 shall make reasonable efforts to notify the parent on the same day when restrictive procedures are used in an emergency. If the school is unable to provide same-day notice, notice will be sent by written or electronic means or as otherwise indicated by the parent. Documentation of how the parent wants to be notified when a restrictive procedure is used may be found in the IEP or BIP.

Building administrators will receive written notification when restrictive procedures are used in emergency situations. Records will be reviewed and summarized annually.

J. Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports

The district is committed to using positive behavioral interventions and supports. Positive behavior interventions and supports (PBIS) means intervention and strategies to improve the school environment and teach children the skills to behave appropriately.

Each building in the Red Wing School District #256 uses the following practices and procedures to teach expected behaviors and provide additional positive supports to students requiring further intervention:

In the fall of 2010, we created a school-wide behavior plan that we wanted all students and teacher to follow. The items listed below were most important attributes of this plan.

Assist the school/site (i.e. administrators, teachers, students, and support staff) in reaching academic and behavioral benchmarks and goals.

Create a positive learning environment throughout the school/site.

Teach that all activities and curricula in the school/site are positive actions, including: reading, writing, math, nutrition, social skills, etc.

Develop a caring environment that is free of disruptive behavior, bullying, substance use, and violence.

In creating a school wide plan with input from all staff we were able to garner and maintain staff buy-in throughout the process. We continue to expand and strengthen our system in the use of research based positive behavior interventions and an increased collection and use of data.

PBIS correlates with both our staff development goals and district AYP plans. Research, as cited multiple times on the PBIS website, indicates that academic achievement increases as behavioral referrals decrease. As part of our efforts to increase academic achievement and meet benchmarks, we understand the importance of having a cohesive and research driven response to student and staff behavior. During this past year we have also had extensive training on Professional Learning Communities (PLC). Having strong PLC model allows us to examine and get our hands around issues that face us as we strive to increase student performance. PLCs also give us a vehicle to expand the knowledge base and implementation of new initiatives such as the implementation of PBIS.

Red Wing School District #256 Site Trainings

To meet all of the requirements of 125A.0942 subd 1(3), staff who use restrictive procedures will complete training in the following skills and knowledge areas.

				0	Red Wing High	
Skills and Knowledge Areas	Colvill	Sunnyside	Burnside	Twin Bluff	School	Tower View
	9		í			
1. Positive behavioral Interventions	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
2. Communicative intent of behavior	«CPI	IdD*	KOP!	ACP.	IdD*	IdD*
3. Relationship building	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
4. Alternatives to restrictive procedures	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
5. De-escalation methods	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
6. Standards for using restrictive procedures	IdD*	IdO*	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
7. Obtaining emergency medical assistance	IdO*	IdO*	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
8. Psychological/Physiological impact of physical holding and seclusion	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI
9. Physical signs of distress during restraint	*CPI ; OH State Medical Info	*CPI;OH State Medical Info	*CPI;OH State Medical Info	*CPI ; OH State Medical Info	*CPI ; OH State Medical Info	*CPI; OH State Medical Info
10. Recognizing symptoms of asphyxia during restraint	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI	*CPI

Red Wing School District #256 Restrictive Procedures Training Attendance

Training: CPI

Date

Trainer: Jen Grove, Carrie Groth, Jacob Smith, Wendy Ahern, Rachel Anderson, Jessica Tlougan

Name of Attendee	Position	Building
		-

The Red Wing School District does not have any rooms used for seclusion during the 2019-2020 2020-2021 year. Nor do they intend to create such a room in any subsequent year.

Use of Restr	ictive Procedure	– Physical Holdi	ng			
Student Name:	ID:_	DOB:	Grade:			
School:		Date of Incident:				
Ethnicity: Is the student Hispanic/Latino?	What is the stud	lent's Race? (choose one	or more)			
☐ Yes ☐ No	American In	dian or Alaska Native 🗆	Asian			
	Black or African American White					
☐ Native Hawaiia	nn or Pacific Islander					
Directions: The staff person who imple physical hold is utilized.	mented or oversaw a j	physical hold must com	plete this form each time a			
Staff Involved:						
Name:	Position	Role	Trained			
		_	Yes No			
, 			Yes No			
-			Yes No			
			Yes No			
			Yes No			
Person completing form:						
	EMERGENC					
Was physical holding used to protect student	or others from physical	injury: 🏻 Yes 🔻 No	0			
Description of the emergency situation:						
Description of the incident that led to physica	l holding:					
-						
	PHYSICAL HOL	DING				
Description of the physical holding and a brie			ical status:			
	•	• •				

Was physical holding the least intrusive intervention to effectively respond to the emergency? Yes No Explain why a less restrictive intervention failed or was determined by staff to be inappropriate or impractical:
Did physical holding end when the threat of harm ended and staff determined that the student could safely return to the classroom or activity? Yes No Explain:
Did staff directly observe the child during physical holding? Yes No Explain:
Did staff sustain an injury as a result of the physical holding:
Did the student sustain an injury as a result of the physical holding:
Time physical holding began: Ended: Total time:
Removal From School
Was the student removed from school by a police officer at the request of school personnel:
Parent Notification
Parents must be notified the same day a restrictive procedure is used. A written or electronic notice must be sent home within two (2) days if unable to notify on the same day.
Parent: Date: Time:
Notified by:
How notified:



Location of the seclusion room:

Use of Restrictive Procedures: Seclusion

		Date:
Student:	ID:	DOB:
School:	Grade:	Gender:
Part A. Is the student Hispanic/Latino? ☐ Yes ☐ No	Part B. What is the stud ☐ American Indian or A ☐ Black or African Am ☐ Native Hawaiian or O	erican White
Directions: Complete this form whenever a stimes. End the intervention when the threat of classroom or activity. A debriefing meeting meetin	harm ends and staff determine	that the student can safely return to the
Staff involved:		
Name:	Position:	
Signature of person completing this form:	Position:	Phone:
	EMERGENCY	
Was seclusion used to protect student or other Description of the emergency situation:		☐ Yes ☐ No
Description of the incident that led to seclusion	n:	
	SECLUSION	

Did the room meet the requirements of a room used for seclusion? Was the room well lit, well ventilated, adequately heated and clean? Did the room contain objects that a student may use to injure themselve	es or others?	 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
Brief description of the student's behavior and physical status during se	eclusion:	
Was seclusion the least intrusive intervention to effectively respond to	the emergency?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain why a less restrictive intervention failed or was determined to	be inappropriate or im	practical:
Did the seclusion end when the threat of harm ended and staff determine that the studnet could safely return to the classroom or activity: Explain:	ed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Did staff directly observe the child during the seclusion: Explain:		☐ Yes ☐ No
Did the student sustain an injury as a result of the seclustion:	×	☐ Yes ☐ No
Did staff sustain an injury as the result of the seclusion:		☐ Yes ☐ No
Time seclusion began: Ended: Total Time:		
REMOVAL FROM SCI	100L	
Was the student removed from school by a police officer at the request	of school personnel:	☐ Yes ☐ No
PARENT NOTIFICAT	TION	
Parents must be notified the same day a restrictive procedure is used. A within two (2) days if unable to notify on the same day.	written or electronic	notice must be sent home
Parent:	Date:	Time:
Notified by:		
How notified:		

Red Wing School District #256 Staff Debriefing Meeting

Student Name:		DOB:	Building:	
Date of Debrief:				
Student on an IEP:	/es No	BIP in Place:	Yes No	
	Yes No	Was BIP followed:	Yes No	
If answered no, explain v	vhy:			
Signatures of staff attended behavior). Circle the Factorian Involved Staff:	ilitator's signature:		volved in incident who has knowl	
less restrictive interventi	, triggers and proactive ons. What behavior detect the child/others for	e interventions used prior to es id the student exhibit to requir	calation. Briefly describe the impa e a restrictive procedure? Was the s property damage? Describe stud	act of the
What actions helped/wh	at did not help?			
Describe the procedure of determined by the team,			ucation setting, intervention, and/	or site
Was the hold/cockusion s	on omorgonov?	Vos. No.		
Was the hold/seclusion a Was the hold/seclusion I		Yes No _ Yes No _		
Did the hold/seclusion e				
Is corrective action need		Yes No _		
Is the behavior likely to o		Yes No _		
Follow-up action (to prev	ent need for future re	estrictive procedures):		
Behavior History:				
Other restrictive procedu	ares used in the last 4	months? Yes No _		
Restrictive procedures us		Yes No _		
Does the team see this a		Yes No _		
Does the child's IEP team	need to meet?	Yes No _		

Place a copy of these forms in the Child's Due Process File.

Send copies to the case manager, building administrator, assistant director, & GCED Director.

Red Wing School District #256 Building Oversight Committee Members

2019-2020 2020-2021

The Building Oversight Committee will meet yearly to complete the Review Form (Appendix I) based on data provided in the Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding Form (Appendix D), Restrictive Procedures Seclusion Form (Appendix E), and the Staff Debriefing Meeting Forms (Appendix F). The Committee will also complete the Annual Summary of Use of Restrictive Procedures form (Appendix K) and establish a plan for addressing Committee recommendations. The Building Oversight Committee may be called together at other times to address the inappropriate use of physical holding and/or seclusion and determine and recommend training needs. The Building Oversight Committee will also ensure IEP meetings are conducted in a timely manner.

Colvill Oversight Committee Members

Cherie Johnson, Executive Director
Sonia Smith, Director of Special Education
Min MartinOakes, Early Childhood Coordinator
Melissa Zenzen, Early Childhood Teacher
Molly Paulson, School Psychologist

Sunnyside Oversight Committee Members

Cherie Johnson, Executive Director Sonia Smith, Director of Special Education Mike Pagel, Principal Molly Paulson, School Psychologist Karin Meyer, Special Education Teacher Liz Kittelson, Social Worker

Burnside Oversight Committee Members

Cherie Johnson, Executive Director

Jennifer BordonaroJennifer Grove, Principal
Sonia Smith, Director of Special Education
Carey Field, School Psychologist
Jane Wassink, Social Worker

Twin Bluff Oversight Committee Members

Cherie Johnson, Executive Director

Jen Grove tbd, Student Support Coordinator
Sonia Smith, Director of Special Education
Jill Rohan, Special Education Teacher
Carey Field, School Pyschologist

Red Wing High School/Tower View Oversight Committee Members

Cherie Johnson, Executive Director George Nemanich, Principal Sonia Smith, Director of Special Education Candis Rowe, School Psychologist Jen Froemming, Special Education Teacher

Building Oversight Committee Review Form Red Wing School District #256

Building: Student Name:

Meeting Date:

Restrictive Procedures are documented in the IEP or attached BIP:

Key: CPI Holds: CC = Children's Control, TC = Team Control, IC = Interim Control

	Total Duration of Holdings (H)							
					-			
at apply								
Holds/Seclusion (check all that apply)								
ou (chec				_				
Seclusio								
Holds/							,	
)							
	T							
	TC							
	S							
	Date							

Red Wing School District #256

Building Oversight Committee Review Form Summary of Restrictive Procedures Form Physical Holding

Frequency of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Duration of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Were the positive interventions consistently used prior to use of a restrictive procedure?	a restrictive _l	procedure?		Yes	No		
Were parents notified the same day of the procedure or w/in 2 days (written or electronic)?	ays (written	or electron	ic)?	Yes	No	Explain:	
Was an IEP meeting scheduled?				Yes	No		
Summary of Restrictive Procedures Form Seclusion							
Frequency of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Duration of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Were the positive interventions consistently used prior to use of a restrictive procedure?	a restrictive _l	procedure?		Yes	No		
Were parents notified the same day of the procedure or w/in 2 days (written or electronic)?	ays (written	or electron	ic)?	Yes	No	Explain:	
Was an IEP meeting scheduled?				Yes	No		
Summary of Prone Restraint Form							
Frequency of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Duration of Use:	Increase	Decrease	Same				
Were the positive interventions consistently used prior to use of a restrictive procedure?	a restrictive	procedure?		Yes	No		
Were parents notified the same day of the procedure or w/in 2 days (written or electronic)?	ays (written	or electron	ic)?	Yes	No	Explain:	
Was an IEP meeting scheduled?				Yes	No		
Summary of Staff Debriefing Meeting forms:	Yes	No	Specify				
Is there a pattern of antecedents?	Yes	No	Specify				
Is there a pattern of behaviors?	Yes	No	Specify				
Is there a pattern of staff response?	Yes	No	Specify				
Is there a pattern of interventions that helped return this student to his/her routine activities?	to his/her ro	outine activ	ities?	Yes	No		
Is there a pattern of interventions that escalated student behaviors?	rs?			Yes	N _o	Explain:	
Were procedures routinely discontinued when threat of harm ended?	ded?			Yes	No		
Were procedures routinely used only in an emergency?				Yes	No		

Members of the Review Team:



GCLD			
	Goodhue Cou	nty Education District	Date:
	Med	eting Log	Start:
	Restrictive Proc	edures Oversight Committee	Stop:
	Mer	mbers Present:	
-			
Agenda: Re	eview RP data collected. Identif	fy frequency of RP by student, school,	and type of procedure.
staff training is no will be explored of similarities may be	ecessary. When multiple RP hat concerning: time of day, day of	ncy situation, review injuries if they or we been implemented with one student week, duration, individuals involved, will be reviewed and compared to histo	t, patterns or problems or any other factor where
Topic	Discussion		Action
Review of Data	Yes – details:		
Injuries?	No		
Nonemergencies?	Yes – details:		
Staff Training?	Necessary for:		
	Not Necessary		
Patterns?	School		
	Individuals involved		
	Student	Student	
	Time of day Day of Week	Time of day Day of Week	
	Duration	Duration	
	Individuals Involved Other	Individuals Involved Other	
	Student	Student	
	Time of day	Time of day	
	Day of Week Duration	Day of Week Duration	
	Individuals Involved	Individuals Involved	
	Other	Other	
Trends?			
Proposals to Minimize RP:			

Red Wing School District #256 Annual Summary of Use of Restrictive Procedures

School: Date:	
Staff Training: How many staff members received the required CPI training in your building? Did any untrained staff participate in a restrictive procedure? Yes No If y	res, what was the rationale?
Seclusionary Time Outs: How many seclusionary time outs were used during the school year? Were any seclusionary time outs conducted in other than the specially designed tim Were seclusionary time outs used only in response to an "Emergency?" Yes If the answer is "no," explain why and the corrective action taken:	s No
Seclusionary time out room(s) was/were inspected during the school year? Yes Any repair to the room(s) has/have been made?Yes No If no, responsible parties have been contacted to ensure room(s) has/have been rep All room repairs must be made prior to the start of the next	paired? Yes No
Physical Holding: How many physical holdings were used during the school year? Were physical holdings used only in response to an "Emergency?" Yes No If the answer is "no," explain why and the corrective action taken:	
Prone Restraint: How many prone restraints were used during the school year? Were any prone restraints used only in response to an "Emergency?" Yes No If the answer is "no", explain why and the corrective action taken:	
Prohibited Use: Did the debriefing teams find incorrect or prohibited use of a restrictive procedure? f "yes," what corrective action was taken:	Yes No
Building Oversight Committee Recommendations for the Next Year (include trainin	g):