## Crosslake Community School Draft Academic Performance Goals REVISION TEMPLATE

NOTE: we were approved for a 3-year contract - so all goals need to be revised to reflect FY23-25

## Questions for Osprey Wilds:

- Where does FY22 data come into play? Is it just a "gap" or baseline-setting year? or should these goals be for FY22-FY24 instead?

Indicator 1: Mission Related Outcomes: Are all students achieving significant academic and/or personal growth, knowledge and skill development, and accomplishments related to the school's mission?

## SEAT BASED

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 1.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate <br> percentage of seat-based students in grades K-8 <br> who participate in a minimum of two out of three <br> major community engagement activities will <br> exceed 90\%. | Same |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> FY17-21 aggregate was 93\% for K-8 (seat-based <br> Note from HA - if we continue with the same goal, <br> mainly), 14\% for 9-12 (online) - 69.9\% total. <br> would be helpful to have a defined list of what the <br> "major community engagement activities" would <br> were separated as proposed for the future. |
| be so that it's easier to gather data, and someone <br> who is in charge of gathering the data |  |

## ONLINE

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 1.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the number of <br> online students who complete an environmental <br> civic project will increase by 5\% each year; <br> starting with 60\% in FY23. | Measure 1.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the number of <br> online students in grades K-12 who complete an <br> environmental or community engagement project <br> will increase by 5\% each year; starting with 60\% <br> in FY23. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 NA - goal was not existent <br> FY22 as of 3/29-42\% have participated in some <br> element of the environmental civic project | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> NA - new. |

Indicator 2: English Language Learners: Are EL students at the school achieving adequate progress towards English Language Proficiency?

## SEAT BASED

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 2.1 - From FY23 to FY25, CCS will meet <br> the State Progress Target (AMAO 1) on the | Same |


| ACCESS assessment for English Learners in at least <br> two of the three years. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: Same |
| FY17-21 | Per feedback from EL Teacher |
| NA. Have not had students take the ACCESS |  |

ONLINE

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 2.2 - From FY23 to FY25, CCS will meet <br> the State Progress Target (AMAO 1) on the | Same |
| ACCESS assessment for English Learners in at least |  |
| two of the three years. |  |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> NA. Have not had students take the ACCESS | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: Same |

Indicator 3: Reading Growth: Are all and subgroups of students meeting expected growth targets in reading?

## SEAT BASED

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 3.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate | From FY23-FY25, the percentage of STAR growth <br> percentage of students in grades K-8 who meet <br> their fall to spring (exeept in FY22, fall to winter) <br> STAR expected growth target will be at least <br> baseline of $79.8 \%$ by at least $2 \%$. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: |  |
| FY17-21 Aggregate of 56.1\% met growth target | Bincrer Froposed STAR Growth Goal revisions for B... |
| FY17: $67.2 \%$ | requested input from Mindy/Annette/Karen |
| FY18: $48.1 \%$ |  |
| FY19: $58.5 \%$ |  |
| FY20: $59.6 \%$ |  |
| FY21: $47.6 \%$ |  |
| FY22 Currently Fall-Winter: |  |

## ONLINE

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 3.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate | From FY23-FY25, the percentage of STAR growth |
| percentage of students in grades K-8 who meet |  |
| their fall to spring (exeept in FY22, fallto winter) |  |
| STAR expected growth target will be at least | points earned will increase from the winter FY22 <br> baseline of $61 \%$ by at least $3 \%$. <br> $60 \%$. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: |  |
| FY17-21 included in data above | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY22 Currently Fall-Winter: | E Proposed STAR Growth Goal revisions for B... |

Indicator 4: Math Growth: Are all and subgroups of students meeting expected growth targets in math?

## SEAT BASED

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 4.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate <br> percentage of students in grades K-8 who meet <br> their fall to spring feept inFY22, falltowintert <br> STAR expected growth target will be at least <br> 60\%. | From FY23-FY25, the percentage of STAR growth <br> points earned will increase from the winter FY22 <br> baseline of $76.3 \%$ by at least $2 \%$. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: |  |
| FY17-21 Aggregate 56.2\% | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17: $67.4 \%$ | E Proposed STAR Growth Goal revisions for B... |
| FY18: $46.3 \%$ | requested input from Mindy/Annette/Karen |
| FY19: $59.8 \%$ |  |
| FY20: $56.3 \%$ |  |
| FY21: $50.4 \%$ |  |
| Current FY22 Fall-Winter: |  |

## ONLINE

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 4.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate | From FY23-FY25, the percentage of STAR growth |
| percentage of students in grades K-8 who meet |  |
| their fall to spring toints earned will increase from the winter FY22 |  |
| STAR expected growth target will be at least | point <br> baseline of $64.9 \%$ by at least $3 \%$. <br> $60 \%$. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: |  |
| FY17-21 included in data above | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY22 Currently Fall-Winter: | Eloposed STAR Growth Goal revisions for B... |

Indicator 5: Reading Proficiency: Are all and subgroups of students achieving proficiency in reading?

SEAT BASED READING PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 5.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 5.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's |
| percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and | percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and |
| 10 will increase by at least 3.0 points per year | 10 will increase each year from the baseline |
| from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 | proficiency index score (2021 baseline: 67\%) OR |
| baseline: 67\%) OR will be greater than that of the | will be greater than that of the state for the same <br> state for the same grades (3-8 \& 10). |
| grades (3-8 \& 10). |  |
| BYCKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| Online and seat-based were combined. | Note from HA: Would it be acceptable to just say |
| FY17: $67.9 \%$ (this year caused not to meet, was | increase each year from the baseline" vs specify <br> above state in other years) |
| FY18: 74.3\% | when looking at percentages and not realistic |
| based on looking at what happens in schools |  |


| FY19: 72.5\% <br> FY21: 66.7\% <br> Was above state in FY18, 19, 21 and above district <br> in 21. | across the state as things fluctuate. Even a <br> consistent increase would be great. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 5.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the <br> Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be <br> greater than that of the state for the same <br> subgroup and the same grades (3-8 \& 10). | Same |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br>  <br> $\quad$didn't meet in FY21 (43.6 vs 43.1) but <br> typically was better than state. <br> $\quad$ haven't been higher than district. | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| Measure 5.3 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the Special <br> Education subgroup will be greater than that of <br> the state for the same subgroup and the same <br> grades (3-8 \& 10). | Same |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> $-\quad$didn't meet in FY21 (34.1 vs 32.4) but did <br> in other years <br> - generally did worse than district |  |

## ONLINE READING PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 5.4 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 5.4 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's |
| percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and |  |
| 10 will increase by at least 3.0 points per year |  |
| from the baseline proficiency index score (2022 |  |
| baseline: TBD) OR will be greater than that of the |  |
| state for the same grades (3-8 \& 10). | 10 will increase each year from the baseline <br> proficiency index score (2022 baseline: TBD) OR <br> will be greater than the average of the <br> comparison online schools for the same grades <br> (3-8 \& 10) each year. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). | BlueSky (6-12): 48.3\%, 49.6\%, 43.9\%, 62\% (avg |
| Online only for reference: | $\mathbf{5 0 . 1 \% )}$ |
| FY17: CTSTR | MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 55.5\%, 55.5\%, 54.2\%, |
| FY18: 43.8\% | $59.5 \%$ (avg 56.2\%) |
| FY19: 56.3\% | MN Connections Academy (K-12): 60.2\%, 61.6\%, |
| FY21: 69.2\% | $56 \%, 53 \%$ (avg 57.7\%) |
|  | OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on |
|  | FY17-22 ~54.7\% |
| Measure 5.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 5.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the |
| percent proficient for students in the |  |
| Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be | Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be |
|  | greater than that of the average of the |


| greater than that of the state for the same <br> subgroup and the same grades (3-8 \& 10). | comparison online schools for the same subgroup <br> and the same grades (3-8 \& 10). |
| :--- | :--- |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). | BlueSky (6-12): 48.3\%, 41.4\%, 40.7\%, 57.1\% (avg |
| Online only for reference: | $46.9 \%$ ) |
| FY17: CTSTR | MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 44.1\%, 43.4\%, 42.6\%, |
| FY18: CTSTR | $33.8 \%$ (avg 41\%) |
| FY19: 60\% | MN Connections Academy (K-12): 51.9\%, 55.5\%, |
| FY21: 6.9\% | $48.7 \%, 42.6 \%$ (avg 49.5\%) |
|  | OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on |
| Measure 5.6 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | FY17-22 ~45.8\% |
| percent proficient for students in the Special | percent proficient for students in the special |
| Education subgroup will be greater than that of | Education subgroup will be greater than that of |
| the state for the same subgroup and the same | the average of the comparison online schools for |
| grades (3-8 \& 10). |  |
|  | 10 ). |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). | BlueSky (6-12): 36.8\%, 40\%, 58.8\%, 46.2\% (avg |
| Online only for reference: | $45.5 \%$ ) |
| FY17: CTSTR | MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 40.5\%, 36.1\%, 34.1\%, |
| FY18: CTSTR | $40.6 \% ~(a v g ~ 37.8 \%) ~$ |
| FY19: 72.7\% | MN Connections Academy (K-12): 36.8\%, 40.8\%, |
| FY21: 11.1\% | $33.2 \%, 26.2 \% ~(a v g ~ 34.3 \%)$ |
|  | OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on |

Indicator 6: Math Proficiency: Are all and subgroups of students achieving proficiency in math?
SEAT BASED MATH PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 6.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 6.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's |
| percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and | percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and |
| 11 will increase by at least 3.0 points per year | 11 will increase each year from the baseline |
| from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 | proficiency index score (2021 baseline: 40\%) OR |
| baseline: 40\%) OR will be greater than that of the | will be greater than that of the state for the same |
| state for the same grades (3-8 \& 11). | grades (3-8 \& 11). |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 did not meet goal in previous contract, | Note from HA - Would it be acceptable to just say |
| the target was 4.0 point increase each year. | «increase each year from the baseline" vs specify |
| Online and seat-based were combined. | 3 percentage points? That is a huge increase |
| FY17: 60.4\% | when looking at percentages and not realistic |
| FY18: $62 \%$ | based on looking at what happens in schools |
| FY19: 53.5\% | across the state as things fluctuate. Even a |
| FY21: 40\% | consistent increase would be great. |
| -was not above state or district in any year |  |


| Measure 6.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the <br> Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be <br> greater than that of the state for the same <br> subgroup and the same grades (3-8 \& 11). | Same |
| :--- | :--- |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> $-\quad$ above state in FY18 only <br> $-\quad$ was not greater than district in any year. |  |
| Measure 6.3 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the Special <br> Education subgroup will be greater than that of <br> the state for the same subgroup and the same <br> grades (3-8 \& 11). | Same |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> $-\quad$ not greater than state in any year <br> $-\quad$ higher than district in FY21 | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |

## ONLINE MATH PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 6.4 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 6.4 -From FY23 to FY25, the school's |
| percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and |  |
| percent proficient for students in grades 3-8 and |  |
| 11 will increase by at least 3.0 points per year |  |
| from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 |  |
| baseline: $29 \%$ ) OR will be greater than that of the |  |
| state for the same grades (3-8 \& 11). | will increase each year from the baseline <br> proficiency index score (2022 baseline: TBD) OR <br> will be greater than the average of the <br> comparison online schools for the same grades <br> (3-8 \& 11) each year. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). | BlueSky (6-12): 15.5\%, 18\%, 13.8\%, 18.2\% (avg |
| Online only for reference: | 16.4\%) |
| FY17: CTSTR | MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 32.6\%, 34\%, 32\%, |
| FY18: 30\% | $36.8 \%$ (avg 33.9\%) |
| FY19: 14.7\% | MN Connections Academy (K-12): 34.3\%, 33.9\%, |
| FY21: 0\% | $29.9 \%, 29.9 \%$ (avg 32\%) |
|  | OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on |
|  | FY17-22 ~ 27.4\% |
| Measure 6.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 6.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the |
| percent proficient for students in the | Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be |
| Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be | greater than that of the average of the |
| greater than that of the state for the same |  |
| subgroup and the same grades (3-8 \& 11). | comparison online schools for the same subgroup |
|  | and the same grades (3-8 \& 11). |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). | BlueSky (6-12): 17.1\%, 14.3\%, 4.3\%, 21.4\% (avg |
| Online only for reference: | 14.3\%) |


| FY17: CTSTR <br> FY18: CTSTR <br> FY19: 10\% <br> FY21: CTSTR | MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 25.3\%, 22.7\%, 22.7\%, 23.5\% (avg 23.6\%) <br> MN Connections Academy (K-12): 26.2\%, 26\%, 20.6\%, 19.4\% (avg 23\%) <br> OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on FY17-22 ~ 20.3\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measure 6.6 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in the Special Education subgroup will be greater than that of the state for the same subgroup and the same grades (3-8 \& 11). | Measure 6.6 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in the Special Education subgroup will be greater than that of the average of the comparison online schools for the same subgroup and the same grades ( $3-8$ \& 11). |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). <br> Online only for reference: <br> FY17: CTSTR <br> FY18: CTSTR <br> FY19: 35.7\% <br> FY21: 20\% | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> BlueSky (6-12): 6.3\%, 15\%, 14.3\%, 13.3\% (avg <br> 12.2\%) <br> MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 23.3\%, 25.7\%, 22.5\%, 29.6\% (avg 25.3\%) <br> MN Connections Academy (K-12): 26.1\%, 24.6\%, 22\%, 18.3\% (avg 22.8\%) <br> OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on FY17-22 ~ 20.1\% |

Indicator 7: Science Proficiency: Are all and subgroups of students achieving proficiency in science? And, if applicable, are all and subgroups of students meeting expected growth targets in science?

SEAT BASED SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measure 7.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in grades 5 \& 8\& Higesel)will increase by at least 3.0 points per year from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 baseline: 54\%) OR will be greater than that of the state for the same grades $(5,8)$. \& High School). | Measure 7.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in grades $5 \& 8 \%$ HighSell)will increase each year from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 baseline: $54 \%$ ) OR will be greater than that of the state for the same grades $(5,8)$. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> - met each year based on being higher than the state (but not based on the per year increase) <br> - was higher than district in FY21 | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> Note from HA - Would it be acceptable to just say "increase each year from the baseline" vs specify 3 percentage points? That is a huge increase when looking at percentages and not realistic based on looking at what happens in schools across the state as things fluctuate. Even a consistent increase would be great. |
| Measure 7.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in the Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be greater than that of the state for the same | Same |


| subgroup and the same grades (5, 8). High <br> Schoolt. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT <br> FY17-21 | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| Measure 7.3 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the Special <br> Education subgroup will be greater than that of <br> the state for the same subgroup and the same <br> grades (5, 8). \&lligh Sehoolf. | Same |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> $-\quad$ Met, higher than state every year <br> $-\quad$ higher than district in FY21 | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |

## ONLINE SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measure 7.4 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in grades 5,8 , and High School will increase by at least 3.0 points per year from the baseline proficiency index score (2021 baseline: 54\%) OR will be greater than that of the state for the same grades ( 5,8 \& High School). | Measure 7.4 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in grades 5, 8 and High School will increase each year from the baseline proficiency index score (2022 baseline: TBD) OR will be greater than the average of the comparison online schools for the same grades ( 5,8 \& High School) each year. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> 17-21 was combined for contract (above). Online only for reference: <br> FY17: CTSTR <br> FY18: 27.3\% <br> FY19: 52.9\% <br> FY21: CTSTR | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> BlueSky (6-12): 21.9\%, 34.8\%, 33.3\%, 25.6\% (avg 28.9\%) <br> MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 40.7\%, 36.9\%, 39.9\%, 40.6\% (avg 39.5\%) <br> MN Connections Academy (K-12): 46.6\%, 43.5\%, 40.2\%, 39.9\% (avg 42.6\%) <br> OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on FY17-22 ~37\% |
| Measure 7.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in the Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be greater than that of the state for the same subgroup and the same grades ( 5,8 \& High School). | Measure 7.5 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's percent proficient for students in the Free/Reduced Priced Lunch subgroup will be greater than that of the average of the comparison online schools for the same subgroup and the same grades ( $5,8 \&$ High School). |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 was combined for contract (above). <br> Online only for reference: <br> FY17: CTSTR <br> FY18: CTSTR <br> FY19: CTSTR <br> FY21: 57.9\% | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> BlueSky (6-12): 13.6\%, 28\%, 20\%, 27.3\% (avg 22.2\%) <br> MN Virtual Academy (K-12): 25.7\%, 21.8\%, 29.1\%, 23.1\% (avg 24.9\%) <br> MN Connections Academy (K-12): 48.7\%, 40.8\%, 32\%, 31.6\% (avg 38.3\%) |


|  | OVERALL COMPARISON AVERAGE based on <br> FY17-22 ~ 28.5\% |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 7.6 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's | Measure 7.6 - From FY23 to FY25, the school's <br> percent proficient for students in the Special <br> percent proficient for students in the Special <br> Education subgroup will be greater than that of <br> the state for the same subgroup and the same <br> grades (5, 8 \& High School). |
| the average of the comparison online schools for |  |
|  |  |
| High School). |  |

Indicator 8: Proficiency or Growth in Other Curricular Areas or Educational Programs: Are all and subgroups of students achieving proficiency or meeting expected growth targets in other curricular areas or educational programs?

SEAT BASED (online N/A)

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 8.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate | Measure 8.1 - From FY23 to FY25: Of the <br> percentage of students enrolled in preschool and <br> students enrolled in CCS PreK at least 4 4 days per <br> entering kindergarten the next school year who <br> meet or exceed kindergarten readiness standards <br> as measured by the Kindergarten Readiness <br> checklist assessed annually in the spring will be at <br> exceed kindergarten readiness standards as <br> Ceasured by the locally-developed Kindergarten <br> least 85\%. |
| Readiness checklist that is administered annually |  |
| each spring. |  |
| BY17GROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |
| FY18: $66.7 \%$ |  |
| FY19: $88.9 \%$ |  |
| FY20: $85.7 \%$ |  |
| FY21: $88.9 \%$ |  |

Indicator 9: Post-Secondary Readiness: Are all and subgroups of high school students prepared for post-secondary success?

## ONLINE (Seat-Based NA)

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 9.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the CCHS <br> graduation rate will meet or exceed the 4, 5, and | Measure 9.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the CCHS <br> graduation rate will improve from the school's |


| 6 year state graduation rate or will improve from the school's 2022 baseline rate ( $58 \%$ in 2021) by 3 percentage points each school year for duration of the contract ending on July 1, 2025. | 2021 baseline rate of 57\% OR be higher than the average of the comparison online schools (TRIO Wolf Creek, BlueSky, MNOHS) each school year for duration of the contract. |
| :---: | :---: |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 aggregate 57.5\% <br> FY17: 33.3\% <br> FY18: 53.3\% <br> FY19: 50\% <br> FY20: 58.7\% <br> FY21: 57.1\% | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> FY21 rates: <br> TRIO: 56.7\% <br> BlueSky: 56\% <br> MNOHS: 34\% <br> MNVA: 55\% <br> MN Connections Academy: 73.4\% <br> AVERAGE: 55\% |
| Measure 9.2 - From FY23 to FY25, Using the data from students enrolled on October 1, the CCS student academic course completion rate will meet or exceed the average course completion rate for Minnesota online schools for the prior year or will improve from the school's 2022 baseline amount by 3 percentage points each school year for duration of the contract ending on July 1, 2027. (Baseline for 20-21: 71\%) | Measure 9.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate passing course percentage for students in grades 9-12 who attended CCS for the full semester will be at least $80 \%$. <br> (\# courses earning passing grade/total \# courses earning passing grade $+\mathrm{Fs}>=.8$ ) <br> AND/OR? <br> Measure 9.3 - From FY23 to FY25, the aggregate percentage of returning students who begin their 10th grade year with 4 or more credits will be at least 70\%. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> (Baseline for 20-21: 71\%) that's not actually tracking the same data as what's in the goal. I don't know how I would access the course completion rate for other online schools. | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> Aggregate for FY17-21 was 73.2\% but this wasn't clearly defined <br> the second goal is new, but the aggregate based on 20-21 data and preliminary 21-22 data would be $73.8 \%$. |

Indicator 10: Attendance: Are students attending the school at high rates?
SEAT BASED

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 10.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the <br> seat-based program's annual attendance rates will <br> be at least 90\%. | Measure 10.1 - From FY23 to FY25, the <br> seat-based program's annual attendance rates <br> will be at least 90\% OR will be above the <br> statewide attendance rate. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: <br> FY17-21 were combined seat-based and online. <br> FY21 statewide attendance rate was 85.3. Adding <br> FY17: 90.9 <br> th18 statewide piece would account for situations <br> lik.1 |


| FY19: 89.3 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| FY20: 84.9 |  |
| FY21: 68.4 (seat-based only was 87.7\%) |  |

## ONLINE

| CURRENT MEASURE | REVISED MEASURE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measure 10.2 - From FY23 to FY25, the online <br> program's annual attendance rate will improve <br> from the online program's 20-21 baseline amount <br> (42\%) by 5 percentage points each school year. | Same for now - would like to discuss options with <br> Osprey Wilds. <br> Consulting with other online schools and MDE to <br> check if we are tracking this correctly - seems way <br> too low. |
| BACKGROUND DATA FOR CURRENT: <br> FY17-21 <br> FY21: 42.6\% for online only | BACKGROUND DATA FOR REVISED: |

