Aledo Independent School District

McAnally Middle School

2025-2026 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



Mission Statement

To ensure high levels of learning for all students.

Vision

Growing greatness through exceptional experiences that empower learners for life.

Table of Contents

| Goals | | 4 |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Goal 1: By May of 2026, 90% of teachers will utilize FSGPT and Power Zone strategies during instructional time in their classrooms. | | 4 |
| Goal 2: By May of 2026, 88%+ of collaborative teams will be at a rating of developing or higher in all three PLCs domains: Focus on Learning, Collaborative | orative Culture, Results. 6 | 5 |
| Goal 3: By May of 2026, 95% of faculty are aware and implementing with fidelity the L.E.A.D. behavior matrix and standards. | | 8 |
| Goal 4: By May of 2026, MMS attendance rate will be 97%+. | | 1 |

Goals

Goal 1: By May of 2026, 90% of teachers will utilize FSGPT and Power Zone strategies during instructional time in their classrooms.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will learn a variety of FSGPT/Power Zone strategies to embed into daily lessons (TTESS Dimensions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5, and 3.1) by December 2025. Strategies will be covered in August Professional Learning sessions, campus PL days, as well as weekly campus newsletters.

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs Instructional Rounds (internal and district) Lesson Planning (CT attendance)

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------|---------------------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: August PD Kickoff and Ongoing Campus PL Days with the introduction of internal rounds. | Formative | | | Formative Summative | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Power Zone and FSGPT percentage for DIW's Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers | Dec | Feb | Apr | June | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | • | |
| Strategy 2: Use weekly campus newsletters to highlight one FSGPT or Power Zone strategy each week with a "plug-and- | | Formative | | Summative | |
| play" approachin addition to internal rounds/BINGO. | Dec | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Power Zone and FSGPT percentage for DIW's Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | • | |

Goal 1: By May of 2026, 90% of teachers will utilize FSGPT and Power Zone strategies during instructional time in their classrooms.

Performance Objective 2: Guiding Coalition and administrators will conduct regular walkthroughs to provide coaching and feedback on teacher positioning and engagement strategies. In addition, teachers will conduct internal rounds.

Evaluation Data Sources: DIW Data

Internal/External Walk Data

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|------|------|
| Strategy 1: At least 1 internal round will be conducted each quarter using the MMS Internal Round Form; calibration | libration Formative Summa | Summative | | |
| techniques will be used to ensure the internal rounds mirror district instructional rounds protocols. | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Power Zone and FSGPT percentage for DIW's | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration | | | | |
| Teachers | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Goal 2: By May of 2026, 88%+ of collaborative teams will be at a rating of developing or higher in all three PLCs domains: Focus on Learning, Collaborative Culture, Results.

Performance Objective 1: Collaborative teams will sustain a culture of continuous reflection, normed dialogue, and mutual accountability, as evidenced by team self-assessments, meeting protocols, and adjustment documentation.

Evaluation Data Sources: 9 week CT ratings DCA, CA data CT Meeting Agendas Goal Setting

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|-----------|-------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Collaborative teams will provide targeted intervention and enrichment by rotating through student groups, | Formative | | | Summative |
| ensuring instruction is rigorous, relevant, and responsive to students performing at the Approaches, Meets, and Masters levels (bubbles). | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Distinction in Academic Growth Campus Target Overall Report Card ScoreIncrease from 92 to 95. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal | | | | |
| APs | | | | |
| Department Heads | | | | |
| Interventionists | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | | |
| | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Goal 2: By May of 2026, 88%+ of collaborative teams will be at a rating of developing or higher in all three PLCs domains: Focus on Learning, Collaborative Culture, Results.

Performance Objective 2: Collaborative teams will consistently develop and monitor shared student learning goals and use common assessments aligned with standards.

Evaluation Data Sources: 9 week CT ratings DCA, CA data CT Meeting Agendas Goal Setting

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | | |
|---|-----------|-------|------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: MMS will reduce the academic achievement gap by 5% between Economically Disadvantaged, Emergent | Formative | | | Summative | |
| Bilingual, and Special Education student populations and the All Students group in all assessed areas by the end of the 2025-2026 school year through careful selection of students during CT time for flex assignments. This mirrors the 4 PLC | Dec | Feb | Apr | June | |
| question model. | | | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student achievement across all student levels Distinction in Closing the Gaps Distinction in Academic Growth | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal | | | | | |
| APs | | | | | |
| Department Heads | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | | |

Goal 3: By May of 2026, 95% of faculty are aware and implementing with fidelity the L.E.A.D. behavior matrix and standards.

Performance Objective 1: Faculty will implement the L.E.A.D. behavior matrix and standards with fidelity, as measured by classroom walkthrough data, student behavior referrals, and teacher self-assessment tools aligned to implementation expectations.

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthrough Data

Reflection Sheets Discipline Data Announcements

| | Rev | Reviews | |
|-----|-----------|---|---|
| | Formative | | Summative |
| Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Rev | iews | |
| | Formative | | Summative |
| Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Rev | iews | • |
| | Formative | | Summative |
| Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Dec | Formative Dec Feb Rev Formative Dec Feb Rev Formative | Formative Dec Feb Apr Reviews Formative Dec Feb Apr Reviews Feb Feb Feb Formative |



Goal 3: By May of 2026, 95% of faculty are aware and implementing with fidelity the L.E.A.D. behavior matrix and standards.

Performance Objective 2: Faculty will demonstrate a clear understanding of the L.E.A.D. behavior matrix and standards through completion of training, participation in professional development sessions, and evidence of implementation in classroom routines and expectations.

Evaluation Data Sources: PD Implementation

Teacher Reflection Walkthrough data

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | riews | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-------|-----------|--|--|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Teachers will be engaged in an interactive professional development (L.E.A.D. BINGO) incorporating | Formative | | | Formative | | | Summative |
| strategies that relate to the disciplinary behavior matrix for the district. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals/major referrals Increase in parent contact with minor referrals Increased awareness of district behavior standards Increase awareness/reflection of student behavior Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Behavior GC Counselors Teachers TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | Dec | Feb | Apr | June | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | itinue | | | | | |

Goal 4: By May of 2026, MMS attendance rate will be 97%+.

Performance Objective 1: Campus administrators and attendance clerk will implement 3+ strategies that help improve attendance at MMS. This will include attendance mentors, home visits, letters, and phone calls home.

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily Attendance Rates in Ascender

Phone Call Logs

Home Visit Logs (police officer)

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Attendance calls will be made to any student who has missed more than 3 days in a 3 week period. | Formative | | | n 3 days in a 3 week period. Formative Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased attendance Increased awareness from parents on the impact of attendance on student achievement Decreased in truancy referrals Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Attendance/PEIMS Clerk Counselors TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 2: Home Visits to any students who have missed 4+ days in a month period. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase attendance, decrease truancy | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Police Officer Administrators Attendance/PEIMS Clerk Counselors | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | riews | |
|--|--|-----------|-------|----------|
| rategy 3: Mentors will be assigned to students who have demonstrated repetitive attendance issues over the past 3 years. | s over the past 3 years. Formative Sur | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased attendance, decrease truancy | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Increased student-faculty connection | | 1 | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators | | | | |
| Guiding Coalition Members | | | | |
| Teacher Leadership Cohort Members | | | | |
| Attendance/PEIMS Clerk | | | | |
| Counselors | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Improve low-performing schools | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| rategy 4: MMS will use an attendance incentive each quarter (ie: donut or popsicle party, fun flex). | | Formative | | Summativ |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased attendance, decrease truancy | Dec | Feb | Apr | June |
| Increase culture/climate | Dec | reb | Арг | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators | | | | |
| Teachers | | | | |
| Counselors | | | | |
| Attendance/PEIMS Clerk | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Improve low-performing schools | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | . • | | |
| | | . • | | |