	SOLIDATI	SD INDER	Improve	ment Plan		
LEA Name   West Orange-Cove CISD		C. IS	Campus Name	West Orange Middle School	ESC:	V
<b>CDN</b> : 181906			Campus Number	041	PSP Name:	Orlana Gay Jenkins
LEA Contact: Wayne Guidry			Principal Name	Anthony Moten	DCSI:	Dr. Brant Grahm

Section I: Area(s) of Low Performance and Target Groups																
State							Federal					District PBM Staging				
Rating 1st Year						Stage	1						Identified	Stage		
	Reading	Math	Science	Social Studies	Writing	Dropout	Completion		Reading	Math	Graduation Rate	Attendance	Participation	BE/ESL	Select One	Select One
All	82	53 (LP12)	LP12	0	0	0	0	All	82	53	0	94	100	CTE	Select One	Select One
AA	79	49 (LP12)	0	0	0	0	0	AA	79	49	0	94	100	NCLB	Select One	Select One
His	91	58	0	0	0	0	0	His	91	58	0	92	100	SPED	Select One	Select One
White	86	61	0	0	0	0	0	White	86	61	0	93	98	DVM-L	Select One	Select One
Eco Dis	81	51	LP12	0	0	0	0	Eco Dis	81	51	0	93	99	DVM-D	Select One	Select One
							SPED	66	42	0	92	97	DVM-SA	Select One	Select One	
								LEP	75	25	0	97	100			

## Section II: Process for Evaluating Progress Toward Meeting Performance Standards

Describe the assessment process, rigorous TEKS-aligned instruments, and the measures that will be used to evaluate progress toward meeting performance standards. Example: administer curriculum-based assessments at the end of the first semester. (Limit response to 2500 characters)

At the end of each grading period formative assessments will be conducted in core content areas. Data will automatically be uploaded into the AWARE data system for analysis. Campuses will be involved in STAAR Mock testing twice throughout the year. Passing standards will be set at the Level III phase in standard. Results will be uploaded into the AWARE data system for analysis. Formative assessments will be created from the STAAR ONE software package.

## Date Improvement Plan was approved by LEA Board

**September 24, 2012** 

Section III: Improvement Plan (IP) Development

Accountability AreaTargeted State Assessment	Critical Success Factors (CSFs) Academic_Perf ormance		Strategies, Initiatives, and Redesign (Limit to 400 characters)  1. Integration of reading and writing literacy. 2. Increased implementation of research-based strategies including small groups, centers, one on one students conferencing, intervention, feedback. 3. Increased opportunities for teacher to teach lesson in small group, provide intervention in small group, as well as provide one-on-one conferencing and assessment with students.	Evidence of Implementation  1. Routine administrative walk throughs 2. Teacher documentation of interventions	Evidence of Impact  Close the gap between current literacy scores (reading and writing) and the state average.	Resources Required and Person(s) Responsible  Routine 5 and CAFÉ books Principal and Teacher Staff Development Title I Budget	Origination of Resource Campus
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Tiered System of Support	Creation of individual student intervention plans as well as action plans to support student learning when not meeting standards.     Increased opportunities for students to participate in formative assessment as well as formalized assessment that indicates student growth and mastery of specific objective	Routine monitoring of student comprehension and fluency performance.	Documentation of administrative walk throughs will indicate 100% alignment of instruction with the STAAR standards.	Teacher/Principal Training Grant Instructional Materials District Budget	Campus
	Academic_Perf ormance	Research Based Instructional Practices	Increased sharing of ideas among teachers yielding collaborative planning and implementation of best practices.     Increased implementation of rigorous instruction	Literacy coach,academic coordinator, CILT ELA/R representative documentation.	a minimum 5% increase each six weeks in the mastery of the	Direct support from literacy coach and AC Support from campus- based CILT ELA/R representative	Campus
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Research Based Instructional Practices	Teachers will use a variety of instructional strategies.     Increased implementation of research-based strategies including high level demand tasks, small groups, centers, use of manipulatives, one-on-one student conferencing, intervention, feedback.	Administrative walk throughs.     Teacher documented strategies.	Close the gap between our current math scores and the state average.	Benchmark & Diagnostic Assessment District Budget Instructional Materials Allotment	Campus
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Tiered System of Support	Identification and recovery of students needing support and follow-up to return to and attend school.	Skyward report Documentation of attempted contacts will be maintained.		Parental Involvement Community Liaison Committee Groups Title I Budget District Budget	District
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Research Based Instructional Practices	Increased sharing of ideas among teachers yielding collaborative planning and implementation of best practices.     Increased implementation of rigorous instruction	Staff development, support from literacy/numeracy coach, AC, CILT, & ELA/R representative.	Benchmark scores will indicate a minimum 5% increase each six weeks in the mastery of the student expectations assessed.	Principal and Teacher Staff Development District Budget	Campus
	Academic_Perf ormance	Research Based Instructional Practices	Increase implementation of research-based strategies including 5E lesson model, hands-on lab investigations, cooperative reading strategies, use of science notebooks, problem-solving activities; and questioning that promotes inquiry based discussion.     Teachers will use a variety of instructional strategies.	Administrative walk throughs.     Teacher documented strategies.	Close the gap between our current science scores and the state average	Science Starters District Budget	ESC
	Academic_Perf ormance	Research Based Instructional Practices	The Instructional Coaches, administrative team will facilitate and monitor effective staff development through a variety of venues: PLC meetings, faculty meetings, dept. chair meetings, and on-site staff development.	Walkthrough data documenting instruction demonstrating rigor and relevance		Classroom Instruction That Works Manual Kilgo Research ESC V Support Center Administrative Team	Campus

State Assessment	_	Research Based Instructional Practices	WOSMS will implement and utilize the position of a Numeracy Coach to support mathematical strategies for improving hands-on learning processes in the classroom.	Training of teachers to effectively use manipulatives, rubrics and problem-based learning	Benchmark scores will indicate a minimum 5% increase each six weeks in the mastery of the student expectations assessed.	PLC & plan of implementation	Campus
State Assessment	_	Curricular Alignment	Teachers will align instruction with STAAR standards.	Routine administrative walk throughs to monitor and assess student progress	will indicate 100% alignment of	Teacher/Principal Training Grant Instructional Materials District Budget	District
State Assessment		Curricular Alignment	WOSMS staff will collaborate with the district to develop aligned curriculum and assessment every 6 weeks.	District Scope & Sequence Eduphoria Software	Continuous improvement in the collection of benchmark data, increased levels of academic success for all student groups	Scope and sequence, curriculum writers	District
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Data Driven Instruction	Instructional coaches will use the Eduphoria to evaluate instruction through the use of on-line walkthrough and other data tools of support for professional growth.	Informal and formal data, instructional support	a minimum 5% increase each	Eduphoria software Literacy Coach Numeracy Coach	Campus
State Assessment	_	Research Based Instructional Practices	WOSMS will assign faculty to professional development focused on scientifically-based and researched instructional practices in the areas of Math and Science.	Documentation of attendance and report of how the strategies are used to address instruction.	a minimum 5% increase each	Principal:A. Moten Academic Coordinator, Campus Instructional Leadership Team members	Campus
State Assessment	Academic_Perf ormance	Data Driven Instruction	WOSMS staff will use the Kilgo Research Model to identify area(s) of academic need.	AEIS data for WOSMS, data summary reports, core academic goals, released State Tests		Title II Funds Professional development	District
Dropout	School_Climate	Data Driven Instruction	Use problem-based learning, hands-on and relevant learning experiences in the areas of math and science.     Increase student participation in science, math and engineering paths of study, elevating the level of rigor in our curriculum, improve the problem-based learning approach for all students	Walk through data will document instruction demonstrating rigor and relevance and student engagement.	an Increase in student commended performance on	Title I Budget Campus Principal, AC, Numeracy & Literacy Coaches CILT and Teachers	District