Pana CUSD 8



District Superintendent

Mr. Jason Bauer jbauer@panaschools.com

Address

Pana IL 62557 (217) 562-1500

http://www.panaschools.com

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020–2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

District Snapshot

Percent of Adequacy: 67.4% Chronic Absenteeism: 17.8%

Principal Turnover: 2 Schools in District: 4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 02 | How To Read The Data
- 03 | District Environment
- 10 | Students
- 39 | Accountability
- 40 | Teachers
- 44 | Administrators
- 47 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2018-19)

Date: 11/08/21 9:23:05 -06:00

How To Read The Data

Understanding COVID-19 Flags

Flag

Description



Data unavailable due to COVID-19

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.




Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.




Due to both spring and fall assessment testing, the data for this metric will be delayed being reported in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.



Data for this metric are not available through the Report Card, however additional information can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/Report-Card-Metrics.aspx. Reasons these data are not available on the SY2020-21 Illinois Report Card may include (a) substantial changes to how the metric is measured (i.e. methodology), (b) substantial changes to what the metric measures (i.e. the construct), (c) circumstances that render the data set substantially incomplete, or (d) circumstances that cause the data and its use to fall below acceptable thresholds for reliability and validity.

About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.






No Data district's percentage of adequacy

Evidence-Based Funding

Early Learning



What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarten	Individual Deve	lopmental Survey	(KIDS) Results - (Overall
--------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------	---------

	% of Entering Kindergartners	% of Entering Kind	ergartners Demonst	rating Readiness by	Developmental Are	а		
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In AII 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

% Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non-IEP	Non- English Learners	Non Low Income	Eligible NSLP	Not Eligible NSLP						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*	*						

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

					District C	entralized Per F	Pupil					
		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	nditures	Expendit	ures		Total Per	Pupil Expendit	ures		Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
District	1,166	\$1,518	\$7,806	\$9,324	\$441	\$1,803	\$2,244	\$1,959	\$9,609	\$11,568	\$1,631,005	\$15,116,255

School Level Finances (cont)

					District Cen Expenditure	tralized Per Pup es	il	Total Per Pupil Expenditures		
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total
District	1,166	\$1,518	\$7,806	\$9,324	\$441	\$1,803	\$2,244	\$1,959	\$9,609	\$11,568
Pana Sr High School	401	\$1,329	\$8,443	\$9,772	\$363	\$1,754	\$2,117	\$1,693	\$10,197	\$11,890
Pana Jr High School	265	\$1,236	\$8,328	\$9,564	\$488	\$1,832	\$2,320	\$1,724	\$10,160	\$11,884
Lincoln Elem School	259	\$2,027	\$6,764	\$8,791	\$528	\$1,857	\$2,384	\$2,555	\$8,621	\$11,176
Washington Elem School	241	\$1,595	\$7,290	\$8,885	\$427	\$1,794	\$2,221	\$2,022	\$9,084	\$11,106

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	37.6% \$6,076,024	7.6% \$1,221,631	42.8% \$6,905,948	4.3% \$694,922	7.7% \$1,249,447	\$16,147,972
State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	48.5%	5.1%	25.9%	20.4%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	71.8% \$10,945,555	5.6% \$855,639	4.4% \$663,858	6.7% \$1,019,416	3.6% \$552,315	3.1% \$473,392	0.0% \$3,226	4.8% \$726,332	\$15,239,733
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

District Finances (cont)

Other Financial Indicators				
	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$98,306	5	\$6,373	\$9,922
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	PK	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12	Grades 9-12	Overall
District	*	15	14	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	20	21	19	18	19	18
State	3	19	20	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	20	20	20	20

Total School Days

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	177
State	177

Health and Wellness

🛕 Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
District	5
State	3

Students


About the data


Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.

1,172

Student Enrollment

Rac
Dive





Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgroups

								Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	American	Two or More	Students with
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities
District	100.0% 1,172	49.7% 582	50.3% 590	95.3% 1,117	0.5% 6	1.3% 15	0.3% 3	0.0%	0.5% 6	2.1% 25	16.7% 196
State	100.0% 1,887,316	51.3% 969,086	48.7 % 918,230	46.7% 880,891	16.6% 312,609	27.0% 510,387	5.4% 102,407	0.1% 1,942	0.2% 4,650	3.9% 74,430	18.3 % 345,533
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	14.8% 174	0.3%	59.6% 698	1.0% 12	0.0%	2.8% 33	0.9% 11				
State	14.9 % 281,323	12.9% 243,308	48.1% 908,417	1.7% 32,284	0.0% 326	0.7% 12,795	0.7% 12,743				

By Grades

	PK	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
Distric	t 62	61	59	78	79	73	102	80	94	90	97	111	105	81
State	68,067	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321	134,540	136,665	141,642	146,930	149,255	153,493	152,533	148,759	149,423

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.0% 156,197	7.5% 74,804	8.6% 81,393	7.7 % 69,509	5.4% 17,793	7.8 % 41,113	20.3% 21,376	13.0% 256	7.7% 385	7.4% 5,765	4.3 % 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	1.9% 5,501	2.3% 5,720	5.5% 50,536	2.2% 512	1.0% 139						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.5% 9,062	0.3 % 3,144	0.6% 5,918	0.6% 5,606	0.2% 634	0.3% 1,776	0.6% 627	0.2% 3	0.5% 26	0.5% 390	0.2% 842

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 247	0.1% 130	0.2% 2,196	0.1% 32	0.0 %

Students E	inrolled in A	Accelerated	l Placemen	t - Math							
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.2% 24,290	1.4% 14,444	1.0% 9,846	1.5% 13,688	0.2% 739	0.8% 4,135	4.4% 4,620	1.2% 23	1.6% 79	1.3% 1,006	0.6% 1,937
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.3% 773	0.3% 846	0.5% 4,371	0.2% 57	0.1% 12						

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

					,						
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.2% 100,406	4.6 % 46,284	5.7% 54,122	4.6 % 41,443	3.9% 12,686	5.3% 27,815	13.7 % 14,366	10.5% 207	4.4% 219	4.7% 3,670	2.7% 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	1.1% 3,069	1.0% 2,626	3.8% 34,624	1.1% 250	0.6% 90						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.2% 4,782	0.2% 2,132	0.3% 2,650	0.1% 929	0.6% 1,891	0.2% 1,279	0.5% 497	0.4% 8	0.3% 17	0.2% 161	0.1% 473

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 253	0.3% 810	0.3% 2,705	0.0% 0	0.1% 14

Students E	inrolled in A	Advanced P	lacement C	oursework							
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	23.6% 145,267	20.2% 63,878	27.2 % 81,389	24.5% 71,841	13.0% 12,944	22.6 % 37,831	53.1% 17,209	31.9 % 203	19.9% 291	23.9% 4,948	8.8% 10,243
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	2.7% 2,349	7.0% 2,911	16.0% 42,897	*	*						

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

		D OOUIOCH									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.0% 6,121	0.8% 2,379	1.3% 3,742	0.3% 824	1.9% 1,842	1.7% 2,922	1.2% 396	0.8% 5	1.6% 23	0.5% 109	0.5 % 543
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.2% 163	0.5% 195	1.6% 4,311	*	*						

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	18.6% 225	18.4% 111	18.8% 114	18.8% 216	*	*	*	*	*	*	7.5% 16
State	20.1% 390,785	18.3% 183,738	21.9% 207,047	22.4% 202,744	13.3% 43,538	17.6% 92,777	34.4% 36,095	23.3% 461	16.4% 815	18.4 % 14,355	10.7 % 37,437

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	11.7% 85	*	*
State	5.9% 16,764	5.0% 12,638	13.4% 122,600	9.6% 2,248	4.0% 575

Students E	Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	11.1% 46	10.8% 23	11.4% 23	11.3% 44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12.9% 79,370	12.2% 38,630	13.6% 40,740	15.5 % 45,566	9.2% 9,109	10.2% 17,161	14.8% 4,805	12.6% 80	10.3% 151	12.1% 2,498	7.7% 9,010
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	6.0% 13	*	*						
State	5.8% 5,133	6.1% 2,557	9.7% 25,969	*	*						

Gifted Students

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.7% 188,673	9.6% 96,414	9.8% 92,259	10.5 % 95,102	6.1% 19,993	7.5 % 39,207	23.1% 24,303	13.1% 258	10.7% 533	11.9% 9,277	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	7.2 % 20,532	7.0% 17,656	6.5% 59,670	*	*						

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.3% 24,668	1.3% 12,576	1.3% 12,092	1.5% 13,267	0.5% 1,720	0.8% 3,955	4.2% 4,367	2.0% 40	1.6% 79	1.6% 1,240	*

Gifted Students (cont)

Nossible data impact due to COVID-19

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.6% 1,594	0.5% 1,238	0.5% 4,817	*	* *

Students Identified As Gifted

Students Id	tudents Identified As Gifted										
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	3.4% 65,476	3.4% 33,875	3.3% 31,601	3.4% 30,346	2.0% 6,537	2.2% 11,680	12.7% 13,394	6.7% 133	3.2% 158	4.1% 3,228	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.7% 2,067	0.5% 1,224	1.8% 16,100	*	*						

Students

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

students identified As Giffed Taught By Giffed-Endorsed Teachers											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.7% 13,016	0.7% 6,723	0.7% 6,293	0.7% 6,289	0.3% 1,123	0.3% 1,672	3.0 % 3,122	1.3% 25	0.9 % 44	1.0% 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.1% 383	0.1% 156	0.2% 2,156	*	*						

Students With IEPs

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

By Race/ Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District	All Students	16.3%	0.3%	0.2%	*	*	*	0.3%
	Students with IEPs	96.0%	1.5%	1.0%	*	*	*	1.5%
All Peer	All Students	15.8%	20.7%	15.7%	*	*	*	17.5%
Districts*	Students with IEPs	58.3%	14.8%	19.0%	*	*	*	5.3%
State	All Students	6.9%	3.0%	4.2%	*	*	*	0.6%
	Students with IEPs	45.6%	19.6%	27.5%	*	*	*	4.1%

By Disability Category

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	0.6%	0.1%	6.5%	0.2%	0.3%	*	*
	Students with IEPs	4.4%	0.3%	32.1%	0.4%	0.9%	*	*
All Peer	All Students	3.5%	0.5%	38.2%	1.0%	2.0%	*	*
Districts*	Students with IEPs	0.7%	0.0%	5.1%	0.1%	0.1%	*	*
State	All Students	0.7%	0.1%	5.1%	0.1%	0.1%	*	*
	Students with IEPs	4.9%	0.3%	33.5%	0.3%	0.8%	*	*

Students

Students With IEPs (cont)

By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	2.0%	1.5%	2.1%	0.3%	1.4%	1.8%	0.1%
	Students with IEPs	18.5%	5.7%	14.7%	1.1%	12.7%	8.9%	0.2%
All Peer	All Students	12.1%	9.0%	12.6%	2.0%	8.0%	10.6%	0.5%
Districts*	Students with IEPs	2.4%	0.9%	2.1%	0.2%	2.0%	1.5%	0.0%
State	All Students	3.0%	0.9%	2.4%	0.2%	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%
	Students with IEPs	15.7%	6.2%	13.6%	1.0%	13.3%	10.0%	0.2%

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

_	_				
BVI	Race	/ ŀ	-thi	ทเต	ıtv

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
All				
District	64.6%	22.9%	3.6%	8.9%
All Peer Districts *	55.4%	26.0%	13.1%	5.6%
State	54.2%	26.1%	13.3%	6.4%
White				
District	64.7%	22.3%	3.8%	9.2%
All Peer Districts *	57.5%	26.5%	10.6%	5.4%
State	57.8%	24.8%	11.2%	6.3%
Black				
District	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	47.3%	26.0%	19.3%	7.4%
State	45.2%	29.8%	16.7%	8.3%
Hispanic				
District	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	55.5%	26.0%	14.1%	4.4%
State	55.0%	26.7%	13.5%	4.9%

By Race/ Ethnicity				
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races				
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	55.0%	24.1%	14.4%	6.6%
State	54.6%	23.5%	14.3%	7.6%

For Selected Disabilities										
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility						
Autism										
District	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts *	3.3%	31.0%	54.1%	11.6%						
State	3.4%	29.8%	50.1%	16.6%						
Emotional Disability										
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts *	71.4%	14.4%	7.2%	6.9%						
State	70.5%	14.3%	9.0%	6.2%						
Intellectual Disability										
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Other Health Impairment										
District	56.0%	32.0%	4.0%	8.0%						
All Peer Districts *	55.5%	29.3%	10.2%	5.0%						
State	57.8%	27.9%	9.5%	4.9%						
Specific Learning Disabili	ty									
District	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts *	2.7%	14.6%	55.5%	27.2%						
State	2.6%	12.2%	51.0%	34.3%						
Speech or Language Impa	irment									
District	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%						
All Peer Districts *	56.7%	23.6%	17.7%	2.0%						
State	54.6%	24.2%	18.5%	2.7%						

By Race/ Ethnicity									
	Regular Early Childhood Program								
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider				
All									
District	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	45.5%	21.9%	23.8%	0.3%	8.5%				
State	48.5%	17.1%	26.6%	0.2%	7.6%				
White									
District	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	44.3%	25.5%	20.4%	0.4%	9.4%				
State	44.2%	22.1%	23.4%	0.4%	10.0%				
Black									
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	43.2%	21.3%	31.1%	0.1%	4.2%				
State	50.0%	15.2%	32.0%	0.1%	2.7%				
Hispanic									
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	51.4%	13.2%	27.3%	0.1%	8.0%				
State	56.7%	9.5%	28.5%	0.1%	5.2%				

By Race/ Ethnicity									
	Regular Early Childhood Program								
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider				
Asian									
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				
Native Hawaiian/ Pac	ific Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				
American Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				
Two or More Races									
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	43.6%	20.4%	29.0%	0.2%	6.8%				
State	45.8%	18.0%	29.2%	0.1%	7.0%				

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

For Selected Disabilities

Regular Early Childhood Program Majority of Services Majority of Services Inside EC Program Outside EC Program Service Provider Separate Class/ Facility Home **Autism** 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **District** 20.0% 65.0% 0.0% 0.0% All Peer Districts * 15.0% 0.0% 24.1% 13.8% 62.1% 0.0% State **Developmental Delay** 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **District** 53.8% 7 7% 38 5% n n% 0.0% All Peer Districts * 49.2% 15.3% 30.5% 0.0% **5.1**% State **Emotional Disability** 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **District**

Asterisks (*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

40.5%

41.5%

0.0%

0.0%

1.2%

3.5%

All Peer Districts *

State

45.2%

42.1%

13.1%

12.9%

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities										
	Regular Early Childhood Program									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider					
Intellectual Disability										
District	*	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*	*					
Other Health Impairm	ent									
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts *	43.9%	10.5%	40.5%	3.8%	1.3%					
State	42.6%	8.8%	45.9%	1.9%	0.9%					

For Selected Disabilities

To occord bisabilities										
	Regular Early Childhood Program									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider					
Specific Learning Disability										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts *	19.5%	8.0%	62.1%	10.3%	0.0%					
State	13.8%	5.4%	74.3%	6.6%	0.0%					
Speech or Language	Impairment									
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts *	51.1%	11.4%	36.4%	0.0%	1.0%					
State	53.6%	8.9%	36.2%	0.1%	1.3%					

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs

What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	91.67	82.6	Yes
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	8.33	13.7	Yes
3a1	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3b1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3b2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	64.6	52.7	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	3.6	12.92	Yes
5c	Students ages 6-21 (and 5-year old kindergartners) with IEPs in separate educational facilities	8.9	6.68	No

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
6a	Children ages 3–5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	57.1	46	Yes
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	85.7	26.3	No
7a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	50.00	83.95	No
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	50.00	47.2	Yes
7b1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	80.00	84.1	No
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	33.33	45.1	No
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	75.00	85.8	No
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	50.00	53.4	No
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	*	70.5	N/A
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100.00	100	Yes
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.00	100	Yes
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	*	29.6	N/A

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	*	63.5	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	*	75.75	N/A
3a2	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3d1	Gap in reading proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3d2	Gap in math proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
6c	Children ages 3-5 receiving special education and related services in the home	1	0.28	No

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*

Student Attendance

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	94.4%	94.2%	94.5%	94.6%	95.9%	92.4%	85.0%	*	82.1%	86.5%	93.3%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	93.8%	98.6%	93.0%								
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

Student Mobility Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Stu	db	nt	Mο	hil	itv

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	9.4%	11.0%	7.8%	9.0%	*	15.4%	*	*	*	19.0%	10.7%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	11.8%	*	12.8%								
State	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%								

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

Chronic Ab	senteeism	l									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	17.8%	18.2%	17.3%	17.0%	*	13.3%	*	*	*	38.1%	22.9%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	20.6%	*	22.8%								
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%								

Dropout Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgroups

	•										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Stud with Disa
District	6.7%	7.4%	5.9%	6.3%	*	*	*	*	*	*	4.2%
State	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%	2.1%	4.0%	2.7%	0.8%	2.2%	4.5%	3.3%	2.6%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	5.6%	*	10.9%								
Stato	2.9%	4.1%	3.9%								

By Grades

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*

Chronically Truant Students

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	20.5%	21.6%	19.4%	19.8%	*	*	*	*	*	*	26.9%
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	25.0%	*	27.3%								
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



No Data

School Improvement Funds


Teachers

About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







Teacher Information



What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	15	70.2%	29.8%	71.4%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	18	18
State	17	18

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$51,218
State	\$70,705

Teachers

Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	88.5% 201	88.9% 201	*	0.0%	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	92.3% 60	93.8% 60	*	0.0%	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	87.0% 141	87.0% 141	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	87.1% 308,369	87.6 % 261,205	80.6% 15,160	87.1% 20,712	86.9 % 4,631	85.0 % 187	83.9% 664	84.9 % 2,249	80.2 % 3,561
	Male	88.4% 72,950	89.2 % 62,631	78.9 % 2,881	86.4 % 4,747	86.7 % 1,082	84.3 % 59	88.4 % 167	86.4 % 579	79.8% 804
	Female	86.7 % 235,419	87.1 % 198,574	81.0% 12,279	87.3 % 15,965	87.0 % 3,549	85.3 % 128	82.6% 497	84.4 % 1,670	80.3% 2,757

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.


		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 87.3	100.0% 87.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	26.3% 23	26.3% 23	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	73.7% 64.3	73.7% 64.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 132354.5	82.0 % 108491.7	6.0% 7993.1	7.9% 10482.3	1.7% 2309.5	0.1% 83.7	0.2 % 240.2	0.8% 1059	1.3% 1695
	Male	23.1% 30617.9	23.4 % 25426.5	20.5% 1641.5	22.5% 2355	22.2% 512.8	29.8 % 24.9	24.1% 58	24.4% 258.6	20.1% 340.5
	Female	76.9% 101736.7	76.6% 83065.2	79.5 % 6351.6	77.5 % 8127.3	77.8 % 1796.7	70.2% 58.8	75.9 % 182.3	75.6 % 800.4	79.9 % 1354.5

Administrators

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.





2 principal(s) over the past 6 years

Principal Turnover

Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	9	155
State	10	157

Administrators

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 7.6	100.0% 7.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	39.7% 3	39.7% 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	60.3% 4.6	60.3% 4.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 12059.4	75.8% 9142.6	14.6% 1757.5	6.9 % 832.9	1.0% 115.4	0.1 %	0.2% 21.3	0.8% 101.7	0.6% 77.1
	Male	42.6 % 5135.9	46.3 % 4229.8	27.0% 473.8	36.9% 307	46.0 % 53.1	27.4% 3	32.2 % 6.9	27.8 % 28.3	44.2 % 34.1
	Female	57.4% 6923.5	53.7% 4912.8	73.0 % 1283.7	63.1% 525.9	54.0 % 62.3	72.6% 8	67.8% 14.4	72.2% 73.4	55.8% 43.1

Administrators

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
District	2
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$87,767
State	\$114,208

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2018-19

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2018-19)

Student Environment (cont)

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence		
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide	
District	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work		
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate (IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work	
District	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	