

2015-16 Report Card Rating Details Report

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

The purpose of the Report Card rating details report is to describe the rating methodology and display the data used by the school accountability system to determine the overall school rating (i.e., overall level) that is shown on each school's Report Card. For more details on the school report cards, please visit the following link: http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/schoolRC.

Given the recent passage of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the expiration of Oregon's ESEA flexibility waiver on August 1, 2016, the State of Oregon will not assign overall school ratings for the 2015-16 school year.

Overall Level: Not Rated

Performance Indicator	Level	% of Points Earned Weight		Weighted Points
Academic Achievement (page 3)	Level 2	30.0%	NA	NA
Academic Growth (page 4)	Level 2	40.0%	NA	NA
Student Group Growth (page 5)	Level 2	43.3%	NA	NA
Consecutive Years with Missed Participation Targets*	0	NA		
* Schools do not receive points for particip However, a school's overall Level is lowered level for each consecutive year that it did reparticipation targets.	ed by one	Totals**	NA	NA
** Schools may not be eligible for all possible points Schools are not rated in categories where they do n meet minimum student count requirements.		Weigh Perce		NA

Level Assignment	Weighted Percent
Level 5	Not Applicable
Level 4	Not Applicable
Level 3	Not Applicable
Level 2	Not Applicable
Level 1	Not Applicable

Levels are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of the total points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are:

- 25 for Academic Achievement
- 50 for Academic Growth
- 25 for Student Group Growth The total score is matched to the scoring guide above to determine the school's rating.

Federal Reporting Designations		
Received Title I Funds in 2015-16 (Y/N)	Υ	
ESEA Designation (if any)	Tier 3	



2015-16 Report Card Rating Details Report

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

The school accountability system determines the overall school rating by using the percent of points a school earns for each of the indicators below. Subsequent pages display the data that support each indicator rating.

Academic Achievement (page 3)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
English Language Arts (All Students)	Level 1	1	5
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 2	2	5
Total	Level 2	3	10
Percent of Points Earned	= Total Point	s Earned / Total	Points Eligible

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible	30.0%

Academic Growth (page 4)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
English Language Arts (All Students)	Level 1	1	5
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 3	3	5
Total	Level 2	4	10
		<u> </u>	

Percent of Points Earn	ed = Total Points Earne	ed / Total Points Elig	gible ∣40.0%
------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------

Category Level Cutoffs				
Level	% of Points Earned			
Level 5	90.0%			
Level 4	70.0%			
Level 3	50.0%			
Level 2	30.0%			
Level 1	<30.0%			

Student Group Growth (page 5)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
English Language Arts			
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 1	1	5
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Level 1	1	5
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 2	2	5
Math			
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 3	3	5
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Level 3	3	5
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 3	3	5
Total	Level 2	13	30
Percent of Points Earned	= Total Point	s Earned / Total	Points Eligible

I. Includes American Indian/Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic students.



2015-16 Academic Achievement Details

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

The Academic Achievement indicator reflects the percent of all students that meet or exceed standards on the state English language arts and mathematics assessments at all tested grades in the school. Both English language arts and mathematics have an annual measurable target, and each student group will meet the target if the value for "% of students at Level 3 or Level 4" is greater than or equal to the target.

Achievement Level Cutoffs						
Level	English Language Arts	Math				
Level 5	74.6 & above	66.1 & above				
Level 4	54.5 to 74.5	40.0 to 66.0				
Level 3	37.1 to 54.4	27.4 to 39.9				
Level 2	28.2 to 37.0	20.0 to 27.3				
Level 1	Less than 28.2	Less than 20.0				

English Language Arts Target: 54.5%

				9 =9	.90 / 9	, .	
English Language Arts	Lovol	Level 2014-15		2015-16		Combined	
Eligiisii Laliguage Arts	Levei	Tests	% Level 3/4	Tests	15-16 % Level 3/4 22.9 22.9 <5 8.8 20.5 22.2 * * 21.9 * 24.6	% Met	
All Students	Level 1	351	23.1	341	22.9	23.0	
Economically Disadvantaged ¹	Level 1	272	21.3	341	22.9	22.2	
English Learners ¹	Not Rated	9	11.1	*	<5	5.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	Level 1	33	9.1	34	8.8	9.0	
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 1	78	20.5	78	20.5	20.5	
American Indian/Alaska Native ²	Not Rated	11	9.1	9	22.2	15.0	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
Black/African American ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	<5	
Hispanic/Latino ²	Level 1	61	24.6	64	21.9	23.2	
Asian ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
White ¹	Level 1	237	23.6	232	24.6	24.1	
Multi-Racial ¹	Level 1	35	25.7	29	17.2	21.9	

Mathematics Target: 40.0%

wathernatics 1a					
Lovol	201	2014-15		2015-16	
Levei	Tests	% Level 3/4	Tests	% Level 3/4	% Met
Level 2	351	22.5	338	25.4	23.9
Level 2	272	20.6	338	25.4	23.3
Not Rated	9	11.1	*	<5	5.9
Level 1	33	18.2	*	<5	10.4
Level 1	79	16.5	76	17.1	16.8
Not Rated	12	33.3	9	22.2	28.6
Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Not Rated	*	*	*	*	<5
Level 1	61	14.8	62	17.7	16.3
Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Level 3	236	27.1	232	29.3	28.2
Level 1	35	5.7	28	17.9	11.1
	Level 2 Not Rated Level 1 Level 1 Not Rated Not Rated Not Rated Level 1 Not Rated Level 3	Level Tests Level 2 351 Level 2 272 Not Rated 9 Level 1 33 Level 1 79 Not Rated 12 Not Rated * Level 1 61 Not Rated * Level 3 236	Level Tests % Level 3/4 Level 2 351 22.5 Level 2 272 20.6 Not Rated 9 11.1 Level 1 33 18.2 Level 1 79 16.5 Not Rated * * Not Rated * * Level 1 61 14.8 Not Rated * * Level 3 236 27.1	Level 2014-15 201 Level 2 351 22.5 338 Level 2 272 20.6 338 Not Rated 9 11.1 * Level 1 33 18.2 * Level 1 79 16.5 76 Not Rated 12 33.3 9 Not Rated * * * Not Rated * * * Level 1 61 14.8 62 Not Rated * * * Level 3 236 27.1 232	Level 2014-15 2015-16 Tests % Level 3/4 Tests % Level 3/4 Level 2 351 22.5 338 25.4 Level 2 272 20.6 338 25.4 Not Rated 9 11.1 * <5 Level 1 33 18.2 * <5 Level 1 79 16.5 76 17.1 Not Rated 12 33.3 9 22.2 Not Rated * * * * Not Rated * * * * Level 1 61 14.8 62 17.7 Not Rated * * * * Level 3 236 27.1 232 29.3

These data are not part of the Academic Achievement indicator but are included to provide additional information on student group performance.

Data notes:

* Fewer than 6 students tested.

>95.0 Greater than 95 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.

<5.0 Less than 5 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.</p>

^{2.} Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities student group.



2015-16 Academic Growth Details

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

The Academic Growth indicator uses the Colorado Growth Model to measure student growth in English language arts and mathematics as compared to academic peers (i.e., students throughout the state who have a similar English language arts or mathematics test score history).

Oregon adopted this growth model as part of the process of obtaining a waiver from some of the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). This growth model provides a more complete picture of student performance and will help provide a better evaluation of school effectiveness.

Growth Level Cutoffs						
Level Median Growth Percentile						
Level 5	65 & above					
Level 4	50 to 64.5					
Level 3	40 to 49.5					
Level 2	35 to 39.5					
Level 1	Less than 35					

The growth model examines a student's current performance as compared to that of his/her academic peers with a similar test score history, and expresses it as a percentile (i.e., a ranking from 1 to 99 where 99 is the highest). For example, a growth percentile of 50 in English language arts would indicate that a student had typical or average growth compared to all other students in the state with similar prior test scores. A growth percentile of 80 would indicate that a student's growth was as high or higher than 80 percent of his/her academic peers.

The school accountability system uses the median growth percentile for both English language arts and mathematics to represent the "typical" growth at the school. For example, a median growth percentile of 65 in mathematics would indicate that the typical student in this school exhibited growth in mathematics as high or higher than 65 percent of his/her academic peers. As shown below, this median growth percentile is the basis for the Academic Growth ratings for English language arts and mathematics.

Academic Growth		2	014-15	2	015-16	Combined Median	
	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	
English Language Arts (All Students)	Level 1	273	28.0	267	33.0	30.0	
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 3	273	57.0	264	41.0	48.0	

Data notes:

Not Rated Refers to a student group that did not meet minimum size requirements in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students with growth percentiles.

NA Is not applicable



2015-16 Student Group Growth Details

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

The Student Group Growth indicator measures the growth of historically underserved student groups. It disaggregates the Academic Growth indicator and reflects the growth for economically disadvantaged, English learners, students with disabilities, and historically underserved races/ethnicities. The school accountability system uses the median growth percentile for both English language arts and mathematics to represent the "typical" growth for each student group.

Growth Level Cutoffs						
Level Median Growth Percentile						
Level 5	65 & above					
Level 4 50 to 64.5						
Level 3	40 to 49.5					
Level 2	35 to 39.5					
Level 1	Less than 35					

To receive a Student Group Growth indicator rating, a student group must meet the minimum size requirement for the Academic Achievement indicator rating (i.e., 40 tests in the last two years combined) and at least 30 students with growth percentiles.

English Language Arts	Level	2	014-15	2	015-16		
		Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Combined Median Growth Percentile	
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 1	212	25.0	267	33.0	29.0	
English Learners	Not Rated	9	38.0	7	35.0	37.0	
Students with Disabilities	Level 1	23	29.0	25	27.0	27.0	
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Level 2	63	29.0	60	36.5	35.0	
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	9	29.0	7	41.0	37.0	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	22.0	
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Level 2	49	34.0	49	36.0	36.0	
Asian ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
White ²	Level 1	182	29.5	178	29.0	29.0	
Multi-Racial ²	Level 1	28	21.0	28	25.0	23.0	

Math		2	014-15	2	015-16		
	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile		Median Growth Percentile	Combined Median Growth Percentile	
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 3	212	57.5	264	41.0	47.5	
English Learners	Not Rated	9	29.0	6	29.0	29.0	
Students with Disabilities	Level 3	23	47.0	24	9.0	40.0	
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Level 3	63	59.0	59	36.0	43.5	
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	9	59.0	8	21.0	37.0	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	36.0	
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Level 3	49	57.0	47	43.0	48.0	
Asian ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	
White ²	Level 3	182	56.0	176	42.0	49.0	
Multi-Racial ²	Level 3	28	41.0	28	48.0	44.0	

^{1.} Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities student group.

Data notes:

Not Rated Refers to a student group that did not meet minimum size requirements in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students with growth percentiles.

NA Is not applicable

^{2.} These data are not part of the Student Group Growth indicator but are included to provide additional information on student group performance.



2015-16 Participation Details

Public Version - October 13, 2016

District: Sheridan SD 48J

School: Faulconer-Chapman School

All students in tested grades and enrolled on the first school day in May must take a statewide assessment. The tables below display the percentage of students who took a statewide assessment by school year, subject, and student group. The tables also indicate whether each student group met the federal participation rate target of 94.5%.

The Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Student Group Growth indicators depend upon student test scores. These indicators are valid only when schools uniformly test all students.

Note that non-participants include the following: students whose parents/guardians opted them out from taking the statewide English language arts or mathematics assessments, students who were absent for an extended period of time, students whose parents/guardians requested they not participate for religious or disability related reasons, or students who did not participate due to unknown circumstances.

Participation Target: 94.5%

English Language Arts	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
		2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	368	364	8	4	97.9	98.9	98.4	Current
Economically Disadvantaged	Met	281	364	5	4	98.3	98.9	98.6	Current
English Learners	Not Rated	11	10	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Met	35	39	2	2	94.6	95.1	94.9	Current
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Met	81	81	1	1	98.8	98.8	98.8	Current
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	11	10	1	0	91.7	100.0	95.5	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	5	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Met	64	66	0	1	100.0	98.5	99.2	Combined
Asian	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
White	Met	251	250	7	3	97.3	98.8	98.0	Current
Multi-Racial	Met	35	31	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current

Math	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
		2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	368	361	8	7	97.9	98.1	98.0	Current
Economically Disadvantaged	Met	281	361	5	7	98.3	98.1	98.2	Combined
English Learners	Not Rated	11	9	0	1	100.0	90.0	95.2	NA
Students with Disabilities	Met	35	39	2	2	94.6	95.1	94.9	Current
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Met	82	79	0	3	100.0	96.3	98.2	Combined
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	12	10	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	5	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Met	64	64	0	3	100.0	95.5	97.7	Combined
Asian	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
White	Met	250	250	8	3	96.9	98.8	97.8	Current
Multi-Racial	Met	35	30	0	1	100.0	96.8	98.5	Combined

^{1.} Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities student group.

Data notes:

Not Rated Refers to a student group that did not meet the miniumum size requirement in order to receive a rating (i.e., Met or Not Met).

^{2.} The Applied rate is the rate used to determine if the participation target is met. It is the higher of the combined rate and the most recent rate.