# Pana Sr High School (9 - 12) PANA CUSD 8



**Principal** 

Mr. Kevin McDonald kmcdonald@panaschools.com

**Address** 

P0 Box 377 Pana IL 62557 (217)562-6600

**District Superintendent** 

Mr. JasonJ Bauer

http://www.panaschools.com

### **District Provided Statement**

Not available.

# **About the Report Card**

# State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020–2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

# **School Snapshot**

**Graduation Rate:** 79.2%

**Postsecondary Enrollment:** 59.6%

**Chronic Absenteeism :** 34.5%

**Teacher Retention:** 91.5%

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

02 | How To Read The Data

03 | School Environment

07 | Students

24 | Accountability

26 | Teachers

**30 | Administrators** 

Date: 10/29/2110:08:52 -05:00

# **How To Read The Data**

### **Understanding COVID-19 Flags**

Description

A

Flag

Data unavailable due to COVID-19

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020–21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020–21 Report Card.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19


Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.



Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.



Due to both spring and fall assessment testing, the data for this metric will be delayed being reported in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.



Data for this metric are not available through the Report Card, however additional information can be found at  $\frac{\text{https://www.isbe.net/Pages/Report-Card-Metrics.aspx.}}{\text{Reasons these data are not available on the SY2020-21}} \\ \text{Illinois Report Card may include (a) substantial changes to how the metric is measured (i.e. methodology), (b)} \\ \text{substantial changes to what the metric measures (i.e. the construct), (c) circumstances that render the data set substantially incomplete, or (d) circumstances that cause the data and its use to fall below acceptable thresholds for reliability and validity.} \\$ 

### **School Level Finances**

### What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level Per Pupil Expenditures			District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures Total Pe			Total Per	tal Per Pupil Expenditures			Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
School	401	\$1,329	\$8,443	\$9,772	\$363	\$1,754	\$2,117	\$1,693	\$10,197	\$11,890	*	*
District	1,166	\$1,518	\$7,806	\$9,324	\$441	\$1,803	\$2,244	\$1,959	\$9,609	\$11,568	\$1,631,005	\$15,116,255

### **District Finances**

### What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

### **Revenue By Source**

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	<b>37.6%</b> \$6,076,024	<b>7.6%</b> \$1,221,631	<b>42.8%</b> \$6,905,948	<b>4.3%</b> \$694,922	<b>7.7%</b> \$1,249,447	\$16,147,972
State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*

### **Expenditure By Function**

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	48.5%	5.1%	25.9%	20.4%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

### **Expenditure By Fund**

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	<b>71.8</b> % \$10,945,555	<b>5.6%</b> \$855,639	<b>4.4</b> % \$663,858	<b>6.7%</b> \$1,019,416	<b>3.6</b> % \$552,315	<b>3.1%</b> \$473,392	<b>0.0%</b> \$3,226	<b>4.8</b> % \$726,332	\$15,239,733
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

### **District Finances (cont)**

Other Financial Indicators	1			
	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$98,306	5	\$6,373	\$9,922
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

## **Average Class Size**

### What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12	Grades 9-12	Overall
School	20	21	19	18	19	19
District	20	21	19	18	19	18
State	21	21	20	20	20	20

### **Total School Days**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
School	177
District	177
State	177

### **Health and Wellness**

🔥 Possible data impact due to COVID-19


### What is it?

This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE perweek
School	5
District	5
State	3

### **About the data**

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### **Student Enrollment**

### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

### By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	100.0% 394	51.0% 201	49.0% 193	94.2% 371	0.5%	1.8% 7	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	2.3%	15.5% 61
District	<b>100.0%</b> 1,172	<b>49.7%</b> 582	<b>50.3%</b> 590	<b>95.3</b> % 1,117	<b>0.5%</b> 6	<b>1.3%</b> 15	<b>0.3%</b> 3	0.0%	<b>0.5%</b> 6	<b>2.1%</b> 25	<b>16.7%</b> 196
State	<b>100.0%</b> 1,887,316	<b>51.3%</b> 969,086	<b>48.7</b> % 918,230	<b>46.7%</b> 880,891	<b>16.6%</b> 312,609	<b>27.0%</b> 510,387	<b>5.4%</b> 102,407	<b>0.1%</b> 1,942	<b>0.2%</b> 4,650	<b>3.9</b> % 74,430	<b>18.3</b> % 345,533

	with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
School	11.2% 44	0.3% 1	50.0% 197	1.3% 5	0.0%	1.5% 6	1.5% 6
District	<b>14.8%</b> 174	<b>0.3%</b> 3	<b>59.6%</b> 698	<b>1.0%</b> 12	0.0%	<b>2.8%</b> 33	<b>0.9%</b> 11
State	<b>14.9%</b> 281,323	<b>12.9%</b> 243,308	<b>48.1%</b> 908,417	<b>1.7%</b> 32,284	<b>0.0%</b> 326	<b>0.7%</b> 12,795	<b>0.7%</b> 12,743

### By Grades

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
School	97	111	105	81
District	97	111	105	81
State	153,493	152,533	148,759	149,423

### **Advanced Academic Programs**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

, caaciito i		Hoodiciate	a i laocilici								
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>8.0%</b> 156,197	<b>7.5%</b> 74,804	<b>8.6</b> % 81,393	<b>7.7</b> % 69,509	<b>5.4%</b> 17,793	<b>7.8</b> % 41,113	<b>20.3%</b> 21,376	<b>13.0%</b> 256	<b>7.7%</b> 385	<b>7.4%</b> 5,765	<b>4.3</b> % 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	*	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1.9</b> % 5,501	<b>2.3</b> % 5,720	<b>5.5</b> % 50,536	<b>2.2</b> % 512	<b>1.0</b> %						

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.5%</b> 9,062	<b>0.3%</b> 3,144	<b>0.6%</b> 5,918	<b>0.6%</b> 5,606	<b>0.2%</b> 634	<b>0.3</b> % 1,776	<b>0.6%</b> 627	<b>0.2%</b> 3	<b>0.5%</b> 26	<b>0.5%</b> 390	<b>0.2%</b> 842

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 247	<b>0.1%</b> 130	<b>0.2%</b> 2,196	<b>0.1%</b> 32	<b>0.0%</b> 7

### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.2%</b> 24,290	<b>1.4%</b> 14,444	<b>1.0%</b> 9,846	<b>1.5%</b> 13,688	<b>0.2%</b> 739	<b>0.8%</b> 4,135	<b>4.4</b> % 4,620	<b>1.2%</b> 23	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.3%</b> 1,006	<b>0.6%</b> 1,937

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.3%</b> 773	<b>0.3%</b> 846	<b>0.5%</b> 4,371	<b>0.2%</b> 57	<b>0.1%</b> 12

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.2%</b> 100,406	<b>4.6</b> % 46,284	<b>5.7%</b> 54,122	<b>4.6</b> % 41,443	<b>3.9%</b> 12,686	<b>5.3%</b> 27,815	<b>13.7%</b> 14,366	<b>10.5%</b> 207	<b>4.4%</b> 219	<b>4.7%</b> 3,670	<b>2.7%</b> 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	* *	**	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1.1%</b> 3,069	<b>1.0%</b> 2,626	<b>3.8%</b> 34,624	<b>1.1%</b> 250	<b>0.6%</b> 90						

### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.2%</b> 4,782	<b>0.2</b> % 2,132	<b>0.3%</b> 2,650	<b>0.1%</b> 929	<b>0.6%</b> 1,891	<b>0.2%</b> 1,279	<b>0.5%</b> 497	<b>0.4</b> %	<b>0.3</b> % 17	<b>0.2%</b> 161	<b>0.1%</b> 473

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 253	<b>0.3%</b> 810	<b>0.3</b> % 2,705	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 14

### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>23.6%</b> 145,267	<b>20.2%</b> 63,878	<b>27.2%</b> 81,389	<b>24.5%</b> 71,841	<b>13.0%</b> 12,944	<b>22.6%</b> 37,831	<b>53.1%</b> 17,209	<b>31.9%</b> 203	<b>19.9%</b> 291	<b>23.9%</b> 4,948	<b>8.8</b> % 10,243

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>2.7%</b> 2,349	<b>7.0%</b> 2,911	<b>16.0%</b> 42,897	*	*

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*
State	<b>1.0%</b> 6,121	<b>0.8%</b> 2,379	<b>1.3%</b> 3,742	<b>0.3%</b> 824	<b>1.9%</b> 1,842	<b>1.7%</b> 2,922	<b>1.2%</b> 396	<b>0.8%</b> 5	<b>1.6%</b> 23	<b>0.5%</b> 109	<b>0.5%</b> 543
	Students	English	Low	Hamalass	Youth In						

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.2%</b> 163	<b>0.5%</b> 195	<b>1.6%</b> 4,311	*	*

### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	54.3% 225	<b>52.4</b> % 111	56.4% 114	55.4% 216	*	*	*	*	*	*	22.9% 16
District	<b>18.6%</b> 225	<b>18.4</b> %	<b>18.8</b> % 114	<b>18.8%</b> 216	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>7.5</b> % 16
State	<b>20.1%</b> 390,785	<b>18.3%</b> 183,738	<b>21.9%</b> 207,047	<b>22.4%</b> 202,744	<b>13.3%</b> 43,538	<b>17.6%</b> 92,777	<b>34.4%</b> 36,095	<b>23.3%</b> 461	<b>16.4%</b> 815	<b>18.4%</b> 14,355	<b>10.7%</b> 37,437

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	* *	39.4% 85	*	*
District	*	*	11.7%	*	*
			85	*	T

### Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	11.1% 46	10.8% 23	11.4% 23	11.3% 44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>11.1%</b> 46	<b>10.8%</b> 23	<b>11.4%</b> 23	<b>11.3%</b> 44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>12.9%</b> 79,370	<b>12.2%</b> 38,630	<b>13.6</b> % 40,740	<b>15.5%</b> 45,566	<b>9.2%</b> 9,109	<b>10.2%</b> 17,161	<b>14.8</b> % 4,805	<b>12.6%</b> 80	<b>10.3%</b> 151	<b>12.1%</b> 2,498	<b>7.7%</b> 9,010

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	6.0% 13	*	*
District	*	*	<b>6.0%</b> 13	*	*
State	<b>5.8%</b> 5,133	<b>6.1%</b> 2,557	<b>9.7%</b> 25,969	*	*

### **Gifted Students**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

#### Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	**	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>9.7%</b> 188,673	<b>9.6%</b> 96,414	<b>9.8%</b> 92,259	<b>10.5%</b> 95,102	<b>6.1%</b> 19,993	<b>7.5</b> % 39,207	<b>23.1%</b> 24,303	<b>13.1%</b> 258	<b>10.7%</b> 533	<b>11.9%</b> 9,277	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>7.2</b> % 20,532	<b>7.0%</b> 17,656	<b>6.5%</b> 59,670	*	*

### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,668	<b>1.3%</b> 12,576	<b>1.3</b> % 12,092	<b>1.5%</b> 13,267	<b>0.5%</b> 1,720	<b>0.8%</b> 3,955	<b>4.2</b> % 4,367	<b>2.0%</b> 40	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.6%</b> 1,240	*

### **Gifted Students (cont)**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
2.0101	*	*	*	*	*

### Students Identified As Gifted

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>3.4%</b> 65,476	<b>3.4</b> % 33,875	<b>3.3%</b> 31,601	<b>3.4%</b> 30,346	<b>2.0</b> % 6,537	<b>2.2%</b> 11,680	<b>12.7%</b> 13,394	<b>6.7%</b> 133	<b>3.2%</b> 158	<b>4.1</b> % 3,228	*

	Students with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.7%</b> 2,067	<b>0.5%</b> 1,224	<b>1.8%</b> 16,100	*	*

# **Gifted Students (cont)**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

Students	dentined A	s onteu ia	iagiit by oil	tca Liladis	cu i cuonci	•					
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	*
State	<b>0.7%</b> 13,016	<b>0.7%</b> 6,723	<b>0.7%</b> 6,293	<b>0.7%</b> 6,289	<b>0.3%</b> 1,123	<b>0.3%</b> 1,672	<b>3.0%</b> 3,122	<b>1.3%</b> 25	<b>0.9</b> % 44	<b>1.0%</b> 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	*	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>0.1%</b> 383	<b>0.1%</b> 156	<b>0.2%</b> 2,156	* *	*						

### **English Learners**

### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

### **EL on ACCESS**

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
School	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*

### **Student Attendance**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	89.9%	89.8%	90.0%	90.4%	95.2%	88.0%	82.5%	*	73.7%	72.7%	88.9%
District	94.4%	94.2%	94.5%	94.6%	95.9%	92.4%	85.0%	*	82.1%	86.5%	93.3%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
School	89.7%	98.6%	85.9%								
District	93.8%	98.6%	93.0%								
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

### **Student Mobility Rate**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

### Student Mobility

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	11.5%	13.1%	9.8%	10.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	9.6%
District	9.4%	11.0%	7.8%	9.0%	*	15.4%	*	*	*	19.0%	10.7%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	13.2%	*	18.8%
District	11.8%	*	12.8%
State	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%

### **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

### **Chronic Absenteeism**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	34.5%	34.1%	35.0%	32.8%	*	*	*	*	*	*	40.6%
District	17.8%	18.2%	17.3%	17.0%	*	13.3%	*	*	*	38.1%	22.9%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	36.5%	*	48.6%
District	20.6%	*	22.8%
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%

### **Dropout Rate**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

### By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	6.7%	7.4%	5.9%	6.3%	*	*	*	*	*	*	4.2%
District	6.7%	7.4%	5.9%	6.3%	*	*	*	*	*	*	4.2%
State	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%	2.1%	4.0%	2.7%	0.8%	2.2%	4.5%	3.3%	2.6%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	5.6%	*	10.9%
District	5.6%	*	10.9%
State	2.9%	4.1%	3.9%

### **By Grades**

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
School	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*

### **Chronically Truant Students**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	41.6%	44.6%	38.5%	40.1%	*	*	*	*	*	*	50.7%
District	20.5%	21.6%	19.4%	19.8%	*	*	*	*	*	*	26.9%
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
School	50.0%	*	61.4%								
District	25.0%	*	27.3%								
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								

# **Accountability**

### **About the data**

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual\* summative designation.

\* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.

# Summative Designation Not Calculated

The requirement to issue a summative designation was waived for school year 2020-2021 so that data can be used to provide information to support reengagement and restoration.

No Data

School Improvement Funds

Eligible, but Not a Participant in Title One Program

Title | Status

### **Title I Status**

#### What is it?

Title I Status indicates if an entity receives funds from the Title I grant. If an entity receives Title I funds, their status can be "Title I Targeted" or "Title I Schoolwide." Title I Targeted assistance programs must focus services to identify students most at risk of failing through multiple objective criteria. Services, including supplies, must ONLY focus on those students identified. Title I Schoolwide programs may focus services to the entire school. Schoolwide Title I Status is defined as a school with 40 percent or greater of the students enrolled classified as low income. Schoolwide programs are not required to identify students at risk and thus may focus their funding and efforts on raising achievement of the whole school.

School	Eligible, but Not a Participant in Title One Program
	TitlelStatus

# **Accountability**

### **School Improvement Funds**

#### What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

### Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

School Year First Implication Indentified As Needing Fund Support Prev

Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds Received for Previous School Year


Level of Support


Reason for Receiving Title I School Improvement -1003(a) Funds


# **Teachers**

## **About the data**

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







### **Teacher Information**



### What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	15	70.2%	29.8%	71.4%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

### **Student-To-Teacher Ratios**

### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	18	18
State	17	18

# **Average Teacher Salary**

### What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$51,218
State	\$70,705

# **Teachers**

### **Retention Rate**

### What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	91.5% 65	92.9% 65	*	0.0%	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	94.6% 35	97.2% 35	*	0.0%	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	88.2% 30	88.2% 30	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>88.5</b> % 201	<b>88.9%</b> 201	*	<b>0.0%</b> 0	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	<b>92.3</b> % 60	<b>93.8%</b> 60	*	<b>0.0%</b> 0	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	<b>87.0</b> % 141	<b>87.0</b> % 141	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>87.1%</b> 308,369	<b>87.6</b> % 261,205	<b>80.6%</b> 15,160	<b>87.1</b> % 20,712	<b>86.9%</b> 4,631	<b>85.0</b> % 187	<b>83.9%</b> 664	<b>84.9</b> % 2,249	<b>80.2</b> % 3,561
	Male	<b>88.4</b> % 72,950	<b>89.2</b> % 62,631	<b>78.9%</b> 2,881	<b>86.4</b> % 4,747	<b>86.7</b> % 1,082	<b>84.3</b> % 59	<b>88.4</b> % 167	<b>86.4</b> % 579	<b>79.8%</b> 804
	Female	<b>86.7</b> % 235,419	<b>87.1</b> % 198,574	<b>81.0</b> % 12,279	<b>87.3</b> % 15,965	<b>87.0%</b> 3,549	<b>85.3%</b> 128	<b>82.6%</b> 497	<b>84.4</b> % 1,670	<b>80.3%</b> 2,757

### **Full-Time Equivalents**

### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	100.0% 27.1	100.0% 27.1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	47.9% 13	47.9% 13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	<b>52.1</b> % <b>14.1</b>	<b>52.1</b> % <b>14.1</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>100.0%</b> 87.3	<b>100.0%</b> 87.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	<b>26.3</b> % 23	<b>26.3</b> % 23	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	<b>73.7%</b> 64.3	<b>73.7%</b> 64.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 132354.5	<b>82.0</b> % 108491.7	<b>6.0%</b> 7993.1	<b>7.9%</b> 10482.3	<b>1.7</b> % 2309.5	<b>0.1%</b> 83.7	<b>0.2%</b> 240.2	<b>0.8%</b> 1059	<b>1.3%</b> 1695
	Male	<b>23.1%</b> 30617.9	<b>23.4%</b> 25426.5	<b>20.5%</b> 1641.5	<b>22.5%</b> 2355	<b>22.2%</b> 512.8	<b>29.8</b> % 24.9	<b>24.1%</b> 58	<b>24.4%</b> 258.6	<b>20.1%</b> 340.5
	Female	<b>76.9%</b> 101736.7	<b>76.6</b> % 83065.2	<b>79.5%</b> 6351.6	<b>77.5</b> % 8127.3	<b>77.8</b> % 1796.7	<b>70.2%</b> 58.8	<b>75.9%</b> 182.3	<b>75.6%</b> 800.4	<b>79.9%</b> 1354.5

# **Administrators**

### **About the data**

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.





**2** principal(s) over the past 6 years

**Principal Turnover** 

### Student-To-Staff Ratios

### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	9	155
State	10	157

# **Administrators**

# **Principal Turnover**

### What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
School	2
District	2
State	2

# **Average Administrator Salary**

### What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$87,767
State	\$114,208