# Brackett Independent School District 

## District Improvement Plan

2012-2013

## Mission Statement

The mission of BISD, in partnership with parents and community, is to enable students to be safe and obtain the knowledge, desire and integrity to pursue meaningful and productive lives.

## Vision

Stakeholders will ensure diverse experiences resulting in productive, successful citizens.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## School Culture and Climate

## School Culture and Climate Summary

Total GRADUATES 2011-2012: 32
DROPOUTS:

| Other | 13 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dropout Subtotal | 13 | 20.3 |
| Other Leavers: | 32 | 62.7 |
| Graduated From a Campus in this District | 11 | 21.6 |
| Home Schooling | 1 | 2.0 |
| Enroll in TX Private School | 6 | 11.8 |
| Enroll in School Outside of TX | 1 | 2.0 |
| Enroll in University High School Diploma Program | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 7}$ |
| Other Leavers Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

DROPOUT STUDENTS 2011-2012 by Gender, Ethnicity, Grade:

| Grade | Hispanic/Latino |  | White |  | Two or More Races |  | *Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Regular | \% |
| 09 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23.1 |
| 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23.1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15.4 |
| 12 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{5}$ | 38.5 |
| TOTALS | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 100.0 |
| PERCENT | 38.5 | 38.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 100.0 |  |

*American Indian/Alaska Native 0 Asian 0 Black/African American 0 Hawaiian.Other Pacific Islander 0

## Brackett High School 2012-2013 Discipline:

| ISS | 49 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Suspended | 11 |
| DAEP Placement | 12 |
| Expulsions | 2 |

## School Culture and Climate Strengths

- Focus is on student achievement in Academics and Athletics
- Team Work of staff
- A disciplined Environment


## School Culture and Climate Needs

- Reduce Off-Campus number of periods, unless gainfully employed
- Consider Closed Campus at lunch time for 9-10 grades
- Drug testing of all students in Extra-Curricular activities and those with parking passes
- Close monitoring of students who are At-Risk of dropping out of school


## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

STAFF INFORMATION 2011-2012

## TOTAL STAFF

Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Central Administration

Educational Aides:

Auxiliary Staff:

Total Minority Staff:

| District |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 107.2 | 100.0\% | 637,847.6 | 100.0\% |
| 65.0 | 60.6\% | 406,954.3 | 63.8\% |
| 54.9 | 51.2\% | 324,144.6 | 50.8\% |
| 5.0 | 4.7\% | 57,782.9 | 9.1\% |
| 3.1 | 2.9\% | 18,480.5 | 2.9\% |
| 2.0 | 1.9\% | 6,546.3 | 1.0\% |
| 14.0 | 13.1\% | 58,114.0 | 9.1\% |
| 28.2 | 26.3\% | 172,779.4 | 27.1\% |
| 45.7 | 42.6\% | 284,793.9 | 44.6\% |

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Stable District
- School Board and Administration want to recruit \& retain quality teachers
- Administrative Team - Unity, plans together, supports Excellence in every endeavor
- Departmental Structure gives teachers a voice in school operations


## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

- Pay needs to be increased for all employees (difficult when Legislature continues to cut funds)
- Staff Development - need to give teachers a voice in planning \& implementing staff development


## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

- District goals
- AEIS data - current
- AYP data
- PBMAS data
- Number of students assigned to special programs, including their academic achievement, race/ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Drop-out rates
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) results including TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- End-of-Course (EOC) Assessments results
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) test results
- SAT and/or ACT test results
- Special education population, including performance, discipline, attendance, and mobility
- Homeless population, including performance, discipline, attendance, and mobility
- Migrant population, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility
- At-Risk population, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility
- College Readiness Data
- Class size data
- NCLB Report Card data
- Completion Rates / Graduation Rates
- Gender data, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility
- Race/Ethnicity data, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility
- Students served by Section 504, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility
- Dyslexic population, including performance, discipline, attendance and mobility


## Goals

## Goal 1: Improve student achievement annually.

Performance Objective 1: Provide a comprehensive curriculum and instructional program with high standards (PK-12) which enables all students to improve achievement.

Summative Evaluation: Focus has been and continues to be on gearing up for the more rigorous STAAR and End of Course testing. Tutorials were implemented at the secondary schools.

| Strategy Description | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that Demonstrates Success | Formative Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Structured administrative meetings that focus on instruction, school policies and collaboration among campuses. | Alma Gutierrez, Elem. Principal / George Burks, JH Principal / Kevin Newsom, HS Principal |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2) Special Education review by ESC Region 20 to assess effectiveness of special education program, grades PK-12. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local Spec Ed |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Career and Technical Evaluation review by ESC Region 20 CTE personnel with a new focus on competencies. | Kevin Newsom, HS Principal |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4) Staff Development focused on a common vision for the school system, grades PK-12. | Alma Gutierrez / George Burks / Kevin Newsom / Taylor Stephenson |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, Comp Ed |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Annual Yearly Progress - Passing scores in all areas. | Taylor Stephenson / George Burks / Alma Gutierrez / Kevin Newsom | Test results from AYP |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Implement new, 2013 STAAR testing. Prepare students and staff for more rigorous tests. | Alma Gutierrez / George Burks / Kevin Newsom | Test results from STAAR |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State, Federal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: Employ a diverse and qualified teaching, administrative and support staff.

Performance Objective 1: The district will recruit, employ, and retain a quality teaching, administrative, and support staff to attain excellence in student performance.

Summative Evaluation: All staff are highly qualified.

| Strategy Description | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that Demonstrates Success | Formative Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Hire the most qualified regardless of level of experience. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | All staff is Highly Qualified! | $\square$ |  | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2) Staffing Study focusing on a comprehensive view of all staff working at BISD. Adjust Staff - review state standards/local needs and adjust through attrition. | Taylor Stephenson / Alma Gutierrez / George Burks / Kevin Newsom | Reduction of staff through attrition as recommended by Principals and Superintendent. | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ | $\triangle$ |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State, Federal |  |  |  |  |  |
| = Discontinue $=$ No Progress = Some Progress $=$ Considerable = Accomplished |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: Promote safe, healthy, and nurturing schools.

Performance Objective 1: The district will provide and maintain safe, healthy and nurturing environments conducive to learning, which will enable students to think critically and act responsibly.

Summative Evaluation: Focus has been on common district planning with law enforcement, campus administrators and border patrol.

| Strategy Description | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that Demonstrates Success | Formative Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Periodic meetings with law enforcement, campus administrators and border patrol. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | A written District plan developed and understood by all. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Develop a long range facilities plan. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | A written plan prepared with assistance from the Architect, Administrators, Board and Committee. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Review of all buildings and grounds for safety hazards. | Taylor Stephenson / Principals / Architect | Written building safety plan. Safety Committee meetings. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: Efficient use of resources by all district components (campuses, departments, and board).

Performance Objective 1: The district will align its resources with its mission and establish operational processes that systematically improve individual components, which in turn, improve the district.

## Summative Evaluation:

| Strategy Description | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that Demonstrates Success | Formative Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Special Education Study | ESC Region 20 | Written study adopted by School Board and implemented. |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local Spec Ed, State |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Staffing Study | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. / Principals | Written study. |  | $v$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | Funding Sources: Local, State |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Focus on budget control. Do we really need this? | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. / Marla Madrid, Business Manager | Weekly meetings with focus on budget controls. Written input from Principals. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Focus on reducing the budget deficit from $\$ 400,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ or less for the next fiscal year. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt./ <br> Marla Madrid, <br> Business Manager/ <br> Alma Gutierrez, Elem. <br> Principal / <br> George Burks, JH <br> Principal / <br> Kevin Newsom, HS <br> Principal | Adopt 2013-2014 Budget with reduced deficit. | - | $\square$ |  |  |
| 5) Reduce number of computer assisted instruction programs. | Taylor Stephenson / Principals | Cost analysis. <br> Focus on fewer, more effective programs. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: Improve communication between the district and all stakeholders.

Performance Objective 1: The district will build and maintain positive relationships with its stakeholders, to create common interest in support of the district's mission. Stakeholders include employees, students, parents, trustees, media, volunteers, business partners, senior citizens, taxpayers, and voters.

## Summative Evaluation:

| Strategy Description | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that Demonstrates Success | Formative Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Superintendent's report to the school board on weekly initiatives. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | Written reports. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Superintendent's column published in two newspapers circulate in Kinney County. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | Newspaper published. | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 3) Weekly Administrative Meetings with principals and superintendent. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | Minutes of meetings. | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4) High visibility of superintendent in classrooms, campuses, and in the community. | Taylor Stephenson, Supt. | Superintendent calendar / visibility. | , | - | $\triangle$ |  |
| $=$ Discontinue | = No Progress | $\text { Some Progress }=\text { Considerable }=\text { Accomplis }$ |  |  |  |  |

State Compensatory

## Budget for District Improvement Plan:

| Account Code | Account Title | Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6100 Payroll Costs |  |  |
| 199.11.6112.xx.001.324000 | 6112 Salaries or Wages for Substitute Teachers or Other Professionals | \$1,000.00 |
| 199.11.6112.xx.101.324000 | 6112 Salaries or Wages for Substitute Teachers or Other Professionals | \$7,000.00 |
| 199.11.6117.xx.041.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$16,240.00 |
| 199.11.6117.xx.101.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$262,351.00 |
| 199.31.6117.xx.001.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$29,097.00 |
| 199.31.6117.xx.041.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$26,202.00 |
| 199.31.6117.xx.101.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$26,202.00 |
| 199.11.6117.xx.001.324000 | 6117 Career Ladder - Locally Defined | \$16,240.00 |
| 199.11.6121.xx.001.324000 | 6121 Extra Duty Pay/Overtime - Support Personnel | \$3,000.00 |
| 199.11.6125.xx.001.324000 | 6125 Salary Support - Locally Defined | \$46,535.00 |
| 199.11.6125.xx.101.324000 | 6125 Salary Support - Locally Defined | \$43,790.00 |
| 199.12.6125.xx.001.324000 | 6125 Salary Support - Locally Defined | \$22,674.00 |
| 199.11.6126.xx.101.324000 | 6126 Part Time Support Personnel | \$1,500.00 |
| 199.31.6141.xx.041.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$365.00 |
| 199.31.6141.xx.101.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$365.00 |
| 199.11.6141.xx.001.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$868.00 |
| 199.11.6141.xx.041.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$236.00 |
| 199.11.6141.xx.101.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$3,488.00 |
| 199.12.6141.xx.001.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$288.00 |
| 199.31.6141.xx.001.324000 | 6141 Social Security/Medicare | \$404.00 |
| 199.11.6142.xx.001.324000 | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | \$4,205.00 |


| 199.11.6142.xx.041.324000 | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | 保 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $199.11 .6142 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | $\$ 5.00$ |
| $199.12 .6142 . x .001 .324000$ | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | $\$ 2,711.00$ |
| $199.31 .6142 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | $\$ 1,303.00$ |
| $199.31 .6142 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | $\$ 1,186.00$ |
| $199.31 .6142 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6142 Group Health and Life Insurance | $\$ 1,186.00$ |
| $199.11 .6143 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 211.00$ |
| $199.11 .6143 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 55.00$ |
| $199.11 .6143 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 1,028.00$ |
| $199.12 .6143 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 76.00$ |
| $199.31 .6143 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 98.00$ |
| $199.31 .6143 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 88.00$ |
| $199.31 .6143 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6143 Workers' Compensation | $\$ 88.00$ |
| $199.11 .6144 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 4,318.00$ |
| $199.11 .6144 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 1,107.00$ |
| $199.11 .6144 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 19,275.00$ |
| $199.12 .6144 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 1,565.00$ |
| $199.31 .6144 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 1,813.00$ |
| $199.31 .6144 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 1,465.00$ |
| $199.31 .6144 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment | $\$ 1,665.00$ |
| $199.11 .6146 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 359.00$ |
| $199.11 .6146 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 103.00$ |
| $199.11 .6146 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 3,533.00$ |
| $199.12 .6146 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 125.00$ |
| $199.31 .6146 . x x .001 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 623.00$ |
| $199.31 .6146 . x x .041 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 415.00$ |
| $199.31 .6146 . x x .101 .324000$ | 6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care | $\$ 549.00$ |
|  |  | $\$ 565,550.00$ |

District \#136-901

## Personnel for District Improvement Plan:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| All District Personnel | All Positions | All Programs | All |

## 2012-2013 District Advisory Committee

| Committee Role | Name | Position | Member |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business Representative | Darlene Shahan | Member |  |
| Business Representative | Sara Terrazas | Member |  |
| Classroom Teacher | Amanda Frerich | Member |  |
| Classroom Teacher | Marla Hibbitts | Chairperson |  |
| Classroom Teacher | Candy Hobbs | Member |  |
| Classroom Teacher | Cheryl Renfro | Member |  |
| Community Representative | Jean Hood | Member |  |
| Community Representative | Peggy Postell | Member |  |
| Counselor | Kimberly Ilse | Member |  |
| Counselor | Louisa Stone | Member |  |
| Parent | Stephanie Buitron | Member |  |
| Parent | Jamie Derr | Member |  |
| Parent | Yolanda Solis | Member |  |
| Student | Madison Koontz | Member |  |
| Student | Jonathan Quiroz | Member |  |
| Student | Marissa Schwandner | Member |  |
| Superintendent | Taylor Stephenson |  |  |

District Funding Summary


| 4 | 1 | 1 | To Be Determined |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | To Be Determined |  | \$0 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| Federal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Summary | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | To Be Determined |  | \$0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | To Be Determined |  | \$0 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | \$0 |

## TEA AEIS 2011-2012 District Performance

## Texas Education Agency | Performance Reporting

District Name: BRACKETT ISD
District \#: 136901
TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
Section I
2011-12 District Performance

|  |  | Region |  | African |  |  | American |  | Pacific | Two or | Special | Econ |  | At |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State |  | District |  | Hispanic | White | Indian | Asian | Islander | More Races | Ed | Disad | LEP | Risk |

## TAKS Met 2012 Standard

Eng Lang Arts 2012
Mathematics $2012 \quad 75 \%$ Science $2012 \quad 75 \%$ Soc Studies 2012

## TAKS Met 2012 Standard

| TAKS Met 2012 <br> A Grade 11 | Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eng Lang Arts | 2012 | $93 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 2012 | $91 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Science | 2012 | $93 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Soc Studies | 2012 | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| All Tests | 2012 | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |



| TAKS Commended | Perfo | ance | (Sum of | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eng Lang Arts | 2012 | 23\% | 23\% | 21\% | * | 18\% | 32\% | * | * | * | * | 29\% | 18\% | * | 12\% |
| Mathematics | 2012 | 25\% | 22\% | 27\% | * | 23\% | 36\% | * | * | * | * | 17\% | 23\% | * | 12\% |
| Science | 2012 | 22\% | 21\% | 16\% | * | 11\% | 27\% | * | $\star$ | * | * | 29\% | 9\% | * | 4\% |
| Soc Studies | 2012 | 51\% | 52\% | 34\% | * | 24\% | 64\% | * | * | * | * | < $1 \%$ | 22\% | * | 15\% |
| All Tests | 2012 | 10\% | 9\% | 7\% | * | 6\% | 9\% | * | * | * | * | $<1 \%$ | 4\% | * | < 1\% |



Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers
Percent of Failers Passing TAKS (Sum of Grades 10 and 11)
Eng Lang Arts 2012 57\% 58\% > 99\% $>99 \%$
Mathematics 2012 50\% 49\% 86\% * 85\%

## Link to: Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers, by Grade Level

| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 95.2\% | 95.5\% | * | 95.2\% | 96.2\% | - | * | - | 94.1\% | 94.3\% | 95.4\% | 95.8\% | 94.6\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 94.9\% | 96.1\% | * | 95.9\% | 96.9\% | - | * | - | 95.7\% | 95.1\% | 96.1\% | 95.6\% | 95.7\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate ( $\mathrm{Gr} 7-8$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | * | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 2.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | * | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% |
| 4-Year Completion Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 93.4\% | - | 89.2\% | 100.0\% | - | - | - | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | * | 90.0\% |
| Received GED | n/a | n/a | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Continued HS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4.9\% | - | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | * | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | * | 6.7\% |
| Dropped Out | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1.6\% | - | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 3.3\% |
| 4-Year Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 85.9\% | 83.2\% | 93.4\% | - | 89.2\% | 100.0\% | - | - | - | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | * | 90.0\% |
| Class of 2010 @ | 84.3\% | 80.0\% | 90.0\% | - | 88.6\% | 93.3\% | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | * | 83.3\% | * | 89.5\% |
| 5-Year Extended Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2010 @ | 88.0\% | 84.0\% | 94.0\% | S | 94.3\% | 93.3\% | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | * | 91.7\% | * | 94.7\% |
| Class of 2009 @ | 85.1\% | 80.0\% | 96.1\% | * | 96.6\% | 95.0\% | * | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 100.0\% | 96.3\% | * | 92.9\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 29.5\% | 22.6\% | * | 15.3\% | 38.2\% | - | - | - | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 26.8\% | 24.1\% | - | 15.6\% | 49.0\% | - | - | - | 20.0\% | 8.3\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 80.1\% | 81.7\% | 72.4\% | - | 64.7\% | 80.0\% | - | - | - | * | 14.3\% | 70.6\% | * | 65.4\% |
| Class of 2010 | 82.7\% | 84.1\% | 78.7\% | - | 75.0\% | 86.7\% | - | - | - | - | 1 t | 68.4\% | * | 55.6\% |
| AP/IB Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 24.0\% | 27.6\% | 14.5\% | ? | 5.1\% | 26.9\% | - | - | - | * |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 22.7\% | 25.5\% | 15.9\% | - | 9.4\% | 29.0\% | - | - | - | * | n/a | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |
| Examinees >= Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 49.3\% | 40.3\% | 60.0\% | * | * | 71.4\% | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2010 | 50.8\% | 42.3\% | 57.1\% | - | 40.0\% | 66.7\% | - | - | - | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Scores >= Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 45.2\% | 35.8\% | 71.4\% | * | * | 75.0\% | - | - | - | - | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |
| 2010 | 46.7\% | 38.5\% | 56.3\% | - | 40.0\% | 63.6\% | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 61\% | 63\% | 33\% | * | 30\% | 40\% | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% | * | 17\% |
|  | 66\% | 68\% | 69\% | * | 53\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 38\% |
| Mathematics | 73\% | 72\% | 82\% | * | 82\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | 81\% | * | 77\% |
|  | 69\% | 66\% | 71\% | * | 53\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | 64\% | * | 55\% |
| http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/cgi/sas/broker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3/6/2013 |  |


| SAT/ACT Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 68.9\% | 63.6\% | 66.7\% | - | 70.4\% | 60.0\% | - | - | - | * | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Class of 2010 | 62.6\% | 62.7\% | 75.6\% | - | 73.3\% | 80.0\% | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 25.7\% | 22.5\% | 23.5\% | - | 5.3\% | 58.3\% | - | - | - | * | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Class of 2010 | 26.9\% | 23.4\% | 20.6\% | - | 9.1\% | 41.7\% | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average SAT Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 976 | 951 | 959 | - | 878 | 1099 | - | - | - | * | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Class of 2010 | 985 | 958 | 997 | - | 932 | 1081 | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average ACT Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 22.5 | - | 19.3 | 26.3 | - | - | - | - | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Class of 2010 | 20.5 | 20.1 | 20.2 | - | 19.4 | 22.6 | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| College-Ready Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 64\% | 65\% | 52\% | * | 44\% | 71\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 33\% |
| Class of 2010 | 66\% | 67\% | 67\% | * | 59\% | 86\% | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 31\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 67\% | 64\% | 78\% | * | 71\% | 88\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 59\% |
| Class of 2010 | 64\% | 60\% | 67\% | * | 62\% | 79\% | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 31\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 52\% | 51\% | 44\% | * | 30\% | 71\% | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% | * | 19\% |
| Class of 2010 | 52\% | 50\% | 53\% | * | 41\% | 79\% | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% | * | 13\% |

12/17/12
County Name: KINNEY
District \#: 136901
STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education
Pre-Kindergarten
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
Grade 9
Grade 10

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Section II
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2011-12 District Profile

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent |  |  |
| 598 | $100.0 \%$ | Count | Percent |
|  |  | $4,978,120$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |
| 31 | $5.2 \%$ | 224,231 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 31 | $5.2 \%$ | 379,093 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 49 | $8.2 \%$ | 392,017 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 41 | $6.9 \%$ | 383,181 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 44 | $7.4 \%$ | 379,079 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 37 | $6.2 \%$ | 375,473 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 35 | $5.9 \%$ | 377,502 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 43 | $7.2 \%$ | 372,602 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 52 | $8.7 \%$ | 365,903 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 48 | $8.0 \%$ | 360,027 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 56 | $9.4 \%$ | 393,268 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 49 | $8.2 \%$ | 346,573 | $7.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $7.0 \%$ |



Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

| Elementary: | Kindergarten | 10.3 | 19.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 1 | 16.3 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 2 | 13.3 | 19.3 |
|  | Grade 3 | 13.9 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 4 | 12.3 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 5 | 11.6 | 21.8 |
|  | Grade 6 | 10.3 | 21.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | 23.2 |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts | 7.5 | 17.3 |
|  | Foreign Languages | 6.8 | 19.0 |
|  | Mathematics | 6.8 | 17.8 |
|  | Science | 10.2 | 19.0 |
|  | Social Studies | 7.5 | 19.5 |

|--------District--------|
Count Percent

| 107.2 | $100.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 65.0 | $60.6 \%$ |
| 54.9 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 5.0 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 3.1 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 14.0 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 28.2 | $26.3 \%$ |
| 45.7 | $42.6 \%$ |


| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9.0 | $16.4 \%$ |
| 45.9 | $83.6 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 11.9 | $21.7 \%$ |
| 43.0 | $78.3 \%$ |


| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 47.0 | $85.6 \%$ |
| 7.9 | $14.4 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{rr}1.0 & 1.8 \% \\ 13.0 & 23.7 \%\end{array}$
|-------------State------------

| Count | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| $637,847.6$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $406,954.3$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| $324,144.6$ | $50.8 \%$ |
| $57,782.9$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| $18,480.5$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $6,546.3$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $58,114.0$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| $172,779.4$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| $284,793.9$ | $44.6 \%$ |


| $29,897.0$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $79,115.8$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| $205,476.3$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| $1,310.3$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $4,281.5$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| 255.6 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $3,808.1$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $75,126.8$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| $249,017.7$ | $76.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $2,533.2$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $245,911.1$ | $75.9 \%$ |
| $73,951.5$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| $1,748.8$ | $0.5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $14,993.4$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| $92,933.8$ | $28.7 \%$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6-10 Years Experience } \\
11-20 \text { Years Experience } \\
\text { Over } 20 \text { Years Experience } \\
\text { Number of Students per Teacher: } \\
\text { STAFF INFORMATION (Continued) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

| 10.0 | $18.2 \%$ | $72,188.9$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.0 | $27.3 \%$ | $86,121.9$ | $26.6 \%$ |
| 15.9 | $29.0 \%$ | $57,906.6$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 10.9 | n/a | 15.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.4 yrs. |  |  |
|  | 8.6 yrs. |  | 11.6 yrs. |
|  |  | 8.1 yrs. |  |

Beginning Teachers
$1-5$ Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
$11-20$ Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
rage Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Central Administration

Turnover Rate for Teachers:
Instructional Staff Percent:
STAFF EXCLUSIONS:
Shared Services Arrangement Staff:
Professional Staff
Educational Aides
Auxiliary Staff
Contracted Instructional Staff:
TAX INFORMATION (CALENDAR YEAR 2011)

Adopted Tax Rate

> Maintenance and Operations
> Interest and Sinking Fund \#
> Total Rate (sum of above)

Standardized Local Tax Base (comptroller valuation)

| Value (after exemptions) | \$172,040,885 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$1,690,489,426,471 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value Per Pupil @@ | \$287,694 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$350,982 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Value by Category

| Business | \$45,982,194 | 23.0\% | \$639,734,150,838 | 33.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | \$71,333,003 | 35.7\% | \$1,038,335,809,563 | 54.3\% |
| Land | \$82,722,245 | 41.4\% | \$119,810,477,646 | 6.3\% |
| Oil and Gas | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$106,030,002,840 | 5.5\% |
| Other | \$15,150 | 0.0\% | \$9,779, 930,582 | 0.5\% |
| INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |
| e (End of Year 2010-11 audited) | \$4,656,377 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$8,258,499,819 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total Budgeted Expenditures (2011-12) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 76.2\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 21.8\% |

## FUND BALANCE INFORMATION

Fund Balance (End of Year 2010-11 audited)
Percent of Total Budgeted Expenditures (2011-12)
n/a
n/
$76.2 \%$
n/a


Total Revenues
Local Tax
Other Local \& Intermediate
State +
Federal

Equity Transfers
(excluded from revenues)

## ACTUAL EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11)

By Object:
Total Expenditures
Payroll Costs
Other Operating Costs
Debt Service
Capital Outlay
ACTUAL EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (continued)

By Function (Objects 6100-6400 only):
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction (11,95)

Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$
Instructional Leadership (21)
School Leadership (23)
Support Services-Student $(31,32,33)$
Student Transportation (34)
Food Services (35)
Cocurricular Activities (36)
Central Administration ( 41,92 **)
Plant Maintenance and Operations (51)
Security and Monitoring Services (52)
Data Processing Services (53)

| $\$ 6,506,671$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 10,649$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,728,390$ | $26.6 \%$ | $\$ 2,829$ |
| $\$ 60,935$ | $0.9 \%$ | $\$ 100$ |
| $\$ 4,717,346$ | $72.5 \%$ | $\$ 7,721$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ |

$\$ 7,462,531$
$\$ 1,728,390$
$\$ 132,330$
$\$ 4,796,912$
$\$ 804,899$

| $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 12,214$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $23.2 \%$ | $\$ 2,829$ |
| $1.8 \%$ | $\$ 217$ |
| $64.3 \%$ | $\$ 7,851$ |
| $10.8 \%$ | $\$ 1,317$ |


| $\$ 50,770,708,285$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 10,339$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 20,648,735,864$ | $40.7 \%$ | $\$ 4,205$ |
| $\$ 2,031,853,322$ | $4.0 \%$ | $\$ 414$ |
| $\$ 22,049,377,762$ | $43.4 \%$ | $\$ 4,490$ |
| $\$ 6,040,741,337$ | $11.9 \%$ | $\$ 1,230$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,034,195,645$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 211$ |

\$0 $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
/a
\$0
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$

| \$5,951,931 | 100.0\% | \$9,741 | \$6,894,672 | 100.0\% | \$11,284 | \$54,733,921,383 | 100.0\% | \$11,146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$4,260,122 | $71.6 \%$ | \$6,972 | \$4,839,528 | 70.2\% | \$7,921 | \$34,311, 016,757 | 62.7\% | \$6,987 |
| \$1,353,670 | 22.7\% | \$2,215 | \$1,717,005 | 24.9\% | \$2,810 | \$9,060,357,014 | $16.6 \%$ | \$1,845 |
| \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$5,344,363,919 | 9.8\% | \$1,088 |
| \$338,139 | 5.7\% | \$553 | \$338,139 | 4.9\% | \$553 | \$6,018,183,693 | 11.0\% | \$1,226 |
| General Fund | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | All <br> Funds | Percent | Per Student |


| $\$ 5,613,792$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 9,188$ | $\$ 6,556,533$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 10,731$ | $\$ 42,804,942,407$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 8,717$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 3,073,969$ | $54.8 \%$ | $\$ 5,031$ | $\$ 3,634,429$ | $55.4 \%$ | $\$ 5,948$ | $\$ 24,850,834,243$ | $58.1 \%$ | $\$ 5,061$ |
| $\$ 164,093$ | $2.9 \%$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 164,093$ | $2.5 \%$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 1,487,980,138$ | $3.5 \%$ | $\$ 303$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,721$ | $0.1 \%$ | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 633,188,477$ | $1.5 \%$ | $\$ 129$ |
| $\$ 300,394$ | $5.4 \%$ | $\$ 492$ | $\$ 309,394$ | $4.7 \%$ | $\$ 506$ | $\$ 2,416,161,985$ | $5.6 \%$ | $\$ 492$ |
| $\$ 129,461$ | $2.3 \%$ | $\$ 212$ | $\$ 129,461$ | $2.0 \%$ | $\$ 212$ | $\$ 2,050,747,085$ | $4.8 \%$ | $\$ 418$ |
| $\$ 190,995$ | $3.4 \%$ | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 190,995$ | $2.9 \%$ | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 1,203,209,454$ | $2.8 \%$ | $\$ 245$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 328,450$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\$ 538$ | $\$ 2,299,738,035$ | $5.4 \%$ | $\$ 468$ |
| $\$ 321,301$ | $5.7 \%$ | $\$ 526$ | $\$ 321,301$ | $4.9 \%$ | $\$ 526$ | $\$ 1,156,668,526$ | $2.7 \%$ | $\$ 236$ |
| $\$ 395,025$ | $7.0 \%$ | $\$ 647$ | $\$ 396,025$ | $6.0 \%$ | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 1,336,156,478$ | $3.1 \%$ | $\$ 272$ |
| $\$ 836,597$ | $14.9 \%$ | $\$ 1,369$ | $\$ 874,707$ | $13.3 \%$ | $\$ 1,432$ | $\$ 4,423,322,992$ | $10.3 \%$ | $\$ 901$ |
| $\$ 18,754$ | $0.3 \%$ | $\$ 31$ | $\$ 18,754$ | $0.3 \%$ | $\$ 31$ | $\$ 330,934,637$ | $0.8 \%$ | $\$ 67$ |
| $\$ 183,203$ | $3.3 \%$ | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 183,203$ | $2.8 \%$ | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 616,000,357$ | $1.4 \%$ | $\$ 125$ |


| Community Services (61) | \$0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$0 | \$0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$0 | \$209,373,294 | n/a | \$43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity Transfers (excluded from expenditures) | \$0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$0 | \$0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | \$0 | \$1,034,195,645 | n/a | \$211 |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11, 12, 13, 31) |  | 59.3\% |  |  |  |  |  | 64.8\% |  |

## ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11)

By Program:

| Total Operating Expenditures | \$4,032,816 | 100.0\% | \$6,600 | \$4,551,107 | 100.0\% | \$7,449 | \$32,209,900,270 | 100.0\% | \$6,559 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$52,684 | 1.3\% | \$86 | \$52,684 | 1.2\% | \$86 | \$1,150,211,353 | 3.6\% | \$234 |
| Career \& Technical Education (22) | \$196,125 | 4.9\% | \$321 | \$196,125 | 4.3\% | \$321 | \$1,080,000,452 | 3.4\% | \$220 |
| Accelerated Education (24,30) | \$480,646 | 11.9\% | \$787 | \$832,175 | 18.3\% | \$1,362 | \$4,124, 466,726 | 12.8\% | \$840 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$39,185 | 1.0\% | \$64 | \$39,185 | 0.9\% | \$64 | \$406,931,100 | 1. $3 \%$ | \$83 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$2,508,604 | 62.2\% | \$4,106 | \$2,578,704 | 56.7\% | \$4,220 | \$18,850,503,238 | 58.5\% | \$3,839 |
| Special Education (23) | \$431, 673 | 10.7\% | \$707 | \$528,335 | 11.6\% | \$865 | \$5,123,262,630 | 15.9\% | \$1,043 |
| Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$263,689 | 6.5\% | \$432 | \$263,689 | 5.8\% | \$432 | \$796,806,151 | 2. $5 \%$ | \$162 |
| High School Allotment (31) | \$60,210 | 1.5\% | \$99 | \$60,210 | 1.3\% | \$99 | \$323,890,152 | 1.0\% | \$66 |
| Other $(26,28,29)$ | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$353,828,468 | 1.1\% | \$72 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|--------District-------| |------------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Student Enrollment by Program:


| 32 | $5.4 \%$ | 809,074 | $16.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 184 | $30.8 \%$ | $1,072,030$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| 57 | $9.5 \%$ | 381,706 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 63 | $10.5 \%$ | 430,350 | $8.6 \%$ |

Teachers by Program (population served):
Bilingual/ESL Education
Career \& Technical Education
Compensatory Education
Gifted \& Talented Education
Regular Education
Special Education
Other

Count

Bilingual/ESL Education
Career \& Technical Educatio
Compensatory Education
Regular Education
$1.9 \%$
$4.3 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$87.5 \%$
$6.3 \%$
$0.0 \%$

| $17,231.0$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $13,232.7$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $9,285.6$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $6,191.5$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| $238,754.3$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| $28,977.2$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| $10,472.3$ | $3.2 \%$ |

For Current Year LEP Students

|  |  |  |  |  | BE-Trans | BE-Trans |  |  |  |  |  | LEP | LEP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Region |  | BE | Early | Late | BE-Dual | BE-Dual | ESL | ESL | ESL | No | with | Total |
| Indicator: | State | 20 | District | Total | Exit | Exit | Two-Way | One-Way | Total | Content | Pull-out | Services | Services | LEP |



Progress of Prior Year taks Failers
Percent of Failers Passing TAKS (Sum of Grades 10 and 11)
Eng Lang Arts 2012 57\% 58\% $>99 \%$
Mathematics 2012 50\% 49\% 86\%
'@' Asian, Pacific Islander and Two or More Races are not available for indicators that use the former race/ethnicity definitions. See the Glossary for more details.
'^' Primary Spring Administration, plus October first-time 11 th grade testers who pass all 4 tests in October.
?, Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'\#' The $\$ 0.176$ includes 213 districts with an Interest and Sinking (I \& S) tax rate of $\$ 0.000$. Among districts with $I \& S$ tax rates, the state average is $\$ 0.222$.
'@@' Not used for School Funding calculations.
'**' Function 81, for charter schools only, is included in the Central Administration Total Operating Expenditure.
'+' For this district, state revenue includes
$\$ 202,100$ from the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund, distributed under the Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.


## Link to 2011 AEIS Report

