

Board Action Required  $\square$ 

# DATE – District Awards for Teacher Excellence (Cycle 2) Budget Change due to change in grant award

The Texas Education Agency has revised the grant amount awarded for the D.A.T.E. Cycle 2. Because eligible districts opted to not participate, the grant award amount has been **increased to by about 26.5%** from \$474,779 **to \$601,703**.

Due to the increase in funds, the committee recommends the following program changes:

- Expand eligibility to include 3<sup>rd</sup> grade teachers (including special education teacher who serve students who take eligible assessments).
- Part 1 Teacher Award: Increase the maximum award to \$1900 in order to meet the required 60%
- Part 2 provide 2 additional half-days per content team for data analysis and planning
- Part 2 provide funds for additional in-depth mathematics professional development for the 15 campus content specialists

See attached for details.

#### **Required Action:**

Approve D.A.T.E. Program and Budget Revision

D.A.T.E. Grant Revised Budget (April 20, 2010)			Gr 3	37	
Part I - awards (\$1900)	\$380,000.00	63.2%	Gr 4	40	
Part II - 6100	\$130,400.00		Gr 5	35	
Part II - 6200	\$77,000.00		Sp 3-5	18	
Part II - 6300	\$4,800.00		ESL 3-5	6	
Part II - 6400	\$9,503.00		Gr 6-8	50	includes SpEd & ESL
Part II - Total	\$221,703.00	36.8%	Gr 9	14	includes SpEd & ESL
Total Grant	\$601,703.00		Total	200	

	DATE Part II Funds:					RATE	qty	\$ 221,703	balance
11.6112	substitutes for academic Math Campus content specialists 2 days - follow-up coaching training 2 days (FALL) classroom push-in 1 day for collaboration team	9	3	2	\$	80	5	\$ 5,600	\$ 216,103
11.6112	Substitutes for teams of teachers to review data and plan with contents specialists and consultant (1 half-day per nine-weeks = 2)	136	50	14	\$	80	2	\$ 32,000	\$ 184,103
11.6118	Math Campus content specialists - stipend (including 5 PD sessions outside contract days)	9	3	2	\$	3,800		\$ 53,200	\$ 130,903
11.614	Employee Benefits				\$	198	200	\$ 39,600	\$ 91,303
13.6291	Contract Consultant with PEARSON for Coaching and Modeling (push-in no sub required)				\$	3,500	12	\$ 42,000	\$ 49,303
13.6291	Contract Consultant - Dave Graham (Investigations and CMP) SPRING push in to classrooms (4, 5, 6, 7, 8) - 10 days Investigations Summer Flow-up (June 2010) - 2 days				\$	3,500	10	\$ 35,000	\$ 14,303
31.6339	Performance Series for HS Algebra I students			400	\$	12		\$ 4,800	\$ 9,503
13.6411	additional content specific professional Development for Content Specialists							\$ 8,000	\$ 1,503
13.6499	Miscellaneous supplies							\$ 1,503	\$-

## TAK Data (2008-2009) used to set DATE Grant Baselines

Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target	Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target	Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target
Grade	M	et	Co	om	Grade	М	et	Co	m	Grade	Μ	let	Co	m
3	97	100	69	76	4	96	100	66	73	5	94	100	66	73
AA	84	100	46	69	AA	80	100	37	56	AA	75	90	35	53
His	93	100	49	74	His	94	100	51	61	His	90	100	49	74
White	98	100	71	85	White	97	100	66	79	White	97	100	68	82
EcDis	87	100	62	74	EcDis	86	100	37	56	EcDis	80	96	46	69
LEP	88	100	47	71	LEP	86	100	38	57	LEP	76	91	30	45
SpEd	92	100	56	67	SpEd	89	100	47	71	SpEd	86	100	41	62

Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target	Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target	Campus	District	DATE Target	District	DATE Target
Grade	M	et	Co	m	Grade	Μ	let	Со	m	Grade	М	et	Со	m
6	95	100	69	76	7	96	100	58	64	8	96	100	63	69
AA	83	100	33	50	AA	78	94	17	26	AA	84	100	35	53
His	93	100	47	71	His	92	100	42	63	His	87	100	47	71
White	96	100	71	85	White	97	100	60	72	White	98	100	64	77
EcDis	86	100	41	62	EcDis	85	100	32	48	EcDis	84	100	35	53
LEP	81	100	38	57	LEP	88	100	28	42	LEP	64	96	29	44
SpEd	80	100	34	51	SpEd	78	94	24	36	SpEd	76	91	20	30

	Award = S	Part 1 - 63%	Part 2 - 37%					
	HS content team	MS content team	Elem grade level team	TOTAL	maximum award	professional development		
					\$1,900/teacher			
Math (grade 3 - alg1; including SpEd & ESL)	14	50	136	200	\$380,000	\$221,703		
	Grant awards are NET amounts							

Numbers of teachers are estimates based on current projections

#### Professional Development Expectations will include, but are not limited to the following:

- Participate in "Data Coaching" workshops and round table discussion with instructional specialists and content area director
- Weekly reflect on student data as part of PLC and grade level discussions as a tool for planning instruction and assessment
- Set individual academic performance goals with each students
- Regularly communicate with students and parents regarding student progress toward individually set academic goals

## Targets for Individual Student Performance – using Performance Series (<mark>\$1000</mark> award based on grade level team reaching targets – each campus stands alone):

Grade 3	scale score at or above 2500 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Grade 4	scale score at or above 2500 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Grade 5	scale score at or above 2600 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Grade 6	scale score at or above 2700 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Grade 7	scale score at or above 2800 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Grade 8	scale score at or above 2900 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing
Alg 1 (HS)	scale score at or above 2900 on Spring testing	OR	achieve 50% growth from Fall to Spring testing (for students testing in the "At Risk" range in the Fall testing

### Targets for District by Grade Level on TAKS (\$900 award determined by <u>combined</u> district grade level reaching targets):

- Increase commended in each population by at least 50% for those demographics with commended rates less than 50%.
- Increase commended in each population by at least 20% for those demographics with commended rates greater than 50%.
- Increase commended for each grade level by 10%
- Increase met standard in by at least 10% for those demographics with met standard less than 80%