Board Members' Questions and Staff Responses for 4-22-21 Boardbook materials

Report about Joint Powers Agreement

Question	Response
1. Is this a new JPA for One91 to join, or if it is being	1. The recommendation is to join the West Metro
amended	Fiber JPA which would be new for us. Currently
2. How long has this JPA been in existence?	we are receiving telecommunications aid through Sourcewell. After July 1, Sourcewell is
2 Section II Covernance	discontinuing their ISP internet services so we
2. Section II Governance:	will be switching to a new ISP provider that
- Section II, Item 9: Who is the fiscal agent?	does not qualify for telecommunications aid
	reimbursement. In order to be eligible for the aid in 2021-2022, we need to have a new JPA
3. Would there be a time in which an emergency situation	in place before $7/1/21$.
could impact the work of this group? There is no language	Start date was June 2017. This is a new
covering board meetings and actions in case of emergency.	agreement to us. Others in the group started
	the JPA after leaving TIES.2. Hopkins Public Schools. This group does not
4. Section III, 4: In the best interests of all involved, I would	expect to have any shared assets. Rather it is a
like to suggest that the JPA clearly defines the minimum	means to accomplish the required
time frame in which agenda and meeting materials are	telecommunications aid process.3. There are regular board meetings. There is no
available for board review. "Loose" language opens the	emergency language but the board would
door to unnecessary confusion and lack of transparency.	meet virtually as needed.
Consistency in the posting of an agenda ensures consistent	4. Board meeting minutes for the JPA are taken and are on file. Unfortunately, the language of
access and transparency to said agenda and to proposed	the JPA has been established by the existing
	group. We do not have the ability to modify
action items. We don't want our representative surprised	the language individually.
by the agenda or by changes to it.	 Unknown. The purpose of the JPA is to establish a Telcom
	cluster for the purposes of establishing pricing
5. Section III: " Robert's Rules"	for fiber and internet. No district is
 Which version of Robert's Rules? This is typically clearly 	contractually obligated to use this pricing and or vendors. Although purchasing is an option
defined, as there are many different versions.	for us through the JPA, the benefit for us to
	join is that we would continue to qualify for
- Of concern as well is that Roberts Rule is considered a	MN Telecommunications Aid. For 2019-2020
matter of convenience. Do we know if it is generally	that was approximately \$21,000 for ISD191. The telecom cluster does not have expenses and no
standard practice for this board to follow Roberts Rules, or	longer has a bank account. There are no fees from the
does it generally not follow Roberts Rules? Matters of	telecom cluster. Some districts have contracted support to pull together documentation for equity aid
voting and amendments to a recommendation would be of	filing
concern if Robert's Rules are operationally, a matter of	7. There are no additional Bylaws beyond the JPA
convenience for the JPA board.	document. 8. Yes.

6. In terms of financial oversight, what is the scope of
responsibility for this proposed JPA? I would like to
understand if the board is simply making purchasing
decisions which govern fiber, and the JPA is the means by
which these purchases may be made.
- Or, are there bank accounts for the JPA that we need to
be aware of? If yes, I imagine that meeting agendas
include monthly reports on financials, accounting, etc.
7. Section IV - Are there additional Bylaws? If yes, I would
like to be able to review.
8. I am assuming you (Rachel Gorton) would be the
recommended rep for One91.

Amendment to FY21 Revised Budget

1.	There is a difference of \$536,494 between the projected increase in general fund balance (\$1,157,294) and total reductions in 2021 Revised Budget by BU (\$1,693,788). How are we accounting for this \$536,494 difference	1. The January Revised Budget showed a deficit (expenditures exceeding revenues) \$536,494 as the amount). The adjustment of \$1,693,788 less expenditures results in a net variance that is positive (revenues exceeding expenditures) by \$1,157,294.