# 2016 Accountability Overview

PRESENTED BY DENISE DUGGER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY

SEPTEMBER 19, 2016

# <u>NEW for 2016</u>

Increase in Performance standard 3-8 and EOC

- Included:
  - Math 3-8
  - STAAR A
  - STAAR Alt except Index 4
- Index 1 Target stays at 60

## Accountability has 4 Tiers

- 1 Ratings based on 4 Performance Indexes
- 2 Distinction Designations
- 3 System Safeguards
- 4 Community and Student Engagement and Compliance



#### Index 1: Student Achievement

Student Achievement provides an overview of student performance based on satisfactory student achievement across all subjects for all students.

#### Index 2: Student Progress

Student Progress focuses on actual student growth independent of overall achievement levels for each race/ethnicity student group, students with disabilities, and English Language Learners (ELLs).

#### Index 3: Closing Performance Gap

Closing Performance Gaps emphasizes advanced academic achievement of economically disadvantaged students and the two lowest performing race/ethnicity student groups.

#### Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

Postsecondary Readiness emphasizes the importance of earning a high school diploma that provides students with the foundation necessary for success in college, the workforce, job training programs, or the military; and the role of elementary and middle schools in preparing students for high school.

### **Distinction Designations – 2016**

- 7 possible Distinction Designations for campuses that earn "Met Standard"
  - Top Quartile Student Progress (Index 2 score)
  - Top Quartile Closing Achievement Gaps (Index 3 score)
  - Academic Achievement: Reading/ELA
  - Academic Achievement: Math
  - Academic Achievement: Science
  - Academic Achievement: Social Studies
  - Postsecondary Readiness
- I possible Distinction Designation for Districts that earn "Met Standard"
  - Postsecondary Readiness

### **Distinction Designations – 2016**

Campus Distinction Designations are based on relative performance, NOT absolute performance

Example: Which of these campuses earned a Distinction Designation in Science in 2015?

2015 Distinction Designation: Science				
	High School 1		High School 2	
Attendance Rate	95.1%	Q4	93.7%	Q3
EOC Biology Performance (Level III)	42%	Q2	30%	Q1
AP/IB Examination Participation: Science	8%	Q4	3%	Q3
AP/IB Examination Performance: Science	71%	Q2	38%	Q1
ACT Performance: Science	23.4	Q3	19.0	Q2
Advanced/Dual Enroll. Course Completion	12.5%	Q4	10.9%	Q2

# **Campus Distinction Designations**

- Each campus is evaluated compared to its Campus Comparison Group
- Campus Comparison Group
  - Each campus is first identified by campus type (elementary, middle, elementary/secondary, high school)
  - Campus Comparison Group is the 40 campuses that are "most similar" (determined by linear distance) based on 5 variables
    - ▶ 1. Grade Span (lowest grade level and highest grade level)
    - 2. Total Student Enrollment
    - 3. % Economically Disadvantaged
    - ▶ 4. % ELL
    - 5. Mobility Rate (% of students enrolled at the campus for less than 83% of the school year)
  - Each campus has a unique Campus Comparison Group

### System Safeguards - 2016

#### Components

- Performance Rates
  - State and Federal targets
- Participation Rates
- Graduation Rates

#### System Safeguards are included to ensure that

- Poor performance by one student group in one area/subject is not masked by the overall index scores earned by a campus or District
- All state and federal accountability requirements are covered

### <u>Community & Student Engagement and</u> <u>Compliance Accountability (HB5)</u>

- Consists of 10 ratings and based on self-evaluation in the following 9 areas and one overall
  - Fine Arts
  - Wellness and Physical Education
  - Community and Parental Involvement
  - 21<sup>st</sup> Century Workforce Development Program
  - Second Language Acquisition Program
  - Digital Learning Environment
  - Dropout Prevention Strategies
  - Educational Programs for Gifted and Talented Students
  - Compliance with statutory reporting and policy requirements
- Rating labels: Unacceptable, Acceptable, Recognized, and Exemplary

THESE ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS HAVE NO IMPACT ON ANY OTHER STATE RATING LABELS.

