BOARD OF TRUSTEES AGENDA

		Workshop	Х	Regular		Special
A)		Report Only				Recognition
	Presenter(s):				
	Briefly describe the subject of the report or recognition presentation.					
)	Presenter(s): SAMUEL MIJARES, SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS ISMAEL MIJARES, DEPUTY SUPT. FOR BUSINESS AND F. ROLANDO SALINAS, DEPUTY SUPT. FOR DISTRICT OPER LUIS VELEZ, PURCHASING DIRECTOR PEDRO FELAN, FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE DIRECT DAVIS POWELL, ARCHITECT OF RECORD					
	Briefly describe the action required. CONSIDER AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON THE REQUEST TO APPROVE THE					
	PROCUREME FOR EPJH AN		COMPETITIV OOM REMO	E SEALED PRO	POSALS AND TH	E RANKING CRITE
)	Funding source: Identify the source of funds if any are required.					
	BUDGETED	FUNDS				
)	Clarification this item.	n: Explain any c	question o	r issues that	might be raise	ed regarding
	SEE ATTAC	HED MEMORAND	DUM			

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

From: Mr. Luis Vélez, Purchasing Director

Date: Monday, March 31, 2025

Approval of Procurement Process and Ranking Criteria Subject:

In accordance with Board Policy CV, CVB, and state guidelines, attached for your review is the agenda item that requires the approval of the school board prior to commencing the competitive procurement process of competitive sealed proposal for contracting the service for EPJH and MJH Locker Room Remodel. Also attached is the ranking criteria and information corresponding to this proposal.

Please contact me at the Purchasing Department should you need any further information regarding this matter.

PROPOSAL RANKING CRITERIA

Project: Eagle Pass Independent School District

EPJH and MJH Locker Room Remodel

General:

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** has set forth the following persons as an evaluation committee for ranking the prospective contractors for the Eagle Pass I.S.D. for the above mentioned project.

Ranking Committee:

- Mr. Samuel Mijares, Superintendent of Schools
- Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance
- Mr. Rolando Salinas, Deputy Superintendent for District Operations
- Mr. Pedro Felan, Facilities and Maintenance Director
- Mr. Luis Velez, Purchasing Director
- Mr. Davis Powell, Architect of Record

The committee will evaluate, rank, and publish the results based on the ranking criteria and the assigned appropriate weight as listed below. The committee will then begin to negotiate with the highest ranking proposal submitted. If a negotiated contract cannot be reached, and after the appropriate notification has been made, the committee will then move to the next highest ranking proposal submitted. This process will continue until a satisfactory contract has been negotiated or all proposals are rejected. In the event of a tie in the rankings the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** shall break the tie based upon the determination of which proposal will provide the best value. Furthermore, subjective judgment is implicit in the criteria selection process. The selection process shall permit placing technical considerations above total price. Therefore, the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** reserves the right, once the proposals have been ranked, to award to other than the lowest proposed price.

This contract shall be presented to the **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** by the evaluation committee for approval. The **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** retains the right to award or reject all bids in their entirety.

Ranking Criteria: (100 points maximum)

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** retains the right to apply all criteria allowed including the following other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider:

1. Price: 50 points maximum

Identify the lowest cost proposal. Divide the other proposals into the lowest proposal. Take the percentage the lowest proposal is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available in the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

2. Construction experience: 30 points maximum

Projects of similar size and value:

Reputation of the Contractor/Quality of Work:

Conformance to Schedule:

Contractor's Past Relationship with EPISD/Warranty Performance:

Use of Local Labor (To the extent it enhances Quality/Timeliness of Project):

7 points

7 points

5 points

6 points

3. Financial Strength:

Letter of Reference from Bank:

Letter(s) of Reference from three (3) Suppliers:

Quality of Performance/Payment Bond, Bond Capacity, & Bond Company Rating:

Proof of Insurance (Worker's Compensation history, Bankruptcy Proceedings, Lawsuits, Judgments, Liens, etc.): 5 points

4. Schedule: <u>5 points maximum</u>

Identify the least number of days to complete the project. Divide the other proposed schedules into the least number of days to complete the project. Take the percentage the least number of days is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available for the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

15 points maximum