

IGA and Tax Incentive Proposal

January 12, 2015
A presentation to the Board of Education

An IGA and Tax Incentive Proposal:

City of Batavia

Geneva CUSD 304

Northern Builders, Inc.

AJR Filtration



Intergovernmental Agreement

Batavia, a home rule community, approached the District in late October about partnering in a tax incentive focused intergovernmental agreement.

The agreement, once in full force, would include the City of Batavia, Geneva CUSD 304, Northern Builders Inc., and AJR Filtration.



Why a Tax Incentive and IGA?

The City's home rule authority and the use of property tax rebates allow governmental bodies to incentivize development for the short or long term.

Debt service is excluded.

Allows a government entity to negotiate terms and conditions.

Selecting the best "tool" for the development.



Tax Increment Financing

The 1977 Tax Increment Allocation
Redevelopment Act (TIF Act) granted
municipalities the power and authority to address
economic challenges of blighted and conservation
areas through redevelopment.

TIF creates a "district" of all taxing bodies and establishes a base year. Any increases in EAV from the base year create revenues used to make improvements to the TIF district for up to 23 years, unless extended by the legislature.



TIF

Taxing bodies continue to receive real estate taxes from the base assessed valuation.

Qualifying Conditions: Blighted (dilapidation, obsolescence, deterioration); Conservation (>50% of structures are >35 years of age); Industrial Park (based on high unemployment).

A municipality, subject to public comment, creates a Redevelopment Plan for the TIF district. Maximum life of a district is 23 years but can end early if completed or extended by the legislature.



IGA Key Points

- · Term/Duration: Set Per Agreement
- · Incentive Cap, PTAB Base Year
- Taxing Body Specific
- Debt Service Not Included
- Created in Weeks
- Costs: Administrative and Legal



TIF Key Points

- · Maximum 23 Years
- · Redevelopment Plan Required
- All Taxing Bodies
- GSA Factor
- · Created in 6-8 months
- · Eligible Costs Throughout Life of the Project: Administration, Acquisition, Construction, Financing, Professional Services, Demolition/Site Preparation.



Site and Proposal Details

Location: City of Batavia and Geneva CUSD 304 municipal and school boundaries

Address: 1252 Pierson Drive, Batavia

Zoning: G-I or General Industrial

Property Size: 11.92 acres

Current Land Use: Vacant



Site View



Location:

South of Fabyan Pkwy

and

East of Kirk Road

Courtesy of City of Batavia and Google Maps



AJR Filtration

Courtesy of www.ajrfiltration.com





AJR Filtration

National leader in the design and manufacture of airborne and liquid filtration systems and products, including custom engineered fiber bags and cartridges.

Employment is estimated between 250 F.T.E. at occupancy and projected to 400 F.T.E. within 24 months.

263,000 Sq. Ft. Manufacturing Facility (\$9,090,000)



Equalized Assessed Values (EAVs)

Vacant Land (2 parcels)

2013 Value Assessments

EAV Land \$702,615

EAV Building +_____0

Total EAV \$702,615

2016 (Est.) Developed/

Occupied Value Assessments

EAV Land \$ 745,621

EAV Building + \$3,030,000*

Total EAV \$3,775,621

^{*} Estimated



Property Tax- Vacant Land

2014 Taxes Paid to City of Batavia \$ 5,142 2014 Taxes Paid to Geneva CUSD #304 \$ 45,284

2014 - 2025 Est. Cumulative Totals (2% Annual Inflation)

Taxes Paid to City of Batavia \$ 68,967

Taxes Paid to Geneva CUSD #304 \$ 607,355



PROPOSED AJR FILTRATION DEVELOPMENT

Improvements Upon the Land

Property Taxes Due

2017 Taxes Paid to City of Batavia \$ 27,632

2017 Taxes Paid to Geneva CUSD 304 \$ 243,343

2014 - 2025 Est. Cum. Totals (2% Annual Inflation)

Taxes Paid to City of Batavia \$ 285,277

Taxes Paid to Geneva CUSD 304 \$2,512,305

^{*2017} figure includes debt service and will not be part of the incentive. The taxpayer will pay debt service in full.



Incremental Increases in Property Taxes Due (Projected Cumulative)

2014-2025

	City of	COSD	
	Batavia	#304	TOTAL
Developed	\$ 285,277	\$2,512,305	\$2,797,582

Less Vacant Land \$ 68,967 \$ 607,355 \$ 676,322

\$216,310 \$1,904,950 \$2,121,260

Development-Vacant Land=Incremental Increase (Based on Value from AJR Filtration Project)



Proposed Property Tax Increase-Based Recruiting Incentive: AJR Filtration Development

2014-2025

AID Ethant's a Danaharana	СОВ	<u>CUSD</u>	TOTALS
AJR Filtration Development Projected Tax Increases	\$216,310	\$1,904,950	\$2,121,260
Nine-Year Recruiting Incentive Payment Totals	<u>\$107,300</u>	<u>\$ 817,700</u>	<u>\$ 925,000</u>
Retained Tax Increase Totals	\$109,010	\$1,087,250	\$1,196,260
Incentive Payment as a Percent			
Of Projected Tax Increase	49.60	42.93	43.61
Taxing Body Percent			
Allocation of Incentive	11.60	88.40	100.00



January 5, 2015 DRAFT

						TA	X PAYN	IENT YE	AR					
		2014 (Current Tax Bill)	2015 (Base Year)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	
timated EAV for PINs 12-13-252-001; 12-13-228-014 cludes 2% Annual Inflation To EAV														TOTAL
ginning Tax Payment Year 2015)		\$702,615	\$716,667	\$731,001	\$745,621	\$760,533	\$775,744	\$791,259	\$807,084	\$823,225	\$839,690	\$856,484	\$873,613	
	Property Tax Paid (Operating Tax Rate) to City Hold 0.68002 Rate Constant	\$4,778	\$4,873	\$4,971	\$5,070	\$5,172	\$5,275	\$5,381	\$5,488	\$5,598	\$5,710	\$5,824	\$5,941	ş
	Property Tax Paid (Operating Tax Rate) to													
	School Dist. Hold 5.18436 Rate Constant	\$36,426	\$37,155	\$37,898	\$38,656	\$39,429	\$40,217	\$41,022	\$41,842	\$42,679	\$43,533	\$44,403	\$45,291	\$
timated EAV for PINs 12-13-252-001; 12-13-228-014 ilding improvement Assessment Only cludes 2% Annual Inflation To EAV ginning Tax Payment Year 2018)		śn	¢n	¢n	\$3,030,000	\$3,090,600	***********	*********	\$3,279,769	\$3 345 365	\$3,412,272	\$3.480.518	\$3 550 128	TOTA
											42,122,212			
BATE SCHEDULE (Approximate Percentages)		NA	NA	NA	75%	75%	75%	53%	50%	48%	43%	36%	33%	
•	Property Tax Paid to City Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant	NA \$0			75 %	75% \$21,017	75% \$21,437							ş
BATE SCHEDULE (Approximate Percentages) as Q as								53%	50%	48%	43%	36%	33%	
•	Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant City Tax Rebate Amount		\$0		\$20,605	\$21,017	\$21,437	53% \$21,866	50% \$22,303	48% \$22,749	43% \$23,204	36% \$23,668	33% \$24,142	ş
City Rebate Scenario	Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant City Tax Rebate Amount to Property Owner		\$0		\$20,605 \$15,450	\$21,017 \$15,775	\$21,437 \$16,000	\$21,866 \$11,500	50% \$22,303 \$11,200	\$22,749 \$11,000	\$23,204 \$10,000	36% \$23,668 \$8,500	\$24,142 \$7,875	\$
8 O D B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant City Tax Rebate Amount to Property Owner	\$0 \$0 \$0	SC SC NA	\$0 \$0 \$0 NA	\$20,605 \$15,450 \$5,155	\$21,017 \$15,775 \$5,242	\$21,437 \$16,000 \$5,437 75%	\$21,866 \$11,500 \$10,366 60%	\$22,303 \$11,200 \$11,103	\$22,749 \$11,000 \$11,749	\$23,204 \$10,000 \$13,204 45%	\$23,668 \$8,500 \$15,168	\$24,142 \$7,875 \$16,267 28%	\$
g o g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant City Tax Rebate Amount to Property Owner City Retained Property Tax Property Tax Paid to School Dist.	\$0 \$0 \$0 NA	\$0 \$0 \$0 NA	\$0 \$0 NA	\$20,605 \$15,450 \$5,155 75 %	\$21,017 \$15,775 \$5,242 75 %	\$21,437 \$16,000 \$5,437 75%	\$21,866 \$11,500 \$10,366 60%	\$22,303 \$11,200 \$11,103 \$1%	\$22,749 \$11,000 \$11,749 46%	\$23,204 \$10,000 \$13,204	36% \$23,668 \$8,500 \$15,168 33%	\$24,142 \$7,875 \$16,267 28%	ş

Total Taxes (Operating Tax Rate Only) from Land	
and Occupied Improvement	
Fotal of Taxes paid to City	\$265,072
Total of Taxes paid to School District	#######
Total of Taxes paid to both City and District	*********
Fotal of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner	\$925,000
Total of City Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner	
as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to City	40.48
Total District Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner	
as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to District	40.46
Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to both City and District	40.46
relication for a laxes ratio to both city and orstrict	40.46

Total Taxes (Operating Tax Rate	
Only) from	
Occupied Improvement Only	
Total of Taxes paid to City	\$200,990
Total of Taxes paid to School District	\$1,532,317
Total of Taxes paid to both City and District	\$1,733,307
Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner	\$925,000
Total of City Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner	
as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to City	53.39
Total District Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to District	53.36
as a rescent or social or saxes raid to District	55.50
Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner as a	50.00
Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to both City and District	53.37

Allocation Percentages								
City and School District								
Oity a	Amount	% of Total						
	Amount	% OI TOTAL						
City Operating Tax Rate	0.68002	11.60						
District Operating Tax								
Rate	5.18436	88.40						
Total of Operating Tax								
Rates	5.86438	100.00						
City Total Rebate	\$107,300	11.60						
	4047 700	00.40						
District Total Rebate	\$817,700	88.40						
	4005 000	400.00						



Base Year

			2014	2015											
			(Current	(Base											
			Tax Bill)	Year)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	
		Estimated EAV for PINs 12-13-252-001; 12-13-228-014													TOTAL
	E)	(includes 2% Annual Inflation To EAV													
Ę	Vaca	Beginning Tax Payment Year 2015)	\$702,615	\$716,667	\$731,001	\$745,621	\$760,533	\$775,744	\$791,259	\$807,084	\$823,225	\$839,690	\$856,484	\$873,613	
		Property Tax Paid (Operating Tax													
l g	ains	Rate)													
Š	Rem	to City Hold 0.68002 Rate Constant	\$4,778	\$4,873	\$4,971	\$5,070	\$5,172	\$5,275	\$5,381	\$5,488	\$5,598	\$5,710	\$5,824	\$5,941	\$64,082
		Property Tax Paid (Operating Tax													
۱å	ġ.	Rate) to													
	P.	School Dist. Hold 5.18436 Rate													
		Constant	\$36,426	\$37,155	\$37,898	\$38,656	\$39,429	\$40,217	\$41,022	\$41,842	\$42,679	\$43,533	\$44,403	\$45,291	\$488,550



Rebate Schedule

Property Developed As Proposed: 263,000 SF Building @ \$9,090,000 Construction Cost

Building Impro (includes 2% A	V for PINs 12-13-252-001; 12-13-228-014 ovement Assessment Only Annual Inflation To EAV Payment Year 2018)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,030,000	\$3,090,600	\$3,152,412	\$3,215,460	\$3,279,769	\$3,345,365	\$3,412,272	\$3,480,518	\$3,550,128	TOTALS
REBATE SCHE	DULE (Approximate Percentages)	NA	NA	NA	75%	75%	75%	53%	50%	48%	43%	36%	33%	
ate	Property Tax Paid to City Hold 0.068002 Operating Rate Constant	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$20,605	\$21,017	\$21,437	\$21,866	\$22,303	\$22,749	\$23,204	\$23,668	\$24,142	\$200,990
City Rebat Scenario	City Tax Rebate Amount to Property Owner	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,450	\$15,775	\$16,000	\$11,500	\$11,200	\$11,000	\$10,000	\$8,500	\$7,875	
i i	City Retained Property Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,155	\$5,242	\$5,437	\$10,366	\$11,103	\$11,749	\$13,204	\$15,168	\$16,267	\$93,690
REBATE SCHE	DULE (Approximate Percentages)	NA	NA	NA	75%	75%	75 %	60%	51%	46%	45%	33%	28%	
District ate ario es City-	Property Tax Paid to School Dist. Hold 5.18436 Operating Rate Constant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$157,086	\$160,228	\$163,432	\$166,701	\$170,035	\$173,436	\$176,904	\$180,443	\$184,051	\$1,532,317
Reb Scer	School Dist. Tax Rebate Amount to Property Owner	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$118,000	\$120,000	\$122,000	\$100,000	\$86,000	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$60,000	\$51,700	\$817,700
School Sc	School Dist. Retained Property Tax	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$39,086	\$40,228	\$41,432		\$84,035	\$93,436		\$120,443		



Tax and Rebate Summaries

Total Tayan (Organiya Tay Data Oak) from		Total Tayon (On continu Tay Data Only) from	
Total Taxes (Operating Tax Rate Only) from		Total Taxes (Operating Tax Rate Only) from	
Land and Occupied Improvement		Occupied Improvement Only	
Total of Taxes paid to City	\$265,072	Total of Taxes paid to City	\$200,990
Total of Taxes paid to School District	\$2,020,867	Total of Taxes paid to School District	\$1,532,317
Total of Taxes paid to both City and District	\$2,285,939	Total of Taxes paid to both City and District	\$1,733,307
Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner	\$925,000	Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner	\$925,000
Total of City Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to City	40.48	Total of City Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to City	53.39
Total District Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to District	40.46	Total District Rebate Amount paid to Property Owner as a Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to District	53.36
Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner as a	40.45	Total of Rebate Amounts paid to Property Owner as a	52.27
Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to both City and District	40.46	Percent of Total of Taxes Paid to both City and District	53.37

Allocation Percentages									
City and School	ol District								
	Amount	% of Total							
City Operating Tax Rate	0.68002	11.60							
District Operating Tax Rate	5.18436	88.40							
Total of Operating Tax Rates	5.86438	100.00							
City Total Rebate	\$107,300	11.60							
District Total Rebate	\$817,700	88.40							
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30.10							
Total Rebate	\$925,000	100.00							
Total Nebate	4323,000	100.00							



Protection From Future Assessment Challenges

The IGA will have an exhibit of anticipated equalized assessed values for the 9-year term of the rebate and beyond through tax year 2036 and the taxpayer will not be able to reduce the EAV below those anticipated levels.



Process & Timeline

The following is an anticipated time line on how the incentive/IGA might proceed.

Jan. 6 Batavia COTW

Jan. 12 G304 BOE

NBI & AJR-MOU & Developer Agreement

Jan. 20 Batavia COTW

Jan. 26 G304 BOE

Feb. 2 Batavia CC



Questions

Home Rule

IGA

TIF

Tax Incentive

Rebate Process

PTAB