

## **District Assessment Report – December 2024**

**To:** Kasie Luke  
and LPSD School Board Members

**From:** Moon McCarley, Director of  
Assessment

Attached to this report is a calendar of assessments for the fy25 school year

### **NWEA MAP Growth Assessments** – Students in grades K-9

- The Middle of the Year (MOY) Benchmark window will be December 11 – January 17. This is a slight change from the dates previously listed on the assessment calendar, December 16 – January 17. This change was made to assist teachers in completing the assessments before the winter break.
- MOY Data will be available at the end of January.

### **Amplify mClass with Dibels 8** – Student in grades K-3

- The MOY for Amplify assessments is also December 11-January 17.
- The data will be available at the end of January.

### **AK Star and Alaska Science Assessment** – Students in grades 3-10

- Nothing to report

### **ADP - Alaska Developmental Profile** – Kindergarten students

- District level ADP data is available here: <https://education.alaska.gov/assessment-results/ADP/ADPResults?DistrictYear=2024-2025&DistrictId=30>

### **DLM -Alternative Assessment, Dynamic Learning Maps** – Select students in grades 3-10

- The DLM will be administered in the weeks leading up to the other spring assessments.

### **NAEP- The National Assessment of Education Progress** – Select student in select grades

- Alaska has not been selected for any NAEP assessments for the fy25 school year

### **Alaska Reads Act**

- Included with this report is Champions of Literacy: A Resource Guide for Alaska School Board Members.
- This is an overview of the part Science of Reading research plays in literacy instruction in Alaska and how board members can support this work.

If you would like more information about any of the assessments we use, the data gathered, or the Alaska Reads Act please let me know. I will be happy to set up an info session with you.

Available results for any statewide assessment can be accessed here:

<https://education.alaska.gov/assessments/results>

Dates	Assessment	State Grade Level
FALL BENCHMARK		
9/10/24 - 9/13/24	Amplify mCLASS w/ DIBELS 8	K-3 (Grades 4&5 optional)
	NWEA MAP Screening Math Early Numeracy	Kindergarten
	NWEA MAP Growth Math K-2	1st and 2nd
	NWEA MAP Growth Math 2-5	3rd, 4th, 5th
Make ups completed by 9/20/24	NWEA MAP Growth Math 6+	6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
	NWEA MAP Growth Language 2+	3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
	NWEA MAP Growth Reading 2-5	3rd, 4th, 5th
	NWEA MAP Growth Reading 6+	6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
KINDERGARTEN ADP		
9/3/24 - 10/15/24	Alaska Developmental Profile	Kindergarten and any 1st graders who were not assessed in Kinder
Submission by 11/1/24		
WINTER BENCHMARK		
12/11/24 - 12/20/24	Amplify mCLASS w/ DIBELS 8	K-3 (Grades 4&5 optional)
	NWEA MAP Growth Math K-2	K, 1st, 2nd
	NWEA MAP Growth Math 2-5	3rd, 4th, 5th
	NWEA MAP Growth Math 6+	6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
Make ups completed by 1/17/25	NWEA MAP Growth Language 2+	3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
	NWEA MAP Growth Reading 2-5	3rd, 4th, 5th
	NWEA MAP Growth Reading 6+	6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
NAEP		
TBD January-March 2025	National Assessment of Educational Progress	No NAEP assessments fy25
AK STAR and Alaska Science Assessment		
4/7/25 - 4/11/25	AK STAR Language Arts	3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
	AK STAR Mathematics	3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th
	Alaska Science Assessment	5th, 8th, 10th
Spring Benchmark		
4/21/25 - 4/25/25	Amplify mCLASS w/ DIBELS 8	K-3 (Grades 4&5 optional)
	NWEA MAP Growth Language 2+	2nd
	NWEA MAP Growth Math K-2	K, 1st, 2nd



# CHAMPIONS OF LITERACY

**A RESOURCE GUIDE FOR ALASKA SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS**

Published by **Region 16 Comprehensive Center**  
210 Ferry Way, Juneau, AK 99801

Copyright © 2023 Region 16 Comprehensive Center

## **THANKS TO THE LEADERSHIP OF THE CHAMPIONS OF LITERACY TEAM:**

### ***Region 16 Comprehensive Center***

Marybeth Flachbart, Literacy Consultant  
Kaitlyn Hall, Communications Specialist  
Amy Jo Meiners, Education Specialist  
Tamara Van Wyhe, Alaska State Director

### ***Association of Alaska School Boards***

Lon Garrison, Executive Director  
Tiffany Jackson, Director of Membership Services  
Timi Tullis, Associate Executive Director

### ***Alaska Department of Education & Early Development***

Susan McKenzie, Director of Innovation & Education Excellence

All Rights Reserved. *Any portion of this document may not be reproduced  
without written permission from the publisher, except for use in brief quotations.*

The contents of this guide were developed under a grant from the US Department of Education. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the US Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal government.

“

The body of work referred to as the Science of Reading is not an ideology, a philosophy, a political agenda, a one-size-fits-all approach, a program of instruction, nor a specific component of instruction. It is the ***emerging consensus*** from many related disciplines, based on literally thousands of studies, supported by hundreds of millions of research dollars, conducted across the world in many languages.

**DR. LOUISA MOATS**

Developer of the Lexia LETRS Suite

# Our *Champions* of Literacy

## Your leadership guides our districts North to the Future.

Literacy is, as Dr. Tracy White-Weeden shares, a moral imperative: “Quality of life and literacy are intrinsically tied to one another.”<sup>1</sup> You represent every public school student in Alaska: from Nuvuk to Nome, you make a difference every day for our 131,212 students statewide.<sup>2</sup> The decisions that your Board makes in support of literacy have the undeniable power to transform the future of our students, communities and state.

### THE POWER OF PROFICIENCY

Reading proficiency is the cornerstone of a successful life for many Americans. It is the skill that enables students to pursue futures beyond their wildest dreams. Yet it’s also the skill that hides in plain sight: many of us are reading nearly every minute of every waking hour. In reading this document, *you* demonstrate the power of reading proficiency in shaping our knowledge, convictions, and actions.

Proficient readers are more likely to both graduate high school and attend college.<sup>3</sup> Future educational attainment can be predicted as early as third grade, as students who are not yet reading at grade level by the end of third grade are nearly a third less likely to graduate high school and attend college than those reading at grade level.<sup>4</sup>

Proficient readers are also more likely to have higher earning potential in the workforce.<sup>5</sup> Literacy is foundational to our students’ ability to advocate for their communities, access knowledge, and launch careers here in Alaska or across the nation.

### Reading and the Brain

The human brain thrives on language<sup>6</sup> and is “naturally wired to speak.”<sup>7</sup> Reading and writing, much like speaking, activate various parts of the brain and strengthen and sustain patterns of neural connectivity.<sup>8</sup> Yet there’s one fundamental difference: our brains aren’t naturally wired to read and write.<sup>9</sup>

It’s no wonder, then, that literacy expert Louisa Moats writes that “teaching reading is rocket science.”<sup>10</sup> Becoming a skilled reader<sup>11</sup> and writer is a complex process that requires explicit, direct, and systematic instruction.<sup>12</sup>

### READING AND EQUITY

Because reading provides fundamental access to full participation in society, equity and inclusion cannot be accomplished when students are deprived of access to high-quality literacy instruction.

Students with specific learning disabilities that impact sound-symbol correspondence and other areas of reading, such as dyslexia, are among the most impacted by reading approaches that fail to incorporate phonological awareness and phonics and word decoding.<sup>13</sup>

Without intervention, struggling readers often fall further and further behind: the “Matthew Effect” — *the rich get richer and the poor get poorer* — is just as

true for an investment in reading as it is for the stock market.<sup>14,15</sup> Ultimately, literacy instruction for students with disabilities is effective literacy instruction for all students.<sup>16</sup>

A key approach for students with disabilities is structured literacy, the approach championed by the International Dyslexia Association as the “most effective approach for students who experience unusual difficulty learning to read and spell printed words.”<sup>17</sup> Structured literacy is based in the **Science of Reading**, an ongoing field of study that examines the last 50 years of research<sup>18</sup> in reading instruction.

Instructional approaches and curricula grounded in the Science of Reading include systematic, explicit instruction on phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.<sup>19</sup> Although implicit, immersive approaches often work well for spoken language acquisition,<sup>20</sup> research consistently demonstrates that explicit, teacher-led instruction is the key to transforming reading outcomes for the 25% of early learners identified as struggling readers nationwide.<sup>21</sup>

## Start with Why

Our students are our *why*. They are the future of our families, communities, and state. They are central to the mission and vision of your districts, DEED, and organizations like AASB and Region 16 Comprehensive Center.

### DESIGNING FROM THE MARGINS

More than 6,700 students across Alaska have a specific learning disability.<sup>22</sup> Specific learning disabilities “are characterized by a persistent impairment in at least one of three major areas: reading, written expression, and/or math.”<sup>23</sup> About 80% of students who have a specific learning disability have an impairment in reading.<sup>24</sup>

Early intervention can provide students with disabilities with the supports they need to become proficient readers. But students with disabilities are far

from the only students who benefit significantly from evidence-based reading instruction. For multilingual students learning English as a second — or third, fourth, or fifth — language, intensive language development, coverage of the five essential elements of reading, and tailored instruction are key to helping students build reading proficiency in English.<sup>25</sup>

The National Literacy Panel on Language Minority Children and Youth found that “instruction that focused on enhanced teaching of particular literacy components (e.g., decoding, spelling, writing, comprehension, fluency) was generally beneficial with second-language learners.”<sup>26</sup>

The many identities that our students hold should not be seen as a barrier to proficiency in reading. Ultimately, research “has shown that approximately 95% of students can be taught to read at grade level.”<sup>27</sup> when we design from the margins,<sup>28</sup> we begin to close the opportunity gap and make meaningful progress toward equity, inclusion, and access.


### WHAT LEADERS NEED TO KNOW

School Board members need to know where to access:

- Reading assessment data for students in your district
- Literacy performance levels for your student body and demographic subgroups
- **Board-adopted** reading programming and curricula
- Your district’s plan to address inadequate instructional practices for teaching reading.

### GUIDING QUESTIONS

- What is the why and vision for your district?
- Does the data point to any challenges your district has in reading?



- What percent of students at the district and school levels meet or exceed proficiency on all elementary English Language Arts AK STAR assessments?
- How many students at each school are not scoring in the proficient range?

# Come Together

## COLLECTIVE IMPACT

The collective impact of support staff, teachers, school leaders, district leaders, families, and community members is essential to transforming outcomes and closing opportunity gaps. We cannot afford a division between state, district, and school leadership on one hand and classroom practice on the other.

As the Independence Institute writes in their guide for Colorado School Board members, “All stakeholders, especially those who directly engage with literacy, must deeply engage in the Science of Reading.”<sup>29</sup> This includes:

- Developing an understanding of the Science of Reading
- Learning evidence-based practices
- Supporting ongoing training and feedback
- Using data to inform instruction

## GUIDING QUESTIONS

- Who might you bring together to support student learning in your community?
- What literacy training and support is provided to principals as they lead staff?

- Which elementary principals have received training in the Science of Reading?
- Does the district offer Science of Reading training to families and community members?

# Create a Plan

Your Board’s “primary function is to provide each student with an education of the highest quality in keeping with his/her capacity to learn.”<sup>30</sup> You fulfill that function through your “specific responsibilities to determine curriculum, employ a superintendent, and approve a budget.”<sup>31</sup>

## FROM PLANNING TO IMPLEMENTATION


Given the life-altering impacts of reading proficiency, the selection and implementation of new curricular materials for reading is inherently tied to ensuring equitable access to education. Take action to ensure equity in student learning by “setting high instructional standards based on the best available information about the knowledge and skills students will need in the future.”<sup>32</sup>

In 2023, that “best available information” points directly toward implementation with fidelity of reading programs based on the Science of Reading. Incorporate plenty of training opportunities for staff, families, and community members into your planning to help focus all efforts on effective strategies for helping students learn to read.

## WHAT LEADERS NEED TO KNOW

School Board members and other district leaders must ensure they have a firm understanding of the following as they research, select, implement, and evaluate curricular materials:

- **Literacy performance levels for all students (including subgroups of students)**, as indicated in local and state assessment data





- **Programming used to teach reading**, especially for kindergarten through third grade, the most critical grades for learning to read
- **District plans to address inadequate reading instruction**, from the school to classroom and individual student levels
- **Resources and training required to understand and support each student**, including through individualized reading intervention

As part of these cycles of researching, selecting, implementing, and evaluating curriculum, any resources used for core, supplemental, and intervention instruction that do not follow the Science of Reading should be abandoned.

## GUIDING QUESTIONS

- What data will you gather to create a plan based on your students' needs and strengths?
- What is the process to ensure curriculum materials follow the Science of Reading? Do they include the Big 5 (see page 5)?
- How is the implementation of materials supported by ongoing professional learning?
- Do your early learning programs include an emphasis on developing oral vocabulary?
- What reading programs and interim assessments are being used at district schools in K–3?

# Deepen Our Commitment

You are uniquely positioned to become a champion for literacy in Alaska. As a School Board member, you can deepen your commitment by:

- Learning about **and adopting** your district's literacy curricula
- Visiting classrooms and supporting school leadership

- Hosting community training sessions in evidence-based reading instruction
- Monitoring data
- Asking questions of educators, school leaders, and families

Just as the superintendent and the School Board must be the strongest proponents of literacy at the district level, a building principal must be the master teacher at their school site, “the strongest instructor of literacy in the building.”<sup>33</sup>

School leadership is the second-most influential school-level factor on student outcomes.<sup>34</sup> This influence extends indirectly to student learning through “direct impact on school conditions, teacher quality and placement, and instructional quality.”<sup>35</sup>

Research over the past two decades indicates that highly effective principals can “increase annual student learning in math and reading by almost three months.”<sup>36</sup> Principals “who are literacy leaders develop the capacity of their faculty to work collaboratively to achieve the goals of effective literacy teaching and learning for all.”<sup>37</sup>

## GUIDING QUESTIONS

- To what extent is the district funding reading instruction?
- What opportunities might encourage your families and community to deepen their commitment to reading proficiency?

# Sketch It Out

Sketch out your Board's plan for supporting literacy. In pursuit of equity in literacy instruction, ensure that:

- All reading materials are aligned with the Science of Reading, include an explicit, systematic scope and sequence, and target the Big 5



- Reading instruction is linked to assessments for and of learning
- Consistent, ongoing professional development is available to support implementation with fidelity of reading curricula
- Every student has the supports they need to be successful

## The Big 5

After reviewing more than 100,000 studies on reading instruction, the National Reading Panel came to the conclusion that five components are essential to reading proficiency: phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.<sup>38</sup>

Often called the “Big 5,” these essential components are included in all curricula based in the Science of Reading. Students begin by building phonological awareness and phonics knowledge in grades K–2 and continue to strengthen fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension throughout the rest of their lives.

The Big 5 are the basis for the design of *Alaska’s Reading Playbook*, available at [aklearns.org](http://aklearns.org).

## GUIDING QUESTIONS

- What programming is used to teach reading from PK-12?
- What is the district’s plan to address inadequate reading instruction?
- What supports are in place for training administrators?

- How can I become a champion for literacy in my district?

# Stay the Course

## IMPACT ON CHILDREN

Your decisions today create a lifetime of impacts tomorrow. In Alaska, we have set a strategic, measurable goal to support all children to read at grade level by the end of third grade. Students who receive the support and intervention they need early to become strong readers will have one of the tools they need to thrive. Among children who are not proficient readers by the end of grade 3, 15% will not graduate high school on time.<sup>39</sup> Of students who drop out or do not graduate, 60% did not read proficiently in third grade.<sup>40</sup>

In a state where 80% of our third-grade students are not yet proficient readers,<sup>41</sup> we have significant opportunity to transform our literacy instruction for the better. As the AASB Handbook reminds us:

We need to remind ourselves daily what our job is — to educate students. And we need to remind our communities daily what our job is — to educate students. If we really believe that the hope of the future lies in the young people of our nation, state, and communities, then our vision must reflect that belief. We cannot be sidetracked into issues that are not about education. Many would have the schools being all things to all people. We do not have the resources to accomplish all things. The way to send that message is to have a vision that focuses students, Board members, and the entire community on the task at hand – educating students.<sup>42</sup>

## GUIDING QUESTIONS

- How do you access data?
- What are the literacy performance levels for all students and subgroups?
- What are you monitoring to inform what needs adjusting?

# Our *Resources*

**Want to learn more about something we mentioned in this guide for school board leaders? Match the number in the text to our endnotes, below. All endnotes include the information you would need to locate the source.**

1. Weeden, T. (2021, September 7). *It is time to shift the focus to our mutual enemy — illiteracy*. Core Learning. [corelearn.com/it-is-time-to-shift-the-focus-to-our-mutual-enemy-illiteracy/](https://corelearn.com/it-is-time-to-shift-the-focus-to-our-mutual-enemy-illiteracy/)
2. Alaska Department of Education & Early Development. (2022). *District enrollment by grade as of October 1, 2022*. [education.alaska.gov/data-center](https://education.alaska.gov/data-center)
3. Lesnick, J., Goerge, R. M., Smithgall, C., & Gwynne, J. (2010). *Reading on grade level in third grade: How is it related to high school performance and college enrollment?* Chapin Hall at the University of Chicago. [chapinhall.org/wp-content/uploads/Reading\\_on\\_Grade\\_Level\\_111710.pdf](https://chapinhall.org/wp-content/uploads/Reading_on_Grade_Level_111710.pdf)
4. Sparks, S. D. (2011, April 8). *Study: Third grade reading predicts later high school graduation*. EducationWeek. [edweek.org/teaching-learning/study-third-grade-reading-predicts-later-high-school-graduation/2011/04](https://edweek.org/teaching-learning/study-third-grade-reading-predicts-later-high-school-graduation/2011/04)
5. Boroditsky, L. (2019, October 4). Language and the brain. *Science*, 366(6461), 13. [doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aaz6490](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz6490)
6. Moats, L., & Tolman, C. (n.d.). *Speaking is natural; reading and writing are not*. Reading Rockets. [readingrockets.org/article/speaking-natural-reading-and-writing-are-not](https://readingrockets.org/article/speaking-natural-reading-and-writing-are-not)
7. Fisher Center for Alzheimer's Research Foundation. (2020, January 15). *Reading and writing may be good for the brain*. [alzinfo.org/articles/prevention/reading-and-writing-may-be-good-for-the-brain/](https://alzinfo.org/articles/prevention/reading-and-writing-may-be-good-for-the-brain/)
8. Eden, G. (n.d.). *How learning to read changes the brain*. Understood. [understood.org/en/articles/how-reading-changes-the-brain](https://understood.org/en/articles/how-reading-changes-the-brain)
9. Moats, L. (2020). *Teaching reading is rocket science: What expert teachers of reading should know and be able to do*. American Federation of Teachers. [aft.org/ae/summer2020/moats](https://aft.org/ae/summer2020/moats)
10. VanHekken, A. (2021, March 4). *The reading rope*. Heggerty. [heggerty.org/resources/blog-post/the-reading-rope/](https://heggerty.org/resources/blog-post/the-reading-rope/)
11. Marchand-Martella, N. E., & Martella, R. C. (n.d.). *Explicit reading instruction: Important features and findings*. McGraw Hill Education. [bit.ly/3G5cj9h](https://bit.ly/3G5cj9h)
12. Blanchard, M. (2023, January 5). *The relationship between socioeconomic status and literacy: How literacy is influenced by and influences SES*. Michigan Journal of Economics. [bit.ly/3McZiOu](https://bit.ly/3McZiOu)
13. International Dyslexia Association. (2018, March 17). *Effective reading instruction for students with dyslexia*. [app.box.com/s/hvjb2c4dctr2jrsrpmikqg9f4k1bjsl](https://app.box.com/s/hvjb2c4dctr2jrsrpmikqg9f4k1bjsl)
14. Stanovich, K. E. (1986). Matthew effects in reading: Some consequences of individual differences in the acquisition of literacy. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21, 360-406.
15. Torgesen, J. K. (1998). Catch them before they fall: Identification and assessment to prevent reading failure in young children. *American Educator*, 22(Spring/Summer), 32-39.
16. Fairbrother, M., & Whitley, J. (2014, June 27). *Direct instruction of reading for elementary-aged students*. LD@School. [ldatschool.ca/direct-instruction/](https://ldatschool.ca/direct-instruction/)
17. International Dyslexia Association. (n.d.). *Structured literacy: Effective instruction for students with dyslexia and related reading difficulties*. [bit.ly/3YwDlN](https://bit.ly/3YwDlN)
18. The Reading League. (2023). *What is the science of reading?*. [thereadingleague.org/what-is-the-science-of-reading/](https://thereadingleague.org/what-is-the-science-of-reading/)
19. Jiban, C. (2022, January 25). *The science of reading explained*. NWEA. [nwea.org/blog/2022/the-science-of-reading-explained/](https://nwea.org/blog/2022/the-science-of-reading-explained/)
20. Morgan-Short, K., Steinhauer, K., Sanz, C., & Ullman, M. T. (2012, April). Explicit and implicit second language training differentially affect the achievement of native-like brain activation patterns. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 24(4), 933-947.
21. Iris Center. (n.d.). *What approaches are available to schools to help struggling readers and to efficiently identify students who need special education services?: Struggling readers*. [bit.ly/3nzwXrJ](https://bit.ly/3nzwXrJ)
22. Frolov, L., & Schaeffer, M. A. (2021, August). *What is specific learning disorder?* American Psychiatric Association. [psychiatry.org/patients-families/specific-learning-disorder/what-is-specific-learning-disorder](https://psychiatry.org/patients-families/specific-learning-disorder/what-is-specific-learning-disorder)
23. Learning Disabilities Association of America. (n.d.). *New to LD*. [ldaamerica.org/support/new-to-ld/](https://ldaamerica.org/support/new-to-ld/)
24. August, D., & Shanahan, T. (2010). Response to a review and update on developing literacy in second-language learners: Report of the National Literacy Panel on Language Minority Children and Youth. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 42, 341-348. [doi.org/10.1080/1086296X.2010.503745](https://doi.org/10.1080/1086296X.2010.503745)
25. Austin, P. (Executive Producer). (2019-2023). We know how to teach children to read: Let's do it! [Audio podcast episode]. In *EDVIEW360*. Voyager Sopris Learning. [voyagersopris.com/podcast/2022/we-know-how-to-teach-children-to-read-let-s-do-it/](https://voyagersopris.com/podcast/2022/we-know-how-to-teach-children-to-read-let-s-do-it/)
26. Coker, L. (2020, June 17). *Design for the margins first*. The Center for Learner Equity. [centerforlearnerequity.org/news/design-for-the-margins-first/](https://centerforlearnerequity.org/news/design-for-the-margins-first/)
27. Independence Institute. (2021, October). *The science of reading: What every Colorado school board member should know*. [i2i.org/wp-content/uploads/IP\\_5\\_2021\\_k\\_web.pdf](https://i2i.org/wp-content/uploads/IP_5_2021_k_web.pdf)
- 28-31. Alaska Association of School Boards. (n.d.). *The role of the board*. [aasb.org/role-of-board/](https://aasb.org/role-of-board/)
- 32-33. 27. Independence Institute. (2021, October). *The science of reading: What every Colorado school board member should know*. [i2i.org/wp-content/uploads/IP\\_5\\_2021\\_k\\_web.pdf](https://i2i.org/wp-content/uploads/IP_5_2021_k_web.pdf)
34. Leithwood, K., Seashore Louis, K., Anderson, S., & Wahlstrom, K. (2004). *How leadership influences student learning*. Wallace Foundation. [wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/Documents/How-Leadership-Influences-Student-Learning.pdf](https://wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/Documents/How-Leadership-Influences-Student-Learning.pdf)
35. Xu, X. (2018, September). Principal's impact on student achievement. Stronge & Associates. [bit.ly/3nCiJpZ](https://bit.ly/3nCiJpZ)
36. Grissom, J. A., Egalite, A. J., & Lindsay, C. A. (2021, February). *How principals affect students and schools: A systematic synthesis of two decades of research*. Wallace Foundation. [wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/Documents/How-Principals-Affect-Students-and-Schools.pdf](https://wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/Documents/How-Principals-Affect-Students-and-Schools.pdf)
37. Bean, R. M., & Ippolito, J. (n.d.). *Principals as literacy leaders*. International Literacy Association. [literacyworldwide.org/get-resources/instructional-practices/principals-as-literacy-leaders](https://literacyworldwide.org/get-resources/instructional-practices/principals-as-literacy-leaders)
38. Center on Teaching and Learning at the University of Oregon. (n.d.). *Big ideas in beginning reading*. [reading.uoregon.edu/big\\_ideas/](https://uoregon.edu/big_ideas/)
39. Hernandez, D. J. (2011, April). *Double jeopardy: How third-grade reading skills and poverty influence high school graduation*. The Annie E. Casey Foundation. [files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED518818.pdf](https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED518818.pdf)
40. The Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2011, April 11). *Students who don't read well in third grade are more likely to drop out or fail to finish high school*. [aecf.org/blog/poverty-puts-struggling-readers-in-double-jeopardy-minorities-most-at-risk](https://aecf.org/blog/poverty-puts-struggling-readers-in-double-jeopardy-minorities-most-at-risk)
41. Alaska Department of Education & Early Development. (2022). *A summary of Alaska's statewide assessment results, 2021-22*. [education.alaska.gov/assessments/AK\\_DEED\\_Asst%20Brief%202022\\_final.pdf](https://education.alaska.gov/assessments/AK_DEED_Asst%20Brief%202022_final.pdf)
42. Association of Alaska School Boards. (2012). *Alaska school board handbook: A guide to effective leadership through board standards*. [aasb.org/wp-content/uploads/RSG-AASB-School-Board-Handbook-compressed.pdf](https://aasb.org/wp-content/uploads/RSG-AASB-School-Board-Handbook-compressed.pdf)

