River Forest SD 90 River Forest, ILLINOIS 60305 Edward Condon
Email - condone@district90.org
(708) 771-8282



2020

EBF District Funding Tier - 4
Financial capacity to meet expectations - 122.1 %
State Senate District - 04
State House District - 007

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The Illinois Report Card is an annual report released by the Illinois State Board of Education that shows how the state, and each school and district, are progressing on a wide range of educational goals. The Report Card offers a complete picture of student and school performance in order to inform and empower families and communities as they support their local schools. To view an interactive display of Report Card data, visit www.lllinoisReportCard.com.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires states to provide every school a summative designation. All states were given a waiver of this requirement for school year 2019-2020 due to the impact of COVID-19. The waiver requires that states reissue schools their designations from the previous year. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary, Commendable, Targeted Support, and Comprehensive Support. Schools that receive a designation of Targeted Support for particular student groups or Comprehensive Support for the school receive additional funding and resources to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and environment determine a school's designation. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the 2020 Glossary of Terms.

River Forest SD 90 Page 2 of 36

STUDENTS

STUDENT	ENROLLMEN	T										
						Native Hawaiian)	Two or	Children			
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	/Pacific Islander	American Indian	More	with Disabilities	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless
District	1,469	978	91	174	94	1	3	128	298	35	74	4
		66.6%	6.2%	11.8%	6.4%	0.1%	0.2%	8.7%	20.3%	2.4%	5.0%	0.3%
State	1,957,018	929,443	324,212	519,982	102,732	2,035	4,936	73,678	348,751	245,502	949,618	38,890
		47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%	17.8%	12.5%	48.5%	2.0%

Student Enrollment is based on Serving School.

Children with Disabilities are those students eligible to receive special education conjugate through an IEE or support through a 504 plan.

education services through an IEP or support through a 504 plan. **English Learners** are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Low Income are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

Homeless students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

CHRONIC	ABSENTEEISI	M RATE										
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	5.2%	4.2%	11.4%	5.6%	5.0%	*	*	6.8%	10.3%	13.4%	16.2%	16.9%
State	11.0%	7.8%	18.7%	12.5%	5.7%	10.0%	15.6%	13.0%	16.3%	16.9%	11.3%	16.4%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	1.9%	1.7%	2.1%	1.0%	3.2%	0.6%	9.9%	*	*	3.5%	2.0%	3.1%	20.5%	7.1%
State	6.2%	6.5%	5.8%	4.1%	11.8%	5.9%	6.1%	8.2%	8.6%	7.6%	6.2%	6.8%	7.4%	9.0%

Students with IEPs are those eligible to receive special education services.

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

06-016-0900-02-1002 River Forest SD 90 Page 3 of 36

EARLY LEARNING

KINDERG	ARTEN INDIVIDUAL	DEVELOPMENTA	L SURVEY (KIDS) RESULTS				
	% of Entering				emonstrating Re			
	Kindergartners Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In All 3 Developmental Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math
District	97.5%	14.7%	10.3%	16.4%	58.6%	69.8%	78.4%	70.7%
State	88.7%	36.9%	17.0%	17.5%	28.6%	56.0%	47.1%	34.6%

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

			% of Enter	ing Kinde	rgartners [Demonstrat	ing Readi	ness in all	3 Developm	nental Areas	5		
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	English Learners	Non English Learners	Students with IEPs	Students with Non-IEPs	Free/ Reduced Price Lunch	Non Free Reduced Price Lunch
District	61.6%	33.3%	37.5%	60.0%	*	0.0%	61.9%	0.0%	60.2%	38.5%	61.2%	0.0%	60.2%
State	34.9%	23.4%	17.3%	35.3%	36.6%	14.5%	30.9%	13.7%	31.6%	14.3%	30.2%	19.7%	36.3%

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

06-016-0900-02-1002 River Forest SD 90 Page 4 of 36

GIFTED STUDENTS

"Gifted Education" is defined as educational services, including differentiated curricula and instructional methods, designed to meet the needs of gifted children as defined in Article 14A of this code.

"Advanced Academic Program" is defined as a course of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace. Note that gifted students are a subset of accelerated placement /advanced academic program students and are included in these metrics also.

STUDENT GIFTEDNE	S ASSESSED FO	OR
	# Students	% Students
District	*	*
State	165,182	7.6%

STUDENT	'S ASSESSED I	FOR GIFTE	EDNESS (D	emograph White	lics) Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	165,182	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	4.7%	5.5%	18.4%	8.1%	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%	6.3%	4.7%

•	SSESSED FOR GIFTEDNES DRSED TEACHERS	S TAUGHT BY
	# Students	% Students
District	*	*
State	19,414	0.9%

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19,414	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.6%	2.7%	0.3%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

STUDENTS ID	ENTIFIED AS GIFTED	
	# Students	% Students
District	*	*
State	50,813	2.3%

					SERVER NO.		w 101		American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9
State	50.813	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	1.1%	1.4%	9.9%	3.4%	1.3%	2.7%	0.6%	0.5%	1.09

	ENTIFIED AS GIFTED TAUG DRSED TEACHERS	энгвү
	# Students	% Students
District	*	*
State	9,454	0.4%

				180 17					American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9,454	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	1.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

TOTAL SCHO	OL DAYS
Number o	of Days
District	185
State	175

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

RADERS LGEBRA I
93.2%
30.8%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

STUDENT	-TO-STAFF RATI	Pupil- Teacher	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrato	
District	Elementary 16.1	Secondary *	8.3	163.2	
State	18.1	18.6	10.1	171.1	

HEALT AND WELLNE (days per v	ss
District	5.0
State	3.6

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District	*	*	*	*	22.8	23.0	24.5	22.2	20.2	*	22.9
State	19.1	20.8	21.2	21.9	22.0	22.6	23.1	23.0	22.5	21.6	21.7

	Total	Total						Native Hawaiian /Pacific American			
	Number	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Unknown
District	116	19.0%	81.0%	93.8%	1.8%	*	1.7%	*	0.9%	1.8%	*
State	131,230	23.2%	76.8%	82.3%	5.9%	7.0%	1.7%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.0%

	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above
District	15.3	19.3%	80.7%
State	13.4	39.6%	59.8%

TEACHER RETE	ENTION RATE	PRINCIPAL TURNOVER (Count)		
District	90.6%	District	1.0	
State	85.9%	State	2.0	


TEACHER ATTENDANCE RATE				
District	84.6%			
State	86.6%			


Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

TEACHER EVAL	UATION RATE
District	*
State	98.7%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





9		
District	District %	State %
\$22,702,605	86.1%	60.3%
\$1,327,355	5.0%	6.1%
\$1,072,914	4.1%	21.7%
\$605,542	2.3%	4.9%
\$657,334	2.5%	7.0%
\$26,365,750		
	\$22,702,605 \$1,327,355 \$1,072,914 \$605,542 \$657,334	District District % \$22,702,605 86.1% \$1,327,355 5.0% \$1,072,914 4.1% \$605,542 2.3% \$657,334 2.5%

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 201	District	District %	State %
Education	\$20,880,602	76.4%	70.4%
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,819,545	6.7%	7.3%
Transportation	\$671,676	2.5%	3.9%
Debt Service	\$1,488,904	5.4%	8.4%
Tort	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
Municipal Retirement/			
Social Security	\$606,752	2.2%	1.9%
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
Capital Projects	\$1,860,175	6.8%	6.3%
TOTAL	\$27,327,654		

	2017 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2017 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2018-19 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2018-19 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$447,017	3.85	\$10,723	\$16,948
State	**	**	\$8,582	\$14,492

^{***} Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

06-016-0900-02-1002 River Forest SD 90 Page 9 of 36

SCHOOL-LEVEL FINANCES

The Every Student Succeeds Act requires LEAs to report school-level expenditure data, beginning with school year 2018-19. LEAs are required to report actual personnel and non-personnel expenditures of both site-specific and district centralized expenditures. These data are self-reported and may differ from Annual Financial Report data. For more information, visit www.isbe.net/site-based.

For Site-Based Expenditure Reporting, enrollment numbers display the average of the enrolled student count on October 1 and March 1 of the reporting year, including all children aged 3 through 21 in grades prekindergarten through 12 served by the LEA or placed in another educational institution by the LEA. Note that students attending only part of each day are counted as proportional students in the count, which may lead to decimal places in the enrollment number.

			-Level Per-l Expenditure		And the second s	Centralized Expenditure	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Total Pe	r-Pupil Expe	enditures		
District Sites	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
Lincoln Elem School	358.75	\$395	\$18,390	\$18,785	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$395	\$18,390	\$18,785		
Roosevelt School	695.25	\$452	\$15,243	\$15,695	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$452	\$15,243	\$15,695		
Willard Elem School	386.75	\$532	\$18,487	\$19,019	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$532	\$18,487	\$19,019		
District	1,440.75	\$459	\$16,897	\$17,357	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$459	\$16,897	\$17,357	\$3,267,307	\$28,273,850

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS

Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Grant funds are federal Title I funds allocated to districts to support school improvement efforts in schools designated as targeted or comprehensive. Funds must be spent on activities that supplement core programs. Comprehensive schools receive comprehensive support and are required to utilize an approved Learning Partner. Targeted schools receive targeted support and may utilize an approved Learning Partner. Any school utilizing Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) funds must complete an application and School Improvement Report based on a local Board of Education approved School Improvement Plan and submit the Illinois Quality Framework Supporting Rubric. Additional information on the requirements of this grant can be found here: https://www.isbe.net/Pages/IL-EMPOWER.aspx

Schools Who Receive Title I	School Year First	Level of Support	Title I School Improvement -	Reason for Receiving Title
School Improvement -	Identified As		1003(a) Funds Received for	School Improvement -
1003(a) Funds	Needing Support		Previous School Year	1003(a) Funds

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE - ASSESSMENTS

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. ESSA also requires that English Learners be assessed in four domains (reading, writing, speaking and listening) each year until they reach proficiency. Results are presented both by subject (all tests combined) and then by individual test.

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Incom
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District														
State		1												

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF ENGLISH LEARNERS EXEMPTED FROM ASSESSMENT

No English Learners are exempted from assessment, including those who are newly arrived in the U.S. Previously, states could exempt newly arrived English Learners from ELA testing only. Under ESSA, states have flexibility in how they test and use scores from newly arrived English Learners. Per the approved Illinois ESSA State Plan, "Illinois will assess newly arrived ELs, enrolled in their first year in U.S. schools, in grades 3-12 in academic content areas: English language arts, mathematics, and science. Data from the first-year assessments will not be included in accountability determinations, but serve solely for baseline purposes."

IAR ELA I	PARTICIPATIO	N (Demogr	aphics)											
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
Due to the	suspension of in-	person inst	ruction dur	ing the 2019	3-20 school	year, the da	ta for this m	netric is una	vailable for	use in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
											30			
IAR MATE	I PARTICIPATI	ON (Demo	graphics)					Nether						
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
Due to the	suspension of in-	person inst	ruction dur	ing the 2019	-20 school	year, the da	a for this m	netric is una	vailable for	use in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
SAT ELA	PARTICIPATIO	N (Demog	raphics)					Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District								1						
State														
Due to the	suspension of in	person inst	ruction dur	ing the 2019	-20 school	year, the da	ta for this m	netric is una	vailable for	use in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
SAT MAT	H PARTICIPAT	ION (Demo	graphics)					NI-4!						
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander I	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
Due to the	suspension of in	person inst	ruction dur	ing the 2019	-20 school	year, the da	a for this m	netric is una	vailable for	use in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
														*
DLM-AA E	ELA PARTICIPA	ATION (Dei	mographic	s)				Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	<u>Disabilities</u>	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
Due to the	suspension of in	porcon incl	ruction dur	ng the 2010	20 echool	year the dat	a for this m	ofric is una	vailable for	use in the S	chool Year 202	O Report Ca	rd	

06-016-	0900-02-10	UZ											Kivei Fui	C3(3D 3	o ray	C 13 01
DLM-AA	WATH PARTICI	PATION (I	Demograp	hics)		i de la composition della comp										
									Native				A1 F .			
									Hawaiiar /Pacific		orican	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Blac	k Hispa	anic	Asian	Islander			Races	Disabilities		Learners	
District										-						
State																
						ľ										
Due to the	suspension of in	noreon inc	truction du	ring the 20	10-20 ech	ool year th	o data f	for this m	otric is un	availa	able for I	ise in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
Due to the	suspension of in	-person ms	illuction de	mig the 20	13-20 3011	ooi yeai, ti	ic data i	or tille ii	ictiio is uii	avanc	abic for t	300 III 1110 U	011001 1001 202	o report o		
DLM-AA	SCIENCE PAR	TICIPATIO	N (Demog	raphics)												
									Native Hawaiiar	50		Tue or	Children	Students		
									/Pacific		erican	Two or More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Blac	k Hispa	anic	Asian	Islander	· Ir	ndian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District																
State																
Due to the	suspension of ir	n-person ins	struction du	ring the 20	19-20 sch	ool year, ti	ne data i	for this n	netric is un	availa	able for	use in the S	School Year 202	20 Report Ca	ard.	
														11-2		
ISA PART	TICIPATION (De	emographi	ics)						Native							
									Hawaiiar	1		Two or	Children	Students		
						U 19201	2	St. 121	/Pacific	Am		More	with	with	English	Low
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	All	Male	Female	White	Blac	k Hispa	anic	Asian	Islandei I	<u>lı</u>	ndian	Races	Disabilities I	IEPs	Learners	Income
District																
State																
Due to the	suspension of in	-person ins	truction du	ring the 20	19-20 sch	ool year, th	ne data f	for this n	netric is un	availa	able for u	ise in the S	chool Year 202	20 Report Ca	ırd.	
ELA DRO	FICIENCY - AL	I TESTS (Demogra	hics)								\neg				
ELAFRO	TICILIOI - AL	LILOTO	Demogra	niicə)				Nat	ive			-				
									aiian		Two					
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanio	- Δeis		cific Ame	ricar dian						
District	Judenia	maic	remaie	Willie	Didok	Порали	1	1010		uiuii.		~				
District																
Chata																
State																
Due to the	suspension of in	nercen inc	truction du	ring the 20	10.20 coh	ool waar th	no data f	for this n	otric je un	avails	able for I	see in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	ard	
Due to the	suspension of in	-person ms	il uction at	illig tile 20	13-20 3011	ooi year, ti	ie data i	ioi tilis ii	ictio is un	avanc	abic for c	ase in the e	onoor rear 202	o Hoport ou	ii di	
ELA PRO	FICIENCY - AL	L TESTS (Demogra	hics Con	tinued)			U.								
		6/ 1						V	41.							
	Children with	Studen with	ts Eng	lish L	ow			You In								
	Disabilities	IEPs	Lear			omeless	Migran			ary						
District																
State																
	1									- 1						

Please note that asterisks in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

							Native		-
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic		American	Two or More Races
District									
State									

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All								American	Two or More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races
District								71		
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State					Te .			

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	# ELS	# Tested	% Participation	# Proficient	% Proficient	# Long Term EL	% Long Term EL
District	32	32	100.0%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%
State	223,399	220,920	98.9%	17,503	7.9%	20,127	9.0%

MEAN EL	A GROWTH PI	ERCENTILE -	AR (Demogr	aphics)							
									Native		1000
									Hawaiian		Two or
	All						W-1		/Pacific	American	More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hisp	anic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races
District											
1907 (190											
State					1						
				ic .							
Due to the	suspension of ir	-person instruc	tion during th	e 2019-20 sch	ool year, the	data for thi	s metric i	s unavailabl	e for use in th	ne School Year	2020 Report
oue to the	омороновон от н	poisson most as									
MEAN EL	A GROWTH PI	ERCENTILE - I	AR (Demogr	aphics Cont	inued)				1		
			(3						1		
	Children	Students					Youth				
	with	with	English	Low			ln.				
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military			
District									1		
District											
State											
D 4 . 4 h	suspension of ir		tion during th	a 2010 20 aab	cal year tha	data for thi	o motrio i	unovoilabl	o for use in th	o School Voor	2020 Panort
Due to the	suspension of ir	-person instruc	uon auring ui	e 2019-20 SCII	ooi year, the	uata IVI tili	s metric i	unavanabi	e ioi use iii ti	le School Tear	2020 Kepuit
MEAN M	ATH GROWTH	DERCENTII E	- IAR (Damo	aranhics)							
INITE AND INIT	ATTI GROWTH	LITOLITIEL	- IAIT (Dellio	grapinos					Native		
									Hawaiian		Two or
	All								/Pacific	American	More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hisp	anic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races
	Ctadents	linare	Tomaio	T	T Diagram		1	7 10 10 11	1014111101	1	1
District											
					70						
State											
State					1	ľ					
Due to the	suspension of in	-person instruc	tion during th	e 2019-20 sch	ool year, the	data for thi	s metric i	s unavailabl	e for use in th	e School Year	2020 Report
MEAN MA	ATH GROWTH	PERCENTILE	- IAR (Demo	graphics Co	ntinued)						
	Children	Students					Youth				
	with	with	English	Low			In				
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military			
District											
2,011101											
State											

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	D	0.00	Percent	tage at or	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46	246	86	51	11
Black	17	217	57	14	1
Hispanic	27	231	74	28	4
Asian	4	259	88	65	25
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	#	#	#	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	#	#	#	‡
Two or more races	4	238	76	40	12
Gender					
Male	50	239	78	41	10
Female	50	236	77	36	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	52	226	67	24	3
Not eligible	48	249	88	54	14

[#] Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	Danisatana af	A		tage at or	Percentage at
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48	291	78	42	12
Black	18	262	49	14	2
Hispanic	25	273	62	24	5
Asian	6	320	92	73	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡		#	#
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡		#	‡
Two or more races	3	286	71	38	17
Gender					67/47
Male	51	283	69	35	12
Female	49	282	70	32	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	48	269	56	20	4
Not eligible	52	295	82	46	15

[#] Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

National Center for Education Statistics

2019 Mathematics Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

			Percentage of	identified	SD or ELL students			
		e 4		Grade 8				
	SD		ELL		SD		ELL	
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE
Illinois	95 ¹	1.2	98 ¹	0.7	94 1	1.1	95 ¹	2.0

[†] Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP mathematics results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-and-pencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. In Puerto Rico, the English language learner (ELL) category is for the Spanish language learner (SLL).

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

[‡] Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

¹ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.

² Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools


Results for Student Groups in 2019

	Percentage of	Aug		tage at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	Avg. score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity		1			
White	46	228	75	45	12
Black	18	200	46	17	2
Hispanic	27	208	55	23	4
Asian	4	238	82	57	19
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	#	*	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	#	#	‡
Two or more races	4	229	74	43	12
Gender					
Male	50	215	61	32	8
Female	50	221	68	36	9
National School Lunch Program		ì			
Eligible	52	205	51	21	3
Not eligible	47	233	79	50	14

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

[#] Reporting standards not met.

2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools


Results for Student Groups in 2019

	Percentage of	Avg.	abov	tage at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48	274	82	45	6
Black	18	246	56	15	1
Hispanic	25	255	66	25	2
Asian	6	290	90	66	15
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡		#	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡		*	‡
Two or more races	3	263	72	32	5
Gender					
Male	51	260	69	32	4
Female	49	269	78	40	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	50	251	62	21	1
Not eligible	50	278	85	50	8

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



[■] Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

[#] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

National Center for Education Statistics

2019 Reading Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP reading for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

			Percentage of	identified	SD or ELL students			
		Grad	e 4			Grade	e 8	
	SD	===	ELL		SD		ELL	
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE
Illinois	91 1	2.1	96 ¹	1.0	95 ¹	1.4	94 ¹	1.6

[†] Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP reading results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-and-pencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.


[‡] Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

¹ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.

² Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

2019 IL Gr 4 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019. Illinois




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019
2019. Illinois




Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019
2019, Illinois




NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress is a nationally representative and continuing assessment have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts.

NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students and sub-groups of those populations. NAEP results are based on a sample of student populations of interest.

Additional information regarding NAEP results can be found here:

- · Mathematics
 - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-4.pdf
 - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-8.pdf
 - 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-state-4-8.pdf
 - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/mathematics/supportive_files/2019_technical_appendix_math.pdf
- Reading
 - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-4.pdf
 - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-8.pdf
 - 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-state-4-8.pdf
 - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/supportive_files/2019_technical_appendix_reading.pdf

CIVIL RIGHTS DATA COLLECTION - 2017-18 SCHOOL YEAR

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two to three-year delay.

ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	N-SCHOOL ENSIONS
District	0.2%
State	5.1%

	T-OF-SCHOOL ENSIONS	
District	0.0%	
State	3.5%	

CRDC - EXPULSIONS					
District	0.0%				
State	0.1%				

CRDC - SCHOOL-RELATED ARRESTS			
District	0.0%		
State	0.1%		

CRDC - REFERRAL TO LAW ENFORCEMENT			
District	0.0%		
State	0.7%		

	CHRONIC ITEEISM
District	3.6%
State	16.3%

7,177	C - INCIDENTS VIOLENCE
	Rate of Incidents of Violence
District	0.0%
State	2.2%

	Firearm	Homicide
Schools in the District with Incidents of Violence	0	0
Schools in the State with Incidents of Violence	153	5

Please note that at the school level, these metrics are displayed as a yes or no indicator. However, at the district and state level, these metrics are displayed as a count.

	ER AND PERCENT IN PRESCHOOL
District	33
	2.3%
State	78,272
	3.9%

		ADVANCED PLACEMENT (AP) COURSE WORK					OURSE WORK
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All	District State	0 143,753	0.0% 7.2%	0 5,004	0.0% 0.3%	0 65,736	0.0% 3.3%

RACE/ETHNICITY AND DISABILITY CATEGORY FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

Percent of Students with IEPs by Race / Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	Native American	Two or More Races
	All Students	66.6%	6.2%	11.8%	6.4%	0.1%	0.2%	8.7%
District	Students with IEPs	63.9%	8.2%	18.3%	5.3%		0.5%	3.8%
All Peer	All Students	49.5%	13.2%	26.1%	6.7%	0.1%	0.2%	4.2%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	48.4%	15.4%	27.5%	4.1%	0.1%	0.2%	4.3%
	All Students	47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%
State	Students with IEPs	46.5%	19.7%	26.8%	2.7%	0.1%	0.3%	3.9%

Percent of Students with IEPs in Each Disability Category

	Percei	nt of All Stu	dents	Percent	of Students w	ents with IEPs	
Disability Category	District	All Peer Districts*	State	District	All Peer Districts*	State	
Autism	2.2%	1.4%	1.4%	15.9%	9.3%	9.6%	
Deafness		0.0%	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%	
Deaf-Blindness		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Developmental Delay	1.2%	3.2%	2.0%	8.7%	21.0%	13.4%	
Emotional Disability	0.4%	0.8%	0.9%	2.9%	4.9%	6.2%	
Hearing Impairment	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	
Intellectual Disability	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%	1.9%	2.7%	5.1%	
Multiple Disabilities	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.9%	1.0%	
Orthopedic Impairment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%	0.3%	
Other Health Impairment	3.4%	1.7%	2.0%	24.0%	11.2%	13.2%	
Specific Learning Disability	2.9%	3.9%	5.1%	20.2%	25.1%	33.6%	
Speech or Language Impairment	3.3%	3.5%	2.4%	23.6%	22.8%	16.0%	
Traumatic Brain Injury	<u> </u>	0.0%	0.0%		0.1%	0.2%	
Visual Impairment	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District

** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

*** Students who are receiving special education and/or related services via an Individualized Service Plan (ISP) have been removed from all calculations

EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

Educational environment refers to the extent to which students with IEPs receive special education and related services in classes or schools with their non-disabled peers. Research has shown that students with IEPs who received their special education and/or related services in the general education environment displayed increased motivation, higher self-esteem, improved communication and socialization skills, and greater academic achievement as compared to students who received their special education and/or related services in a more restrictive, or segregated, environment.

The educational environments in which students with IEPs ages 6-21 receive their special education and/or related services are generally classified into four settings:

- 1. Served inside the general education classroom 80% or more of the day
- 2. Served inside the general education classroom 40% to 79% of the day
- 3. Served inside the general education classroom less than 40% of the day
- 4. Served in separate educational facilities

The following information is provided for students ages 6 through 21.

		NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	ational Environm	-	
		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	63.6%	26.1%	4.0%	6.3%
All Students with an IEP	All Peer Districts*	60.0%	20.3%	13.7%	6.1%
	State	53.5%	26.7%	13.1%	6.8%

Educational Environments by Race / Ethnicity							
		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility		
	District	70.6%	18.3%	3.7%	7.3%		
	All Peer Districts*	63.4%	20.8%	10.7%	5.1%		
White	State	56.9%	25.2%	11.1%	6.8%		
	District	58.8%	35.3%	0.0%	5.9%		
	All Peer Districts*	47.7%	22.9%	18.2%	11.2%		
Black	State	44.8%	30.2%	16.3%	8.7%		
	17705	50.00/	00.0%	8.3%	2.8%		
Hispanic	District	58.3%	30.6%	15.4%	4.7%		
	All Peer Districts*	61.5% 54.2%	18.5% 27.4%	13.3%	5.1%		
	State	34.270	21.470	13.570	0.170		
	District	14.3%	71.4%	0.0%	14.3%		
Asian	All Peer Districts*	60.6%	16.2%	18.3%	4.9%		
	State	54.2%	18.9%	19.9%	7.1%		
	District			2			
Native Hawaiian	All Peer Districts*	57.6%	20.3%	15.3%	6.8%		
	State	52.0%	22.9%	15.2%	9.9%		
	Care Ste 16	0.00/	400.00/	0.007	0.00/		
Native American	District	0.0%	100.0% 19.0%	0.0% 13.2%	0.0% 7.4%		
	All Peer Districts*	60.3% 52.0%	25.8%	13.2%	7.4%		
	State	52.076	25.670	14.770	7.470		
	District	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races	All Peer Districts*	58.7%	20.3%	14.2%	6.9%		
	State	53.7%	24.1%	14.1%	8.2%		

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District
** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not inloude Chicago Public Schools

		Inside <u>≥</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
Autism	District All Peer Districts* State	48.5% 33.4% 30.0%	30.3% 17.8% 22.2%	6.1% 33.7% 31.4%	15.2% 15.1% 16.4%
Emotional Disability	District All Peer Districts* State	50.0% 39.8% 34.6%	16.7% 13.1% 19.6%	16.7% 13.8% 14.4%	16.7% 33.4% 31.3%
Intellectual Disability	District All Peer Districts* State	0.0% 4.4% 3.8%	25.0% 23.6% 29.5%	50.0% 56.0% 50.6%	25.0% 16.1% 16.2%
Other Health Impairment	District All Peer Districts* State	69.4% 62.6% 57.7%	26.5% 22.8% 27.8%	2.0% 9.9% 9.2%	2.0% 4.7% 5.3%
Specific Learning Disability	District All Peer Districts* State	64.3% 58.6% 55.7%	31.0% 32.5% 37.1%	2.4% 8.1% 6.0%	2.4% 0.9% 1.1%
Speech or Language Impairment	District All Peer Districts* State	100.0% 96.9% 97.4%	0.0% 2.1% 1.9%	0.0% 0.8% 0.7%	0.0% 0.1% 0.1%

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

Educational environments for children ages 3 through 5 can be generally classified into one of the following settings:

- A. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in some other location.
- C. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in a separate class/facility.
- D. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in the child's home.
- E. Children receiving special education or related services from a service provider, and who do not attend an early childhood or special education program.

	lents with IEPs in Va	Separate		Service		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Provider	
District	65.6	15.6	0.0	0.0	18.8	
All Peer Districts*	44.3	22.4	26.7	0.2	6.4	
State	45.9	24.8	23.3	0.2	5.7	

Educational Environments by Race/Ethnicity						
and the second s	Regular Early Cl Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
White District All Peer Districts* State	62.5% 40.9% 41.7%	20.8% 26.7% 30.6%	0.0% 23.7% 20.1%	0.0% 0.2% 0.2%	16.7% 8.4% 7.4%	
Black District All Peer Districts* State	0.0% 41.3% 47.4%	0.0% 22.9% 21.4%	0.0% 33.3% 28.9%	0.0% 0.1% 0.1%	0.0% 2.5% 2.2%	
Hispanic District All Peer Districts* State	100.0% 51.1% 54.1%	0.0% 15.5% 15.8%	0.0% 28.5% 25.7%	0.0% 0.2% 0.1%	0.0% 4.8% 4.2%	
Asian District All Peer Districts* State	50.0% 46.6% 46.5%	0.0% 15.7% 15.2%	0.0% 32.2% 32.1%	0.0% 0.3% 0.2%	50.0% 5.3% 5.9%	
Native Hawaiian District All Peer Districts* State	46.7% 43.8%	20.0% 15.6%	33.3% 40.6%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	
Native American District All Peer Districts* State	0.0% 40.0% 41.7%	0.0% 21.8% 23.3%	0.0% 34.5% 31.7%	0.0% 1.8% 0.8%	0.0% 1.8% 2.5%	
Two or More Races District All Peer Districts* State	100.0% 45.6% 44.6%	0.0% 23.0% 28.0%	0.0% 26.6% 22.8%	0.0% 0.1% 0.2%	0.0% 4.6% 4.4%	

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

Educational Environments for Students with IEPs for Selected Disabilities						
	Regular Early Childhood Program Majority of Services Majority of Services Inside EC Program Outside EC Program		Separate Class/		Service	
	Inside EC Program	Outside EC Program	Facility	Home	Provider	
Autism						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	25.3%	16.1%	57.6%	0.0%	1.0%	
State	30.5%	13.6%	55.3%	0.0%	0.5%	
Developmental Delay						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	47.2%	14.7%	36.3%	0.1%	1.7%	
State	50.9%	14.9%	33.0%	0.0%	1.0%	
Emotional Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	39.3%	10.7%	46.4%	0.0%	3.6%	
State	39.6%	18.9%	39.6%	0.0%	1.9%	
Intellectual Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	25.9%	14.8%	59.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	22.9%	24.3%	52.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other Health Impairment						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	37.5%	14.1%	45.3%	2.1%	1.0%	
State	45.1%	14.0%	38.0%	2.1%	0.7%	
Specific Learning Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	69.4%	8.3%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	62.7%	13.4%	19.4%	0.0%	4.5%	
Speech or Language Impairment						
District	45.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	
All Peer Districts*	45.5%	35.0%	4.6%	0.2%	14.6%	
State	43.9%	40.1%	2.9%	0.2%	12.9%	

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the State will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to the Illinois State Performance Plan, Part B can be found at: https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not it met the annual state targets for those indicators as defined in the Illinois State Performance Plan. Some indicators require a minimum number of students before comparing district data to the state targets. "N/A" indicates that either the district did not have enough students to report on the district's performance for that particular indicator or the district does not serve students of the ages measured by the indicator.

The State Test Participation Rate is calculated by dividing the number of participants by the Tested Enrollment. At least 95% of students should be tested in ELA and mathematics with at least 10 students. If the state test participation rate is less than 95%, a 95% confidence interval is applied and the student group can meet the 95% target through the confidence interval.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2019 - 2020 District Data	2019 - 2020 State Target	District Met State Target
1	Graduation Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		74.2	N/A
2	Dropout Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		4.5	N/A
3a	Made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs	N/A	N/A	N/A
3b	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3b	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3с	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state reading assessments		23.3	N/A
3с	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state math assessments		23.6	N/A
4a	Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days for children with IEPs (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside the general classroom ≥ 80% of the time	63.6	58.0	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	4.0	15.5	Yes
5c	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served in separate educational facilities	6.3	3.9	No

Please note that asterisks in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

		Anno Santia e Hall Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno An		
		2018 - 2019	2018 - 2019 State Tayroot	District Met State Target
SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	District Data	State Target	
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	65.6	32.9	Yes
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		30.5	N/A
7a	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills	83.3	86.3	No
7a	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	66.7	55.6	Yes
7b	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	87.0	Yes
7b	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	83.3	53.9	Yes
7c	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.0	88.1	Yes
7с	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program		61.0	N/A
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities		61.0	N/A
9	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes
10	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes

			AMARIAN IN THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	
SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2018 - 2019 District Data	2018 - 2019 State Target	District Met State Target
illuicator	indicator bescription			
11	Children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	100.0	100.0	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays		100.0	N/A
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals		100.0	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school		35.1	N/A
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school		57.0	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school		75.0	N/A

SPP Indicators 1 - 8 and 14 are Results Indicators

SPP Indicators 9 - 13 are Compliance Indicators