

AMPHITHEATER RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE

The Evaluation Committee consisted of five members, three Amphitheater staff and two outside professionals: Shannon Chandler, Cross Middle School Principal, Mike McConnell, Walker Elementary Principal, Chris Louth, Bond Projects Manager, Mark Bollard, Swaim Architects, and John Jones, Sletten Construction

EVALUATION PHASE 1

The Evaluation Team reviewed eight submittals based on the evaluation criteria stated in the RFQ and listed below.

COMPANY QUALIFICATIONS (40%)

Responding contractors to provide the following:

- 1) any deficiency orders issued against the general contractor by the Arizona Registrar of Contractors within the last three years
- 2) any current unresolved bond claims against the prospective contractor
- 3) any judgments or liens against the proposed contractor within the past three years
- 4) current bonding availability and capacity
- 5) any filing under the United States Bankruptcy Code, assignments for the benefit of creditors, or other measures taken against creditors in the past three years
- 6) current project workload
- 7) safety record and worker's compensation rate
- 8) contractors material suppliers project team, key members, training & qualifications
- 9) contractor's capabilities and qualifications to provide the scope of work required

RECENT PROJECTS (40%)

Responding contractors are to provide a description of each project not to exceed three pages in length to include:

- 1) owner name and phone number
  - 2) project superintendent name and resume
  - 3) project construction schedule actual vs. projected
  - 4) method of approach to include subcontractor plan
  - 5) method of approach to include safety plan
  - 6) project safety record
  - 7) project location
  - 8) description of construction challenges and how addressed
  - 9) CM@R alternate project delivery method if utilized and the positives & negatives if any
-

EMPLOYEE QUALIFICATIONS (20%)

- 1) the construction superintendent to be assigned, their qualifications to complete the required scope of work, their resume, time with the company, list of similar projects completed to include a description of the project, the location(s), the owner's name & phone number and contractor, key members, education, training and qualifications

A contractor could receive a maximum of 100 points from each evaluator or six hundred points total based on the number of evaluators. Please see below.

---

<u>General Contractor</u>	<u>Total Points</u>
Core	419
Lloyd	388
Diversified	386
W. E. O'Neil	378
Concord	378
D. L. Withers	374
Kapp Con / TBR	334
Lang Wyatt	297

EVALUATION PHASE 2

The three highest scoring contractors were invited to meet with the Evaluation Committee. A meeting agenda was provided to each contractor to cover the following:

Pre-Construction Services: "A critical component of the general contractor to timely provide a pre-construction cost matrix / estimate to the owner and architect. For the work required at Cross / Harelson Schools IT cabling is a component of this cost matrix. How can you help the team in developing accurate cost estimates for the improvements required at Cross and Harelson Schools? Speak to your experience in this regard. What level of documentation is required by your company to provide milestone line item cost estimates and what is your past success in regard to accuracy?"

Site Superintendent: "As site superintendent how do you address the many interactions required with the school principal, school staff & students, neighbors, subcontractors, material suppliers, etc?"

Project Manager: "How do you support your site superintendants and pre-construction services manager? What skill sets / experience do you bring to the table?"

Principal / Owner: "Why is this project a good fit for your company?"

EVALUATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

The Evaluation Committee recorded their observations regarding each contractor's response to the agenda items provided. The Committee then met and reviewed each contractor's presentation. Core Construction was ranked first, Diversified Design & Construction ranked second, and Lloyd Construction ranked third.

All three contractors gave excellent interviews supporting each interview talking point with recent similar experience, and the committee agreed that any of the three potential vendors have the capabilities to successfully complete the project. Core Construction's interview used recent similar Amphitheater examples at Coronado K-8, Nash Elementary, Walker Elementary, Prince Elementary, and Amphitheater Middle School with three of those projects being completed with this project's architect of record, Swaim and Associates Architecture. Additionally, Core Construction's interview team included five members that all have Amphitheater Bond Project Experience. The other two potential vendors didn't have as much successful Amphitheater Bond Project experience as a company or as a specific project team.

Based on Core Construction's RFQ response, interview, and their successful recent similar Amphitheater Bond Project participation, the Evaluation Committee recommends Core Construction as the most highly qualified Construction Manager @ Risk vendor to complete the renovation work to be performed at Cross Middle School and Harelson Elementary School.