Ector County Independent School District New Tech Odessa High School 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Board Goals

Board Goal 1: 1) The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: By May of 2024, 60% of students will perform at the Meets level in Algebra 1 STAAR EOC.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

English I and Algebra I college ready - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2024 Goal: Eng I - 50%, Alg I - 61%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR EOC, NWEA MAP, Short Cycle Assessments

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students | | Formative | tive Sumn | |
| and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Oct | Jan | Mar | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and | Formative | | | Summative |
| identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on Algebra 1 STAAR EOC | Oct | Jan | Mar | May |

| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration | | | |
|--|----------|-------|--|
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | |

Performance Objective 2: By May of 2024, 88% of English 1 students and 80% of English 2 students will perform at the Meets level in English 1 and English 2 STAAR EOC.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

English I and Algebra I college ready - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2024 Goal: Eng I - 50%, Alg I - 61%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR EOC, NWEA MAP, Short Cycle Assessments

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | views | |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students | | Formative | | Summative |
| and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Oct | Jan | Mar | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and | | Formative | | Summative |
| identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on English 1 and English 2 STAAR EOC Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools | Oct | Jan | Mar | May |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of students meeting or exceeding the growth target will increase 79% to 84% as measured by 2024 STAAR.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success: Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR EOC

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------|------|------------------|--|
| Strategy 1: Campus instructional leaders will review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students | | Formative | | | |
| and provide feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve Tier 1 instruction and increase in percentage of students who meet or exceed STAAR progress measure. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | | |
| | Formative | | | | |
| Strategy 2: Implement PLC schedule for teachers to facilitate recurring data meetings. | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement PLC schedule for teachers to facilitate recurring data meetings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support and build effectiveness of teachers in disaggregating student data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Oct | Formative Jan | Mar | Summative May | |

Performance Objective 4: The percentage of English 1 and English 2 students achieving or exceeding their READING RIT goal will increase from 48% to 53%.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|---------------------|
| Strategy 1: Implement a student data tracking system for students to foster ownership and goal setting. | | Formative | | | Formative Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in percentage of students meeting or exceeding individual growth on EOY MAP Reading RIT | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration | | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | 1 | |
| Strategy 2: NTO will track data progress throughout the BOY, MOY, and EOY MAP Reading scores to show | | Formative | | Summative | |
| improvement. | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP Reading RTI in each MAP administration Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration | | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments | | | | | |
| $\textcircled{000} \text{ No Progress} \qquad \textcircled{0000} \text{ Accomplished} \qquad \longrightarrow \texttt{Continue/Modify}$ | X Discon | tinue | | L | |

Performance Objective 5: The percentage of Algebra 1 students achieving or exceeding their MATH RIT goal will increase from 47% to 53%.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success: Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Implement a student data tracking system for students to foster ownership and goal setting. | ents to foster ownership and goal setting. Formative Summati | Formative | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in percentage of students meeting or exceeding individual growth on EOY MAP MATH RIT | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration | | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: NTO will track data progress throughout the BOY, MOY, and EOY MAP Math scores to show improvement. | | Rev Formative | views | Summative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP Math RTI scores in each MAP administration | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | 1 | | |

Board Goal 2: 2) The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 1: Student daily attendance will increase from 95% to 97%.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success: Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance Reports

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Track and analyze attendance data consistently to address individual student attendance. | | Formative | | Formative Sumn | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus attendance percentage through individual students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Principal, Dean of Students, Teachers | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | views | | |
| Strategy 2: Attendance clerk will contact with family/parent when students reach 5 absences, and administration will create | e Formative | | e Summat | Summative | |
| a plan for students with 8 absences to increase attendance. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Administration TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Cult | X Discor | ntinue | | | |

Performance Objective 2: School Connectedness panorama data will increase from 48% to 55%.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama Survey

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: NTO will proactively build relationships and enhance communication with students and families through | | Formative | | | |
| multiple forms, such as device meetings, open house, SchoolStatus, NTO's website, social media, and parent meetings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase of 7% in student connectedness as measured in the Panorama surveys. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students, Facilitators TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | | |
| Strategy 2: Implementation of SEL curriculum using 7 Mindsets school wide. | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student emotional and academic well being. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Administration, Teachers | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools | | | | | |
| Image: Moment of the second | X Discor | ntinue | , | • | |

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of students who meet at least one CCMR accountability indicator will go from 86% to 90%.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one accountability indicator by the fall of their senior year - 2024 Goal: 27%

Evaluation Data Sources: On Data Suite, TSIA, Dual Credit, Advance Placement, SAT

| | Reviews | | | |
|-----|-----------|---|---|--|
| | Formative | | | |
| Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| | Rev | views | | |
| | Formative | - | Summative | |
| Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| - | | Formative Oct Jan Image: Constraint of the second se | Formative Oct Jan Mar Image: Image of the strength of the strengt | |

Performance Objective 4: NTO will maintain a 100% graduation rate for the class of 2024.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

4 Year Graduate Rate - % of students in grades 9-12 who graduate within four years of entering high school (longitudinal rate) - 90%

Evaluation Data Sources: Graduation Rate Data, State Accountability

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|--|
| Strategy 1: Campus leaders will track and monitor students in order to intervene when students show early signs of | | Formative | | Summative | |
| attendance, behavioral concerns, and academic concerns. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain graduation rate by identifying students that are struggling and assisting with concerns Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Attendance Clerk, Counselor, Administration TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | Oct | Jan | Mar | May | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide individual support for students who have fallen off track and a creation of a plan will be created that leads to graduation. | Oct | Formative Jan | Mar | Summative May | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain graduation rate | 000 | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Attendance Clerks, Counselor, Administration TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | | |
| Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | <u> </u> | _1 | |