RIVER FOREST SD 90 / District Snapshot

District Snapshot

Academic Progress

District Environment

Students


Teachers


Accountability


Administrators

Retired Tests

Schools In District









River Forest SD 90



District Superintendent

Dr. Edward Condon condone@district90.org

Address

River Forest IL 60305 (708)771-8282

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

District Snapshot

Percent of Adequacy: 131.6% Chronic Absenteeism: 5.2%

Principal Turnover: 1 Schools in District: 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 02 | How To Read The Data
- 03 | District Environment
- 09 | Students
- 38 | Accountability
- 39 | Teachers
- 43 | Administrators

Date: 11/10/21 13:23:39 -06:00

How To Read The Data

Understanding COVID-19 Flags

Flag

1

Data unavailable due to COVID-19



Possible data impact due to COVID-19



Possible data impact due to COVID-19



Data delayed due to COVID-19



Data not available

Description

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.


Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020–21 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

Due to both spring and fall assessment testing, the data for this metric will be delayed being reported in the School Year 2020–21 Report Card.

Data for this metric are not available through the Report Card, however additional information can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/Report-Card-Metrics.aspx. Reasons these data are not available on the SY2020-21 Illinois Report Card may include (a) substantial changes to how the metric is measured (i.e. methodology), (b) substantial changes to what the metric measures (i.e. the construct), (c) circumstances that render the data set substantially incomplete, or (d) circumstances that cause the data and its use to fall below acceptable thresholds for reliability and validity.

Early Learning



What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) Results - Overall

	% of Entering Kindergartners	% of Entering Kind	dergartners Demons	trating Readiness b	y Developmental Are	98		
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In All 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math
District	**	•	*			*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

% Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District				*		•			•	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Non-IEP	Non- English Learners	Non Low Income	Eligible NSLP	Not Eligible NSLP
District		*		1	
State	*	*	*	*	*

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Sitelevel	Per Pupil Expe	nditures	District C Expendit	entralized Per l ures	Pupil	Total Per	-Pupil Expendi	tures		Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
District	1,378	\$777	\$17,906	\$18,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$777	\$17,906	\$18,682	\$3,969,341	\$29,707,261

School Level Finances (cont)

		Site level F	er Pupil Expend	itures	District Ce Expenditu	ntralized Per Pup res	oil	Total Per F	Pupil Expenditure	es
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total
District	1,378	\$777	\$17,906	\$18,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$777	\$17,906	\$18,682
Roosevelt School	689	\$630	\$16,793	\$17,422	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$630	\$16,793	\$17,422
Lincoln Elem School	323	\$748	\$19,389	\$20,137	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$748	\$19,389	\$20,137
Willard Elem School	366	\$1,080	\$18,693	\$19,772	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,080	\$18,693	\$19,772

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	84.9% \$23,085,899	5.9% \$1,615,306	4.0% \$1,074,405	2.9% \$777,636	2.4% \$645,658	\$27,198,904
State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	49.0%	5.1%	29.7%	16.2%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	75.8% \$21,537,703	6.7% \$1,893,495	2.4% \$695,924	5.2% \$1,466,892	0.0%	2.2% \$619,165	0.0%	7.7% \$2,194,095	\$28,407,274
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

District Finances (cont)

Other Financial In	dicators			
	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$431,342	4.1	\$10,634	\$17,515
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	PK	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Overall
District						17	19	21	21	23	21
State	3	19	20	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	20

Total School Days

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

Total	School	Dave
1 U La	SCHOOL	Days


District	179
State	177

About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.

1,400

Student Enrollment





5.2%

Chronic Absenteeism

Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

3y Subgro	ups							Native			
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0% 1,400	52.5% 735	47.5% 665	65.1% 912	5.6% 79	11.6% 162	6.5% 91	0.0%	0.1%	11.0% 154	19.5% 273
State	100.0 % 1,887,316	51.3% 969,086	48.7 % 918,230	46.7% 880,891	16.6 % 312,609	27.0 % 510,387	5.4% 102,407	0.1% 1,942	0.2 % 4,650	3.9 % 74,430	18.3% 345,533
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	11.7%	2.6%	4.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	1.6%				

	with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District	11.7% 164	2.6%	4.1% 57	0.2%	0.0%	0,1%	1.6% 22
State	14.9% 281,323	12.9% 243,308	48.1% 908,417	1.7 % 32,284	0.0% 326	0.7% 12,795	0.7% 12,743

By	Grad	des
L y	Ola	400

	PK	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	28	107	139	120	175	156	177	168	170	160
State	68,067	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321	134,540	136,665	141,642	146,930	149,255

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		***************************************	*	*	•					*	
State	8.0 % 156,197	7.5% 74,804	8.6 % 81,393	7.7% 69,509	5.4% 17,793	7.8% 41,113	20.3% 21,376	13.0 % 256	7.7% 385	7.4% 5,765	4.3% 15,015

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District		* * *	*	****	*
State	1.9% 5,501	2.3 % 5,720	5.5% 50,536	2.2% 512	1.0% 139

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		*		* 1					*	*	*
State	0.5% 9,062	0.3 % 3,144	0.6% 5,918	0.6% 5,606	0.2% 634	0.3% 1,776	0.6% 627	0.2 %	0.5% 26	0.5% 390	0.2 % 842

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	•		•	•	*
State	0.1% 247	0.1% 130	0.2% 2,196	0.1% 32	0.0% 7

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*		•	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.2% 24,290	1.4 % 14,444	1.0 % 9,846	1.5 % 13,688	0.2% 739	0.8% 4,135	4.4% 4,620	1.2% 23	1.6 % 79	1.3% 1,006	0.6% 1,937
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	•	*		*	*						
State	0.3 %	0.3% 846	0.5% 4,371	0.2 % 57	0.1% 12						

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	. 77	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	•
State	5.2% 100,406	4.6 % 46,284	5.7% 54,122	4.6% 41,443	3.9% 12,686	5.3% 27,815	13.7% 14,366	10.5% 207	4.4 % 219	4.7% 3,670	2.7 % 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District		•	•		*						
State	1.1% 3,069	1.0 % 2,626	3.8% 34,624	1.1% 250	0.6% 90					B	

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		*	*		*		*				
State	0.2 % 4,782	0.2% 2,132	0.3% 2,650	0.1% 929	0.6% 1,891	0.2% 1,279	0.5 % 497	0.4 % 8	0.3% 17	0.2% 161	0.1% 473

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*		*	*	*
State	0.1% 253	0.3% 810	0.3 % 2,705	0.0% 0	0.1% 14

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*			*		*	*	*	•	*	*
State	23.6% 145,267	20.2% 63,878	27.2 % 81,389	24.5% 71,841	13.0% 12,944	22.6% 37,831	53.1% 17,209	31.9% 203	19.9% 291	23.9% 4,948	8.8% 10,243
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care	_					
District	•		*		•						
State	2.7 % 2,349	7.0% 2,911	16.0% 42,897	*	*						

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*			*	*	*		*	*	**
State	1.0% 6,121	0.8 % 2,379	1.3% 3,742	0.3% 824	1.9% 1,842	1.7 % 2,922	1.2 % 396	0.8% 5	1.6% 23	0.5% 109	0.5% 543
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District				*							
State	0.2% 163	0.5% 195	1.6% 4,311	*	*						

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	27.7% 400	25.7% 196	30.1% 204	30.5% 284	22.1%	20.9% 37	23.6%			25.0% 41	22.6% 65
State	20.1% 390,785	18.3 % 183,738	21.9 % 207,047	22.4% 202,744	13.3 % 43,538	17.6 % 92,777	34.4% 36,095	23.3% 461	16.4% 815	18.4% 14,355	10.7% 37,437

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	14.4% 26	* 1	20.3%	*	
State	5.9% 16,764	5.0 % 12,638	13.4% 122,600	9.6% 2,248	4.0% 575

Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*		*	*		*	*	•	*	*
State	12.9% 79,370	12.2% 38,630	13.6 % 40,740	15.5 % 45,566	9.2% 9,109	10.2% 17,161	14.8 % 4,805	12.6 % 80	10.3% 151	12.1 % 2,498	7.7% 9,010
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District					:						
State	5.8%	6.1%	9.7%	*	*						

Asterisks (*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

5,133

2,557

Gifted Students

Nossible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		* 70.57	* E	4.55			*			*	
		****	*								
State	9.7 % 188,673	9.6% 96,414	9.8% 92,259	10.5% 95,102	6.1% 19,993	7.5% 39,207	23.1% 24,303	13.1% 258	10.7% 533	11.9 % 9,277	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District			:		* 100
State	7.2 % 20,532	7.0% 17,656	6.5% 59,670	*	*

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	
State	1.3% 24,668	1.3% 12,576	1.3 % 12,092	1.5 % 13,267	0.5% 1,720	0.8% 3,955	4.2% 4,367	2.0% 40	1.6% 79	1.6% 1,240	*

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	* 7 %	*		*
State	0.6% 1,594	0.5% 1,238	0.5 % 4,817	*	*

Students Identified As Gifted

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		*	*			* * *	*	*		*	*
State	3.4% 65,476	3.4 % 33,875	3.3 % 31,601	3.4 % 30,346	2.0% 6,537	2.2% 11,680	12.7 % 13,394	6.7 % 133	3.2 % 158	4.1% 3,228	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District		•	· healt	:	*
State	0.7% 2,067	0.5% 1,224	1.8% 16,100	*	*

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		*		*	•	*	*	*		*	*
State	0.7% 13,016	0.7% 6,723	0.7 % 6,293	0.7 % 6,289	0.3% 1,123	0.3% 1,672	3.0 % 3,122	1.3% 25	0.9% 44	1.0% 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	• 100			•					*0	
State	0.1% 383	0.1% 156	0.2% 2,156	*	*						

Students With IEPs

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

By Race/ Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District	All Students					*		
	Students with IEPs	*						
All Peer	All Students	**	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts *	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stu	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*:	*	*	*

By Disability Category

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	* (1)						
	Students with IEPs	•	•	•				•
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts *	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Students With IEPs (cont)

By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	*						
	Students with IEPs							
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
100.000	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
Stud	All Students	* (#.	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

By Race/ Ethnicity				
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
All				11
District		* 3		
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
White				
District				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Black	11 To 10 To 10			
District	•			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	
Hispanic	1			
District				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	(*) II	*	*	

By Race/ Ethnicity						
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility		
Asian						
District						
All Peer Districts *	*	*		*		
State	*	**	*	*		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander	n a				
District			NEXT SHEET HE			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*:	*	*		
American Indian						
District						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*		
Two or More Races						
District						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	* 1		
State	*	*	*	*		

For Selected Disabilities							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Autism							
District				. 134 42.73			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Emotional Disability				ħ.			
District							
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Intellectual Disability							
District	•						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Other Health Impairment							
District							
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	•			
Specific Learning Disabil	ity						
District	•						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	•	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Speech or Language Impa	airment		3				
District	****						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*			
State	*	•	*	*			

		2 22 2	THE STREET
Dv	Race/	Ethn	icity
DV	race/	LUIII	ICILY

Regular Early Childhood Program

Majority of Services

Majority of Services

	Inside EC Program	Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
All					THE STATE OF THE S
District				* - 1 - 1 - 1	
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
White	U S				
District			*		* Walls 194
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Black		I.		W	
District		*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	* 21	*	*
State	*		*	*	*
Hispanic				m	N = V
District				*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*

By Race/ Ethnicity					
	Regular Early Childhood	Program			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Asian			2 y =		
District					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pa	cific Islander .	2			
District				* Charles	
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*.	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
American Indian	L		G		
District					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	ote .	*
Two or More Races					
District				*	
All Peer Districts *	*	*	•	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- · Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood Program							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Autism								
District								
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Developmental Dela	у							
District			* 25 5 5	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Emotional Disability			П					
District								
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	**	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3–5) (cont)

For	Cale	octed	I Die	ahili	ties

Regular Early Childhood Program

	Regular Early Childridge	i i iografii			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Intellectual Disabilit	у	122 - 111 - 112 - 112	:5	ja .	
District	***************************************			*	
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Other Health Impair	ment		1.		
District					A SEE SEE
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*

For Selected Disabilities

Regular Early Childhood Program

	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Specific Learning D	isability				
District				*	
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	**	*	*	*	*
Speech or Language	e Impairment			"	Y 2 4
District		•			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	* : 1:	• "
State	*	*	*	*	*

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs

What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	82.6	N/A
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	13.7	N/A
3a1	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3b1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3b2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	
3c1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5а	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	67.5	52.7	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	3.7	12.92	Yes
5c	Students ages 6-21(and 5-year old kindergartners) with IEPs in separate educational facilities	5.5	6.68	Yes

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	56.3	46	Yes
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	93.8	26.3	No
7a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	83.95	Yes
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	50.00	47.2	Yes
7b1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	84.1	Yes
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	66.67	45.1	Yes
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.00	85.8	Yes
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	66.67	53.4	Yes
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	78.0	70.5	Yes
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100.00	100	Yes
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	*	100	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	*	29.6	N/A

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	Distric Met Target
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	*	63.5	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	*	75.75	N/A
3a2	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3d1	Gap in reading proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	**	*	*
3d2	Gap in math proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
6c	Children ages 3-5 receiving special education and related services in the home	*	0.28	N/A

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
District		*	•	
State	*	*	*	*

Student Attendance

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilitie
District	96.8%	96.6%	97.1%	97.1%	94.1%	96.5%	98.5%	94.8%	43.6%	96.5%	94.9%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	94.0%	92.3%	93.6%	2//							
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

Student Mobility Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student M	obility										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	3.5%	4.1%	2.9%	2.9%	2.6%	4.7%	9.1%	*11:50		3.1%	3.3%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4,4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	4.2%	7.9%	4.4%
State	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

🔥 Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

Chronic Absenteeism

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	5.2%	5.1%	5.3%	4.0%	15.3%	5.8%	2.5%	•	• 5	7.1%	11.4%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%

	with IEPs	Learners	Income	
District	13.5%	13.9%	18.0%	
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%	

Dropout Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Twoor

More

Races

3.3%

American

Indian

4.5%

Students with

Disabilities

2.6%

Native Hawaiian/

Pacific

Islander

2.2%

What is it?

By Subgroups

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

Hispanic

2.7%

Asian

0.8%

White

2.1%

Black

4.0%

	All	Male	Female
District			*
State	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%

 District
 *
 *

 State
 2.9%
 4.1%
 3.9%

Chronically Truant Students

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?


This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District		*	*		***		*				
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income						ii.		
District		*	*	Ĭ							
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

^{*}Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.




Teachers

About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







Teacher Information

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	15	20.5%	79.5%	80.6%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	14	
State	17	18

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$70,399
State	\$70,705

Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknowr
District	All	89.4% 286	90.2%	85.7% 6	50.0% 1	60.0%		100.0%	85.7% 6	•
	Male	88.2% 60	91.9% 57		50.0%	50.0%	*	•	50.0% 1	•
	Female	89.7% 226	89.7% 210	85.7% 6		66.7%		100.0%	100.0% 5	
State	All	87.1 % 308,369	87.6 % 261,205	80.6 % 15,160	87.1 % 20,712	86.9% 4,631	85.0% 187	83.9% 664	84.9% 2,249	80.2% 3,561
	Male	88.4% 72,950	89.2 % 62,631	78.9% 2,881	86.4 % 4,747	86.7 % 1,082	84.3% 59	88.4 % 167	86.4 % 579	79.8% 804
	Female	86.7% 235,419	87.1 % 198,574	81.0 % 12,279	87.3% 15,965	87.0 % 3,549	85.3% 128	82.6 % 497	84.4% 1,670	80.3% 2,757

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0%	91.2% 108.4	3.4% 4		2.1%		0.8%	2.5%	•
	Male	18.3% 21.8	19.1% 20.8		*				33.3 %	
	Female	81.7% 97.1	80.9% 87.6	100.0%		100.0%		100.0% 1	66.7%	
State	All	100.0% 132354.5	82.0% 108491.7	6.0% 7993.1	7.9% 10482.3	1.7% 2309.5	0.1% 83.7	0.2% 240.2	0.8% 1059	1.3% 1695
	Male	23.1% 30617.9	23.4% 25426.5	20.5 % 1641.5	22.5 % 2355	22.2% 512.8	29.8 % 24.9	24.1% 58	24.4% 258.6	20.1% 340.5
	Female	76.9% 101736.7	76.6% 83065.2	79.5 % 6351.6	77.5 % 8127.3	77.8% 1796.7	70.2 % 58.8	75.9% 182.3	75.6% 800.4	79.9 % 1354.5

Administrators

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.







Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	8	156
State	10	157

Administrators

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0%	88.9%	11.1%						
	Male	44.4%	50.0%	:	*					
	Female	55.6% 5	50.0% 4	100.0%						•
State	AII	100.0% 12059.4	75.8% 9142.6	14.6 % 1757.5	6.9 % 832.9	1.0% 115.4	0.1%	0.2% 21.3	0.8% 101.7	0.6% 77.1
	Male	42.6% 5135.9	46.3 % 4229.8	27.0 % 473.8	36.9% 307	46.0% 53.1	27.4 %	32.2% 6.9	27.8% 28.3	44.2 % 34.1
	Female	57.4% 6923.5	53.7% 4912.8	73.0% 1283.7	63.1% 525.9	54.0% 62.3	72.6 %	67.8 % 14.4	72.2% 73.4	55.8% 43.1

Administrators

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

Principal(s) over the past 6 years

District	1
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

Average Administrator Salary

District	\$155,903
State	\$114,208