

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2014-2015 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Nam	e: SCURRY-ROSSER ISD(129910)	Publication Level 1: 8/8/2016 6:20:16	PM	
	us: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/8/2016 6:20:16	PM	
Rating: A = Superior Last Updated: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM District Score: 100 Passing Score: 31		Last Updated: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM		
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
L	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the June 30 or August 31, respectively?		3/16/2016 4:02:57 PM	Yes
!	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material w 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.			
А	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the finance American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) external independent auditor determines if there was an u	defines unmodified opinion. The	3/16/2016 4:02:57 PM	Yes
.В	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting an funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		3/16/2016 4:02:57 PM	Yes
Table 1	Was the school district in compliance with the payment to year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fi following years if the school district is current on its forbe and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year technical defaults that are not related to monetary default uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt and debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and the paying back the debt.)	arance or payment plan with the lender or being rated. Also exempted are ts. A technical default is a failure to promissory note even though payments reement is a legal agreement between a	3/16/2016 4:02:57 PM	Yes
	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teach Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (3/16/2016 4:02:58 PM	Yes
	Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the all appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column than zero? (If the school district's change of students in mor more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	in the Statement of Net Assets greater	3/16/2016 4:02:58 PM	Yes
				1 Multipl

			Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:48:12 PM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	6/30/2016 1:31:45 PM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:48:13 PM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	8/4/2016 1:48:13 PM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:48:13 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/16/2016 4:03:00 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	3/16/2016 4:03:01 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/16/2016 4:03:01 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/25/2016 2:06:48 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/24/2016 4:34:05 PM	10
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.		
в.	etermine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	70-100	

	B = Above Standard	50-69
	C = Meets Standard	31-49
	F = Substandard Achievement	<31

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.2.8.0