Pillar: Collaborat	tion			
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC M	ETRIC	
1 Learning Teams	Secondary Learning Team Facilitators	District Survey: Secondary Teachers - Collaboration time with my colleagues during my learning team has had a positive impact on my instructional practices.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2013-14 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	October
	2014-15	20,472	\$9	Notes
	2015-16	20,788	\$8	
	2016-17	20,983	\$8	
	Metrics	Measurement		
	2013-14 (Baseline)	91%		
	2014-15	84%		
	2015-16	68%		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Am	nount	Extended Contract	
	2014-15		\$175,000	
	2015-16		\$175,000	
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)		\$175,000	
	2017-18		\$175,000	
	2018-19		\$175,000	
	2019-20		\$175,000	
2 Learning Teams	Data System - Early Warning	District Survey: I have eas	sy access to data to identify	
	System - Longleaf	student learning needs a	nd monitor student growth.	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2015-16	40,725	\$4	October
	2016-17	40,914	\$4	Notes
	Metrics	Measurement		
	2015-16	77%		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Am	nount	Non-Salary	
	2015-16		\$150,000	
	2016-17		\$150,000]
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$150,000	
	2018-19		\$150,000]
	2019-20		\$150,000	

Pillar: Collaboration					
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC MI	ETRIC		
3 Community Partnerships	Community Resource Coordinator/ AVID Coordinator (1.0 APU in 2016-17, 2.0 APU in 2017-18)	Percent of schools with functioning Community Partnership Teams (CPTs), database development, updated webpage. Increase the number of AVID volunteers.			
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting	
	2015-16 (Baseline)	40,725	N/A	October	
	2016-17	40,914	\$4	Notes	
	Metrics	Measurement			
	Percent of Schools with Function 2015-16 (Baseline)	ing CPTs, Database Develo	pment, Updated Webpage		
	2016-17 (Projected)	90%			
	Number of AVID Volunteers				
	2015-16 (Baseline)	111			
	2016-17 (Projected)	300			
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount Staffing		Staffing]	
	2016-17		\$80,000]	
	2017-18		\$160,000		
	2018-19 (Evaluation Year)		\$160,000]	
	2019-20		\$160,000]	

Pillar: Equity				
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC M	ETRIC	
1 Culturally Relevant	College and Career Ready Counseling K-	Students recording learni	ing goals; students reporting	
Practices	12, Counseling TOSA (11.5 APU in 2014-	on track to achieve those	goals. Targeted professional	
	15, 23 APU in 2015-16)	development for counsel	ors.	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2013-14 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	October
	2014-15	39,910	\$30	Notes
	2015-16	40,725	\$56	
	2016-17	40,914	\$56	İ
	Metrics	Measurement		İ
	Recording Learning Goals			†
	2013-14 (Baseline)	85%		İ
	2014-15	90%		
	2015-16	90%	1	
	2016-17	N/A		
	Reporting on Track to Achieve Goals	,,,		†
	2013-14 (Baseline)	86%		†
	2013-14 (Baselille) 2014-15	91%		
	2014-13	91%		
	2013-16	N/A	1	
		IN/A		
	Professional Development Options 2013-14 (Baseline)	0		
	<u> </u>			
	2014-15	0		
	2015-16	9		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Staffing	
	2014-15		\$1,184,316	<u> </u>
	2015-16		\$2,279,316	
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)		\$2,279,316	
	2017-18		\$2,279,316	
	2018-19		\$2,279,316	
	2019-20		\$2,279,316	
2 Culturally Relevant Practices	·	proficiency increased.	exiting the program within 5	
		years.	Aiting the program within 5	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15 (Baseline)	5,056	\$12	February
	2015-16	5,017	\$482	Notes
	2016-17	5,390	\$199	
	Metrics	Measurement		
	Percentage of ELL Students Showing Pro			Ī
	2014-15 (Baseline)	61%		İ
	2015-16	N/A		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Percentage of Students Exiting the Progr			Ť
	2014-15 (Baseline)	16.5%		İ
	2015-16	N/A		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	Staffing	Non-Salary	Total
	2014-15	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000
	2015-16	\$515,000	\$1,903,000	\$2,418,000
	2015-16	\$1,015,000	\$1,903,000	\$1,075,000
			·	
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)	\$1,215,000	\$60,000 \$60,000	\$1,275,000
			560.000	\$1,275,000
	2018-19 2019-20	\$1,215,000 \$1,215,000	\$60,000	\$1,275,000

Pillar: Equity				
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC M	IETRIC	
	INVESTMENT Equity TOSA (Equity & Native American) (2.0 APU)	BSD Certified Staff Surve very confident in the use teaching practices inreas BSD Student Survey: Stu- and welcome at their sch BSD Student Survey: The school who really cares a	ey: Teachers who report being e of culturally relevant ees. dents who report feeling safe nool. ere is at least one adult at	
		them to help me make d	ecisions.	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15 (Baseline)	39,910	N/A	October
	2015-16	40,725	\$5	Notes
	2016-17	40,914	\$5	
	Metrics	Measurement		İ
	Teachers who report being very confident in the use of culturally relevent teaching practices.			
	2014-15 (Baseline)	55%		
	2015-16	57%		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A		
	Students who report feeling safe and		Historically Underserved	İ
	welcome at their school.	All Students	Students	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	88%	86%	1
	2015-16	87%	85%	†
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	†
	Students: There is at least one adult at		Historically Underserved	†
	school who really cares about me.	All Students	Students	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	87%	86%	†
	2015-16	86%	86%	†
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	†
	Students: There is at least one adult at schoolto help me make decisions.	All Students	Historically Underserved Students	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	78%	71%	İ
	2015-16	77%	76%	†
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	†
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	<u> </u>	Staffing	†
	2015-16		\$200,000	†
	2016-17		\$200,000	†
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$200,000	†
	2018-19		\$200,000	†
	2019-20		\$200,000	İ

Pillar: Equity				
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC M	IETRIC	
4 AVID	AVID	Percentage of AVID stud	ents in:	
		- 8th grade algebra		
		- 8th grade AVID student	s received C or better in	
		humanities.		
		- 8th grade AVID student	s received C or better in	
		math.		
		- 9th grade AVID student	s who earned 6+ credits in a	
		year.		
	AVID Elective Students	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	475	\$800	April
	2015-16	1,341	\$671	Notes
	2016-17	1,742	\$850	
	All Students 2014-15	Students Served 6,200	Cost Per Student \$133	1
	2014-13	14,651	\$75	1
	2016-17	20,354	\$48	1
	Metrics	·	surement	†
		AVID Students	All Students]
	% of 8th Grade Students Enrolled Algebi			1
	2014-15	51.6%	47.3%	<u> </u>
	2015-16	53.9%	44.6%	1
	2016-17 8th Grade Students Received a C or Bett	N/A	N/A	-
	2014-15	86.9%	80.0%	1
	2015-16	84.1%	80.3%	†
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	†
	8th Grade Students Received a C or Bett	er in Math		1
	2014-15	92.2%	84.2%	<u> </u>
	2015-16	83.7%	75.8%	
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	
	9th Grade Students Who Earned 6+ Cred		1	_
	2014-15	89.5%	85.5%	1
	2015-16	89.9%	85.1%	
	2016-17 Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	N/A Staffing	N/A Professional Development	Total
	2014-15	\$380,000	\$825,885	\$1,205,885
	2014-13	\$900,000	\$1,096,096	\$1,996,096
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)	\$1,480,000	\$985,000	\$2,465,000
	2017-18	\$1,860,000	\$955,000	\$2,815,000
	2018-19	\$1,920,000	\$1,295,000	\$3,215,000
	2019-20	\$1,920,000	\$1,345,000	\$3,265,000
5 Culturally Relevant Practices	Regional Licensed Clinical Social Workers - 5.0 APU (6.0 APU in 2017-18)	Number of social work re service. Continuation of	eferrals with meaningful the mental health survey.	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2015-16 (Baseline)	823	\$608	April
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	Notes
	Metrics 2015-16 (Baseline)	Measurement 823 Referrals		-
	2015-16 (Baseline) 2016-17	N/A	-	
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	IN/A	Staffing	†
	2015-16		\$500,000	†
	2016-17		\$500,000	†
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$600,000	†
	2018-19		\$600,000]
	2019-20		\$600,000	1

Pillar: Equity				
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC N	METRIC	
6 Culturally Relevant	Six 7.5 Hour Health Assistants (3.65	Number of students rec	eiving medical support from a	
Practices	APU)	medical professional.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2015-16	0	N/A	October
	2016-17 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	Notes
	Metrics	Measurement	.,,	
	2015-16	0 Students		
	2016-17 (Baseline)	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	,	Staffing	†
	2016-17		\$377,380	†
	2017-18		\$377,380	
	2018-19 (Evaluation Year)		\$377,380	
	2019-20		\$377,380	†
7 Culturally Relevant	Culturally Relevant Teaching Training	See #3 above.	. ,	
Practices	for Teacher Leaders			
Tructices	ior reaction readers	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	39,910	N/A	October
	2015-16	40,725	N/A	Notes
	2016-17	40,914	\$4	162 staff members were
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	,	Professional Development	trained in Culturally Relevant
	2016-17		\$65,000	Practices.
	2017-18		\$160,150	
	2018-19 (Evaluation Year)		\$160,150	
	2019-20		\$160,150	
8 Culturally Relevant	Intervention and Special Education	Percentage of students	eligible for special education.	
Practices	Research - Assessment, Research,	Percentage of 9th grade students with disabilities on		
	Design	track to graduate.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	4,829	N/A	October
	2015-16	4,846	N/A	Notes
	2016-17 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	
	Metrics	Measurement		†
	Percentage of Students Eligible for Spec	cial Education		†
	2014-15	12.1%		Ť
	2015-16	11.9%		
	2016-17 (Baseline)	N/A		
	Percentage of Students With Disabilitie	s on Track to Graduate		†
	2014-15	60.1%		Ť
	2015-16	63.3%		
	2016-17 (Baseline)	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		†
	2016-17		\$1,000,000	†
	2017-18		\$1,000,000	†
	2018-19 (Evaluation Year)		\$1,000,000	†
	ZOIO IS (Evaluation real)			

Pillar: Equity				
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC ME	TRIC	
9 Culturally Relevant Practices		Percentage of Dual Language 7th grade students performing at the 50th percentile or better on the APRENDA assessment. Percentage of 6th-8th Grade Dual Language students meeting or exceeding ELA and Math targets. Percentage of Dual Language students exiting ELL program. Percentage of Dual Language students graduating from high school.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2015-16	1,422	\$281	February
	2016-17 (Projected)	1,626	\$246	Notes
	Metrics	Measurement		*3rd-8th grade
	Percent of Dual Language 7th Grade students performing at the 50th Percentile or better on the APRENDA assessment.	Booding	Math	students earning a 3 or 4 on SBAC assessments.
	2015-16	Reading 35.8%	72.9%	**ELL Data report from
		N/A	72.9% N/A	ODE not available until
	2016-17 (Projected) Percentage of Secondary Dual Language Students Meeting or Exceeding ELA		·	February.
	Targets*	DL Students	All Students	-
	2015-16	46.1%	59.1%	1
	2016-17 (Projected) Percentage of Secondary Dual Language	N/A	N/A	
	Students Meeting or Exceeding Math Targets*	DL Students	All Students	
	2015-16	39.9%	43.0%	+
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	}
	Percentage of Dual Language Students E			+
	2015-16 2016-17 (Projected)	N/A** N/A		
	2016-17 (Projected) Percentage of Dual Language Students G	· ·	ol	+
	2015-16	75%	UI	+
	2015-16 2016-17 (Projected)	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	14/73	Staffing	†
	2015-16		\$400,000	†
	2016-17		\$400,000	†
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$400,000	†
	2018-19		\$400,000	†
	2019-20		\$400,000	1

Pillar: Excellence					
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC MET	RIC		
1 Standards Based	Math/Science Intervention (9th	Percentage of 9th grade stu	dents taking science who earn		
Learning System	Grade) (7.0 APU)	at least one credit with grad	les of C or better (OUS eligible).		
		Percentage of 9th grade stu	dents taking math who earn at		
		least one credit with grades	of C or better (OUS eligible).		
	9th Grade Students in Intervention	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting	
	2013-14 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	February/October	
	2014-15	1,154	\$607	Notes	
	2015-16	2,820	\$248	"Students in Intervention"	
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	only counts students rostered	
	All 9th Grade Students	Students Served		into an additional math or science course.	
	2013-14 (Baseline)	N/A		science course.	
	2014-15	3,029			
	2015-16	3,085			
	2016-17				
	Metrics	Measurement			
	Percentage of 9th Grade Students Earn	ing C or Better in Science			
		All Students	Students in Intervention		
	2013-14 (Baseline)	72.4%	N/A		
	2014-15	82.7%	61.5%		
	2015-16	83.7%	42.2%		
	2016-17	N/A	N/A		
	Percentage of 9th Grade Students Earn				
		All Students	Students in Intervention		
	2013-14 (Baseline)	72.5%	N/A		
	2014-15	71.3%	51.7%		
	2015-16	80.1%	36.0%		
	2016-17	N/A	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Staffing		
	2014-15		\$700,000		
	2015-16		\$700,000		
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)		\$700,000		
	2017-18		\$700,000		
	2018-19		\$700,000		
	2019-20		\$700,000		

KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC MET	RIC	
Standards Based	Early College - PCC College Courses -	Percentage of graduates co	mpleting 3 or more college	
Learning System	Increase by 75 Students	courses increases.		
	Early College - PCC - Explore/CTE			
	Options/Late Start/Early Release			
	All Students	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15 (Baseline)	11,405	N/A	October
	2015-16	11,588	\$39	Notes
	2016-17 (Projected)	11,919	\$38	
	Early College Students	Students Served	Cost Per Student	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	
	2015-16	303	\$1,485	
	2016-17	324	\$2,006	
	Metrics	Meas	surement	
	Percentage of Students Completing 3+			
	College Courses	All Students	Early College Students	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	60%	94%	
	2015-16	63%	93%	
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	
			n-Salary	
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	All Students	Early College Students	Total
	2015-16	\$0	\$450,000	\$450,000
	2016-17	\$200,000	\$450,000	\$650,000
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)	\$200,000	\$450,000	\$650,000
	2018-19	\$200,000	\$450,000	\$650,000
	2019-20	\$200,000	\$450,000	\$650,000
Standards Based	Quality Curricuum Cycle (QCC) -	District Survey of ELA teach		
Learning System	Professional Development	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pated in this year has improved	
		my instructional practice.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	39,910	\$21	October
	2015-16	40,725	\$61	Notes
	2016-17 (Projected)	40,914	\$86	
	Metrics	Measurement		
	2014-15	36%		
	2015-16	61%		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	•	Professional Development	
	2014-15		\$825,000	
	2015-16		\$2,501,000	
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)		\$3,523,620	
	2017-18		\$3,523,620	
	2018-19		\$3,523,620	

KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC METR	IC	
4 Standards Based	Evening Academy Extended Day Credit			
	Recovery (9-12) (5.0 APU)	who earned credit.	ed and number of students	
Learning System	Recovery (9-12) (5.0 APO)	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Donastina
	2014-15	313		Reporting February/October
	2014-15	531	\$1,597 \$942	
	2015-16	N/A		Notes 2015-16 Graduation Rat
		Measurement	N/A	available in November
	Metrics	ivieasurement		_
	Number of Credits Earned	52		
	2014-15	52		
	2015-16	265.9		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Graduation Rate (District)			
	2013-14 (Baseline)	79.7%		
	2014-15	81.3%		
	2015-16	N/A		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Staffing	
	2014-15		\$500,000	
	2015-16		\$500,000	
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)		\$500,000	
	2017-18		\$500,000	
	2018-19		\$500,000	
	2019-20		\$500,000	7
5 Standards Based	Transition Summer School Middle 5.5	Percentage of 6th grade stud	lents earning a C or better in	
Learning System	Program	humanities and math.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2013-14 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	February/October
	2014-15	256	\$1,250	Notes
	2015-16	318	\$1,006	*2015-16 marks exclu
	2016-17	320	\$1,000	Whitford MS
	Metrics	Measurement	• • •	
	Percentage of Students Earning a C or E	l.		
	2013-14 (Baseline)	76%		
	2014-15	76%		
	2015-16*	91%		
	2016-17	N/A		
	Percentage of Students Earning a C or E	·		
	2013-14 (Baseline)	80%		
	2013-14 (Basellile) 2014-15	78%		
	2014-15	87%		
	2015-16**	N/A		
		·	Non Colomi	Total
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	Extended Contract	Non-Salary	Total
	2014-15	\$312,000	\$8,000	\$320,000
	2017 15	\$312,000	\$8,000	\$320,000
	2015-16		4	4
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)	\$312,000	\$8,000	\$320,000
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year) 2017-18	\$312,000 \$312,000	\$8,000	\$320,000
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)	\$312,000		

KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC MET	RIC	
S Standards Based Learning System	Transition Summer School High 8.5 Program, Credit Recovery Classes, Enrichment/Acceleration Courses	8.5 Program - Number of sto completed the program, tot each semester for students Credit Recovery - Number o	udents who started and all core credits earned and GPA who completed the program. If students completing one or any any and acquiring ate in the summer. If the summer is the summer is the summer is the summer.	
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	N/A	N/A	February/October
	2015-16	927	\$599	Notes
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	2014-15 students rosters
	Metrics	'	urement	longer available.
		8.5 GPA	8.5 Credits Earned	
	2014-15	2.24	234	
	2015-16	2.25	525	
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	
		Number of Students Completing One or More Credit Recovery Courses	Number of Students Acquiring Necessary Credit(s) to Graduate in Summer	
	2014-15	380	10	
	2015-16	513	13	
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	
			Enrichment Pass Rate	Enrichment - Readine
	Enrichment Credits Earned	Enrichment Credits Earned	Percentage	for Next Course
	2014-15	128	97%	N/A
	2015-16	95	99%	99%
	2016-17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	Extended Contract	Non-Salary	Total
	2014-15	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000
	2015-16	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000
	2016-17 (Evaluation Year)	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000
	2017-18	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000
	2018-19	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000
	2019-20	\$400,000	\$155,000	\$555,000

Pillar: Excellence					
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC MET	RIC		
7 Standards Based	Increase Elementary Intervention	Academic achievement and	growth in elementary ELA and		
Learning System	Teacher to Full Time (16.5 APU)	math increase.			
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	February	
	2015-16	3,669	\$450	Notes	
	2016-17 (Projected)	3,709	\$445	ELA and Math achievement	
	Metrics	Meas	surement	shows the percent of students	
		ELA Achievement	ELA Growth	meeting state College and Career Ready targets of SBAC	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	64%	61%	assessments.	
	2015-16	66%	53%		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	ELA and Math Growth shows	
		Math Achievement	Math Growth	the percent of students who were in the 50th percentile or	
	2014-15 (Baseline)	63%	60%	above compared to students	
	2015-16	61%	48%	whose scores were similar to	
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	theirs the previous year.	
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Staffing		
	2015-16		\$1,650,000		
	2016-17		\$1,650,000		
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$1,650,000		
	2018-19		\$1,650,000		
	2019-20		\$1,650,000		

Pillar: Excellence						
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC METI	RIC			
8 Standards Based	Academic Needs Based Staffing	Schools making improvement	nt on their ODE School Report			
Learning System	Allocation (100.0 APU)	Card (more increases in repo				
		decreases).				
		Schools no longer eligible fo	r Academic Achievement			
		Funding based on their School Report Card.				
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting		
	2015-16	6,095	\$1,641	April/October		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	Notes		
	Metrics Measurement			23 Schools Received Academic Achievement		
	<u> </u>	Schools making progress on their School Report Card				
	2015-16	70%	_			
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A				
	Schools no longer eligible for Academic 2015-16	1				
		52% N/A	-			
	2016-17 (Projected)	IN/A	Staffing			
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount 2015-16		\$10,000,000			
	2015-16		\$10,000,000			
	2016-17 2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$10,000,000			
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year) 2018-19		\$10,000,000			
	2019-20		\$10,000,000			
9 Educator		Retention rate of mentored				
Effectiveness	16, 3.0 APU in 2016-17, 6.0 APU in	Teacher Mentoring (2.0 APU in 2015-				
Lifectivelless	2017-18)					
	2017-10)	Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting		
	2014-15 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	April		
	2015-16	9,100	\$22	Notes		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A	N/A	115 mentored teachers in		
	Metrics	Measurement	,	2015-16		
	2014-15 (Baseline)	90%				
	2015-16	97%				
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A				
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Staffing			
	2015-16		\$200,000			
	2016-17		\$305,000			
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$620,000			
	2018-19		\$620,000			
	2019-20		\$620,000			
10 Educator	Teach for Beaverton (0.5 APU in 2015-	The disparity between the p				
Effectiveness	16, 2.2 APU in 2016-17)					
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting		
	2014-15 (Baseline)	N/A	N/A	February		
	2015-16	0*	N/A	Notes		
	2016-17 (Projected)	150	\$1,784	*In 2015-16, the APU was used		
	Metrics	Measurement		for program development.		
	2014-15 (Baseline)	40%		An estimated 150 students are		
	2015-16	39%		being served in 6 classrooms.		
	2016-17 (Projected)	N/A				
	Annual Budgeted Investment Amount	Staffing	Non-Salary	Total		
	2015-16	\$55,000	\$0	\$55,000		
	2016-17	\$225,000	\$42,600	\$267,600		
		¢225 000	¢42.600	\$267.600		
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year)	\$225,000	\$42,600	\$267,600		
	2017-18 (Evaluation Year) 2018-19	\$225,000	\$42,600	\$267,600		

Pillar: Innovation							
KEY INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC METE	eic .	RESPONSIBLE			
1 Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Libraries (LITT	INVESTMENT SPECIFIC METRIC		RESPONSIBLE			
1 Future Ready Schools	Positions) (15.0 APU in 2015-	-Certified Staff Survey: Teacher who report they are -Very Confident integrating technology into					
	16, 26.0 APU in 2016-17,	instruction increases more in					
	52.0 APU in 2017-18)						
	-Percentage of students meeting academic growth						
	Future Ready Professional						
	Development for Classroom	t for Classroom -Achievement gap decreases faster in Future Ready schools.					
	Teachers						
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting			
	2014-15	N/A	N/A	October			
	2015-16	11,606	\$172	Notes			
	2016-17	25,561	\$133	Phase 1 Future Ready Schools: Beaver Acres ES, Bethany ES,			
		,	·	Springville K-8, McKay ES,			
Metrics		Measurement		Scholls Heights ES, Montclair			
Confidence in Integrating				ES, Raleigh Hills K-8, Raleigh Park ES, Kinnaman ES,			
Technology into Instruction	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Conestoga MS, Highland Park			
Increases	(Phase 1)	(Phase 2)	(Phase 3)	MS, Whitford MS, Merlo			
2014-15 (Phase 1 Baseline)	41%	46%	35%	Station HS, Beaverton HS, Sunset HS			
2015-16 (Phase 2 Baseline)	46%	44%	31%	Sunset ns			
2016-17 (Phase 3 Baseline)	N/A	N/A	N/A	-			
Percentage of Students Meeting				Dhasa 2 Futura Dandu Cahaala			
Academic Growth Targets	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Phase 2 Future Ready Schools: Cedar Park MS, ACMA,			
Increases - ELA	(Phase 1)	(Phase 2)	(Phase 3)	Meadow Park MS, Stoller MS,			
2014-15 (Phase 1 Baseline)	52%	54%	61%	Mountain View MS, Five Oaks			
2015-16 (Phase 2 Baseline) 2016-17 (Phase 3 Baseline)	60% N/A	60% N/A	53% N/A	MS, ISB, HS2, Aloha HS, Southridge HS, Westview HS			
	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A				
Percentage of Students Meeting	Futuro Doody Cohoola	Future Ready Schools	Futuro Boody Caboola				
Academic Growth Targets Increases - Math	Future Ready Schools (Phase 1)	(Phase 2)	Future Ready Schools (Phase 3)	Phase 3 Future Ready Schools:			
2014-15 (Phase 1 Baseline)	53%	53%	61%	Aloha Huber K-8, Barnes ES,			
2015-16 (Phase 2 Baseline)	55%	58%	53%	Bonny Slope ES, Cedar Mill ES,			
2016-17 (Phase 3 Baseline)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chehalem ES, Cooper Mountain ES, Elmonica ES,			
Acheivement Gap Decreases	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Errol Hassell ES, Findley ES,			
Faster in FR Schools - ELA	(Phase 1)	(Phase 2)	(Phase 3)	Greenway ES, Fir Grove ES,			
2014-15 (Phase 1 Baseline)	34%	35%	27%	Hazeldale ES, Hiteon ES, Jacob Wismer ES, McKinley ES,			
2015-16 (Phase 2 Baseline)	29%	31%	27%	Nancy Ryles ES, Oak Hills ES,			
2016-17 (Phase 3 Baseline)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ridgewood ES, Rock Creek ES,			
Acheivement Gap Decreases	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Schools	Sexton Mountain ES, Terra Linda ES, Vose ES, West			
Faster in FR Schools - Math	(Phase 1)	(Phase 2)	(Phase 3)	Tualatin View ES, William			
2014-15 (Phase 1 Baseline) 2015-16 (Phase 2 Baseline)	27% 26%	30% 29%	27% 25%	Walker ES, New K-5 ES, New HS			
2016-17 (Phase 3 Baseline)	N/A	N/A	N/A	113			
Annual Budgeted Investment		. 4		1			
Amount	Staffing	Professional Development	Total				
2015-16	\$1,500,000	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	1			
2016-17	\$2,600,000	\$800,000	\$3,400,000				
2017-18 (Evaluation Year)	\$5,200,000	\$1,800,000	\$7,000,000]			
2018-19	\$5,200,000	\$1,800,000	\$7,000,000				
2019-20	\$5,200,000	\$1,800,000	\$7,000,000				

Pillar: Innovation			<u> </u>	
KEY INVESTMENT INVESTMENT		INVESTMENT SPECIFIC METRIC		RESPONSIBLE
2 Future Ready Schools	Future Ready Technology (1:1 devices and digital curriculum development) (Bond Funded) Approximately 59,200 devices to be purchased over 8 years.	District Student Survey: My school has the necessary technology available to support my learning.		
		Students Served	Cost Per Student	Reporting
	2014-15	N/A	N/A	October
	2015-16 (Phase 1)	11,606	\$743	Notes
	2015-16 (Phase 2) (Projec	13,876	\$479	2015-16 Phase 1 is 2015-16
	2016-17 (Phase 3) (Projec	13,510	\$612	actual enrollment for the first 15 Future Ready schools.
Metrics	Measurement			15 Future Ready Scribols.
My school has the necessary technology available to support my learning.	Future Ready Schools (Phase 1)	Future Ready Schools (Phase 2)	Future Ready Schools (Phase 3)	2015-16 Phase 2 is 2016-17 projected enrollment for the next 11 Future Ready schools.
2014-15 (Baseline)	90%	82%	93%	2016-17 Phase 3 is 2017-18
2015-16	95%	87%	93%	projected enrollment for the
2016-17	N/A	N/A	N/A	remaining 26 Future Ready
Annual Budgeted Investment Amount		Non-Salary		schools.
2014-15		\$2,468,947		In 2015-16, devices for both
2015-16		\$15,274,070		Phase 1 and Phase 2 were
2016-17		\$6,123,088		purchased.
2017-18 (Evaluation Year)		\$4,540,482		
2018-19		\$4,540,482		
2019-20		\$4,540,482		