Campus Information									
District Name	BEEVILLE ISD	Campus Name	FADDEN-MCKEOWN-CHAMBLISS ELEMENTARY	Superintendent	DR. MARC PUIG	Principal	MRS. ANITA TAYLOR		
District Number	Campu	Campus Number	000000102	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI)		ESC Support	MRS. SONIA ZYLA		
		Campus Number	000000102				MR. STEVEN MENDOZA		
	Assurances								
DCSI	I, the District Coordinator of School Improvement, attest that I will provide or facilitate the provision of all the necessary district-level commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I understand I am responsible for the implementation of all intervention requirements. If I am the principal supervisor, I understand I am responsible for ensuring the principal carries out the plan elements as indicated herein.								
Principal Supervisor (Only necessary if the DCSI is NOT the Principal supervisor)	necessary district-level commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the principal I supervise can achieve successful implementation of the								
Principal	I, as principal for this campus, attest that I will coordinate with the DCSI (and my supervisor, if they are not the same person) to use the district-provided commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I agree to carry out the plan elements as indicated herein. MRS. ANITA TAYLOR 9/26/19								
Board Approval Date									
				Needs	S Assessment				
	What accountability goals for each				, Increase Domain 2 by 10%, ar	nd Increase Domain 3 b	ny 10%		
Data Analysis Questions			What changes in student group and subject performance are included in these goals?	Specifically, in Domain 2 and Domain 3 - Reading % showing growth for all sub groups will increase by 15%. Increase in % of meets and masters in all subject areas for ECO DIS, HISPANIC, and ALL Students.					
			If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and Graduation Rate?						
	Self-Assessment Results (To be completed if the campus HAS NOT had an ESF Diagnostic)								
				<u> </u>	essment Tool to complete	<u> </u>			
		Essential Act	ion		Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented)				
1.1 Develop campus inst	.1 Develop campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities.								
2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators.									

3.1 Compelling and align	ned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe e	environment and high expectations.				
4.1 Curriculum and asse	essments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and	sequence.				
5.1 Objective-driven dai	ly lesson plans with formative assessments.					
5.3 Data-driven instructi	ion.					
	Prioritized Focus Arc	ea #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2		Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action						
Rationale						
Desired Annual Outcome						
Barriers to Address During the Year						
Distric	ct Commitment Theory of Action:					
				gnostic Results		
			AFTER the campus enga	ges in the shared diagnostic with an ESF Facilita	ator)	
	Date of ESF Diagnostic	2019-05-01				
	Prioritized Focus Are	ea #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with format		sequence.		3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations.	
Desired Annual Outcome	to the intended lesson date. All lesson plans submadministrators using a Google Form which generat teachers. Teachers will be provided continuous transcript lesson plan expectations and desired outer	itted will be reviewed by es a feedback notice to the	resources, and formative applans submission. Summat	ive accessments will be created by Instructional	All events and initiativies will support the three areas of the campus vision . 1. Fostering Student Success, 2. Maximizing Learning Opportunities, 3. Celebrating Partnerships	
Barriers to Address During the Year	Time, and focus on high leverage components of the learning walks, specifically the components of the objectives in the higher level of rigor.		Time, specifically for vertical alignment planning and implementation of TEKS with specificity and while providing differentiated instruction.		Apathy of some, teacher burnout, time.	
District Commitment Theory of Action Learning Walks, Professional Learning			ng Communities, Coaching Conversation, Guaranteed Viable Curriculum			
Prioritized Focus Areas for Improvement	Capacity Builder					

C	.1	rofessional Development for the campus in the areas of Lesson Planning, Formative Assessment, and Fundamental 5 (Framing the Lesson - Quality Objectives)	
4	.1	Professional Development for the campus in the areas New State Adoption for ELAR, Supplimental Math Curriculum, and TEKS Resource System.	
(1)	.1	District wide systems, such as; Learning Walk Protocol, the Essential 5, PLCs and the monitoring/adjustments plans based on data.	