User: William Bjork User Role: District



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: MINEOLA ISD(250903)  Status: Passed  Rating: A = Superior		Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM  Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM  Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM									
						Distr	rict Score: 100	Passing Score: 60			
						#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AF within 30 days of the November 27 or Janua school district's fiscal year end date of June 3	3/28/2017 12:37:38 PM	Yes								
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A										
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR whole? (The American Institute of Certified F unmodified opinion. The external independer unmodified opinion.)	3/28/2017 12:37:39 PM	Yes								
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report (s) of material weaknesses in internal contro compliance for local, state, or federal funds? weakness.)	3/28/2017 12:37:39 PM	Yes								
3	Was the school district in compliance with the agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school year, an exemption applies in following year forbearance or payment plan with the lender schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also are not related to monetary defaults. A technic terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fun legal agreement between a debtor (= person and their creditors, which includes a plan for	3/28/2017 12:37:39 PM	Yes								
4	Did the school district make timely payment: (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), other government agencies?		3/28/2017 12:37:39 PM	Yes							
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balan capital appreciation bonds) in the governme		Yes								

	Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	3/28/2017 12:37:40 PM	
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:37:40 PM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:37:40 PM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:37:40 PM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 12:37:41 PM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?  (See ranges below.)	5/18/2017 12:40:46 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:37:41 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:34:38 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS)  data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of  less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 12:37:42 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 12:37:42 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 12:37:42 PM	10
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplie Sum
			100 Scor

## **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is <b>F for Substandard Achievement</b> regardless of points earned.				
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)				
	A = Superior	90-100			
	B = Above Standard	80-89			
	C = Meets Standard	60-79			
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60			

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

## FIRST DISCLOSURES

## Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2015-2016

For the 12 month period ended August 31, 2016

Meals Lodging Transportation Motor Fuel Fees/Other

Total

Kim	Regan	John	Jill	Carlist	Holly	Kyle	Kellem
Tunnell	Brandon	Abbott	Quiambao	Brinkley	Mischnick	Gully	Newell
297.75	92.98	92.98	70.35	88.27	92.98	113.57	64.23
3,074.62	958.03	1,529.52	865.57	798.25	1,523.98	732.65	1,423.31
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
37.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	417.64	402.80	0.00
620.00	325.00	325.00	50.00	325.00	325.00	325.00	325.00
		7.					
4,030.21	1,376.01	1,947.50	985.92	1,211.52	2,359.60	1,574.02	1,812.54