

# **MEMO**

DATE: December 2, 2022

TO: Charter Authorizer

FROM: ADE Legal Services Staff

SUBJECT: Desegregation Analysis of Renewal Open Enrollment Charter Application for

Premier High School of Arkansas

## I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Premier High Schools of Arkansas submitted an application for renewal of their current openenrollment public charter school, Premier High Schools of Arkansas. The charter has campuses within the boundaries of the Little Rock School District, Fort Smith School District, and the Texarkana School District. The charter school provides instruction to students in grades nine through twelve (9-12). The charter school has an enrollment cap of 875.

#### II. STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Ark. Code Ann. § 6-23-106(a) requires the applicants for a charter school, the board of directors of the school district in which a proposed charter school would be located, and the charter authorizer to "carefully review the potential impact of an application for a charter school on the efforts of a public school district or public school districts to comply with court orders and statutory obligations to create and maintain a unitary system of desegregated public schools." Ark. Code Ann. § 6-23-106(b) requires the charter authorizer to "attempt to measure the likely impact of a proposed public charter school on the efforts of public school districts to achieve and maintain a unitary system." Ark. Code Ann. § 6-23-106(c) states that the authorizer "shall not approve any public charter school under this chapter or any other act or any combination of acts that hampers, delays, or in any manner negatively affects the desegregation efforts of a public school district or public school districts in this state." This analysis is provided to inform the decision-making of the charter authorizer with regard to the effect, if any, of the proposed public charter school upon the desegregation efforts of a public school district.

## III. <u>INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT</u> <u>AND THE AFFECTED SCHOOL DISTRICTS</u>

A desegregation analysis submitted by the charter school is attached as Exhibit A. To date, no desegregation-related opposition to the charter renewal has been received.

## IV. ANALYSIS FROM THE DEPARTMENT

Enrollment, as assessed on December 2, 2022, for the traditional public school districts and open-enrollment charter schools in Pulaski, Miller, and Sebastian counties are attached as Exhibit B.

"Desegregation" is the process by which a school district eliminates, to the extent practicable, the lingering negative effects or "vestiges" of prior *de jure* (caused by official action) racial discrimination. The ADE is aware of desegregation orders affecting the Lafayette County School District, *Turner et al. v. Lewisville School District No. 1*, Case No. 92-4040, U.S. Dist. Ct., W.D. Ark., and the Hope School District, *Davis et al. v. Franks*, Case No. 4:88-cv-4082, U.S. Dist. Ct. W.D. Ark. The goal of a desegregation case regarding assignment of students to schools is to "achieve a system of determining admission to the public schools on a non-racial basis." *Pasadena City Board of Education v. Spangler*, 427 U.S. 424, 435 (1976) (*quoting Brown v. Board of Education*, 349 U.S. 294, 300-301 (1955)).

Because Premier High Schools of Arkansas will potentially draw students from the Lafayette County School District and Hope School District, the authorizer must ensure that any act it approves does not hamper, delay, or in any manner negatively affect the desegregation efforts of the Watson Chapel School District. As the Supreme Court noted in *Missouri v. Jenkins*, 515 U.S. 70, 115 (1995):

[I]n order to find unconstitutional segregation, we require that plaintiffs "prove all of the essential elements of de jure segregation -- that is, stated simply, a current condition of segregation resulting from intentional state action directed specifically to the [allegedly segregated] schools." Keyes v. School Dist. No. 1, 413 U.S. 189, 205-206 (1973) (emphasis added). "[T]he differentiating factor between de jure segregation and so-called de facto segregation . . . is purpose or intent to segregate." Id., at 208 (emphasis in original).

The Lafayette County School District and Hope School District both participate in school choice. Lafayette County School District is actively working towards being declared unitary and ending its federal oversight. The Hope School District is also working toward being declared unitary and has started the process of implementing a magnet school program to achieve this purpose.

As noted above, the Lafayette County and Hope School Districts remain under federal court supervision. Therefore, the authorizer should consider whether granting the renewal application will negatively affect Lafayette County School District's or Hope School District's efforts to achieve full unitary status.

No desegregation-related opposition was received from any of the affected school districts.

## V. CONCLUSION

ADE has no reason to conclude, from data currently available, that approval of this renewal is motivated by an impermissible intent to segregate schools, or that approval would hamper, delay, or negatively affect the desegregation efforts of the affected school district.

## **Section 8: Desegregation Analysis**

Describe the impact, both current and potential, of the public charter school on the efforts of affected public school district(s) to comply with court orders and statutory obligations to create and maintain a unitary system of desegregated public schools.

## **Premier Little Rock High School Desegregation Analysis**

Premier Little Rock High School (Premier) is seeking the renewal of its open-enrollment charter from the State's charter authorizer. Premier is located within the boundaries of the Little Rock School District and, as an open-enrollment public charter school unconfined by district boundaries, expects to continue to obtain most of its students from within the boundaries of the Little Rock (LRSD), North Little Rock (NLRSD), Pulaski County (PCSSD) and Jacksonville-North Pulaski (JNPSD) School Districts.

## I. The Status of Pulaski County Desegregation Litigation

Premier is providing this desegregation analysis in accordance with Ark. Code Ann. §6-23-106 to review the potential impact that its charter renewal application would have upon the efforts of all four (4) of the Pulaski County school districts to comply with court orders and statutory obligations to create and maintain a unitary system of desegregated public schools. In conducting its review, Premier has substantiated that the LRSD and the NLRSD have been declared unitary in all respects of their school operations. The Pulaski County desegregation litigation was first filed in 1982. *Little Rock School District, et al v. Pulaski County Special School District, et al.*, Case No. 4:82:cv-00866-DPM. In 1989, the parties entered into a settlement agreement (the "1989 Settlement Agreement") under which the Arkansas Department of Education, the then-three (3) Pulaski County school districts, and the intervenors agreed to the terms of state funding for desegregation obligations.

LRSD successfully completed its desegregation efforts in 2007 and was declared fully unitary by the federal court in 2007. *Little Rock School District v. Pulaski County Special School District*, Case No. 4:82-cv-0866 (E.D. Ark.), Order filed February 23, 2007. In 2010, LRSD filed a motion to enforce the 1989 Settlement Agreement. The motion contended that operation of open-enrollment public charter schools within Pulaski County interfered with the "M-M Stipulation" and the "Magnet Stipulation." On January 17, 2013, Judge D.P. Marshall Jr. denied LRSD's motion, stating:

"The cumulative effect of open enrollment charter schools in Pulaski County on the stipulation magnet schools and M-to-M transfers has not, as a matter of law, substantially defeated the relevant purposes of the 1989 Settlement Agreement, the magnet stipulation, or the M-to-M stipulation."

Little Rock School District v. Pulaski County Special School District, Case No. 4:82-cv-0866 (E.D. Ark.), Order filed January 17, 2013. LRSD appealed to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals.

One (1) year later, on January 13, 2014, Judge Marshall approved a Settlement Agreement that included a provision stipulating the voluntary dismissal with prejudice of LRSD's pending appeal concerning the charter school issues. In light of LRSD's unitary status and the parties' 2014 Settlement Agreement, Premier's requested charter renewal cannot interfere with the purposes of the Pulaski County desegregation litigation, which has been fully concluded as to LRSD. After the dismissal and the settlement agreement, the case was completely concluded for all purposes as to LRSD, and the federal court terminated all jurisdiction in the matter. Because of that, there is no possibility that Premier's requested charter renewal could impact LRSD's unitary status. To be clear, Premier's charter renewal application cannot impact LRSD's unitary status because 1) there is no case in which LRSD's unitary status could be an issue; 2) LRSD made a claim regarding operation of open-enrollment charter schools in federal court in 2010 and lost it; and 3) as a consequence of the 2014 Settlement Agreement, the LRSD released any claims it had concerning the charter school issues. On January 30, 2014, the Court also approved a stipulation among the parties that PCSSD is unitary in the areas of Assignment of Students and Advanced Placement, Gifted and Talented and Honors Programs. Based on the stipulation, the Court released PCSSD from supervision and monitoring in these areas. Thus, as of January 30, 2014, LRSD, NLRSD and PCSSD are unitary in the area of student assignments. On April 4, 2014, the court found that PCSSD is unitary in the areas of Special Education and Scholarships. Subsequently, PCSSD was also found to be unitary in the areas of Staff and Monitoring. Pursuant to Judge Marshall's order on May 6, 2021, both PCSSD and JNPSD are unitary in all areas except School Facilities.

Upon review, Premier believes that its request to obtain the renewal of its open-enrollment public charter shall have no negative effects on the efforts of the PCSSD and JNPSD to attain unitary status.

## II. <u>Data</u>

According to last year's third-quarter Average Daily Membership enrollment figures as maintained by the DESE Data Center, LRSD had a student population of 20,518 students, of which 59.94% were Black/African-American; 19.57% were White, and 16.02% were Hispanic. NLRSD's student population was 7,640 students, of which 57.19% were Black/African-American; 25.75% were White, and 11.45% were Hispanic. PCSSD's student population was 11,227 students, of which 44.37% were Black/African-American; 38.61% were White, and 10.17% were Hispanic. JNPSD's student population was 3,841 students, of which 54.81% were Black/African-American; 33.85% were White, and 7.54% were Hispanic. Premier's student population was 127 students, of which 80.8% were Black/African-American,

and 14.4% were White.

Ark. Code Ann. §6-23-106 requires that Premier's continued operation will not serve to hamper, delay, or in any manner negatively affect the desegregation efforts of a public school district or districts within the state. As explained in more detail above, Premier's careful review of the relevant statutes and court orders affecting the LRSD, NLRSD, PCSSD, and JNPSD and their student populations, and its own student population, shows that such negative impact is not present here.

## III. Conclusion

Premier submits that upon the basis of its review, neither any existing federal desegregation order affecting the PCSSD, LRSD, NLRSD, and JNPSD, nor the 1989 Settlement Agreement prohibit the State's charter school authorizer from granting its renewal application to continue operating an open-enrollment public charter school within the geographic boundaries of the LRSD.

			Black/ African		Native Am. Hawaiian/		
	2 or More Races	Asian	American	Hispanic	Pacific Islander	White	Totals
Jacksonville North	202	36	School Districts in		y 22	1 200	4.240
Pulaski School	4.76%	0.85%	2,238 52.68%	370 8.71%	0.52%	1,380 32.49%	4,248
District Little Rock	136	691	11,925	3,348	129	3,906	20,135
School District	0.68%	3.43%	59.23%	16.63%	0.64%	19.40%	20,133
N. Little Rock	355	66	4,402	938	40	1,839	7,640
School District	4.65%	0.86%	57.62%	12.28%	0.52%	24.07%	7,040
School District	136	691	11,925	3,348	129	3,906	20,135
Little Rock School District	0.68%	3.43%	59.23%	16.63%	0.64%	19.40%	
DISTRICT	829	1,484	30,490	8,004	320	11,031	52,158
TOTAL	1.59%	2.85%	58.46%	15.35%	0.61%	21.15%	32,130
TOTAL	1.39/0		ollment Public Chart			21.13 /0	
	57	79	312	74	8	1,155	1,685
Academics Plus -	3.4%	4.7%	18.5%	4.4%	0.5%	68.5%	
0 1 1 01	0	0	112	7	0.570	6	125
Capitol City Lighthouse	0.0%	0.0%	89.6%	5.6%	0.0%	4.8%	
	184	28	1,947	291	5	597	3,052
E-Stem	6.0%	0.9%	63.8%	9.5%	0.2%	19.6%	3,032
	11	0.9%	118	409	0.2%	19.6%	539
Exalt Academy	2.0%	0.0%	21.9%	75.9%	0.0%	0.2%	339
Iacksonville	9	10	496	62	7	143	727
Lighthouse	1.2%	1.4%	68.2%	8.5%	1.0%	19.7%	727
(Elem.,	1.2/0	1.4 /0	00.270	0.5 /6	1.0 /0	19.7 /0	
Lisa Academy	106	218	1,428	773	24	573	3,122
Lisa Academy	3.4%	7.0%	45.7%	24.8%	0.8%	18.4%	
Premier High	3	1	102	5	1	31	143
School (NLR)	2.1%	0.7%	71.3%	3.5%	0.7%	21.7%	
Premier High	4	0	101	0	2	18	125
School (LR)	3.2%	0.0%	80.8%	0.0%	1.6%	14.4%	
, ,	8	3	47	5	1	59	123
Founders Classical Academy WLR	6.5%	2.4%	38.2%	4.1%	0.8%	48.0%	
Friendship LR	0	0	226	38	1	2	267
Thereasinp Ex	0.0%	0.0%	84.6%	14.2%	0.4%	0.7%	
	2	1	375	10	0	2	390
Scholarmade	0.5%	0.3%	96.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%	
Westwind School	2	0	46	2	0	0	13
for Performing	15.4%	0.0%	353.8%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13
Arts							
Graduate	0	0	82	19	5	35	141
Arkansas	0.0%	0.0%	58.2%	13.5%	3.5%	24.8%	
CHARTER	384	340	5,346	1,693	54	2,622	10,439
TOTAL	3.7%	3.3%	51.2%	16.2%	0.5%	25.1%	
COUNTYWIDE	1,213	1,824	35,836	9,697	374	13,653	62,597
TOTAL	1.9%	2.9%	57.2%	15.5%	0.6%	21.8%	

Source: ADE Data Center, accessed November 2022

Prepared by: Whitney James, Staff Attorney

			Black/ African		Native Am. Hawaiian/		
	2 or More Races	Asian	American	Hispanic	Pacific Islander	White	Totals
			Surrounding S	chool Districts			
Ashdown School	87	4	341	71	6	799	1,308
District	6.65%	0.31%	26.07%	5.43%	0.46%	61.09%	
Hope School	67	6	949	703	2	418	2,145
District	3.12%	0.28%	44.24%	32.77%	0.09%	19.49%	
Spring Hill	36	1	1	33	4	546	621
School District	5.80%	0.16%	0.16%	5.31%	0.64%	87.92%	
Lafayette School	21	0	315	25	0	150	511
District	4.11%	0.00%	61.64%	4.89%	0.00%	29.35%	
Genoa School District	24	3	4	23	3	1,126	1,183
	2.03%	0.25%	0.34%	1.94%	0.25%	95.18%	
Texarkana	167	17	2,037	209	16	1,264	3,710
School District	4.50%	0.46%	54.91%	5.63%	0.43%	34.07%	
DISTRICT	569	28	3,328	1,016	28	3,027	7,996
TOTAL	7.12%	0.35%	41.62%	12.71%	0.35%	37.86%	

Source: ADE Data Center, accessed Dec. 2022

Prepared by: Shasta Wagner, Staff Attorney

	2 or More Races	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic	Native Am. Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	Totals
	•	Schoo	l Districts in Seba	stian County, A	rkansas		
Fort Smith	1,462	703	1,411	4,896	142	5,215	13,829
School District	10.57%	5.08%	10.20%	35.40%	1.03%	37.71%	
Lavaca School	60	7	4	53	15	639	778
District	7.71%	0.90%	0.51%	6.81%	1.93%	82.13%	
Greenwood School District	290	72	26	235	66	3,193	3,882
	7.47%	1.85%	0.67%	6.05%	1.70%	82.25%	
Hackett School District	50	2	4	28	17	659	760
	6.58%	0.26%	0.53%	3.68%	2.24%	86.71%	
DISTRICT TOTAL	1,862	784	1,445	5,212	240	9,706	19,249
	9.67%	4.07%	7.51%	27.08%	1.25%	50.42%	
	Op	en-Enrollment l	Public Charter Sch	nools in Sebastia	ın County, Arkansa	15	
Premier High School of Fort Smith	7	1	8	15	0	32	63
	11.1%	1.6%	12.7%	23.8%	0.0%	50.8%	
CHARTER TOTAL	7	1	8	15	0	32	63
	11.1%	1.6%	12.7%	23.8%	0.0%	50.8%	
COUNTYWIDE TOTAL	1,869	785	1,453	5,227	240	9,738	19,312
	9.7%	4.1%	7.5%	27.1%	1.2%	50.4%	

Source: ADE Data Center, accessed December 2022

Prepared by: Shasta Wagner, Staff Attorney