# **Groesbeck Independent School District**

**District Improvement Plan** 

2023-2024



# **Mission Statement**

The Mission of Groesbeck ISD is to ensure that every student achieves maximum potential.

# Vision

The Vision of Groesbeck ISD is to be an EDUCATIONAL BEACON that exceeds the state's highest standards in all areas of education.

# Motto

Every Kid a Winner!

## **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Priority Problem Statements	5
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	6
Goals	9
Goal 1: The District's cumulative "meets" grade level score on the STAAR test will increase from 41% to 52% by 2027. Baseline: 2022 - 41% 2023 - 43% 2024 - 46% 2025** 48% 2026* - 50% 2027* - 52% *2019 STAAR State: 50% **2019 STAAR Region 12: 45% **2019 STAAR Region 10: 53%	- 9
Goal 2: The District's cumulative Percent in Attendance will increase from 93.1% to 96.0% by 2027. Baseline: 2022 - 93.1% 2023 - 94.0% 2024 - 94.5% 2025 - 95.0% 2026 - 95.6% 2027 - 96.0%	15
Goal 3: The District's cumulative fund balance will increase from 2 months to 3.3 months by 2027. Baseline: 2022 - 2 months Target 2023 - 2.3 months 2024 - 2.6 months 2027 - 2.9 months 2026 - 3.1 months 2027 - 3.3 months Goal 4: GISD will implement safeguard measures for campuses and will promote strong moral standards for students.	25 21 27
Sourt - Stop with implement sateguard measures for campuses and with promote strong moral standards for students.	<i>21</i>

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

## **Demographics**

### **Demographics Summary**

Groesbeck ISD is a rural 3A district in central Texas. The community consists of the towns of Groesbeck, Thornton, and Kosse and the surrounding area including ranch and farm land. Groesbeck ISD is one of the oldest school districts in Texas and is located near historic Ft. Parker. Groesbeck is home to a coal-based power plant, various small mining enterprises and manufacturing industries. The total assessed property value in the school district has decreased by 48% in the last 8 years.

Currently the district serves approximately 1567 students in grade pre-kindergarten - 12. Our enrollment has remained steady. Groesbeck ISD accept transfer students who meet attendance and discipline standards. Students are housed in four campuses: H.O. Whitehurst Elementary (PK - 3), Enge-Washington Intermediate School (4-6), Groesbeck Middle School (7-8), and Groesbeck High School (9-12) The student population is approximately 54% white; 29% Hispanic; 11% African American; 4% two or more races; and the remaining 1% American Indian, Asian, or Hawaiian/Pacific Islander. The majority of the students (63%) qualify for free or reduced meals. English learners comprise about 5% of the population, and about 13% of the students qualify for special education services. Our High School graduation rate is at 98%. Specific information about performance for students as a whole and specific sub-populations is included in the Academic Achievement section of this report. In an effort to save paper, those results will not be duplicated in this section.

Groesbeck ISD employees 279 individuals of which 114 are teachers and 8 are campus based administrators. Teachers and administrators do meet state certification requirements. 60% of the teachers have 10 or more years of experience. Each campus is also served by at least one licensed school counselor and/or social worker. The district is governed by an elected 7-member Board of Trustees.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Groesbeck ISD is funded 77% from local taxes and 22% by State revenue. Another strength is the low crime rate within the ISD compared to the adjacent ISDs. GISD supports a diverse group of students.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1: The district is over 528 sq miles, creating a challenge when reaching parents and providing transportation. Root Cause: GISD incorporated Thorton ISD and Kosse ISD decades ago.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT
- ASPIRE
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool

- · Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data
- STEM and/or STEAM data
- Pregnancy and related services data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- Equity data
- T-TESS data
- T-PESS data

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

### Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results
- Other additional data

# Goals

#### Revised/Approved: August 14, 2023

Goal 1: The District's cumulative "meets" grade level score on the STAAR test will increase from 41% to 52% by 2027.

Baseline: 2022 - 41% 2023 - 43% 2024 - 46% 2025\*\* - 48% 2026\* - 50% 2027\* - 52%

\*2019 STAAR State: 50% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 12: 45% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 10: 53%

**Performance Objective 1:** Develop and implement a Campus 5-year goal with strategies for each STAAR test using comparison groups and Region 12 standards, aligning with district goals.

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: T-TESS goals for student growth aligned with STAAR percentages for both campus and district improvement	Formative		Formati		Summative
plans.	Nov	Dec	Apr		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Campus Improvement Plans support strategy. All certified staff have approved student growth goals in STRIVE.	FOW	75.04			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	50%	75%			

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: Provide and implement a rigorous, viable curriculum at all grade levels	Form	native	Summativ
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher lessons plans will reflect the use of district adopted curriculum, show differentiation, include data on Scope and Sequence, and review essential skill for student to achieve.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent</li> </ul>	Nov 70%	Dec 75%	Apr
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Implementation of intervention resources to target all students (ex: iReady).	Form	native	Summativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in STAAR growth measures.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	50%	75%	Арг
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: Monitor progress throughout the year by analyzing standards based data provided through assessments	Form	native	Summativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Data collection of Unit Assessments, Team data meetings, lesson plans reflect reteaching, remediation	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	70%	70%	
Strategy 5 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 5: Identify English Language Proficiency subpopulation and monitor student progress to ensure CLOSING THE GAP score	Form	native	Summativ
will receive 10 points	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dir Student Populations, EL coordinator, campus principals, curriculum dir, Supt	25%	35%	-
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 6: Bi monthly curriculum director mtgs and bi-monthly 1:1 principal meetings to review STAAR and UNIT Exam	Forn	native	Summativ
benchmarking.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Continued focus on results and attainment of the district goal. Also, thatthe unit exam data is consistent with STAAR results. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, curriculum dior, principals	70%	70%	
		70%	

Goal 1: The District's cumulative "meets" grade level score on the STAAR test will increase from 41% to 52% by 2027.

Baseline: 2022 - 41% 2023 - 43% 2024 - 46% 2025\*\* - 48% 2026\* - 50% 2027\* - 52%

\*2019 STAAR State: 50% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 12: 45% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 10: 53%

Performance Objective 2: Identify and actively promote core values of the district.

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews		
Strategy 1: Create and promote profile of an educator	Form	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff focus on core business of teaching with communication, collaboration, professionalism in order to ensure students grow one year+	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		
Strategy 2: Develop and implement a comprehensive safety and security plan dealing with issues such as mental health for students and	Formative Sum		Summative
staff. Plans to include TxSCC requirements for audit, SCC Committee meetings to collaborate with law enforcement, Parent University, Children Telehealth, etc.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Updated state required documentation re: school safety and mental health. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	70%	70%	

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Develop and promote the profile of a learner	Form	Formative		
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who are not from GISD will quickly integrate into GISD programs and culture.</li> <li>Reduced discipline records and higher student achievement from new students.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals, Counselors, Nurse</li> </ul>	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Ensure that all extra curricular and co-curricular programs are of the highest quality and meet the interests of all students by	Forn	ative	Summative	
ident interest surveys, celebrations, activities, and programs		Dec	Apr	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student participation and increase in attendance rates Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals, Counselors	20%	25%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue	<u>.</u>		

Goal 1: The District's cumulative "meets" grade level score on the STAAR test will increase from 41% to 52% by 2027.

Baseline: 2022 - 41% 2023 - 43% 2024 - 46% 2025\*\* - 48% 2026\* - 50% 2027\* - 52%

\*2019 STAAR State: 50% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 12: 45% \*\*2019 STAAR Region 10: 53%

Performance Objective 3: Targeted and specific professional development plan aligned to individual T-TESS/T-PESS goals

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Professional development aligned with teachers individual T-TESS professional growth goals.	Form	Formative		Formative Sum	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional growth goal reflected within lesson plans and instruction. Goals and artifacts tracked within STRIVE. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Administration, Curriculum Director, Superintendent		Dec 55%	Apr		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Implement book studies at the staff and administrator level in order to better support students.	Form	ative	Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus aligned initiative's. T-PESS goals aligned Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	Nov	Dec	Apr		

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Ensure staff are properly trained in the skills needed to fully utilize all technologies and resources available to them.	Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher Academy Schedule. Onboarding schedule. Clear form of communication for help needed	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Curriculum Director, Superintendent	30%	40%	
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: UbD style lesson/testing formats for teachers is the GISD expectation on STAAR subjects	Form	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Unit exams results will coorelate with and predict accurately with STAAR performance.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principals, supt, curr dir		60%	
Strategy 5 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 5: PD calendar reflexs district growth needs and planning with the exect team on the agenda for the PD days.	Form	ative	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Using PD days to fill in teacher instructional gaps	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: executive team	50%	50%	
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify     Image: Continue/Modify	tinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 93.1% 2023 - 94.0% 2024 - 94.5% 2025 - 95.0% 2026 - 95.6% 2027 - 96.0%

Performance Objective 1: Create clear and uniformed communication to all stakeholders regarding attendance

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
<b>itegy 1:</b> Set a realistic 5 year attendance goal for the district and a unique individual campus goal that supports the district goal.		. Formative Sun	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Board, Supt, PEIMS,	taff Responsible for Monitoring: Board, Supt, PEIMS,NovDec		Apr
	100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: Publish newsletters which promotes and explains attendance accountability, going over attendance policy, and setting clear	Formative S		Summative
and consistent expectations.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, Principals	70%	75%	
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify     Image: Continue/Modify	tinue		•

Baseline: 2022 - 93.1% 2023 - 94.0% 2024 - 94.5% 2025 - 95.0% 2026 - 95.6% 2027 - 96.0%

Performance Objective 2: Create a clear and uniformed documentation and analysis of student absences

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide campus attendance reports weekly to track progress of Goal	Form	Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PEIMS, Supt, principals	Nov	Dec	Apr	
	60%	60%		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
ategy 2: Create and enforce a school-wide attendance plan where attendance is taken and submitted appropriately daily.		Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PEIMS, Attendance clerks, campus leadership	Nov	Dec	Apr	
	100%	100%		
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	•	
Strategy 3: Establish collaborative meetings with Assistant Principals and campus Principals.	Form	Formative Sun		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Establish school self assessments, defined roles and responsibilities, and tips for effective	Nov	Dec	Apr	
attendance monitoring and supports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APs, Supt, PEIMS, Principals	75%	75%		

Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: Establish positive relationship with Attendance Judge by providing clear and consistent documentation and communication	Form	Summative		
from each campus.	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Judge supports fining and/or penalizing families who consistently have poor attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APs, Supt, PEIMS, Principals	80%			
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue			

Baseline: 2022 - 93.1% 2023 - 94.0% 2024 - 94.5% 2025 - 95.0% 2026 - 95.6% 2027 - 96.0%

Performance Objective 3: Increase campus and district PBIS systems for student absences

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Create a goal attainment bonus for campuses within district budget for when campuses exceed their attendance goal	l Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students and staff see that attendance is positively rewarded and continue to increase attendance rate	Nov	Dec	Apr		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, business Mgr, accounting, principals	80%	85%			
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
trategy 2: Require special meetings with campus leadership team when the campus is below the targeted individual campus goal Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Updated CIP with amended strategies Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus leadership, PEIMS, supt	Formative Summ				
	Nov	Dec	Apr		
	50%	60%			
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Require all campuses to create and actively promote a campus and teacher PBIS attendance system(s, requiring the use of all	Forn	native	Summative		
tiers in the PBIS pyramid	Nov	Dec	Apr		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced negative behaviors resulting in OSS and increased campus overall attendance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, campus principals	10%	30%			

	Strategy 4 Details				Reviews	
Strategy 4: Increase active participation in spirit week even	ts and have a more unified dis	strict concept.		Form	ative	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Incfease attenda	nce			Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus admin				65%	70%	
0% No Progress	Accomplished		X Discon	tinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 93.1% 2023 - 94.0% 2024 - 94.5% 2025 - 95.0% 2026 - 95.6% 2027 - 96.0%

Performance Objective 4: Increase health of Staff and Students

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Increase participation of Staff Flu Clinic days	Form	Formative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: district nurse	Nov	Dec	Apr
	70%	70%	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: Establish district-wide cleaning and sanitizing system during flu and covid peaks.	Form	native	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased/stable attendance	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dir of Maint, supt, campus principals	50%	65%	
Image: Moment with the second seco	ntinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 2 months Target 2023 - 2.3 months 2024 - 2.6 months 2025 - 2.9 months 2026 - 3.1 months 2027 - 3.3 months

### Performance Objective 1: Pass VATRE in November election

**High Priority** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Send postcards to each registered voter explaining need for VATRE favorably outcome for the district.	Forn	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Pass the VATRE in November 20223	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: exec asst, supt, accounts payable	100%	100%		
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Host 12 or more community information meetings throughout the district regarding the VATRE to include a board member at	Forn	Formative		
each.	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Pass the VATRE in November 20223 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Board, supt, business Mgr, exec assistant	100%	100%		
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Communicate in social media, website, and local paper clear message regarding the importance of the VATRE.	Forn	native	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Pass the VATRE in November 20223	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, Exec Asst.	90%	100%		

	Strategy 4 Details				Reviews	
Strategy 4: Meet with business owners and place informat	on at their locations			Form	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: pass VATRE				Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, business m	ngr			80%	100%	
0% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 2 months Target 2023 - 2.3 months 2024 - 2.6 months 2025 - 2.9 months 2026 - 3.1 months 2027 - 3.3 months

Performance Objective 2: Set and approve district budget in August and maintain a positive expenditure over revenue balance sheet

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Ascender financials, TXPool account, bank balance, Audit Report, CD depository

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Bi-Monthly meetings with Business Mgr to review cash flow and make budget reduction measures in necessary	Forn	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positive cash-flow and not relying on district fund balance	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, business manager	50%	80%	
Image: Moment of the second	ontinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 2 months Target 2023 - 2.3 months 2024 - 2.6 months 2025 - 2.9 months 2026 - 3.1 months 2027 - 3.3 months

**Performance Objective 3:** Conduct Energy Savings cost analysis and implement energy savings techniques in order to reduce district utility costs by 25%-35%

#### **High Priority**

HB3 Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** electric and gas utility bills

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Reach out to 3rd party energy savings providers to determine a district-wide automation system provider	Form	Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: maintenance Dir, supt, accounting dept	Nov	Nov Dec		
	80%	100%		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Replace the H.O. Whitehurst existing thermostats with new controllers and integrate into district-wide automation system	Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Control set points so to optimize energy savings	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: maintenance Dir, supt, accounting dept	100%	100%		
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	•	
Strategy 3: Establish and promote the policy for electric devices stored in classrooms such as microwaves and mini-fridges. Stickers will	Forn	native	Summative	
be placed on devices to ensure compliance.	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Confirmation that utility costs will not increase from last year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: maintenance Dir, supt, accounting dept	70%	70%		

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: Create campaign to have teachers "unplug" when school is not in session for 4+ days in a row.	Form	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Confirmation that utility costs will not increase from last year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: maintenance Dir, supt, accounting dept	Nov	Dec	Apr
Start Kesponsible for Monitoring. maintenance Dir, supt, accounting dept	40%	75%	
Strategy 5 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 5: Only use projectors for instructional and turn off projectors when not in instructional use	Form	ative	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus leadership to ensure	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus leadership	80%	80%	
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 6: Establish UNPLUG campaign for staff to unplug classrooms during holidays	Form	ative	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: reduced electric costs	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Ideal Impact rep, campus principals	40%	75%	
Image: Model with the second secon	tinue		

Baseline: 2022 - 2 months Target 2023 - 2.3 months 2024 - 2.6 months 2025 - 2.9 months 2026 - 3.1 months 2027 - 3.3 months

Performance Objective 4: Adjust campus budgets (staffing or supplies) when reviewing Expenditures vs Revenues

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: ADA, attendance rates

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Reduce dept/campus budgets (staffing or supplies) when ADA projections are not met	Form	Formative S		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain a balanced budget	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, business Mgr, campus leadership, account dept, PEIMS	55%	55%		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Increase Dept/Campus budgets (staffing or supplies) when ADA projections are met	Form	native	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain a balanced budget	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, business Mgr, campus leadership, account dept, PEIMS				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Disc	continue	1	1	

Performance Objective 1: Address and Communicate that dating violence will not be tolerated in any form, including: physical emotional, and/or sexual.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: All parents and students should be able to use the anonymous alerts system on our website/phone app.	Forn	Formative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, Exec Asst., APs/Principals	Nov	Dec	Apr
	70%	85%	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: All parents and students should be encouraged to reach out to trusted adults like parents, teachers, school	Forn	native	Summative
counselors, youth advisors, or health care providers. They can also seek confidential counsel and advice from professionally trained adults and peers.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The National Domestic Violence Hot-line 1.800.799.SAFE (7233) or 1-800-787-3224 (TTY) The National Centers for Victims of Crime (NCVC) 1-800-FYI-CALL The National Dating Abuse Helpline 1-866-331-9474 Break the Cycle: https://breakthecycle.org/ Love is Respect: https://www.loveisrespect.org/	50%	65%	
Image: Moment of the second	Intinue	<u> </u>	

Performance Objective 2: Establish and communicate guidelines for students who are victims.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Allow persons affected by harassment to report the harassment in more than one location to protect confidentiality and ensure	Form	Formative		
impartiality. A good practice is for every building to have at least one person who has expertise in harassment issues to handle complaints of harassment. Encourage individuals of diverse backgrounds and both sexes to serve as complaint managers. Provide a simple form to	Nov	Dec	Apr	
minimize the need for lengthy written complaints, to focus attention on the critical elements, and to simplify periodic compilation of harassment incident reports.	65%	65%		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Encourage students and parents to notify the district when harassment occurs, Provide knowledgeable staff responses to	Form	native	Summative	
reports of harassment, and Require employees to report harassment and to intervene to stop it Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: https://www2.ed.gov/offices/OCR/archives/Harassment/incidents1.html	Nov	Dec	Apr	
		60%		
Image: Model of the second	tinue			

**Performance Objective 3:** GISD will ensure that campuses make available to students age-appropriate educational materials on the dangers of dating violence and resources for students seeking help

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Campuses will provide educational materials that address Risk Factors for Teen Dating Violence Victimization and Risk	Form	Formative	
<ul> <li>Factors for Teen Dating Violence Perpetration</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Findings suggest that the frequency and severity of teen dating violence increases with age. There are also risk factors that contribute to the likelihood of a teen becoming a perpetrator of dating violence. Many of these are developmentally normal in youth, such as little to no relationship experience, vulnerability to peer pressure, and unsophisticated communication skills. Reduce occurrences of dating violence</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors and Campus Admin</li> </ul>	Nov	Dec	Apr
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		
Strategy 2: Campuses will work with programs who challenge dating violence. Examples of Teen Dating Violence Prevention Programs:	Formative		Summativ
The Safe Dates Project, Break the Cycle's Ending Violence Curriculum, The 4th R, The Youth Relationships Project, and/or Shifting Boundaries. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduce occurrences of dating violence Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors and Campus Admin	Nov	Dec	Apr
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Engage community and enhance public safety with tip411: https://home.tip411.com/	Form	ormative Summa	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 2-way anonymous tips submitted by the public through text via a custom keyword, over email, weblinks and through your social media sites. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, campus principals, counselors	Nov	Dec	Apr
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify X Discont	inue	<u> </u>	

**Performance Objective 4:** GISD will provide a safe learning environment for all students, including, but not limited to bullying, cyberbullying, hazing, verbal harassment and/or physical harassment.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: GISD will provide student conduct/character development sessions from the Counselor, including those listed on Parent	Forn	Formative	
University sessions.	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, Supt, Campus leadership	40%	65%	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: Title IV funds will be used to support well rounded education opportunities, safe and healthy students, and effective use of	Forn	native	Summative
technology in regards to overall school safety.	Nov	Dec	Apr
	25%	25%	
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Increase training of GoGuardian computer monitoring system	Forn	native	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: safe websites used and reduced harassment	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Tech dept, campus principals		55%	
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: Develop and increase parent/community participation of the Parent University program	Forn	native	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: parent involvement to help monitor and identify students in crisis	Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, campus principals, counselors	35%	40%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue	1	1

Goal 4: GISD will implement safeguard measures for campuses and will promote strong moral standards for students.

Performance Objective 5: DAEP services shall share responsibility for the academic performance of the DAEP student. [TAC 19 103.1201(b)].

#### **High Priority**

### HB3 Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** 1. Student groups served, including over-representation of students from economically disadvantaged families, ethnic and racial representations, and with a disability who receive special education and limited English proficiency services

- 2. Attendance rates
- 3. Pre- and post-assessment results
- 4. Dropout rates
- 5. Graduation rates
- 6. Recidivism rates

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Create DAEP uniform procedure guide	Forn	Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: supt, dir of student services	Nov	Nov Dec		
	60%	65%		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Establish a Director over DAEP	Forn	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Coordinate information and unify attendance, discipline, and academic progression while in DAEP.	Nov	Dec	Apr	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, Director of Student Services	100%	100%		
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Review and update online resources for DAEP students	Forn	native	Summative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curric Director, Supt, Dir of Student Services	Nov	Dec	Apr	
	100%	100%		

Strategy 4 Details				Reviews		
Strategy 4: Train DAEP staff on Crane-Reynolds System for managing DAEP program				Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower emotional eruptions, stronger student academic performance				Nov	Dec	Apr
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Supt, Dir over	DAEP, DAEP staff, HS princip	al (for scheduling purposes)		100%	100%	
0% No Progress	Accomplished		X Discon	ıtinue		