User: Deborah Hummel User Role: District

RATING YEAR

2016-2017

DISTRICT NUMBER | district #

Select An Option

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

	e: STEPHENVILLE ISD(072903)	Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29		
Status: Passed Rating: A = Superior		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM		
				Distr
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the June 30 or August 31, respectively?		3/28/2017 11:28:58 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material value. 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicating indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.			
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the finan American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICP/ external independent auditor determines if there was an	A) defines unmodified opinion. The	3/28/2017 11:28:58 AM	Yes
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting a funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		3/28/2017 11:28:58 AM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment to end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal years if the school district is current on its forbearance of payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A tecterms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissor lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreem debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and paying back the debt.)	year, an exemption applies in following ir payment plan with the lender and the ir rated. Also exempted are technical hinical default is a failure to uphold the ignore even though payments to the ent is a legal agreement between a	3/28/2017 11:28:58 AM	Yes
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Tead Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service		3/28/2017 11:28:59 AM	Yes
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column greater than zero? (If the school district's change of student percent or more, then the school district passes this indicates the school district passes the school district	in in the Statement of Net Position dents in membership over 5 years was 10	3/28/2017 11:28:59 AM	Yes
				1

018	District Status Detail		
		****	Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:28:59 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:29:00 AM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:29:00 AM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 11:29:00 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	5/18/2017 12:22:30 PM	0
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:29:01 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:17:31 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 11:29:02 AM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 11:29:02 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 11:29:02 AM	10
			90 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			90 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.			
В.	termine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)			
	A = Superior	90-100		

B = Above Standard	80-89
C = Meets Standard	60-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<60

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.0.1.0