Woodbridge School District Next-Generation Accountability Report 2015-16

March 2017 Gina Prisco Analisa Sherman

Accountability Systems Serve Important Purposes

- Track progress and growth
- Recognize successes
- Identify priorities and next steps
- Promote transparency
- Satisfy federal and state requirements

Connecticut Next Generation Accountability System for Districts and Schools

- Provides a more complete picture of a school or district
- Encourages leaders to view accountability results not as a "gotcha" but as a tool to guide and track improvement efforts
- Developed by CT Department of Education with extensive feedback from district and school leaders, Connecticut educators, state and national experts, CSDE staff, and many others.

What are the 12 Indicators?

- 1. Academic achievement (Performance Index)
- 2. Academic growth
- 3. Assessment participation rate
- 4. Chronic absenteeism
- 5. Preparation for postsecondary and career readiness coursework
- 6. Preparation for postsecondary and career readiness exams
- 7. Graduation on track in ninth grade
- 8. Graduation four-year adjusted cohort
- 9. Graduation six-year adjusted cohort
- 10. Postsecondary Entrance Rate
- 11. Physical fitness
- 12. Arts access

Woodbridge School District 2015-16

No:	Indicator	Index/ Ra	ate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State A Index/H	
1a.	ELA Performance Index – All Students	82.1		75	50.0	50	100.0%	67.7	7
1b.	ELA Performance Index – High Needs Students	68.2		75	45.5	50	90.9%	56.7	7
1c.	Math Performance Index – All Students	76.3		75	50.0	50	100.0%	61.4	1
1d.	Math Performance Index – High Needs Students	61.6		75	41.0	50	82.1%	49.9)
1e.	Science Performance Index – All Students	74.8		75	49.9	50	99.8%	57.5	5
1f.	Science Performance Index – High Needs Students	N/A		75				47.0)
2a.	ELA Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – All Students	82.2%	,	100	82.2	100	82.2%	63.8	%
2b.	ELA Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – High Needs Students	87.6% 100		87.6	100	87.6%	58.3	%	
2c.	Math Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – All Students	87.3% 100		87.3	100	87.3%	65.0	%	
2d.	Math Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – High Needs Students	82.7%	,	100	82.7	100	82.7%	57.4	%
4a.	Chronic Absenteeism – All Students	4.7%		<=5%	50.0	50	100.0%	9.6%	6
4b.	Chronic Absenteeism – High Needs Students	6.9%		<=5%	46.2	50	92.4%	15.6	%
5	Preparation for CCR – % taking courses	N/A		75%				67.6	%
6	Preparation for CCR – % passing exams	N/A		75%				40.7	%
7	On-track to High School Graduation	N/A		94%				85.1	%
8	4-year Graduation - All Students (2015 Cohort)	N/A 94%		94%				87.2	%
9	6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2013 Cohort)	N/A 94		94%				78.6	%
10	Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2015)	N/A		75%				71.9	%
11	Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)	97.0%	58.0%	75%	38.7	50	77.4%	89.2%	50.5%
12	Arts Access	N/A		60%				47.5	%
	Accountability Index				711.2	800	88.9%		

Woodbridge School District: 2014-15 to 2015-16

		Percentag Eai		
No:	Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	
1a.	ELA Performance Index – All Students	100.0%	100.0%	*
1b.	ELA Performance Index – High Needs Students	78.4%	90.9%	*
1c.	Math Performance Index – All Students	97.0%	100.0%	Ŷ
1 d .	Math Performance Index – High Needs Students	75.2%	82.1%	Ŷ
1e.	Science Performance Index – All Students	88.6%	99.8%	$\mathbf{\hat{T}}$
1f.	Science Performance Index – High Needs Students	70.8%		N/A
2a.	ELA Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – All Students		82.2%	N/A
2b.	ELA Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – High Needs Students		87.6%	N/A
2c.	Math Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – All Students		87.3%	N/A
2d.	Math Avg. Percentage of Growth Target Achieved – High Needs Students		82.7%	N/A
4a.	Chronic Absenteeism – All Students	99.8%	100.0%	ſ
4b.	Chronic Absenteeism – High Needs Students	82.7%	92.4%	ſ
5	Preparation for CCR – % taking courses			
6	Preparation for CCR – % passing exams			
7	On-track to High School Graduation			
8	4-year Graduation All Students			
9	6-year Graduation - High Needs Students			
10	Postsecondary Entrance			
11	Physical Fitness	81.5%	77.4%	
12	Arts Access			
	N I			

Change between ±1 percentage point is indicated as

*ELA results are not comparable because the 2015-16 results do not include the Performance Task

Achievement Rate Gaps/ Participation Rate

A district/school is identified as having an "achievement gap" if its gap size is substantially different from the average statewide gap in any subject area

We are required to have a participation rate above 95%.

Achievement Gap: '14-'15 to '15-'16

Gap Indicators 2014-2015	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev**	ls Gap an Outlier?		
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?			Ν		
ELA Performance Index Gap	16.2	17.3			
Math Performance Index Gap	18.6	19.6			
Science Performance Index Gap	16.7	17.2			
Graduation Rate Gap (2013 Cohort)	N/A	N/A	N/A		

*If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations. **If size of gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Gap Indicators 2015-2016	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev**	Is Gap an Outlier?
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?			N
ELA Performance Index Gap	6.8	16.5	
Math Performance Index Gap	13.4	19.1	
Science Performance Index Gap	N/A	17.3	
Graduation Rate Gap (2013 Cohort)	N/A	N/A	N/A

*If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations. **If size of gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Participation Rate: '14-'15 to '15-'16

Participation Rate 2014-2015	Rate
ELA – All Students	94.9%
ELA – High Needs Students	90%
Math – All Students	94.5%
Math – High Needs Students	90.3%
Science – All Students	99.2%
Science – High Needs Students	96.3%

Participation Rate 2015-2016	Rate
ELA – All Students	95.4%
ELA – High Needs Students	91.9%
Math – All Students	95.2%
Math – High Needs Students	90.9%
Science – All Students	100.0%
Science – High Needs Students	N/A

School Classification

There are five categories per state law:

- Category 1: Top quartile
- Category 2: Two middle quartiles
- Category 3: Bottom quartile (Except 4 and 5)
- Category 5: Existing turn around/focus schools

Category 1 (Top Quartile)	
Category 2 (Two Middle Quartiles)	
Category 3 (Bottom Quartile – except 4 and 5) Category 4 (New Turnaround/Focus)	
Category 4 (New Turnaround/Focus) Category 5 (Existing Turnaround/Focus)	

During 2015-16

- Categories 1, 2, and 3 Accountability Pause
- Category 4 Newly identified Turnaround and Focus Schools
- Category 5 Previously identified Turnaround and Focus Schools that haven't exited.

During 2016-17 – Based on Accountability Index

- Category 1 Top quartile; if achievement gap, grad rate gap, or participation < 95% then classified as 2
- Category 2 Two middle quartiles; if achievement gap, grad rate gap, or participation < 95% then classified as 3
- Category 3 Bottom quartile

Beyond 2016-17

28

 Use multiple years weighted data to update categories 1, 2, 3

Woodbridge School District Successes

- Growth in all academic areas and in attendance
- Increased participation rate
- Increased Index scores in Math and Science (ELA as well although scores aren't comparable)

Woodbridge School District Next Steps

- Analyzing achievement data to inform instruction and improve student outcomes
- Continue strategies to address chronic absenteeism
- Consider the use of state and national resources to increase participation rate
- Share reports with faculty and larger community

Additional Information:

Smarter Balanced Growth Report, 2015-16 Woodbridge School District, ELA and Math, All Grades, All Students Export .csv file

				Average Vertical Scale Score (VSS)						
District	School	Grade	Subject	Number of Matched Students	2014- 15	2015- 16	Gain	Growth Rate	Average Percentage of Target Achieved	
Woodbridge School District	Beecher Road School	4	ELA	87	2468	2535	67	63.2%	<mark>82</mark> .1%	
			Math	86	2471	2524	53	53.5%	78.4%	
		5	ELA	88	2522	2582	61	63.6%	81.4%	
				Math	88	2515	2568	52	60.2%	<mark>86.7%</mark>
		6	ELA	111	2548	2600	52	65.8%	<mark>8</mark> 3.1%	
			Math	112	2518	2590	71	79.5%	94.5%	

Math achievement level ranges and growth targets

Grade		Level 1: I	Not Met	Level 2: Ap	proaching	Level 3: Met		Level 4: Exceeded	
in Yr. 1	Level	1 - LOW	2 - HIGH	3 - LOW	4 - HIGH	5 - LOW	6 - HIGH	7 - LOW	8 - HIGH
2	Range	2189-2351	2352-2380	2381-2408	2409-2435	2436-2468	2469-2500	2501-2526	2527+
3	Target	77	61	59	60	59	57	56	47/maintain
	Range	2204-2381	2382-2410	2411-2447	2448-2484	2485-2516	2517-2548	2549-2574	2575+
4	Target	51	38	40	44	46	47	43	37/maintain

Additional Resource

Web site: www.sde.ct.gov. Select "Performance Office"



Thank you!