

Lyon County School District

Facilities Master Planning

Board of Trustees Update 7.22.25











2 Findings Summary

3 Recommendations









..... **Facility Conditions** Analysis

7. Zonda

Demographic Analysis



Process

With long term planning in mind, the team will be completing this master plan and then **engaging with the district again in 2027/2028 and 2030/2031** to validate and update the findings and recommendations.





Data Streams



Capacity & Demographics

Capacity is the ability for the facility to accommodate the appropriate amount of enrolled students.

Demographics looks at the demand that will be place on the facility due to enrollment projections

Adequacy

Adequacy is a measure of the sufficiency of campuses to support current needs and purpose.



Suitability

Suitability is more aspirational, and a forward model compared to adequacy. It looks at the ability of spaces to support transformational needs.



Facility Conditions

Condition relates to the physical assets of a space and their associated useful life. This takes into consideration things like ensuring a functional air conditioning system.









Data Streams



Capacity & Demographics

Capacity is the ability for the facility to accommodate the appropriate amount of enrolled students.

Demographics looks at the demand that will be place on the facility due to enrollment projections



Adequacy

Adequacy is a measure of the sufficiency of campuses to support current needs and purpose.



Suitability

Suitability is more aspirational, and a forward model compared to adequacy. It looks at the ability of spaces to support transformational needs.



Facility Conditions

Condition relates to the physical assets of a space and their associated useful life. This takes into consideration things like ensuring a functional air conditioning system.

Demographic Content





Demographic Trends



Future Development Analysis





Enrollment Forecast

Ten Year Fo	recast b	y Can	npus	Lev	el - E	lem	enta	ry					>		
	Maximum Functional ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS Campus Capacity 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 2034/35														
Campus	Capacity	Capacity	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35		
COTTONWOOD ELEMENTARY	711	604	572	581	601	607	638	667	677	705	737	775	822		
EAST VALLEY ELEMENTARY	669	569	513	520	535	561	607	648	687	725	764	796	818		
FERNLEY ELEMENTARY	606	515	447	461	468	480	476	497	519	541	574	608	644		
DAYTON ELEMENTARY	541	460	450	462	454	448	437	440	432	450	452	448	450		
RIVERVIEW ELEMENTARY	511	434	456	460	468	473	499	539	600	658	721	774	825		
SUTRO ELEMENTARY	506	430	438	460	475	497	526	560	603	644	663	671	679		
SILVER STAGE ELEMENTARY	680	578	389	387	390	402	411	427	434	437	440	436	431		
YERINGTON ELEMENTARY	588	500	452	432	413	404	415	432	443	452	458	460	463		
ELEMENTARY TOTALS *	4,812	4,090	3,717	3,763	3,805	3,873	4,010	4,210	4,396	4,612	4,808	4,968	5,132		
Elementary Percent Change			2.54%	1.23%	1.12%	1.80%	3.53%	5.01%	4.40%	4.92%	4.26%	3.33%	3.29%		
Elementary Absolute Change			92	46	42	68	137	201	185	216	196	160	164		





Enrollment Forecast

Ten Year I	Forec	ast b	y Ca	amp	ous	Lev	el -	Seco	onda	ary					
	Maximum	Functional					ENF	ROLLMEN	T PROJEC	TIONS					
Campus	Capacity	Capacity	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35		
FERNLEY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	680	578	657	630	639	642	647	653	677	711	737	767	805		
SILVERLAND MIDDLE SCHOOL	759	645	659	690	680	654	663	668	672	673	695	731	759		
DAYTON INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	712	605	338	337	378	417	421	408	401	398	430	476	501		
SILVER STAGE MIDDLE SCHOOL	464	394	317	321	328	323	319	304	303	302	315	329	335		
YERINGTON INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	625	531	397	401	415	416	390	357	328	318	323	343	353		
INTERMEDIATE/MIDDLE SCHOOL TOTALS	3,240	2,754	2,368	2,379	2,440	2,451	2,440	2,388	2,382	2,401	2,501	2,646	2,754		
Middle School Percent Change			-1.42%	0.45%	2.58%	0.44%	-0.46%	-2.10%	-0.29%	0.81%	4.17%	5.80%	4.06%	* Does not Include onli	ne stude
Middle School Absolute Change			-34	11	61	11	-11	-51	-7	19	100	145	108	as listed below in	
FERNLEY HIGH SCHOOL	1,346	1,144	1,137	1,122	1,107	1,128	1,140	1,142	1,139	1,124	1,135	1,141	1,169	INTERMEDIATE/MIDDL TOTALS and HIGH SCHO	
DAYTON HIGH SCHOOL	932	792	672	637	610	603	634	674	713	737	735	719	746		
SILVER STAGE HIGH SCHOOL	515	438	319	316	290	292	297	294	286	272	271	272	286	Campus	2024/
YERINGTON HIGH SCHOOL	703	598	366	375	395	410	424	429	402	369	340	334	343	LYON ONLINE - SMS	13
EAGLE RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL	NA	NA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	LYON ONLINE - DIS	17
HIGH SCHOOL TOTALS	3,496	2,972	2,495	2,451	2,403	2,434	2,496	2,540	2,541	2,503	2,482	2,467	2,545	LYON ONLINE - DHS	64
High School Percent Change			-1.19%	-1.76%	-1.96%	1.29%	2.55%	1.76%	0.04%	-1.50%	-0.84%	-0.60%	3.16%	LYON ONLINE - SSHS	29
High School Absolute Change			-30	-44	-48	31	62	44	1	-38	-21	-15	78	LYON ONLINE - YHS	35
SMITH VALLEY SCHOOLS	533	453	162	176	173	178	183	187	195	204	213	215	222		
OTHER SCHOOL TOTALS	533	453	162	176	173	178	183	187	195	204	213	215	222		
Other School Percent Change			-14.29%	8.64%	-1.70%	2.89%	2.81%	2.19%	4.28%	4.62%	4.41%	0.94%	3.26%		
Other School Absolute Change			-27	14	-3	5	5	4	8	9	9	2	7		
DISTRICT TOTALS	12,081	10,269	8,742	8,768	8,821	8,936	9,128	9,326	9,513	9,720	10,004	10,297	10,653		
District Percent Change			2.22%	0.30%	0.60%	1.30%	2.16%	2.16%	2.01%	2.17%	2.93%	2.92%	3.46%		
District Absolute Change			190	26	52	115	193	198	187	207	284	292	356		

lents DOL TALS.

Campus	2024/25
YON ONLINE - SMS	13
YON ONLINE - DIS	17
YON ONLINE - FHS	166
YON ONLINE - DHS	64
YON ONLINE - SSHS	29
YON ONLINE - YHS	35

% Zonda Education

-

Below Functional Capacity Above Functional Capacity Above Maximum Capacity

44



Geographic Capacity

The below is a map of the district that illustrates the number of students **under or over functional capacity each school will have per the enrollment projections in the 2027/2028 academic school year**.



Data Streams

ベフ ピン

Capacity & Demographics

Capacity is the ability for the facility to accommodate the appropriate amount of enrolled students.

Demographics looks at the demand that will be place on the facility due to enrollment projections

Adequacy

Adequacy is a measure of the sufficiency of campuses to support current needs and purpose.



Suitability

Suitability is more aspirational, and a forward model compared to adequacy. It looks at the ability of spaces to support transformational needs.



Facility Conditions

Condition relates to the physical assets of a space and their associated useful life. This takes into consideration things like ensuring a functional air conditioning system.



STRATEGIC DIRECTION

MISSION

Foster learning for life, empower connected learners, promote student ownership, and encourage discovery learning for success in a rapidly evolving world.



Graduate all students to be college, career, and life successful.

Strategic Direction

Portrait of a Learner



LEARNING FOR LIFE

"I am building the skills to be a lifelong learner."

• Perseverance

- Application
- Future Focus

CONNECTED LEARNERS

"I am learning through my relationships with others."

- Belonging
- Communication
- Collaboration



STUDENT OWNERSHIP

"I am empowered to own my learning."

- Empowerment
- Self Knowledge
- Self Direction



DISCOVERY LEARNING

"My learning journey helps me discover new things."

- Problem Solving
- Joy
- Exploration

Campus Walks

The team walked every campus with administration and staff to learn about the facility and map out areas of opportunity.





Engagements

At each area, the team engaged with the local community to gather feedback. First the team presented modern trends in learning environments. Then the community was asked a series of questions via an online poll, and then were asked to discuss in more depth the concepts that came to the forefront.





Engagements

At each area, the team engaged with the local community to gather feedback. First the team presented modern trends in learning environments. Then the community was asked a series of questions via an online poll, and then were asked to discuss in more depth the concepts that came to the forefront.



Suitability & Adequacy Rubric

The below weighting is used to ensure emphasis is placed on the appropriate district priorities.

SUITABILITY

ADEQUACY

	Elementary	Intermediate	High
Learning Environment & Classroom Quality	70%	70%	70%
Student Support & Services	20%	20%	20%
Outdoor & Recreational Spaces	10%	10%	10%
Accessibility and Infrastructure	40%	35%	40%
Transportation & Traffic Flow	40%	35%	20%
Safety and Security	20%	30%	40%



Campus Scoring

Using a rubric that incorporates the demographics, conditions, suitability and adequacy, each campus can be assessed and scored.

	ayton Elemer	ntary School	L				Transportation & Traffic Flow Accessibility & Traffic Flow	3.50 40% 3.33 40% Total Adequacy Score		Score Weight Weighted Score 3.67 15% 0.55 3.67 15% 0.55	
ology integration	Indiacional de la construcción d	nait spaces ninou. arning r finimal devices; outdated i nfrastructure	Needs and sports equirements Basic access to devices Standard offerings but minimal resources	Spacious with reacting layouts learning layouts 1:1 device ratio, integrated technology tools Well-equipped STEM, art and music spaces	eoge interaction de innovative, well-resourced spaces to support diverse learning and workforce awareness Average Score 1 - Exemplary Comprehensive, accessible, and highly effective programs	3.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.67 Score 4.00	Scores Weight Wi 3.67 70% 70% 4.00 20% 3.00 10% 3.00 10% Total Suitability Score 1 vibule with start 1 - Exemplary Vuly controlled entry with 1	ahted Score 2.57 0.80 0.30 3.67	Conditions Score 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.00 25% 1.00 25%
ria) & EL Services e & Health Services door & Recreational Spaces	No nurse or proper space	Small or inadequate space for health office 4 - Needs Improvement	Health office exists with adequate space	space Well-equipped space, ideally located 2 - Strong Modern, well-maintainer	Comprehensive health center with additional student weltness support Average Score	4.00 4.00 Score 3.00	Coverage with Comprehensive system with read-universe system read-universe	3.00 2.00 8elow Functional 450	Capacity Score 1.00 2.00	10% 0.32 0.32 10% 0.32 10% 0.32 0.32 50% 1.50 50% 1.50% 1.50% 1.50% 1.50% 1.50%	1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25% 1.00 25%
eria ground & Recreational Faciliti door Learning Areas	No functional playground No outdoor learning space		Some spaces but not well utilized	play areas II Purposeful outdoor learning spaces Strong facilties with gor equipment	Highly utilized, well-designed outdoor classrooms & gardens	3.00	winn clear Exceptionally well-organized, separate bus & car zones athways & Comprehensive signage system supporting visitors & students	Score 454 3.00 448 4.00 437 4.00 440	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 1.50 50% 2.00	Academic Year - 2024/25 2.62 Academic Year - 2025/26 2.87 Academic Year - 2026/27 2.62
E & Athletics Facilities	No dedicated space	equipment				classroom	1 - Exemplary Y Fully inclusive, exceeding compliance standards sily Exemplary Taclities with hygiene support ed storage for Ample, accessible, and innovative storage solutions Average Score	3.50 450 450 3.00 450 3.00 450 4.00 3.33 0re 50	1.00 1.00 1.00	<u>50%</u> <u>2.00</u> <u>2.00</u> <u>25%</u> <u>0.25</u>	Academic Year - 2027/28 2.62 Academic Year - 2028/30 2.62 Academic Year - 2029/30 2.62 Academic Year - 2030/31 2.62 Academic Year - 2031/32 2.62 Academic Year - 2031/32 2.62 Academic Year - 2031/33 3.12 Academic Year - 2033/34 3.12 Academic Year - 2033/34 3.12

GHC | Orcutt Winslow

Data Streams

ベブ ピン

Capacity & Demographics

Capacity is the ability for the facility to accommodate the appropriate amount of enrolled students.

Demographics looks at the demand that will be place on the facility due to enrollment projections

Adequacy

Adequacy is a measure of the sufficiency of campuses to support current needs and purpose.



Suitability

Suitability is more aspirational, and a forward model compared to adequacy. It looks at the ability of spaces to support transformational needs.



Facility Conditions

Condition relates to the physical assets of a space and their associated useful life. This takes into consideration things like ensuring a functional air conditioning system.

Facility Conditions

Facility Conditions Index (FCI)

• A measure widely used in building industry to represent the physical condition of a given facility.

FCI Recommendations

Industry standard guidelines for aligning FCI to overall conditions.

65% Rule

• Once the FCI of a facility exceeds 65%, it may be financially imprudent to continue investing in the building.



FCI	Rating
Above 30%	Critical
10% - 30 %	Poor
5-10%	Fair
Below 5%	Good



Facility Conditions

Urgent

• Work that should be performed within the next year to maintain facility integrity

High

 Work that should be performed in the next 1-3 years

Medium

 Work that should be performed in the next 3-5 years

Low

 Work that should be performed after 5 years or more

Priority Considerations

Element's Overall Condition

- ✓ Urgency of Action
- Expected Useful Life
- Deterioration rate
- Accessibility Concerns
- ✓ Life Safety/ Code Issues
- ✓ Risk of Building Shutdown
- ✓ Effect on Security
- Impact to Operational/Energy Savings



In Depth Site Walks

- 1. Ameresco performed Facility Condition Assessments on the LCSD portfolio
 - ~1,280,000 sq.ft.
 - 86 Assets
- 2. Developed life cycle cost profiles for each facility
- 3. Quantified the portfolios short- and long-term renewal needs
- 4. Ranked renewal needs based on both current and potential risks





FCI By Campus

The below chart shows the FCI at each campus *if no action is taken*. Ongoing maintenance and future investment will change the campus score over time.

Name	Cur	rent Replacement Value (CRV)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11
Dayton Intermediate School	\$	52,320,977	12.5%	12.6%	12.7%	14.9%	16.0%	16.5%	16.8%	18.5%	21.1%	21.1%	37.5%
Yerington Intermediate School	\$	28,936,051	11.2%	12.5%	12.6%	12.6%	12.7%	17.8%	18.2%	19.1%	22.2%	22.5%	24.2%
Dayton Elementary School	\$	29,685,696	10.5%	11.0%	11.0%	11.1%	11.7%	14.6%	14.6%	19.3%	21.7%	23.0%	29.7%
Yerington District Office	\$	10,411,709	10.4%	11.2%	11.3%	11.4%	11.7%	13.7%	18.4%	21.4%	22.2%	25.2%	30.7%
Silver Stage Middle School	\$	42,945,539	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%	8.2%	10.1%	12.2%	12.5%	15.0%	15.1%	16.2%	16.9%
Fernley Intermediate School	\$	42,661,075	6.3%	8.1%	9.7%	10.1%	10.2%	14.4%	17.1%	18.7%	22.8%	23.9%	29.1%
Yerington Elementary School	\$	26,333,425	6.0%	6.5%	6.8%	7.2%	7.2%	10.9%	11.5%	18.3%	19.6%	21.1%	27.3%
Smith Valley School	\$	39,762,366	5.8%	7.1%	7.7%	8.3%	8.8%	10.2%	11.2%	13.2%	14.0%	17.2%	20.4%
Silver Stage Elementary School	\$	26,092,764	5.8%	7.0%	7.0%	7.9%	13.3%	14.6%	15.9%	20.7%	23.2%	24.2%	27.9%
Yerington High School	\$	57,910,434	4.5%	7.6%	8.0%	9.6%	11.0%	14.9%	15.4%	19.3%	19.7%	21.7%	23.2%
Dayton High School	\$	79,740,397	4.4%	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	4.9%	6.3%	6.4%	11.8%	20.2%	21.8%	24.1%
Cottonwood Elementary School	\$	30,865,330	3.0%	3.7%	3.8%	3.9%	4.5%	6.0%	6.7%	9.1%	9.5%	10.6%	20.2%
Sutro Elementary School	\$	30,670,993	2.6%	4.7%	4.8%	5.9%	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	11.5%	18.5%	18.9%	19.9%
Fernley High School	\$	82,157,303	2.6%	3.0%	3.5%	3.6%	3.8%	12.0%	13.9%	16.1%	17.2%	19.2%	25.1%
Fernley Elementary School	\$	32,404,297	2.2%	2.3%	2.7%	3.6%	4.2%	10.7%	10.7%	16.9%	18.0%	18.7%	20.6%
Silverland Middle School	\$	59,591,694	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	2.6%	2.6%	15.2%	23.8%	33.8%	36.6%
Silver Stage High School	\$	74,060,854	0.9%	0.9%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.5%	3.5%	7.3%	15.3%	17.6%	22.8%
East Valley Elementary School	\$	29,756,700	0.9%	2.9%	2.9%	10.0%	10.0%	11.8%	13.1%	23.4%	38.1%	38.1%	38.1%
Riverview Elementary School	\$	32,925,099	0.1%	0.1%	3.2%	3.2%	9.9%	9.9%	12.1%	13.2%	14.9%	14.9%	15.1%
Totals	: \$	809,588,861											

	FCI =	4.7%	5.5%	6.0%	6.8%	7.7%	10.4%	11.1%	15.5%	19.5%	21.5%	25.8%
--	-------	------	------	-------------	-------------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------



Capital Needs Profile



1. Displays the lifecycle forecast of each element within each asset rolled up for the portfolio.

2. The deferred maintenance backlog is **\$38.0 Million (2025).**

3. With the deferred maintenance backlog now quantified, it is recommended to address the backlog by order of Priority.

Life cycle forecasts have been established for the major building elements for each asset. This determines the capital renewal budget requirements over time and includes hard and soft costs.



FCI Unfunded (15 years)



- 1. The chart shows the risk of aging infrastructure; with growing levels of deferred maintenance backlog over time.
- 2. The **FCI of 4.7%** places the portfolio into the "**Good**" range.
- 3. Unfunded, the FCI will accelerate to "Critical" (>30%) by 2036.



The projected facility condition index (FCI) displays the relative levels of risk of the portfolio over time, represented in percent risk (%)

FCI Funded (15 years)

Total Cost Cumulative FCI Year 2025-2039 and where Asset Status – Active



- With funding (\$9.50M/yr), the blue line shows a shallower profile; delaying the migration to Critical until 2052.
- 2. From a funding appropriation standpoint, more funding is required to sustain the portfolio at an acceptable level of risk
- 3. Establishing an "Asset Sustainability Target" is a best practice for managing such risk



Overlaying and projecting the average annual capital funding of \$9.50M/yr delays the migration of "Portfolio" FCI to Critical for at least the next 15 years.

Asset Sustainability



The chart represents the amount of funding required to achieve an Asset Sustainability Target (10% FCI); showing both current levels of funding and the funding needed

- The building portfolio will require \$12.9M per year of capital funding to achieve an FCI of 10% by 2039; amounting to \$194M over the period
- Over 10-years (2035), the portfolio requires \$9.3M per year or \$93M to maintain asset sustainability
- 3. Understanding funding appropriation needs at the portfolio level helps decision makers prioritize assets and prepare data-defensible capital improvement plans



Data Streams



Capacity & Demographics

Capacity is the ability for the facility to accommodate the appropriate amount of enrolled students.

Demographics looks at the demand that will be place on the facility due to enrollment projections

Adequacy

Adequacy is a measure of the sufficiency of campuses to support current needs and purpose.



Suitability

Suitability is more aspirational, and a forward model compared to adequacy. It looks at the ability of spaces to support transformational needs.



Facility Conditions

Condition relates to the physical assets of a space and their associated useful life. This takes into consideration things like ensuring a functional air conditioning system.

Prioritization Modeling

A prioritization model allows the district to customize the variable emphasis of each type of action and provide a priority score for each asset. This allows the district to weigh this score in project decision making.





Campus Prioritization

Using the prioritization model established, each campus was analyzed against the suitability and adequacy rubric. The conditions data and enrollment projections were all combined with the appropriate weighting. The result is a table that shows visually which campus might have the highest need and at what time.

Campus Name					Campus	Prioritizati	on Score				
	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31	31/32	32/33	33/34	34/35
Dayton Area Schools	•		•						•		
Dayton Elementary School	2.62	2.87	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	3.12	3.12	3.12
Dayton Intermediate School	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	3.05	3.05	3.55
Dayton High School	1.54	1.54	1.54	1.54	1.54	2.04	2.04	2.54	3.04	3.04	3.04
Riverview Elementary School	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	2.30	2.55	3.05	3.55	3.55	3.55	3.55
Sutro Elementary School	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.56	2.81	2.81	2.81	3.31	3.31	3.31	3.31
Fernley Area Schools											
Cottonwood Elementary School	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.86	1.86	2.36	2.36	2.36	2.61	3.11	3.86
East Valley Elementary School	1.55	1.55	1.55	2.55	2.80	2.80	3.05	3.55	4.05	4.30	4.30
Fernley Elementary School	2.17	2.17	2.17	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.92	2.92	3.42	3.67	3.67
Fernley Intermediate School	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	3.15	3.65	3.65	3.90
Fernley High School	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	2.65	2.65	2.65	2.65	2.65	3.40
Silverland Middle School	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	2.45	2.95	3.45	3.70
Silver Springs Area											
Silver Stage Elementary School	2.13	2.13	2.13	2.13	2.63	2.63	2.63	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13
Silver Stage Middle School	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66
Silver Stage High School	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	2.14	2.64	2.64	3.14
Smith Valley Area											
Smith Valley School	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	3.19
Yerington Area											
Yerington Elementary School	2.09	2.34	2.09	2.09	2.09	2.59	2.59	2.59	2.59	3.09	3.09
Yerington Intermediate School	2.18	2.18		2.68	2.68			2.68		3.18	3.18
Yerington High School	1.67	2.17		2.17	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	3.17	3.17



Recommendations

The overarching findings are that there are no urgent or dire situations that require immediate action. No campus reaches a concerning prioritization score until 2029/2030. *Any major work should be reassessed during the next update of the facility master plan* which occurs in the 2027/2028 school year. There is time to plan and ensure any strategy the district moves forward with is sound. (This team will update the master plan in 2027/2028 and again in 2030/2031.)

No Immediate Action Required

Maintain the District's Current Assets

Security Upgrades as Needed

Suitability/Adequacy Upgrades as Desired



No Action Required

Campus Name	Campus Prioritization Score														
	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/2	9 29	9/30	30/31	31/32	32/33	33/34	34/35			
Dayton Area Schools			•												
Dayton Elementary School	2.	62 2.8	7	.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	3.12	2 3.12	3.12			
Dayton Intermediate School	2.	55 2.5	5		2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.55	3.05	3.05	3.5			
Dayton High School	1.	54 1.5	4	.54	1.54	1.54	2.04	2.04	2.54	3.04	3.04	3.04			
Riverview Elementary School	1.8	30 1.8	0	.80	1.80	2.30	2.55	3.05	3.55	3.55	3.55	3.5			
Sutro Elementary School	2.	06 2.0	6	0 .06	2.56	2.81	2.81	2.81	3.31	3.31	3.31	3.3			
Fernley Area Schools															
Cottonwood Elementary School	1.0	61 1.6	51	.61	1.86	1.86	2.36	2.36	2.36	2.61	3.11	3.86			
East Valley Elementary School	1.	55 1.5	5	1.55	2.55	2.80	2.80	3.05	3.55	4.05	i 4.30	4.30			
Fernley Elementary School	2.	17 2.1	.7	.17	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.92	2.92	3.42	2 3.67	3.67			
Fernley Intermediate School	2.4	40 2.4	0	z.40	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	3.15	3.65	3.65	3.90			
Fernley High School	1.	65 1.6	5	.65	1.65	1.65	2.65	2.65	2.65	2.65	5 2.65	3.40			
Silverland Middle School	1.4	45 1.4	.5	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	2.45	2.95	3.45	3.70			
Silver Springs Area															
Silver Stage Elementary School	2.			13	2.13	2.63	2.63	2.63	3.13			3.13			
Silver Stage Middle School	2.	16 2.1	.6	.16	2.16	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66			
Silver Stage High School	1.0	64 1.6	4	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.64	2.14	2.64	2.64	3.14			
0					_										
Smith Valley Area		-	-												
Smith Valley School	2.	19 2.1	.9	.19	2.19	2.19	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	3.19			
Yerington Area															
Yerington Elementary School	2.	09 2.3	4	2.09	2.09	2.09	2.59	2.59	2.59	2.59	3.09	3.09			
Yerington Intermediate School	2.			18	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.68			3.18			
Yerington High School	1.				2.17	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67			3.1			

 \mathbf{O}



No Action Requried

The demographic findings show a projected number that is higher than the functional capacity at three schools. All of these schools, while over the functional capacity, remain below the maximum capacity. The recommendation is to take no action. As demographic studies are only projections, this situation will be continuously monitored against real-time attendance data.

		Cur	rent St	udy	20	27/202	28	2030/2031					
	Maximum	Functional				OLLMEN	T PROJEC	TIONS					
Campus	Capacity	Capacity	2024/25	2025/26	2026 27	2027/28	2 28/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35
COTTONWOOD ELEMENTARY	711	604	572	581	60	607	638	667	677	705	737	775	822
EAST VALLEY ELEMENTARY	669	569	513	520	53.	561	607	648	687	725	764	796	818
FERNLEY ELEMENTARY	606	515	447	461	468	480	476	497	519	541	574	608	644
DAYTON ELEMENTARY	541	460	450	462	45-	448	437	440	432	450	452	448	450
RIVERVIEW ELEMENTARY	511	434	456	460	46	473	499	539	600	658	721	774	825
SUTRO ELEMENTARY	506	430	438	460	47.	497	526	560	603	644	663	671	679
SILVER STAGE ELEMENTARY	680	578	389	387	390	402	411	427	434	437	440	436	431
YERINGTON ELEMENTARY	588	500	452	432	415	404	415	432	443	452	458	460	463
ELEMENTARY TOTALS *	4,812	4,090	3,717	3,763	3,805	3,873	,010	4,210	4,396	4,612	4,808	4,968	5,132
Elementary Percent Change			2.54%	1.23%	1.12 6	1.80%	.53%	5.01%	4.40%	4.92%	4.26%	3.33%	3.29%
Elementary Absolute Change			92	46	42	68	137	201	185	216	196	160	164


Maintain District Assets

The Ameresco software allows the district to use the established data as a tool to help keep out of a poor/critical FCI at any campuses. *The software allows the district to know which campus has the most critical needs, what those critical needs are, and how to prioritize the projects.* If the goal is to keep within the "fair" category, there are 4 buildings that currently would require some further analysis.

		Cur	rent Sti	ıdy	20	27/202	8	20	30/203	1			
			9			9	Í		•				
Name	Current	Replacement Value (CRV)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year !	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11
Dayton Intermediate School	\$	52,320,977	12.5%	12.6%	12.7%	14.9%	16 %	16.5%	16.8%	18.5%	21.1%	21.1%	37.5
erington Intermediate School	\$	28,936,051	11.2%	12.5%	12.6%	12.6%	12 %	17.8%	18.2%	19.1%	22.2%	22.5%	24.2
Dayton Elementary School	\$	29,685,696	10.5%	11.0%	11.0%	11.1%	11 <mark>-</mark> %	14.6%	14.6%	19.3%	21.7%	23.0%	29.7
erington District Office	\$	10,411,709	10.4%	11.2%	11.3%	11.4%	11 %	13.7%	18.4%	21.4%	22.2%	25.2%	30.
Silver Stage Middle School	\$	42,945,539	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%	8.2%	10 <mark>.1</mark> %	12.2%	12.5%	15.0%	15.1%	16.2%	16.
Fernley Intermediate School	\$	42,661,075	6.3%	8.1%	9.7%	10.1%	10 %	14.4%	17.1%	18.7%	22.8%	23.9%	29.
erington Elementary School	\$	26,333,425	6.0%	6.5%	6.8%	7.2%	7%	10.9%	11.5%	18.3%	19.6%	21.1%	27.3
Smith Valley School	\$	39,762,366	5.8%	7.1%	7.7%	8.3%	8 %	10.2%	11.2%	13.2%	14.0%	17.2%	20.4
Silver Stage Elementary School	\$	26,092,764	5.8%	7.0%	7.0%	7.9%	1/ 3%	14.6%	15.9%	20.7%	23.2%	24.2%	27.
erington High School	\$	57,910,434	4.5%	7.6%	8.0%	9.6%	1.0%	14.9%	15.4%	19.3%	19.7%	21.7%	23.
School Sc	\$	7,,,3	.4	%		4	4.9%	6.3%	6.4%	11.8%	20.2%	21.8%	24.
Cottonwood Elementary School	\$	30,865,330	3.0%	3.7%	3.8%	3.9%	4.5%	6.0%	6.7%	9.1%	9.5%	10.6%	20.:
Sutro Elementary School	\$	30,670,993	2.6%	4.7%	4.8%	5.9%	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	11.5%	18.5%	18.9%	19.
Fernley High School	\$	82,157,303	2.6%	3.0%	3.5%	3.6%	3.8%	12.0%	13.9%	16.1%	17.2%	19.2%	25.
Fernley Elementary School	\$	32,404,297	2.2%	2.3%	2.7%	3.6%	4.2%	10.7%	10.7%	16.9%	18.0%	18.7%	20.
Silverland Middle School	\$	59,591,694	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	2.6%	2.6%	15.2%	23.8%	33.8%	36.
Silver Stage High School	\$	74,060,854	0.9%	0.9%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.5%	3.5%	7.3%	15.3%	17.6%	22.
East Valley Elementary School	\$	29,756,700	0.9%	2.9%	2.9%	10.0%	10.0%	11.8%	13.1%	23.4%	38.1%	38.1%	38.
Riverview Elementary School	\$	32,925,099	0.1%	0.1%	3.2%	3.2%	9.9%	9.9%	12.1%	13.2%	14.9%	14.9%	15.





Projects Underway

Ongoing maintenance and future *investment will change the campus score* over time. Below is an example of a series of projects that LCSD currently is working on. This can be entered into the model such that you can use the FCI as a planning tool to forecast how each project will impact a campus over time.

- Dayton Intermediate School Air Handler Units (AHU)
- Dayton Intermediate School Boilers
- Silverland Middle School Cooling Tower
- Silver Stage Middle Boilers
- Sutro Elementary School Boilers
- Fernley Elementary School Roof Top Units (RTU's)



FCI as a Planning Tool

Ongoing maintenance and future *investment will change the campus score* over time. Below is an example of a series of projects on a portion of the district assets, and their respective updated FCI upon project completion.

Current FCI Analysis using : Benchmarl	k Cost based on T	emplate by Site Nam	e						
Name	Size (Sq.Ft.)	CRV incl. Soft Costs	Average Funding Per Year	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	
Dayton Intermediate School	79,617	\$ 52,320,977	\$-	12.76%	12.90%	13.03%	15.21%	16.32%	
Fernley Elementary School	58,678	\$ 32,404,297	\$-	2.23%	2.28%	2.70%	3.62%	4.20%	
Silver Stage Middle School	64,108	\$ 42,945,539	\$-	17.47%	17.47%	17.47%	18.34%	20.26%	
Silverland Middle School	97,921	\$ 59,591,694	\$-	1.41%	1.41%	1.41%	1.41%	1.41%	
Sutro Elementary School	47,625	\$ 30,670,993	\$-	2.59%	4.74%	4.76%	5.91%	6.32%	
Totals:	347,949	\$ 217,933,500	\$-				I		

FCI =	7.59%	7.93%	8.03%	9.02%	9.81%
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Proposed

FCI Analysis using : Benchmark Cost based on Template by Site Name

Name	Size (Sq.Ft.)	CRV incl. Soft Costs	Average Fo Per Ye		2025	2026		2027	2028	2029	
Dayton Intermediate School	79,617	\$ 52,320,977	\$ 26	65,611	5.15%	5	5.28%	5.42%	7.59%	8.70%	
Fernley Elementary School	58,678	\$ 32,404,297	\$	2,814	2.10%	2	2.15%	2.57%	3.49%	4.07%	
Silver Stage Middle School	64,108	\$ 42,945,539	\$5	56,805	15.48%	15	5.48%	15.48%	16.36%	18.27%	
Silverland Middle School	97,921	\$ 59,591,694	\$	6,813	1.24%	1	1.24%	1.24%	1.24%	1.24%	
Sutro Elementary School	47,625	\$ 30,670,993	\$	5,587	2.32%	4	1.46%	4.49%	5.63%	6.05%	
Totals:	347,949	\$ 217,933,500	\$ 33	37,630						•	

FCI =	5.26%	5.61%	5.70%	6.70%	7.48%	
FCI without Funding =	7.59%	7.93%	8.03%	9.02%	9.81%	



Planned Projects

There are a number of projects the district has already identified as priorities for the ongoing maintenance and operations of the district. The below list the location, general description of scope, and an estimate of the cost impacts of these projects.

Location	Scope of Work	Rough Order of Magnitude
Yerington Elementary School	Building 500 & 600 HVAC & Electrical Service	\$3,499,632
Silver Springs Elementary School	Building B & C Boiler, Electrical Service & HVAC	\$3,724,093
Dayton High School	Boiler & HVAC	\$2,107,437
Fernley High School	Boiler & HVAC	\$2,106,446
Fernley Intermediate School	Building H & Main Annex HVAC	\$4,500,000
Silver Springs Elementary School	Dining Hall Boiler	\$800,000
Smith Valley Schools	Boilers	\$1,300,000
Smith Valley Schools	HVAC	\$3,100,000



Security Upgrades

The team recommends that each campus have a modified single point of entry, as well as a secured vestibule to control and monitor who has access to campus. This typically consists of an enclosed area between the exterior doors and the main entrance, requiring visitors to check in via the front office before being granted access to the rest of the school. A secure vestibule helps prevent unauthorized individuals from entering the school while maintaining a welcoming environment for approved visitors. It is a key component of modern school safety protocols.





Security Upgrades

This has been a priority for the district with most campuses already getting this upgrade. Only 5 campuses remain in which this scope is necessary. Each of the 4 high schools and one middle school require this scope of work.

- Dayton High School
- Yerington High School
- Fernley High School
- Silver Stage High School
- Silverland Middle School



Suitability/Adequacy

Although there are no recommendations that are immediately required, the suitability and adequacy discussions brought forth a number of potential capital projects that would help to improve educational offerings or operations. The below is a list of the projects that provide the largest and most immediate impact, and should be prioritized.

Fernley High School: Culinary Lab & Classroom



Fernley High School: Repurpose of Small Gym for additional curriculum space



Science Lab & CTE/Workforce Learning Upgrades



CTE/Workforce Learning

These are specialized, hands-on learning environments designed to prepare students for high-demand careers by integrating academic knowledge with technical and real-world skills. These spaces are industry-aligned and feature cutting-edge technology, flexible workspaces, and career-focused equipment to support a wide range of vocational pathways.





Suitability/Adequacy

In addition to the projects that are of highest priority, the team identified projects of all sizes at every campus. Each campus has a dashboard that identifies these projects. Below are examples of these. And the subsequent page depicts an example of the campus dashboard.

- Dayton Intermediate School: Wood Shop Modifications
- Sutro Elementary School: Security Perimeter Enhancements
- Fernley Elementary School: Resolve PE Space Egress and Access
- Fernley Intermediate School: Innovation Hub
- Cottonwood Elementary: Install Divider Curtain & Multipurpose Room
- Silver Stage Middle School: Maker Space
- Yerington Intermediate School: New Building Cafeteria/PE/Music
- Yerington High School: Agriculture and CTE Renovations



Femley High School

Potential Campus Enhancements



The plans are included to allow easy visual assessment of the campus. The enhancements identified are geolocated to the approximate place on campus in which that project would take place.



Enhancement Narratives

The below list is not prioritized, but a list of potential facility interventions that have been identified as projects that help align and foster progress towards established District goals.



Science Lab Modernization Labs to be updated to provide adequate equipment and standards to offer AP and dual enrollment courses

Culinary Lab & Classroom

Provide a culinary teaching space in the former kitchen space.

Support Spaces

Utilize former cafeteria as space for student support spaces like counseling, special education, JAG, speech and EL.

Music Room

Increase door width at music room to allow for ease of large instrument storage and use. New wall coverings would improve acoustics.

(continued on next slide...)



Femley High School (continued)

Enhancement Narratives

The below list is not prioritized, but a list of potential facility interventions that have been identified as projects that help align and foster progress towards established District goals.



Portable Reduction

To whatever extent desired, replacement of portables with permanent buildings is an option at this site.

Exterior Athletics Concession Upgrade the exterior athletics concessions area.



Parking Lot Paving Update parking lots with new paving

Restroom Renovation Renovate existing restrooms to improve accessibility, functionality, and appearance.



Modified Single Point

Assess campus access points and consolidate for enhancement of security measures.



Next Steps

- Formalization and delivery of the final facilities master plan report.
- The team will remain in communication with the district, and will be available to address any concerns that arise prior to the next master planning effort.
- The team will reassess everything and update the plan at the beginning of the 2027-2028 academic year, and again in 2030/2031.







Lyon County School District

Questions?





