# 2013 District Determination Status

Department of Special Education August 27, 2013

### **How TEA Determines District Determination Status**

- Under IDEA, Texas is required to make annual determination of the District's performance based on several categories:
  - Performance on State Performance Plan (SPP) compliance indicators 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13,
  - Submission of valid, reliable, and timely data,
  - Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources (complaints resolution, due process, and monitoring),
  - Any financial findings,
  - Performance-Based Monitoring (PBM) Stage of Intervention,
  - Significant Disproportionality. (Due to the change in methodology, this data element will not be included in the 2013 Determination Process.)

### **Possible Performance Categories**

• Meets Requirements

Needs Assistance

Needs Substantial Intervention

# **Department of Special Education Objective**

Determination status of

"meets requirements"

No further action required



### **State Performance Plan (SPP)**

	State Performance Indicator (SPP)	2012	2011	2010	
		Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
		Status	Status	Status	
	SPP 11 - Percent of children evaluated within 60 days	100%	97.4%	94.9%	
	of parental consent for initial evaluation.				
	SPP 12 - Percent of children referred by Part C prior to	100%	92.1%	82%	
	age 3, who are found eligible by Part B, and who have				
	an IEP developed and implemented by their third				
	birthday.				
۱	SPP 13 - Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and	100%	89.5%	100%	
	above with an IEP that includes appropriate				
	measurable postsecondary goals that are annually				
۱	updated and based upon an age appropriate transition				
	assessment, transition services, including courses of				
	study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet				
1	those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals				
	related to the student's transition services needs.				

### **Uncorrected Non-Compliance**

 From other sources: complaints, due process, and monitoring

• 2012-2013 – none uncorrected

• Residential Facility Monitoring December 2012

• 2011-2013 – none uncorrected

• 2010-2011 – none uncorrected

### Residential Facility Monitoring December 2012

- TEA in district 1 week
- Reviewed Residential Facility Eligibility Folders
  - 13 Investigatory Topics (ARD Membership, Surrogate/Foster Parents, Current Evaluation, LRE, IEP Implementation, Educational Benefit, Certified/Qualified Staff, State Assessment, Discipline/Behavior, Related Services, Transition Services, ESY.)
    - O 175 Indicators
- Conducted campus visits
- Interviewed campus administrators and teachers
- O Final Report 100 % compliance in 13 Investigatory Topics (175 indicators)

### **Financial Findings**

**o** 2012-2013 – none

**o** 2011-2013 – none

**o** 2010-2011 – none

#### **Performance Based Monitoring**

- 2012 status = 0
  - Performance Level 1 = STAAR Science; STAAR and STAAR M Participation; SE African American, Hispanic and LEP Representation
- 2011 status = 0
  - Performance Level 1 = TAKS Science; TAKS/TAKS Accommodated and TAKS M Participation; LRE ages 3-5; SE Representation; and SE African American, Hispanic and LEP Representation
- 2010 status = 0
  - Performance Level 1 = TAKS/TAKS Accommodated and TAKS M Participation; SE Representation; and SE African American, Hispanic and LEP Representation

## **2013 District Determination Status**

### "Meets Requirements"

No further action required!

#### Thank You...

The Special Education Leadership Team (SELT) would like to thank the Board of Directors, DISD Administration, Special Education Support Staff and Campus Staff (Principals, Assistance Principals, Teachers and Para-professionals) for their contribution to the Determination status of "Meets Requirements".