

1. It seemed that there was some confusion around the 4 Day week at the last meeting.
  - a. Toward the end of the December 30th workshop, Trustee Grissom stated that she would be in favor of piloting the 4 day school week.
  - b. At the January 15th special meeting when the board approved the supplemental levy for \$7.52 million, Trustee Grissom stated that the 4 Day week had been part of the work the board did to try to get to the full \$9.52 million. She was wondering why it wasn't in my recommendation. I stated that I wanted to try to keep the levy separate from the 4 day school week, but I agreed it was a cost saving measure we should consider. I told her that I would bring it to a board meeting to discuss further.
  - c. At the February 5th board meeting I brought the 4 day school week as a discussion item to begin to determine if the board wanted to move forward with this change. I suggested that I could send a one question survey to our parents to see what kind of support there is for the change. The board asked me to send the survey.
  - d. At the February 19th board meeting I brought the 4 day school week as a discussion item to present the results of the parent survey. At this meeting the board made the decision to table this conversation until after the levy election so we can fully focus on that.
  - e. Since the February 19th meeting, I have received emails from parents who are frustrated by the fact that the majority of parents are in favor of the change and they feel ignored. As I have received the emails, I have let them know that their voices are not being ignored. I will bring the 4 day school week back for the board's consideration following the levy election. I'm not sure if the trustees have also received emails, but wanted you to know what I am hearing.
2. The board asked me to reach out to ICRMP regarding a potential MOU with STEM Charter for transportation. The response from Chad is below:

Hi Lisa,

In the case of auto insurance, the insurance generally "follows the vehicle", meaning that since it is your vehicle and your driver, the District would be responsible for any accidents and injuries arising out of its use. Your policy would respond with coverage in

the event of an accident even for those students being transported that were not a part of the District.

I would be happy to review the MOU from an insurance and risk management perspective if you would like and would recommend that you have your attorney review it before adoption as well.

Let me know if you have further questions.

3. The board asked me to reach out to Megan O'Dowd regarding a potential MOU with STEM Charter for transportation. I have not heard back from Megan, yet. I will bring her response when it comes.
4. Our Operational Plan was submitted to the state of Idaho with the changes to the draft that were requested by the Board.