DeSoto Independent School District

District Improvement Plan

2022-2023

Accountability Rating: B



Mission Statement

The mission of DesotoISD is to ensure students without exception learn and grow at their highest levels.

Vision

The vision of Desoto ISD is to inspire curiosity and consciousness, develop character, build courage and nurture compassion.

Our Values:

Every Student Relentless Equity Unconditional Belonging Collective Excellence Courageous Learning Community Greatness

Board Goals and Progress Measures

Title	Board Goal	Progress Targets	Notes / Methodology
Goal #1: Future	Percentage of HS students who graduate	May 2021: 44%	
Readiness	college, career, and/or military ready will	May 2022: 53%	To qualify for CCMR credit, the graduating seniors
	increase from 36% in May 2019 to 62% by May 2025.	Way 2022. 3576	must meet at least one of the following:
		May 2023: 56%	TSI criteria in ELA/reading and mathematics on
		May 2024: 59%	assessments or complete college prep courses; Meet
		-	AP/IB criteria; Earn dual-course credits; Earn an approved industry-based certification; Earn an
		May 2025: 62%	associate degree while in high school; Graduate with
			completed IEP and workforce readiness; Earn a
			Level I or Level II certificate; Graduate under an
			advanced diploma plan and be identified as a current special education student.
Goal #2: Early	Percentage of students at the Meets level on	May 2021: 24%	
Learning – Reading	the 3 rd grade STAAR reading exam increases		
	from 25% in May 2019 to 65% by May 2025.	May 2022: 25%	To determine the Progress Targets for STAAR Reading and Math, we began with Desoto ISD 3rd Grade
		May 2023: 35%	2018-2019 Reading and Math officail Meets area rating
		May 2024: 50%	for all sub-populations. During the interrupted years in education, there was a smaller amount of annual
		-	percentage increases in targets. The expectations for the
		May 2025: 65%	later years have higher annual percentages in order to
Goal #3: Early Learning – Math	Percentage of students at the Meets level on the 3 rd grade STAAR math exam increases	May 2021: 27%	meet the overall taget final target in May of 2025.
Dourning Muur	from 31% in May 2019 to 53% by May 2025.	May 2022: 31%	
		May 2023: 36%	
		Way 2025. 5076	
		May 2024: 43%	
		May 2025: 53%	
Goal #4:	The percentage of students in elementary and	May 2021: 21%	The baseline scores were determined by 2020 NWEA
Individualized Growth	middle schools who meet their individual growth goals in both math and reading on the	May 2022: 23%	MAP universal screeners and adjusted in 2021 and 2022. The target increases for student groups in grades K-8 to
GIOWIII	NWEA MAP assessment will increase from	wiay 2022. 25/0	help ensure our students are meeting annual growth
	22.2% in February 2020 to 50% May 2025.*	May 2023: 33%	goals.
		May 2024: 40%	
		-	
		May 2025: 50%	

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Achievement	6
District Culture and Climate	9
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	10
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	13
Parent and Community Engagement	14
District Context and Organization	15
Technology	16
Priority Problem Statements	17
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	18
Goals	21
Goal 1: Student Achievement: Increase student achievement outcomes for early learning and beyond.	22
Goal 2: Highly Qualified Teachers & Staff: Develop and implement initiatives and systems to recruit, hire and retain effective teachers, campus administrators and other instructional leaders.	36
Goal 3: Finances, Systems & Sustainability (District/Campus Operations): Guarantee fiscal and organizational responsibility, integrity, transparency, longevity, and sustainability through clear and aligned tools, systems, structures, and processes.	41
Goal 4: Parent/Family Engagement and Community Involvement: Enhance district belonging by strengthening partnerships with families, communities, and the business community.	48
Goal 5: School Culture: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning that focuses on the social, emotional, and cultural needs of students and staff.	53
District Improvement & Planning Committee	58
District Funding Summary	59
Addendums	61

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

DeSoto ISD is a 6A, suburban district 15 miles south of Dallas in north Texas, that serves approximately 7,300 students in pre-kindergarten through 12th grade. This includes one comprensive high school, one early college high school, two middle schools (6-8), two elementary schools (PK -2), two elementary schools (3-5), one technology magnet school (K-8), one STEAM school (3-8) and one alternative eaducation center. The 23 square mile district serves students in most of DeSoto, and the Dallas County portions of Glenn Heights and Ovilla. 72.11% of the district student population is Economically Disadvantaged. Below is a breakdown of the student groups from DeSoto ISD.

2021-2022 PEIMS DATA					
Student Information	Count	Percent			
Total Student Enrollment	7,344	100.00%			
Student Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity					
Black - African American	5,376	73.20%			
Hispanic-Latino	1,598	21.76%			
White	116	1.58%			
American Indian - Alaskan Native	22	0.30%			
Asian	19	0.26%			
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	1	0.01%			
Two-or-More	212	2.89%			
Student Enrollment by Program Served					
Emergent Bilingual/ESL Education	655	8.92%			
Career & Technical Education	2,588	35.24%			
Gifted & Talented Education	393	5.35%			
Special Education	715	9.74%			

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. **Root Cause:** There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

Desoto ISD received an overall performance rating of B for the 2021-22 academic year. STAAR/EOC scores for grades 3 -12 increased for all tested subject areas in all performance levels from 2021 to 2022 academic school year with the exception of Grade 8 Social Studies and US History EOC combined scores maintaining its Meets percentage of 34%. ELA/Reading and Math STAAR/EOC had double digit percentage increases in ELA/Reading and Mathematics Approaches and Meets levels. Mathematics Approaches levels saw the biggest increase in scores from 40% in 2021 to 54% 2022. Mathematics Meets levels increased by 10% as well. ELA/Reading scores Approaches and Meets percentages went up by 13% from 2021 to 2022.

Student Achievement Strengths

TEA Accountability

Desoto ISD District STAAR/EOC Historical Performance Trends

2017 - 2022

ELA/Reading STAAR/EOC				Mathematics STAAR/EOC			
Academic	% Approaches	% Meets or	% Masters	Academic	% Approaches	% Meets or	% Maatam
Year	or Above	Above	% Masters	Year	or Above	Above	% Masters
2017	61%	30%	9%	2017	61%	22%	8%
2018	63%	32%	10%	2018	67%	27%	9%
2019	65%	35%	11%	2019	71%	33%	11%
2021	53%	27%	9%	2021	40%	14%	4%
2022	66%	40%	14%	2022	54%	24%	9%

Science STAAR/EOC						
Academic	% Approaches	% Approaches % Meets or				
Year	or Above	Above	% Masters			
2017	67%	31%	7%			
2018	69%	33%	9%			
2019	70%	35%	9%			
2021	50%	19%	4%			
2022	58%	28%	7%			

Social Studies STAAR/EOC						
Academic	% Approaches	% Meets or	% Masters			
Year	or Above	Above	70 Widsters			
2017	61%	28%	9%			
2018	67%	38%	13%			
2019	67%	38%	17%			
2021	59%	34%	14%			
2022	66%	34%	16%			

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause:** Student had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

District Culture and Climate

District Culture and Climate Summary

The district's new Student Support Services division is structured to include all teams that facilitate a safe, secure, positive and responsive environment so that all students can thrive. The district has been diligently working to ensure that a strong Tier I foundation is consistent across every school to allow each campus to be primarily preventative, but also reactive when needed. To do this, the Student Support Services division must ensure district-wide alignment in our theory of change to become responsive in the areas of social emotional learning, youth mental health first aid, identifying signs and symptoms of trauma in the classroom, and building a system of support so that our prevention and intervention measures address the needs of the whole child.

Our Safety and Security team support the overall infrastructure of our district to ensure a safe educational environment. The main responsibility of this team is to build and establish meaningful relationships with students and staff while proactively interacting to ensure a secure and restorative environment. Our School Resource Officers (SROs) work within the school community to ensure our environment is proactive in addressing the safety of all. The SROs intervene when necessary to ensure the safety of all individuals in our system and refrain from being involved in routine school maters related to discipline and classroom management.

The Student Support Services division oversees suicide prevention and has established a parent/guardian notification procedure in the event of suicidal ideation, conflict resolution programs, violence prevention programs, oversees 504 services (dyslexia treatment programs are housed under Teaching & Learning, Leadership division).

District Culture and Climate Strengths

Revision of the district's organizational structure to optimize effectiveness and efficiency.

Problem Statements Identifying District Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment. Root Cause: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

2021-2022 PEIMS DATA

Staff Infformation	Count	Percent
Total Staff	909	100.00%
Professional Staff:	662	72.83%
Teachers	463	50.94%
Professional Support	150	16.50%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	30	3.30%
Central Administration	19	2.09%
Educational Aide:	97	10.67%
AuxiiiaryStaff:	150	16.50%
Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex		
African American	336	72.57%
Hispanic	47	4.00%
White	60	12.96%
American Indian	2	0.43%
Asian	5	1.08%
Pacific Islander	0	0.00%

2021-2022 PEIMS DATA

Two or More Races	13	33.48%
Males	155	33.48%
Females	309	66.52%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:		
No Degree	6	1.30%
Bachelors	273	58.96%
Masters	171	36.93%
Doctorate	13	2.81%
Teachers by Years of Experience		
BeginningTeachers	71	15.33%
1- 5 Years Experience	130	28.08%
6 - 10 Years Experience	81	17.49%
11 - 20 Years Experience	115	24.84%
21-30 Years Experience	51	11.02%
Over 30 Years Experience	15	3.24%

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

Largest percentage of teachers have 11-30+ years of teaching experiences. (39%)
African-American students are largely served by African-American teachers in ratios rare in comparison to the state.

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Within the last decade, there has been a decrease in the individuals pursuing careers in education. This has led to a massive teacher shortage across the nation. Over 10% of first year teachers leave the profession. **Root Cause:** Lack of competitive salary for teachers in comparison to surrounding districts and the need to develop a robust plan for teacher recruitment and retention.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

Curriculum matters mainly because of its potential impacts on students. Curriculum is content, but when contextualized, it comes alive for students. The fundamental purpose of curriculum development is to ensure that students receive integrated, coherent learning experiences that contribute towards their personal, academic and professional learning and development.

Our system recognizes and accepts curriculum as the foundation of student achievement. Furthermore, the Teaching and Learning division recognizes the need to provide curriculum products that engage students and accommodate varying levels of teacher capacity.

The work, already begun, will continue to foster collaboration across departments, reduce isolation and consider multiple perspectives. The work also includes continuous feedback and change to ensure curriculum products are responsive to district, campus, teacher and learner variance.

Our assessment efforts (formative, common, benchmark, etc.) must also be aligned with at least state assessments (STAAR/EOC) to accurately monitor the instructional program. However, we recognize and value other opportunities to measure student progress in other areas (Lexile Scores, TELPAS, PSAT, SAT, ACT, industry certifications, etc.).

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

• The overall percentage of students at the Approaches, Meets, and Masters levels increased from 2021 to 22.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause:** Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

DeSoto ISD hosts many parental and community engagement events to foster the collaboration of all stakeholders. The district's goal is to build strong, positive relationships between school and home as an imperative component to developing the academic and social development of each student. DeSoto ISD engages parents and the community through various academic, social-emotional, and college-career-military programming such as the District's College Fair, Super Family Science Night, Back-to-School Fair, TEK Cycle Night, Attendance Meetings, Mindfulness, Emotional Regulation, Parent-Teacher Conferences, Health Fair, Homecoming Parades, etc.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to increase parental involvement and awareness in regard to the district's academic programs and student grade level expectations. Data Source: 22-23 Parent & Family Engagement Survey **Root Cause:** There was a need for a centralized department/person to coordinate and deliver parent learning opportunities.

District Context and Organization

District Context and Organization Summary

In July of 2022, DeSoto ISD changed leadership and hired a new superintendent. The district's new organizational structure is arranged to provide an eagle-eye precision emphasis on teaching and learning for all levels of employees. The district has worked diligently to develop a common definition of equity and Tier 1 instruction to create aligned and an inclusive environment for learning. The district is creating and implementing systems to identify, select, and empower leaders with tools to address students' social, emotional and behavioral challenges. DeSoto ISD has established procedures and safeguards to protect the financial integrity and technology resources available.

District Context and Organization Strengths

- Revision of the district's organizational structure to optimize effectiveness and efficiency.
- Safeguards and accountability procedures for financial and technology purchases.

Problem Statements Identifying District Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. **Root Cause:** There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Technology

Technology Summary

Through various district and grant-funded initiatives, teachers are able to access and infuse a variety of technology platforms and devices to enhance teaching and learning in the classroom. An increase in document cameras and student Chromebook access has aided in the increase of student achievement in certain areas. There is a need for DeSoto ISD to continue to identify opportunities for technology funding and professional learning to assist in expanding the use of technology in the classroom.

Technology Strengths

- DeSoto ISD has a variety of technology programs and devices available of campuses.
- Grants have enhanced district efforts by supplying technology devices in the classroom.

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. **Root Cause:** Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities.

Root Cause 1: There is an increase in school choice within the local community; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment.Root Cause 2: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.Problem Statement 2 Areas: District Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 3: Within the last decade, there has been a decrease in the individuals pursuing careers in education. This has led to a massive teacher shortage across the nation. Over 10% of first year teachers leave the profession.

Root Cause 3: Lack of competitive salary for teachers in comparison to surrounding districts and the need to develop a robust plan for teacher recruitment and retention. Problem Statement 3 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 4: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause 4**: Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 5: There is a need to increase parental involvement and awareness in regard to the district's academic programs and student grade level expectations. Data Source: 22-23 Parent & Family Engagement Survey

Root Cause 5: There was a need for a centralized department/person to coordinate and deliver parent learning opportunities.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 6: There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world.

Root Cause 6: Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Technology

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- · Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Observation Survey results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group

- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Equity data
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data

- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures dataStudy of best practicesAction research results

- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement: Increase student achievement outcomes for early learning and beyond.

Performance Objective 1: Percentage of High School students who graduate college, career, and/or military ready will increase by 10% by the end of 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Accountability Data for 2021-2022

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: The College and Career Readiness department will develop supports and acquire resources for students to use			Formative		
to improve their TSI, SAT, and ACT scores. Resources include processes for utilization of Texas College Bridge, Khan Academy, CollegeBoard, and MaxPreps implemented in both the core content classrooms, as well as on-demand utilization at home. Training for teachers and parents/guardians will also be provided on available programs.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student TSI, SAT, and ACT scores on district CCMR data reports. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools 					
Chief Academic Officer Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness Director of School Improvement					
Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 Funding Sources: ACT, SAT, and TSI Materials and Prep - 199 - Local - \$150,000					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: The College and Career Readiness Department will support campus efforts to increase student completion of a	Formative			Summative
College Prep/Dual Credit/AP course through the early identification and recruiting of students based on academic performance and PSAT data, developing student AP tutoring resources, enhanced student competition tracking, and embedding resources in core content classes and curriculum.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student completion of a College Prep/Dual Credit/AP course.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness				
Director of School Improvement				
Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
Funding Sources: Dual Credit Tuition - 244 - Perkins, CTE - \$10,000, Dual Credit Tuition - 199 - Local - \$75,000				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: The College and Career Readiness department and the Career and Technical Education department will develop		Formative		Summative
programs that are aligned to industry needs, supports; and prepare students to earn Level I and II certificates and/or Industry Based Certifications. Supports and training for teachers will also be provided.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of Level I and II certificates earned by students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer				
Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness Director of School Improvement				
Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1				
Funding Sources: - 199 - Local - \$60,000				

Strategy 4 Details	Strategy 4 Details Reviews		views		
Strategy 4: The College and Career Readiness department will work with all campuses to enhance all K-12 student	Formative			Summative	
exposure to CCMR through providing opportunities for career exploration, virtual and on-side college tours, and student/parent engagement events.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the percentage of students who graduate College, Career, or Military ready.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer					
Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness Director of School Improvement					
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 5: The College and Career Readiness department will support campus efforts to ensure all high school students have a post-secondary College, Career, or Military Readiness plan through tracking each student's progression through a graduation pathway, assisting the campus in creating a clear course sequence for each subject area, and assisting with FAFSA completion. Student data will be tracked utilizing the district data dashboard, and training will be provided to campus counselors and administrators.		Formative Summ			
		Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the percentage of students who graduate College, Career, or Military ready.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness Director of School Improvement					
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Parent and Community Engagement 1 - Technology 1					
Funding Sources: District Dashboard for CCRM - 199 - Local - \$10,000, District Dashboard for CCRM - 211 - Title I - \$10,000					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause**: Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase parental involvement and awareness in regard to the district's academic programs and student grade level expectations. Data Source: 22-23 Parent & Family Engagement Survey **Root Cause**: There was a need for a centralized department/person to coordinate and deliver parent learning opportunities.

Technology

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. **Root Cause**: Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Goal 1: Student Achievement: Increase student achievement outcomes for early learning and beyond.

Performance Objective 2: Percentage of students reading and writing on or above grade level will increase by 10% by the end of 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Local and State assessments 2021-2022 STAAR Data Common Assessments/ Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details Reviews		ews		
Strategy 1: ELAR/SLAR classrooms will implement The Science and Art of Teaching Reading through our literacy plan	Formative			Summative
with fidelity. We will ensure all instructional minutes are fulfilled during each literacy block. Literacy will be reinforced by the integration of the following strategies:		Feb	Apr	June
Word Study Daily Reinforcement as mapped out in Curriculum Resources-SAVVAS (K-5) Comprehension and Vocabulary Development- IPG Alignment (K-12) Daily Read Alouds (K-5) Reading Fluency Checks- Progress Monitoring (All levels based on reading ability)				
Shared Reading with TEKS aligned mini lessons (K-12) Guided Reading (K-8) Literacy Rotations and Genre Studies (K-12) Writing Workshops (K-12)				
Novel Studies (5-12) Texas Education Agency (TEA) Reading Academies. (K-3) ELAR/SLAR Administrative classroom observations and feedback sessions (3 per grading period/ all levels)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing student outcomes in ELAR/SLAR by way of maintaining and monitoring instructional excellence to improve the quality of instruction at all levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer District Wide Instructional Facilitators				
Director of School Improvement Campus Principal				
Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Review ELAR/SLAR common grade level unit assessment data and develop and implement action plans that		Formative		Summative
focus on spiraling instructional standards, and adjusting instructional strategies. District wide PLC's will be hosted monthly and campus PLCs are hosted weekly. PLCs include but not limited to the following:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
DDI Calendar Development and Implementation (District) All In Learning and School City Data Collection Platform Look Backs- Data and Student Gap Analysis Common Assessment Reading Data Meetings (Following Each CA) Backwards Design Lesson Development Look Forwards- TEKS Specificity and Instructional Planning Big Rock Educational Services PLC Support Coaching Support Reading Calibration Walks by Principals, AP's, IF's (K-12/ monthly) District Wide PLC's with IC's to monitor IPG scope and sequence Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To improve overall ELAR/SLAR data driven practices to accelerate				
student achievement on all local and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Executive Director of Research, Evaluation, and Design Director of Assessment and Evaluation Director of School Improvement Campus Principal				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Response to Interventions across the District to close achievement gaps in ELAR/SLAR. Design and	Formative			Summative
implement student specific intervention and tutorial programs that focus on ensuring personalized learning plans move student levels from not meeting standard to approaches; approaches to meets; meets to masters.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
HB4545 Reading Intervention Support- Proximity Before-During- After School Tutoring-Reading Monitor Retesters in English 1-Impact Education Tutoring Group Multi-Tiered Support Systems per Campus- Edgugence Documentation- Collection Platform Scaffolding differentiation with the use of the following resources: ThinkUp (Extension K-8) iReady (Enrichment K-8) iReady (K-8) Edgenuity (9-12) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To accelerate closing academic achievement gaps and ensuring students on all levels meet and surpass growth targets. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Director of School Improvement Campus Principal Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teaching and Learning team members will facilitate ELAR/SLAR training sessions during district professional	Formative			Summative
development days monthly to review the district instructional framework, curriculum planning guides, instructional delivery and to ensure alignment between the written, taught and tested curriculum.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Job Alike Districtwide Professional Development (Monthly) Content and Department Specific Professional Development (Monthly) Special Populations Region 10 Professional Development- Monthly Region 10: STAAR 2.0 Redesign (Admin/Teachers-as needed) ELAR/SLAR observation and feedback protocol training and expectations ((Admin/Teachers-as needed) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To improve the instructional methods and practices of teaching in ELAR/SLAR to increase reading proficiency on all local and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer				
Director of School Improvement Professional Development Coordinator District Wide Instructional Facilitators Campus Principal				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
No Progress ON Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause**: Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

Goal 1: Student Achievement: Increase student achievement outcomes for early learning and beyond.

Performance Objective 3: Percentage of students performing on or above grade level in mathematics will increase by 15% by the end of 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Local and State assessments 2021-2022 STAAR Data Common Assessments/ Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Research-based numeracy strategies will be implemented in all mathematics classrooms. Concept development		Formative		Summative
will include but not limited to the following::	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Numeracy Block-Instructional Monitoring of Minutes (K-12)				
District wide Interactive Note-booking (K-12) Fluency & Application Problem Daily Drills (Daily- K-12)				
Guided Math- Small Group Instructional Support (Tiered-Weekly)				
District Wide Math Problem Solving Models (Daily)				
Whole Group Concept Development (Daily)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing student outcomes in Mathematics by way of maintaining and monitoring instructional excellence to improve the quality of instruction at all levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools				
Chief Academic Officer				
District Wide Instructional Facilitators				
Director of School Improvement				
Campus Principal				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Review Mathematics common grade level unit assessment data and develop and implement action plans that		Formative		
focus on spiraling instructional standards, and adjusting instructional strategies. District wide PLC's will be hosted monthly and campus PLCs are hosted weekly, which include:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
 DDI Calendar Development and Implementation (District) All In Learning and School City Data Collection Platform Look Backs- Data and Student Gap Analysis Common Assessment Reading Data Meetings (Following Each CA) Backwards Design Lesson Development Look Forwards- TEKS Specificity and Instructional Planning Big Rock Educational Services PLC Support Coaching Support Mathematics Calibration Walks by Principals, AP's, IF's (K-12/ monthly) District Wide PLC's with IC's to monitor IPG scope and sequence Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To improve overall Mathematics data driven practices to accelerate student achievement on all local and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Executive Director of Research, Evaluation, and Design Director of Assessment and Evaluation Director of School Improvement Campus Principal Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1 				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Response to Interventions across the District to close achievement gaps in Mathematics. Design and implement	Formative			Summative
student specific intervention and tutorial programs that focus on ensuring personalized learning plans move student levels from not meeting standard to approaches; approaches to meets; meets to masters.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
HB4545 Mathematics Intervention Support- Proximity Before-During- After School Tutoring-Mathematics Monitor Retesters in Algebra 1-Impact Education Tutoring Group Multi-Tiered Support Systems per Campus- Edgugence Documentation- Collection Platform Scaffolding differentiation with the use of the following resources: SAVVAS Math Intervention ThinkUp (Extension K-8) iReady (Enrichment K-8) iReady (K-8) Edgenuity (9-12)				
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To accelerate closing Mathematics academic achievement gaps and ensuring students on all levels meet and surpass growth targets. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer Director of Multi Tiers Support Services Director of School Improvement Campus Principal Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1 				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teaching and Learning team members will facilitate Mathematics training sessions during district professional		Formative		
development days monthly to review the district instructional framework, curriculum planning guides, instructional delivery and to ensure alignment between the written, taught and tested curriculum, including, but not limited to:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Job Alike Districtwide Mathematics Professional Development (Monthly) Content and Department Specific Professional Development (Monthly) Special Populations Region 10 Professional Development- Monthly Region 10: STAAR 2.0 Redesign (Admin/Teachers-as needed) Mathematics observation and feedback protocol training and expectations ((Admin/Teachers-as needed) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To improve the instructional methods and practices of teaching in Mathematics to increase Math proficiency on all local and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools				
Chief Academic Officer Director of School Improvement Professional Development Manager District Wide Instructional Facilitators Campus Principals Problem Statements: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1				
Troben Statements, Stari Quanty, Recruitment, and Retention 1				
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 1: Within the last decade, there has been a decrease in the individuals pursuing careers in education. This has led to a massive teacher shortage across the nation. Over 10% of first year teachers leave the profession. **Root Cause**: Lack of competitive salary for teachers in comparison to surrounding districts and the need to develop a robust plan for teacher recruitment and retention.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. **Root Cause**: Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.

Technology

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. **Root Cause**: Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Goal 1: Student Achievement: Increase student achievement outcomes for early learning and beyond.

Performance Objective 4: The number of students meeting growth targets on NWEA Map reading and math assessments will increase from 30.9% in July 2022 to 35% of students meeting their growth goal in reading and math in gradesK-8 NWEA MAP assessments by the end of May 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021-2022 NWEA- MAP Data for BOY, MOY and EOY.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Running MAP Beta reports to develop and implement action plans that focus on spiraling instructional	Formative			Summative
standards, and adjusting instructional strategies. District wide Interventionist support includes, but not limited to:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Develop and implement district wide data analysis protocols.				
The implementation of aligned data analysis protocols				
Campus Data Analysis Conferences (3X yearly)				
Student Goal Setting Conferences				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Evaluation of students' understanding and growth in literacy and mathematics throughout the school year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools				
Director of School Improvement				
Director of Multi-Tier Support Services				
Chief Academic Officer				
Campus Principals				
Instructional Content Facilitators				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - Technology 1				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: District principals will participate in Data Digs facilitated following BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments to		Formative		Summative	
identify root causes, share best practices and formulate campus action plans to increase student achievement and targeted growth.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth in all tested areas on NWEA MAP to improve in district wide data analysis processes.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools Chief Academic Officer					
Director of School Improvement					
Campus Principals					
Senior Staff Members					
Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan					
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Campus based interventionists will spend 50% of the school day providing direct instruction to accelerate the		Formative		Summative	
achievement of assigned students based upon district assessment results. Student progress will be tracked and monitored; 80% of the students assigned to receive targeted support from campus interventionists will demonstrate growth.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Before-During- After School Tutoring					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintaining and monitoring effective progress monitoring systems through targeted intervention support.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools					
Chief Academic Officer					
Director of Multi Tiers Support Services					
Director of School Improvement					
Campus Principal					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Design and implement student specific intervention and tutorial programs that focus on ensuring personalized	Formative			Summative
learning plans move student levels from not meeting standard to approaches; approaches to meets; meets to masters. The percentage of students with documented personalized instructional plans will increase from unknown to 70% of students in	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
assessed grade levels by October 2022.				
Before-During- After School Tutoring				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student accountability and achievement by way of creating personalized academic plans.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent of Schools				
Chief Academic Officer Director of Multi Tiers Support Services				
Director of School Improvement				
Campus Principal				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1				
No Progress Owner Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment
Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase the percentage of students' reading at or above grade level as measured by STAAR, MAP and other Diagnostic assessments. Root Cause: Students had limited access to face-to-face instruction during the pandemic and there was a significant turnover in staffing.
Technology
Problem Statement 1 : There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. Root Cause : Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Goal 2: Highly Qualified Teachers & Staff: Develop and implement initiatives and systems to recruit, hire and retain effective teachers, campus administrators and other instructional leaders.

Performance Objective 1: Recruit, develop and retain qualified, certified personnel for all instructional positions.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TEAMS Report

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Work to secure funding for the district's Grow Your Own program to help support tuition, fees, certification		Formative		Summative
exam and test preparation costs, and substitute teachers for providing candidates with release time to meet grant requirements.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promote an effective grow your own pipeline where the district grooms its own talent by utilizing long term substitutes and paraprofessionals.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR				
Recruitment and Engagement Coordinator				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Form Memorandums of Understanding with university partners to support the district's Grow Your Own		Formative		Summative
				-
program. National University (Individual who need to obtain bachelors' degrees). UNT Dallas- Summer intensive pipeline and Alternative Certification program to support individuals in obtaining their teaching certificate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
program. National University (Individual who need to obtain bachelors' degrees). UNT Dallas- Summer intensive pipeline	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
program. National University (Individual who need to obtain bachelors' degrees). UNT Dallas- Summer intensive pipeline and Alternative Certification program to support individuals in obtaining their teaching certificate Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Have individuals become degreed and certified to positively impact the teacher shortage and support campus fill rates. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
program. National University (Individual who need to obtain bachelors' degrees). UNT Dallas- Summer intensive pipeline and Alternative Certification program to support individuals in obtaining their teaching certificate Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Have individuals become degreed and certified to positively impact the teacher shortage and support campus fill rates.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teacher in Residence Program:		Formative		Summative
Recruit individuals who are already in alternative certification programs and provide examination preparation sessions through outside contractors to help the TIR program participants earn certification.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR Recruitment and Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress ONO Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. Root Cause: There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Goal 2: Highly Qualified Teachers & Staff: Develop and implement initiatives and systems to recruit, hire and retain effective teachers, campus administrators and other instructional leaders.

Performance Objective 2: Prioritize recruitment of teachers and teacher leads with a demonstrated, quantifiable track record and teachers that are National Board Certified.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of contracts made from Job Fair attendance. Mailer to National Board Certified Teachers for recruiting

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Partner with various colleges & universities to provide training for campus & district leaders who will serve as		Formative		Summative	
 district recruiters to recruit & retain certified teacher candidates. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By working the college circuit and hosting interdistrict job fairs, we will be able to attract highly qualified, fully certified individuals to DeSoto ISD Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR Coordinator of Recruitment Problem Statements: Demographics 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Use of Multimedia supports and teacher screeners to increase teacher capacity		Formative		Summative	
Utilize our Linked In Life page to attract national candidates for instructional positions Use of the Haberman Teacher Screening Tool to effectively screen qualifying teacher candidates Rebrand multimedia collateral to look more aesthetically pleasing	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By utilizing the Linked in Life page and rebranding recruitment materials, we will be able to attract highly qualified and desired candidates. Also, use of the Haberman will allow us to identify the most viable candidates to fill teacher vacancies.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR					
Coordinator of Recruitment					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Technology 1					

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Deploy a survey to new employees during the Fall and Spring semester to gain data about their experience in		Formative		Summative
DeSoto ISD to increase teacher retention.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
(3 Questions; Written Response) Stay Survey				
Provide a survey to all district employees inquiring why they stay in the district (6 Questions; 4 Multiple choices, 2 Written				
Response)				
Exit Survey				
Utilize results from exit survey to adjust district systems and processes				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Competitive Salary Adjust district compensation to promote a more competitive salary Impact: The district will be able to attract individuals who have creditable teaching experience.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR				
Coordinator of Recruitment				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: New Teacher/Staff Survey		Formative		Summative
Deploy a survey to new employees during the Fall and Spring semester to gain data about their experience in DeSoto ISD. (3 Questions; Written Response)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Stay Survey				
Provide a survey to all district employees inquiring why they stay in the district (6 Questions; 4 Multiple choice, 2 Written Response)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The district will utilize the data to adjust district systems and processes, yielding great gains in climate, culture, and customer service.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of HR Director of HR				
Coordinator of Recruitment				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. **Root Cause**: There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Technology

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. **Root Cause**: Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Goal 3: Finances, Systems & Sustainability (District/Campus Operations): Guarantee fiscal and organizational responsibility, integrity, transparency, longevity, and sustainability through clear and aligned tools, systems, structures, and processes.

Performance Objective 1: By June 2023, DeSoto ISD will restore and enhance the district's financial position and General Operating Fund. DeSoto ISD will operate in a fiscally responsible, effective, and efficient manner in all financial matters to ensure adequate resources that support continuous improvement.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Annual Financial Report, Single Audit Report, School FIRST Rating, Bond rating, DOE program evaluations, single audit report.

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Annually adopt a surplus budget that will meet the needs of our campuses and departments.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Short term finances are positive and growing.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
2. At year end, revenues should exceed expenditures, which will increase fund balance.				
3. A reduction in budget amendments that negatively impact the adopted surplus budget.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Financial Officer				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Funding Sources: General Operating Budget - 199 - Local				
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Create, implement, and maintain systems to ensure accuracy of financial reporting that includes: executing		Formative		Summative
monthly internal controls to ensure financial integrity, including but not limited to monthly financial close out.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Transparent and accurate financial reports (monthly, quarterly and annual reports) to District administration and Board of Trustees.				
annual reports) to District administration and Doard of Trustees.				
 Unmodified opinion on the District's audited Annual Financial Report. 				
• /				
2. Unmodified opinion on the District's audited Annual Financial Report.				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Create documented, process-oriented system of checks and balances to ensure compliance with all grants.		Formative		Summative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Compliance with all grants to be in alignment with state, federal, and grant guidelines. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Financial Officer 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Executive Director of Federal & State Programs					
Director of Business Operations					
Controller					
Grants Manager					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Create systems and protocols to ensure that district and campus level programs and resources are utilized		Formative	Summativ		
effectively before purchasing new ones.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduce expenditures and ensure usage of purchased resources and systems					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Chiefs					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1					
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. **Root Cause**: There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Goal 3: Finances, Systems & Sustainability (District/Campus Operations): Guarantee fiscal and organizational responsibility, integrity, transparency, longevity, and sustainability through clear and aligned tools, systems, structures, and processes.

Performance Objective 2: 1 to 1 Devices & Long-Term Maintenance Cycles: Provide access to 1-to-1 technology for every student in our system and operationalize a systemic maintenance & replacement cycle for district facilities, assets, and resources as it relates to technology by June of 2023.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Stakeholder tech surveys Five year plan draft District Tech Committee Student devices assignment lists

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Draft five year plan with stakeholder input to achieve this priority, develop long term technology plan, and		Formative		Summative	
ensure the plan is updated bi-annually or as needed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Create and execute a physical campus walk, including every classroom, of all campuses and compile in one document to make technology decisions.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
2. Technology purchase standards defined and communicated.					
3. Technology staff on site during learning cycles to troubleshoot teacher technology issues.					
4. Decrease in the number of technology work tickets.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer					
Problem Statements: Technology 1					
Funding Sources: DeSoto ISD strategic plan, Technology survey, and campus technology needs - 199 - State Comp Ed - \$200,000					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Identify and complete a current state assessment of the district technology stack to improve online operations.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Identify outside, non-vendor expert to review district technology stack.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
2. Complete a current state audit, including contracts, hardware, software, infrastructure, and network. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer					
Problem Statements: Technology 1					
Funding Sources: Technology inventory - 199 - Local - \$100,000					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Create and implement a student/parent damage or loss device process and contract that ensures alignment		Formative		Summative	
across campuses, protects district tech assets, and promotes the use of student devices for learning only. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Accountability for district technology.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer Campus Leadership Team					
Problem Statements: Technology 1					
Funding Sources: Sample user agreements, Board approval, and committee review - 199 - Local - \$0					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Enable or purchase lock down browser support for all student computers, and train all teachers and leaders on		Formative	•	Summative	
how to use tech safety features and supports. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure online safety for all learners.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer Campus Leadership Team					
Problem Statements: Technology 1 Funding Sources: Technology vendor - 199 - Local - \$200,000					

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 5: Build and maintain preventative maintenance & replacement schedule for all technology assets.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Outline maintenance & replacement schedules in Technology Plan; reduce tech damage expenditures.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer				
Campus Leadership Team				
Problem Statements: Technology 1				
Funding Sources: Damage report trends - 199 - Local - \$100,000				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	1
Strategy 6: Ensure weekly campus tech visits to campuses and monthly round-ups to solve tech issues.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Techs are assigned to go to campuses weekly and the entire tech team goes to each campus once a month. This process will ensure the Tech department is proactive to campus needs before they arise.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Technology Officer Chief Financial Officer				
Problem Statements: Technology 1				
Funding Sources: - 199 - Local - \$0				
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Technology

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to strengthen the infrastructure and equity in Desoto ISD to ensure all students and staff have the technology they need to meet the demands of a digital world. **Root Cause**: Organizational accountability, planning, infrastructure, and allocated funding created a requirement for the reorganizing of short and long term needs of students' and staff's technology needs.

Goal 3: Finances, Systems & Sustainability (District/Campus Operations): Guarantee fiscal and organizational responsibility, integrity, transparency, longevity, and sustainability through clear and aligned tools, systems, structures, and processes.

Performance Objective 3: Manage and oversee the effectiveness and efficiency of contracted services (i.e. transportation, food services, custodial services.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: The transportation provider will provide monthly updates for review in regards to student ridership, review of		Formative		Summative	
on time percentages and incidents, and the district will conduct monthly monitoring reports based on objectives in the transportation contracted services contract. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure the transportation department is operating effectively to support all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Operating Officer Transportation Manager Problem Statements: Demographics 1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: FSMC will increase the number of meals served compared to the prior year and monitor inventory in a manner		Reviews Formative Summat			
 that manages the effective use of perishable and non-perishable foods. The district will perform quarterly monitoring reviews of the FSMC and make the form available to the Texas Department of Agriculture. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure child and nutrition program is operating effectively to support all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Operating Officer Transportation Manager Problem Statements: Demographics 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: HES will provide monthly updates for review in regards to staffing to include overtime hours worked and		Formative		Summative
events staffed, and provide the district a copy of the monthly report card completed by building principals in regards to the effectiveness of the services provided.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
The district will conduct monthly monitoring reports based on objectives in the custodial services contracted services				
contract.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure custodial services department is operating effectively to support all students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief Operating Officer				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress ONO Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: DeSoto ISD aims to attract and retain all zoned students/families and staff by concentrating on FOCUS priorities (Financial stability, Organizational redesign, Campuses and Classrooms, Unity, and Success and Sustainability) to prevent stakeholders from choosing alternative educational opportunities. **Root Cause**: There is an increase in school choice within the local community ; therefore, DeSoto ISD must implement plans for continuous improvement to remain a viable choice for students and the community.

Goal 4: Parent/Family Engagement and Community Involvement: Enhance district belonging by strengthening partnerships with families, communities, and the business community.

Performance Objective 1: Expand communication and outreach services (based on survey) to all parents, family community members and implement programs, activities and procedures for the engagement of parents, families, community members and partnerships. Through this work, Panorama data will show increase from 13% to 25% in the metric of family engagement.

Evaluation Data Sources: 1. VOLY

2. Check in with community/parent liaisons/counselors monthly on services offered and rollout. Including attendees.

- 3. District Website
- 4. School Call Out's
- 5. Email
- 6. Parent Newsletter
- 7. Social Media Analytics

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Create clear parameters and flowchart for engaging partnerships, accepting donations and marketing		Formative		Summative	
 opportunities for volunteerism. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase effective and consistent communication and volunteerism opportunities Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Parent and Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Partner with DeISD Council PTA to ensure all campuses have functioning and growing PTAs.		Formative		Summative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent involvement and district support for all campuses. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Communications Campus Leadership Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews Formative Summativ			
Strategy 3: Design partnership launch template for major partnerships and design video and print template for		Summative		
 communicating partnership and benefits. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To communicate to the community and staff about opportunities to connect to DeSoto ISD Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Communications 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Create a partnerships resource web space which defines opportunities and offerings. Develop a print		Summative		
partnership pitch packet inclusive of district promo and marketing assets as well as partnerships engagement info in English and Spanish.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Explain & encourage the use of Voly and other volunteerism Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Communications Parent & Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Market, promote and communicate outreach efforts of internal and external parent, family and community		Formative	-	Summative
 support organizations offering wraparound services or training for these stakeholder groups. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Consistent communication and education regarding district wide programs, as well as opportunities for parent/guardians to support and help their students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Communications Parent & Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Clarify collaboration or assignment of parent and family, community engagement among staff. Clarify relationship and responsibilities between Communications, Student Support Services and State & Federal Programs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Streamline effectiveness of parent and family, community engagement	Formative Dec Feb Apr			Summative June

communication Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Federal & State Programs Chief of Communications Parent Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

District Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment. **Root Cause**: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.

Goal 4: Parent/Family Engagement and Community Involvement: Enhance district belonging by strengthening partnerships with families, communities, and the business community.

Performance Objective 2: Provide monthly parent capacity building activities to exceed Every Student Succeeds Act requirements.

Evaluation Data Sources: Qualtrics Survey Results Panorama Survey results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide a Title funded district Parent and Family Engagement Coordinator to coordinate district and campus	Formative			Summative
PFE activities. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure compliance, collaboration and coherence/ Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director State & Federal Programs Parent Family Engagement Coordinator	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1 Funding Sources: Program Support - 211 - Title I, Program Support - 263 - Title III, LEP				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Administer an annual parent survey to allow parent voice in planning and delivering parent training sessions.	Formative Sun			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Value authentic parent involvement 2. ESSA compliance	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director State & Federal Programs Parent Family Engagement Coordinator				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				
Funding Sources: Qualtrics Survey Platform - 211 - Title I, - 263 - Title III, LEP				
Strategy 3 Details		 Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Identify a campus-based PFE liaison to manage campus-based activities.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure campus-based activities align with district initiatives and parent survey results.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director State & Federal Programs Parent Family Engagement Coordinator				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Collaborate with other district departments to ensure alignment with strategic initiatives (board goals,		Summative		
administrative corrective action plan, etc.)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Ensure collaboration between departments. 2. Promote awareness of Parent and Family Engagement Activities. 3. Promote continuous improvement across departments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director State & Federal Programs Parent Family Engagement Coordinator Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1 				
Image: Model with the second	X Discor	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase parental involvement and awareness in regard to the district's academic programs and student grade level expectations. Data Source: 22-23 Parent & Family Engagement Survey **Root Cause**: There was a need for a centralized department/person to coordinate and deliver parent learning opportunities.

Goal 5: School Culture: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning that focuses on the social, emotional, and cultural needs of students and staff.

Performance Objective 1: Student Services: Increase the favorable responses on the school culture category from students and staff

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama survey response rates District website District social media platforms

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement daily restorative and social-emotional practices at each campus to decrease disciplinary incidences		Summative		
 by 25% by May 2023 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased recidivism rate Improved campus culture response rates for staff and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ED of SSS Coordinator of Restorative Practices Campus administrators Counselors Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Increase campus supports available from central teams to improve tier 1 school culture and interventions		Formative		Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved rate for culture constraints from staff and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ED of SS Director of SSS Dir SEL and Guidance Campus Leadership Coordinator of Restorative Practices Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide quarterly training to disaggregate campus Panorama data to campus administrators and appropriate		Summative		
staff	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved campus culture for staff and students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators				
Coordinator of Student Leadership and Development				
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Implement peer mediation practices with students and staff led by student leaders	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased number of discipline infractions	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Coordinator of Restorative Practices				
Coordinator of Student Leadership and Development				
Campus Administrators				
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

District Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment. Root Cause: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.

Goal 5: School Culture: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning that focuses on the social, emotional, and cultural needs of students and staff.

Performance Objective 2: Student Services: Increase the number of students receiving face-to-face instruction by decreasing the overall number of students removed from class.

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Increase involvement in Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS)	Formative Su			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased discipline data, improved attendance data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators, Counselors, Director of School Improvement, ED of SSS	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide effective attendance improvement interventions for students with 6 or more unexcused absences.	Formative Sum			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the overall district attendance rate toward the target of 95%.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dept Superintendent ED of SSS ED of RED Coordinator of Student Leadership and Development Campus Administrators Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue	1	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

District Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment. **Root Cause**: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.

Goal 5: School Culture: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning that focuses on the social, emotional, and cultural needs of students and staff.

Performance Objective 3: Guidance and Counseling: Provide guidance and counseling to students that meet the needs of all students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Counseling data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Ensure that the counselor's role retains focus on implementation of the Comprehensive School Counseling		Formative		Summative
Program and assists students to develop the skills they need to enhance their personal, social, emotional, and career development.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Address trauma resolution for students who have experienced natural disasters, health crisis, military tragedy, etc.				
Facilitate the campus staff's ability to detect warning signs of students who may be candidates for suicide or victims of child abuse.				
Recognize students displaying early warning signs and have a possible need for early mental health or substance intervention.				
Provide faculty and staff with annual training on child abuse reporting.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students seen by the counselor				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus counselors, campus administrators, ED of SSS				
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	_
Strategy 2: By the end of the school year, we will see an increase of 50% in ReThinkEd Teaching resources being utilized		Formative		Summative
DeSoto ISD as measured by the number of users		Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, SSS Staff				
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Train all principals on the Comprehensive School Counseling Program and the counselor evaluation (T-CESS)		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness of the role and responsibilities of the school counselor	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators, Counselors, ED of SSS				
Problem Statements: District Culture and Climate 1				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

District Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to ensure that all campuses have a safe and orderly learning environment. **Root Cause**: The District did not consistently implement peer mediation or restorative across all campuses.

District Improvement & Planning Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
District-level Professional	Andrae Rhyne	Executive Director of State and Federal Programs
District-level Professional	Bridget N. Nevels	Interim Executive Director of Student Support Services
District-level Professional	Felicia Johnson	Executive Director of Research and Evaluation
District-level Professional	Carmen McClendon	Director Finance & Accounting
District-level Professional	Chastity Jackson	Director of Special Education
District-level Professional	Helena Castanon-Vargas	Director of Emergent Bilingual and LOTE
District-level Professional	Jaime Kovar	Deputy Chief of College and Career Readiness
District-level Professional	Lawrence Galloway	Chief Financial Officer
District-level Professional	Melinda Pugh	Director Career Technical Education
District-level Professional	Nicholas Johnson	Interim Chief Academic Officer
District-level Professional	Violet Dean	Chief of Human Resources
District-level Professional	Gene Morrow	Deputy Superintendent of Operations
District-level Professional	Stephanie McCloud	Director of School Improvement
Parent	Sholonda Weaver	Community Member
Business Representative	Harry Kenndy	Business Partner
Business Representative	Tony Scallion	Business Partner
Parent	Catalina Gibbs	Community Partner

District Funding Summary

			199 - Local		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	ACT, SAT, and TSI Materials and Prep		\$150,000.00
1	1	2	Dual Credit Tuition		\$75,000.00
1	1	3			\$60,000.00
1	1	5	District Dashboard for CCRM		\$10,000.00
3	1	1	General Operating Budget		\$0.00
3	1	2	TEAMS, Controller, and CFO		\$0.00
3	2	2	Technology inventory		\$100,000.00
3	2	3	Sample user agreements, Board approval, and committee review		\$0.00
3	2	4	Technology vendor		\$200,000.00
3	2	5	Damage report trends		\$100,000.00
3	2	6			\$0.00
•		•		Sub-Total	\$695,000.00
			199 - State Comp Ed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	2	1	DeSoto ISD strategic plan, Technology survey, and campus technology needs		\$200,000.00
		-		Sub-Total	\$200,000.00
			244 - Perkins, CTE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Dual Credit Tuition		\$10,000.00
		·		Sub-Total	\$10,000.00
			211 - Title I		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	5	District Dashboard for CCRM		\$10,000.00
4	2	1	Program Support		\$0.00
4	2	2	Qualtrics Survey Platform		\$0.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$10,000.00

263 - Title III, LEP								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount			
4	2	1	Program Support		\$0.00			
4	2	2			\$0.00			
Sub-Total								

Addendums